Improved Targeting of Pancreatic Cancer: Experimental Studies of a New Bispecific Antibody, Pretargeting Enhancement System for Immunoscintigraphy
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: The early detection and diagnosis of pancreatic cancer remains a major clinical challenge in which imaging procedures have a central role. The purpose of this study was to evaluate a pretargeting method with a bispecific PAM4 (bsPAM4; anti-MUC1) antibody for radioimmunoscintigraphy of experimental human pancreatic cancer.

Experimental Design: A bispecific F(ab)² antibody was generated from chimeric PAM4 Fab and murine 734 (anti-indium-diethylene triaminepentaacetic acid) Fab² fragments and then used in conjunction with 2 peptide haptens ([⁴⁵⁴]In-IMP-156 and [⁹⁹m⁴]Tc-IMP-192). Biodistribution studies and radioimmunoscintigraphic imaging properties of the radio-labeled bsPAM4, and pretargeted, radiolabeled peptides were examined in the CaPan1 human pancreatic tumor grown as s.c. xenografts in athymic nude mice. Tumor uptake and tumor:nontumor ratios were compared with a nontargeting irrelevant anti-CD20, bispecific rituximab, radiolabeled peptides alone, and with directly labeled PAM4.

Results: Biodistribution results indicated significantly greater tumor uptake of radiolabeled peptides at 3 h after injection when pretargeting was performed with bsPAM4 as compared with the bispecific rituximab [20.2 ± 5.5 percentage of injected dose per gram of tissue (%ID/g) versus 0.9 ± 0.1%ID/g, respectively, for [⁴⁵⁴]In-IMP-156, and 16.8 ± 4.8%ID/g versus 1.1 ± 0.2%ID/g, respectively, for [⁹⁹m⁴]Tc-IMP-192]. Similar results were obtained at the 24-h time point. Tumor:nontumor ratios were >30 for all of the tissues except the kidneys, where a ratio of 7.8 ± 2.8 was observed.

By immunoscintigraphy, tumors could be visualized as early as 30 min after injection of the radiolabeled peptide.

Conclusions: These studies demonstrate the feasibility of using the pretargeted, bispecific antibody technology for nuclear imaging of pancreatic cancer. The advantage of pretargeted bsPAM4 antibody as an imaging platform is the high specificity for pancreatic cancer as compared with the physicochemical parameters identified by current imaging technologies.

INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic cancer represents a major health concern in the United States (1). In large part, this is because of our inability to detect and diagnose this disease at an early stage of development. Unfortunately, the usual clinical presentation for pancreatic cancer is at a late stage, when curative resection and/or other treatment modalities currently available hold little hope for a beneficial outcome. There are many factors that influence this outcome, including the nature of the disease itself (i.e., its silent growth with nonspecific signs and symptoms that do not become evident until the tumor has grown quite large in volume), and technologies that must be improved to detect smaller-volume disease.

There have been considerable efforts toward development of a simple, cost-effective means for the early detection and diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. A major focus has been the generation of monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) that are able to distinguish cancer from normal and benign disease tissues and fluids. The CA19–9 immunoassay is the “gold standard,” and although useful for management of the patient, it does not provide sufficient sensitivity or specificity to be used as a stand-alone diagnostic tool (2–4). Another more recent focus is the use of proteomics to identify a profile map in the serum, or other bodily fluid, that will distinguish pancreatic cancer from normal and other disease conditions (5, 6). The National Cancer Institute/FDA reports on the use of mass spectrometry to identify a peptide/protein profile that provides 100% sensitivity with 95% specificity for identification of ovarian cancer has provided enthusiasm for the potential of this technology (7, 8). Others are investigating many disease types, including pancreatic cancer, in efforts to identify disease-specific profiles (5, 6, 9–11). In addition to in vitro screening technologies, noninvasive imaging procedures currently in use provide additional support for an accurate diagnosis, as well as provide data regarding localization and staging to determine whether resection is an option. Computed tomography (12–14) and ultrasonography (15–17) are the most widely used technologies for imaging pancreatic cancer; however, detection of small tumors is problematic. Research is proceeding with magnetic resonance imaging as well as magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (18–20).
and positron emission tomography (PET; Refs. 21, 22) to provide increased sensitivity for the detection of malignant tissue.

Another useful tool for the diagnosis and staging of many different types of cancer is radioimmunoscintigraphy or radioimmunodetection. Radiolabeled antibodies specific for various tumor antigens have demonstrated their utility as imaging agents for hematologic tumors (23–26), as well as for colorectal (27–29), medullary thyroid (30, 31), bladder (32), ovarian (33, 34), prostate (35), and breast cancers (36), among others. More recently, pretargeting methodologies have received a great deal of attention for imaging and therapy of cancer (37). The rationale for this approach is the superior tumor:non-tumor (signal: noise) ratios that can be achieved, as compared with a directly labeled antibody or antibody fragment (37–40). The premise of the pretargeting approach is to decouple the targeting and imaging agents. An antitumor antibody, containing a second recognition site for a low molecular weight radiolabeled substance, is allowed to pretarget the tumor. At a later point in time when the targeting agent has cleared from the blood, the radiolabeled substance is injected. Due to its small size, the radiolabeled material is rapidly cleared from the blood and other normal tissues, whereas at the same time it is bound at the tumor by the second recognition site of the antibody construct. Two major approaches have been reported, the first using an antibody–avidin (or streptavidin) conjugate to pretarget the tumor, with radiolabeled-avidin (or biotin containing material) as the imaging agent (41–43), and the second, a bispecific antibody (bsMAb) construct, with one arm directed to tumor antigen and the other arm able to bind a subsequently administered radiolabeled-avidin (37, 38).

The primary attraction of a pretargeting system based on avidin-biotin is the very high affinity of avidin for biotin (41). However, there are some concerns with this technology, foremost being the immunogenicity of the avidin, which will inevitably restrict the number of times a patient can receive this reagent. Second is the fact that biotin is endogenous and can effectively reduce the subsequent targeting of the radiolabeled biotin. Although bispecific antibodies may not provide affinity interactions as high as the avidin-biotin system, the initial tumor targeting phase for each of the two approaches is equally dependent on the affinity of the antitumor antibody with tumor-expressed antigen. Also of importance, once humanized, the bispecific antibody should provide a lower immunogenic profile than avidin.

Clinical trials using pretargeting procedures have demonstrated improved imaging of tumor sites compared with imaging with directly radiolabeled IgG antibodies (37, 39, 40, 44, 45). Several forms of cancer, including pancreatic cancer, produce the MUC1 mucin glycoprotein (46–49). Overexpression of the antigen in malignant tissue has raised considerable interest in its use as a biomarker as well as a target for directed therapy. We have generated and characterized the anti-MUC1 MAb PAM4, providing both preclinical and clinical evidence as to its potential for radioimmunodetection and radioimmunotherapy of pancreatic cancer (50–53). Although there have been numerous reports on the development and application of anti-MUC1 antibodies, MAb PAM4, reactive with a defined epitope structure within MUC1, appears to have greater specificity for pancreatic cancer than other anti-MUC1 antibodies, most of which are reactive with the repeat domain (e.g., HMFG1, SM3, BrE3, and so forth; Refs. 54–56). By immunohistochemistry, PAM4 was reactive with ~85% of pancreatic cancers, was nonreactive with normal adult pancreas, and gave only weak, yet positive, reactions with <25% of the pancreatitis specimens examined (50). Reverse transcription-PCR and Northern blot data have routinely confirmed that normal pancreatic tissue, as well as pancreatitis specimens, produce MUC1, albeit at much lower expression levels than is evident in pancreatic cancer (49, 57, 58). However, these methods do not consider post-translational modifications that may give rise to differing epitope structures. The relative specificity of PAM4 for MUC1 derived from pancreatic cancer is likely the consequence of differential glycosylation of the mucin protein core. MUC1 synthesized by different tissues, be they normal, benign, or malignant, may express different epitope structures due either to the direct presence of new or incomplete carbohydrate structures or the influence of carbohydrate structures on the tertiary conformation of the peptide core.

Initial clinical trials using 131I- or 99mTc-labeled PAM4, whole IgG, revealed tumor targeting in 8 of 10 patients suspected of having pancreatic cancer (59, 60). Cancer was confirmed at surgery in all of the patients with targeted tumors. Of the 2 nontargeted patients, 1 had a poorly differentiated pancreatic cancer that did not express MUC1, whereas the other had pancreatitis rather than a malignant lesion. For the present studies, we hypothesized that a pretargeting approach using a PAM4-based bsMAb would provide increased tumor:non-tumor ratios as compared with directly labeled PAM4 antibody for improved nuclear imaging of pancreatic cancer.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental Animal Model.** CaPan1 is a human pancreatic carcinoma cell line obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). It was initially established as a solid tumor by injecting 10⁷ cells s.c. into the right flank of 5-week-old female athymic nude mice (Taconic, Germantown, NY). Once tumors had grown to ~1 cm³, they were serially propagated by s.c. injection of 0.2 ml of a 20% (w/v) tumor suspension prepared by mincing the tumors in 0.9% saline with subsequent passage through a 40-mesh wire screen. CaPan1 cells produced moderately to well-differentiated tumors. Tumors used in this study were passaged <10 times. Animal studies were approved by the Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology’s Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Construction of Bispecific Antibodies and Peptide Hapten.** The purification and characterization of PAM4 has been described (50). Nontargeting, chimeric anti-CD20 rituximab and the murine anti-indium-diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA) MAb, m734, were provided by Immunomedics, Inc. (Morris Plains, NJ). The production of antibody fragments and their subsequent use in the preparation of bsMabs were performed as described previously (61). Briefly, F(ab’)2 was derived by pepsin digestion of the MAb (cPAM4, chimeric rituximab, and m734) followed by protein A and ion-exchange chromatography purification. Fab’-SH fragments were formed by reduction of F(ab’)2 fragments with 2-mercaptoethanol.

---
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The m734 Fab'-SH fragment was then converted to Fab'-maleimide by incubation with N,N'-o-phenylenedimaleimide. Fab'-SH fragments of cPAM4 or chimeric rituximab were mixed with m734 Fab'-maleimide at a 1:1 ratio and incubated overnight at 4°C. The resulting bsMAbs (bsPAM4 and bsRIT) were then purified on a size-exclusion high-performance liquid chromatography analytical column (Bio-Sil SEC-250; Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA). The final products gave a single peak on this same high-performance liquid chromatography column. The peptide hapten, IMP-156, was radiolabeled with 111In, and IMP-192 to be radiolabeled with 99mTc, were provided by Immunomedics, Inc. (61).

Radiolabeling of PAM4 IgG, Peptide Haptens, and bsMAbs. Sodium iodide-125, sodium iodide-131, and indium-111 chloride were obtained from NEN Life Science Products (Boston, MA). Sodium [99mTc]-pertechnetate was purchased from Mallinkrodt (Pine Brook, NJ). 1,4,7,10-Tetraazacyclododecane-N,N',N',N'-tetraacetic acid (Macro cyclics, Inc., Richardson, TX) was conjugated to cPAM4 IgG by a method described previously (62). A molar ratio of 3.3 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-N,N',N',N'-tetraacetic acid molecules: IgG was determined by an 111In In-binding assay (63). Radiolabeling of the cPAM4 with 111In-chloride, performed as described previously (52), yielded a product containing 1.7% unbound radioactivity and <1% aggregated material. The chloramidine-T method was used for the radioidination of the bsMAbs (64), with purification by size-exclusion columns eluted with 0.1 M sodium phosphate (pH 7.2) containing 0.15 M sodium chloride (PBS). Typically, radiolabeled products contained <1.5% aggregated material as determined by size-exclusion high-performance liquid chromatography and <1% unbound material as determined by instant TLC (ITLC-SG media; Full Life Sciences, Ann Arbor, MI). IMP-192 was loaded with “cold” indium before the addition of 99mTc. The IMP-192 peptide was labeled with 99mTc and the IMP-156 peptide with 111In, according to methods described previously (61, 62). Typically, radiolabeled peptides contained no aggregated material and <2% unbound radiolabel.

Bispecific MAb Biodistribution Studies. Athymic nude mice bearing s.c. CaPan1 human pancreatic cancer xenografts were coinfected with 125I-bsPAM4 (10 μCi, 150 pmol, specific activity = 13.2 mCi/mg) and 111In-labeled control, nontargeting bsRIT (35 μCi, 150 pmol, specific activity = 2.78 mCi/mg). At various time-points (4, 24, 36, 48, and 72 h after injection) groups of 5 mice were necropsied, and tumor and nontumor tissues were removed and counted in a gamma counter to determine percentage of injected dose per gram tissue (%ID/g).

Pre-targeting Biodistribution Studies. Athymic nude mice bearing s.c. CaPan1 tumors were randomized into groups of 14 mice each. Two groups were injected with 10 μCi 125I-labeled bsPAM4 (150 pmol, specific activity = 11.6 mCi/mg) whereas another two groups received 10 μCi 125I-labeled control bsRIT (150 pmol, specific activity = 10.9 mCi/mg). After 40 h, one group of bsPAM4 and bsRIT pre-targeted mice were injected with 111In-IMP-156 (35 μCi; 15 pmol, specific activity = 4.95 mCi/nmol). The second group of bsPAM4 and bsRIT pre-targeted mice were injected with 99mTc-IMP-192 (28 μCi; 15 pmol, specific activity = 2.10 mCi/nmol). Two remaining groups of mice received the radiolabeled peptides alone. Mice were necropsied at 3 and 24 h after injection of peptide, and their tumors and tissues removed and counted in a gamma counter to determine %ID/g.

Nuclear Imaging Studies. Experimental imaging studies were performed on mice bearing s.c. CaPan1 human pancreatic tumor xenografts. Groups of mice were administered unlabeled bsPAM4 or bsRIT (150 pmol). After 40 h, mice were injected with 111In-IMP-156 (35 μCi, 15 pmol, specific activity = 2.29 mCi/nmol). Immediately after injection, the mice were placed in a Capintec CRC 15R dose calibrator (Ramsey, NJ) to confirm uniform doses present within the mice and to track whole body clearance of radiolabeled peptide. At various time points (0.5, 4, 24, 48, 120, and 168 h after administration), the mice were anesthetized, placed in the dose calibrator again to record overall activity, and imaged with a dual-head Solus gamma camera fitted with a medium-energy collimator for 111In (ADAC Laboratories, Milpitas, CA). Mice were imaged for a total of 100,000 cpm or 10 min, whichever came first. As a means for comparison between the pre-targeted and direct-labeled targeting procedures, a group of mice received 111In-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-N,N',N',N''-tetraacetic acid-cPAM4 whole IgG (35 μCi, specific activity = 0.88 mCi/mg). A final group received the radiolabeled peptide alone.

RESULTS

In Vitro Characterization of the bsMAbs. Bispecific F(ab')2 antibodies were generated by chemically cross-linking the Fab' fragment of murine anti-indium-DTPA, mAb m734, to the Fab' fragment of either chimeric PAM4 (bsPAM4) or chimeric rituximab (bsRIT). High-performance liquid chromatography size-exclusion chromatography demonstrated a single peak for each bsMAb, with the molecular size equivalent to a 1:1 molar ratio of antitumor and anti-indium-DTPA fragments. The stability of the bsMAbs in fresh sterile-filtered mouse serum was analyzed over a period of 5 days, with no aggregation and/or degradation products evident by size-exclusion chromatography. In vitro and in vivo characteristics of the peptides, IMP-156 [Ac-Phe-Lys(DTPA)-Tyr-Lys(DTPA)-NH2], for use with 111In, and IMP-192 [Ac-Lys(DTPA)-Tyr-Lys(DTPA)-Lys(thiosemicarbazonyl-glyoxyl-cysteiny1-NH2)] for use with 99mTc, have been reported (61, 65). Each of the peptide hapten was divalent with respect to reactivity with MAb-m734 (anti-indium-DTPA). The antigen binding properties were examined by combining 1.5 pmol of bsPAM4 with 0.15 pmol of peptide (10:1 ratio, as was used for biodistribution and imaging studies) and an excess of MUC1 antigen, followed by incubation at 37°C for 1 h. This mixture was then applied to a column (0.5 × 60 cm) of Sepharose 4B-CL (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ) and eluted with PBS. Fractions of 0.5 ml were collected and counted in a Cobra II Auto-Gamma Counter (Packard Instruments, Meriden, CT). BsPAM4 bound 88% and 81% of the 111In-IMP-156 and 99mTc-IMP-192, respectively (Fig. 1, A and B). Of the total 111In-IMP-156 bound to bsPAM4, 92% eluted with the MUC1 fraction and 6% with the non-MUC1-reactive bsPAM4 fraction. An additional 2% of the radiolabeled peptide bound in a nonspecific manner to the MUC1 antigen. Of the total 99mTc-IMP-192 bound to bsPAM4, 85% eluted with the MUC1 fraction and 11% with the non-MUC1-reactive bsPAM4 fraction. An additional 4% of the...
these time points was 6.43 \% \text{ID/g} at 48 \text{h postinjection}. Concentration within the tumor at the control bsRIT, which had 0.65 \% \text{ID/g}, respectively. These values were significantly higher than gested that a blood level of experience with a bispecific antibody pretargeting system sug-

Fig. 1 Immunoreactivity of bispecific PAM4 (bsPAM4). Unlabeled bsPAM4 (1.5 pmol) was mixed with MUC1 (200 \mu g) and labeled peptide (0.15 pmol) for a final 10:1 ratio of bsPAM4 to peptide. Size exclusion chromatography was used to determine the percentage of immunoreactive fraction. A, elution patterns for bsPAM4 and \text{\textsuperscript{111}}In-
labeled IMP156 peptide; B, elution patterns for bsPAM4 and the \text{\textsuperscript{99m}}Tc-
labeled IMP192 peptide.

Biodistribution of the PAM4 bsMAb Alone. Athymic nude mice bearing s.c. CaPan1 human pancreatic tumors (\sim 0.3 \text{cm}^3 at the start of the study) were coinjected with \text{\textsuperscript{125}}I-bsPAM4 antibody and a control, nontargeting \text{\textsuperscript{131}}I-bispecific RIT antibody. At various time points mice were necropsied, and the tumor and various tissues were removed and counted to determine the \%ID/g. The concentration of radiolabeled bsPAM4 within the tumor was significantly greater than bsRIT at all time points (Fig. 2A; range for \( P = 0.0320\)–0.0098). Our past experience with a bispecific antibody pretargeting system suggested that a blood level of <1\% ID/g was necessary to obtain high tumor:nontumor ratios of radiolabeled peptide (38, 49, 54). At 36 h after administration of the bsPAM4 there was 1.10 \pm 0.40\% ID/g in the blood (Fig. 2B), which fell to 0.56 \pm 0.08\% ID/g at 48 h postinjection. Concentration within the tumor at these time points was 6.43 \pm 1.50\% ID/g and 5.37 \pm 2.38\% ID/g, respectively. These values were significantly higher than the control bsRIT, which had 0.65 \pm 0.33\% ID/g and 0.47 \pm 0.19\% ID/g at 36 and 48 h (\( P = 0.0180 \) and \( P = 0.0098 \), respectively). Blood clearance rates (Fig. 2B) were not signifi-
cantly different. Several statistically significant differences in the concentration of bsPAM4 and bsRIT were observed for nontumor tissues; however, in absolute terms these differences were minor. For example, at 24 h after injection there was significantly greater uptake of bsPAM4 than of bsRIT in the spleen (0.66 \pm 0.06\% \text{versus} 0.52 \pm 0.02\%; \( P = 0.0412 \)), muscle (0.30 \pm 0.04 \text{versus} 0.19 \pm 0.02\%; \( P = 0.0169 \)), and blood (2.75 \pm 0.17\% \text{versus} 2.28 \pm 0.10\%; \( P = 0.0241 \)).

Biodistribution of Bispecific PAM4 Targeted Peptides. On the basis of the biodistribution profile of the bsPAM4 antibody, pretargeting experiments were carried out in CaPan1 tumor-bearing mice (tumor volume \sim 0.3 \text{cm}^3 at the start of the study). Groups of mice were administered \text{\textsuperscript{125}}I-bsPAM4 followed 40 h later by radiolabeled peptide hapten. One set of mice received \text{\textsuperscript{99m}}Tc-labeled peptide, whereas a second set of mice received \text{\textsuperscript{111}}In-labeled peptide. Controls for nonspecific target-
ing included two groups of mice that each received \text{\textsuperscript{125}}I-bsRIT before administration of either \text{\textsuperscript{111}}In- or \text{\textsuperscript{99m}}Tc-labeled peptide and two other groups that received \text{\textsuperscript{111}}In- or \text{\textsuperscript{99m}}Tc-labeled peptide alone.

Fig. 2 Biodistribution of bispecific PAM4 (bsPAM4) antibody in tumor-bearing mice. Athymic nude mice bearing a human pancreatic cancer xenograft (CaPan1) were coinjected with \text{\textsuperscript{125}}I-bsPAM4 and control, nontargeting \text{\textsuperscript{131}}I-bispecific RIT (bsRIT). A, tumor uptake of radio-
labeled bsPAM4 antibody. B, blood clearance of the bsPAM4 antibodies from these same mice. \( \bullet \), \text{\textsuperscript{125}}I-bs-
PAM4; \( \bigcirc \), \text{\textsuperscript{131}}I-bsRIT; bars, \pm SD.
tumor (0.2 ± 0.05% ID/g and 0.1 ± 0.03% ID/g for 99mTc-IMP-192 and 111In-IMP-156; P < 0.0004 and P < 0.0001, respectively, in comparison to mice that had received pretargeted bsPAM4).

Table 1 presents the tumor:nontumor ratios for directly labeled bsPAM4 and each of the pretargeted radiolabeled peptides at an early time after administration of radiolabeled reagent. Overall, the pretargeted peptides gave significantly greater tumor:nontumor ratios for each of the tissues examined (range for P values: 0.0193 to <0.0001) than was observed for the direct-labeled bsPAM4 F(ab')2. Tumor:blood ratios were 2:1 for bsPAM4 F(ab')2. However, pretargeted 111In-peptide and 99mTc-peptide provided significantly greater tumor:blood ratios equal to 36:1 and 9:1 (P < 0.0001 and 0.008, respectively, when compared with direct-labeled bsPAM4 F(ab')2).

Similar results were observed at the 24-h postinjection time point (Fig. 3). A significantly greater concentration of bsPAM4 was noted in the tumor than was observed for the control bsRIT (6.4 ± 2.2% ID/g versus 0.2 ± 0.09% ID/g, respectively; P < 0.0001). This translated into higher concentrations of the radioisotope, 11.1 ± 3.5% ID/g and 12.9 ± 4.2% ID/g for 111In- and 99mTc-labeled peptides in the tumors of mice pretargeted with bsPAM4 versus 0.5 ± 0.2% ID/g and 0.4 ± 0.03% ID/g in the tumors of mice that were given bsRIT (P < 0.0008 and P < 0.0002, respectively). Tumor uptake of radiolabeled peptides was significantly less when radiolabeled peptides were administered alone (99mTc-peptide, 0.06 ± 0.02% ID/g, P < 0.0007, and 111In-peptide, 0.09 ± 0.02% ID/g, P < 0.0002) than when compared with the pretargeted methodology. The tumor:nontumor ratios at 24 h are presented in Table 2 with 111In-labeled cPAM4 whole IgG included for comparison. Each of the pretargeted radiolabeled peptides gave significantly higher tumor:nontumor values for all of the tissues examined when compared with the 111In-cPAM4 IgG (range for P values, 0.048 to <0.0001). Tumor:blood ratios for the pretargeted 111In- and 99mTc-labeled peptides were significantly higher, 274:1 and 80:1, respectively, versus 4:1 for bsPAM4 F(ab')2 (P < 0.0002) and 2:1 for 111In-cPAM4 IgG (P < 0.0002).

**Nuclear Imaging Studies.** Athymic nude mice bearing CaPan1 tumor xenografts (~0.15 cm³ at the start of the study) were injected with 1.5 × 10^-10 mol of 125I-labeled bispecific (bs) monoclonal antibody (bsPAM4 or bsRIT). After 40 h, the mice were injected with radiolabeled peptide (111In-IMP-156 or 99mTc-IMP-192). Mice were necropsied at 40 h and at 24 h after administration of radiolabeled peptide (43 and 64 h after administration of bs monoclonal antibody), and the percentage of injected dose per gram of tissue within the tumors was determined.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Pretargeted 111In-peptide Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>Pretargeted 99mTc-peptide Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>125I-bsPAM4 F(ab')2 Mean (±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>36.1 (11.7)</td>
<td>16.7 (7.2)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>33.4 (20.6)</td>
<td>14.6 (9.1)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>7.8 (2.8)</td>
<td>8.1 (3.3)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>44.6 (13.0)</td>
<td>15.8 (5.9)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>36.5 (8.3)</td>
<td>9.9 (5.2)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone</td>
<td>123.2 (40.0)</td>
<td>ND (Nd)</td>
<td>ND (Nd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washed bone</td>
<td>378.0 (124.6)</td>
<td>ND (Nd)</td>
<td>ND (Nd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>155.6 (30.1)</td>
<td>73.3 (32.9)</td>
<td>4.7 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor (g)</td>
<td>0.189 (0.070)</td>
<td>0.174 (0.050)</td>
<td>0.179 (0.139)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor %ID/g</td>
<td>20.2 (5.5)</td>
<td>16.8 (4.8)</td>
<td>8.9 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*%ID/g, percentage of injected dose per gram of tissue.*
were administered the bsPAM4 followed by $^{111}$In-peptide to target and image the tumors. The control groups included one that received a similarly administered bsRIT followed by $^{111}$In-peptide, a group that received only the $^{111}$In-peptide, and a final group that was administered $^{111}$In-cPAM4 IgG. Whole-body clearance of $^{111}$In from mice administered the pretargeted radiolabeled peptide was rapid in comparison to those mice administered $^{111}$In-cPAM4 IgG (Fig. 4). Greater than 85% of the radiolabeled peptide was cleared within 4 h postinjection versus only 18% for the radiolabeled PAM4 IgG at this same time point. Whole-body activity fell to <1 μCi at 48 h for the pretargeted $^{111}$In peptide (0.73 ± 0.43 μCi), whereas even at 7 days, there was >1 μCi still present in the mice injected with $^{111}$In-cPAM4 IgG (2.1 ± 1.8 μCi). This residual activity was detected in the abdominal region of the mice.

For those mice pretargeted with bsPAM4, tumors could be visualized at the earliest time points of 0.5 and 4 h, but clearance of unbound peptide was prominent within the kidneys and bladder. Continued imaging of the bsPAM4 pretargeted group at 24–168 h demonstrated long-term retention of the radiolabeled peptide within the tumor, with minimal background activity. A comparison of the images taken at 48 h after administration of radiolabeled agent demonstrated clear, specific tumor imaging for the group of mice given bsPAM4 as a pretargeting agent (Fig. 5). Although tumor is evident in 1 of the mice injected with $^{111}$In-cPAM4 IgG, high background activity in the thoracic and abdominal regions can be seen in both animals. None of the mice pretargeted with control bsRIT or injected with radiolabeled peptide alone showed evidence of targeting to tumor.

### DISCUSSION

Radiolabeled antibodies have been used for imaging numerous malignancies, including hematological (23–26), colorectal (27–29), ovarian (33, 34), and breast (36) tumors. This technology may also prove useful for pancreatic cancer. However, other than the application of anticarcinoembryonic antigen (66) and B72.3/CC49 antibodies (67, 68), little clinical information exists. Our results with radiolabeled murine PAM4 were promising, because a majority of the lesions were targeted in all of the patients without appreciable uptake in normal tissues.

#### Table 2  Tumor:nontumor ratios based on the biodistribution of pretargeted peptides or antibody fragments at 24 h postadministration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Pretargeted $^{111}$In-peptide Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>Pretargeted $^{99m}$Tc-peptide Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>$^{125}$I-bsPAM4 F(ab’)$_2$ Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>$^{111}$In-cPAM4 IgG Mean (±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>26.3 (10.4)</td>
<td>24.3 (5.5)</td>
<td>16.9 (1.7)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>33.1 (14.0)</td>
<td>24.2 (10.0)</td>
<td>15.8 (2.8)</td>
<td>3.8 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>8.4 (1.7)</td>
<td>16.2 (5.2)</td>
<td>8.6 (1.2)</td>
<td>5.9 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>101.1 (37.9)</td>
<td>52.5 (17.7)</td>
<td>8.1 (0.4)</td>
<td>5.6 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>274.1 (89.6)</td>
<td>80.8 (20.4)</td>
<td>3.8 (0.5)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone</td>
<td>144.2 (23.8)</td>
<td>ND (ND)</td>
<td>ND (ND)</td>
<td>13.2 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washed bone</td>
<td>256.2 (59.9)</td>
<td>ND (ND)</td>
<td>ND (ND)</td>
<td>25.1 (14.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>244.6 (74.5)</td>
<td>873.8 (305.7)</td>
<td>18.0 (3.0)</td>
<td>18.6 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor (g)</td>
<td>0.192 (0.079)</td>
<td>0.235 (0.139)</td>
<td>0.131 (0.064)</td>
<td>0.256 (0.097)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor %ID/g$^a$</td>
<td>11.1 (3.5)</td>
<td>12.9 (4.2)</td>
<td>10.4 (2.1)</td>
<td>22.8 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*%ID/g, percentage of injected dose per gram of tissue.*

---

**Fig. 4** Whole body clearance of bispecific PAM4 (bsPAM4)-targeted $^{111}$In-IMP-156 peptide versus $^{111}$In-1,4,7,10-tetraazaclodocane-$N,N',N''$ -tetraacetic acid-cPAM4 whole IgG. Athymic nude mice bearing s.c. CaPan1 tumor xenografts were either pretargeted with bsPAM4 for 40 h before the administration of $^{111}$In-labeled peptide or were injected with $^{111}$In-labeled cPAM4 IgG. At various time points the mice were placed in a dose calibrator and total activity determined. ○, $^{111}$In-cPAM4 IgG; ●, bsPAM4-pretargeted $^{111}$In-peptide; bars, ±SD.
Radioimmunoscintigraphy of solid tumors has been hampered by the low, heterogeneous uptake of the antibodies in target tumors and by the long serum half-life of the labeled antibodies (74). Thus, signal:noise ratios are low for image processing. Reduction of undesirably high background radioactivity has been approached in several ways. One method has been to reduce the molecular size of the MAb, first by removing the Fc fragment to generate F(ab')2, and also, further reduction to Fab' or Fab species. MAb fragments are cleared more rapidly from the blood, but they also present lower uptake within tumor and higher activity within the kidney (75). Even smaller targeting moieties, such as single chain antibodies (scFv), have been made, but absolute tumor uptake is reduced still further from that observed with whole IgG targeting agents (76). The smaller molecules are better able to penetrate tumors, providing a more uniform distribution (41, 77); nevertheless, it is the concentration of radioisotope within the tumor, as well as signal:noise ratio, that are important for detection of small volume disease.

An alternate approach involves pretargeting methodologies. Two antibody-based pretargeting approaches have been reported, one involving the use of an avidin or streptavidin-biotin targeting system and the other a bsMAb approach. In each system, the primary targeting MAb carries a secondary recognition moiety, which itself is targeted later with a radiolabeled hapten (e.g., biotinylated chelate, chelate alone, or a small peptide-conjugated chelate). The small molecular size of the hapten makes it ideally suited for rapid tumor penetration (77), and if designed properly, it should clear from the body rapidly without significant residual uptake in nontargeted tissues.

In this report, our aim was to determine the feasibility of a pretargeted PAM4-based bsPAM4 radioimmunoscintigraphic system for imaging of pancreatic cancer. At 3 h after administration of radiolabeled peptide, >16% ID/g was observed in the tumor for 111In- and 99mTc-labeled peptides. The high concentration of radioisotope within the tumor is an important factor for detection of small-volume disease. Both of the pretargeted peptides gave significantly higher tumor:nontumor ratios than was achieved with directly radiolabeled PAM4 F(ab')2 or PAM4 whole IgG. Even 24 h after peptide administration, we observed >10% ID/g of radiolabeled peptide in the tumors. The lowest tumor:nontumor ratios observed were for the kidney, although the ratios were still quite high at ~8:1. This was not unexpected, because the small peptide haptons are cleared primarily through the kidneys. Whereas not addressed in this study, the administration of certain amino acids (e.g., D-lysine) before injection of radiolabeled peptide can inhibit retention of radioactivity in the kidneys without altering tumor uptake (78, 79). This could be of particular importance if the bsPAM4 pretargeting system is to be considered as a therapeutic for pancreatic cancer.

To demonstrate the utility of the pretargeted bsPAM4 system for imaging, radioimmunoscintigraphy was performed in mice bearing human pancreatic tumor xenografts. Imaging data confirmed the rapid tumor uptake of radiolabeled peptide with tumors visible as early as 30 min after injection. On the other hand, mice that were given 111In-labeled PAM4 whole IgG had sufficient activity in the blood such that tumors were obscured until later time points. Likewise, Boerman et al. (80), using a similar pretargeting system, showed rapid tumor targeting of a renal carcinoma xenograft with clear identification of tumors by 4 h after administration of radiolabeled peptide. By 48 h, very little background radiation was present. Le Doussal et al. (81) obtained similar results with their bsMAb for targeting melanoma. Both studies demonstrated that the pretargeted bsMAb approach was superior to administration of a directly radiolabeled whole IgG.

It is important to note that as a platform technology, the peptide hapten can be adapted to other imaging methodologies, as for example the use of positron emitters for PET imaging. This technology can provide greater sensitivity when compared with γ-emitting detection systems, but, as it is most frequently performed, using 15F-fluorodeoxyglucose, the hypermetabolic...
activity of cancer tissue is the underlying basis for discrimination between malignant and benign/normal tissue (82). Based solely on metabolic activity, there is the potential for inflammatory processes to yield false positive results. On the other hand, antibody-based PET imaging agents may provide specificity for improved discrimination of tumor and nontumor tissues. The feasibility of this approach has been demonstrated by use of a bispecific anti-MUC1/anti-Ga chelate antibody in a pretargeting system with 68Ga-radiolabeled hapten for immuno-PET imaging of breast cancer (83, 84). In preclinical biodistribution studies, tumor:nontumor ratios were reported to be higher for the pretargeted methodology as compared with the directly labeled whole IgG or its fragments. Tumors were clearly visualized by PET imaging at 1 h after injection of radiolabeled hapten. An initial clinical study confirmed these results, with detection of tumors as small as 10 mm in size, and with tumor:normal breast ratios of as little as 2 (84). These reports, along with the results presented here, provide the rationale for pursuit of bsPAM4 pretargeting for PET imaging of pancreatic cancer.

Another important finding in this study was the extended retention of radiolabeled peptide within the tumor over the 7-day study period. The high tumor:nontumor ratios, coupled with the high concentration of radiolabeled hapten within the tumor, suggest that this technology may also have therapeutic applications. Although we have used 111In as the imaging agent, substitution with 90Y may provide significant therapeutic effects. We reported previously cures of large (1 cm3) xenografted pancreatic tumors by radioimmunotherapy with 90Y-PAM4 whole IgG (52). We have also reported studies on the use of gemcitabine combined with 99mTc-PAM4 whole IgG radioimmunotherapy (53). Whereas gemcitabine given alone had no antitumor effect in the large tumors examined, addition of a low-dose of 99mTc-PAM4 (~10% of the maximum tolerated dose) to a standard regimen of gemcitabine enhanced the antitumor effect significantly. Hematological toxicity is, of course, an issue for gemcitabine, as well as for directly radiolabeled whole IgG. However, the data presented in the current studies suggest that a pretargeted bsPAM4 approach may provide substantially less hematological toxicity as compared with use of directly labeled whole IgG. Due to the rapid clearance of the radiolabeled hapten in a pretargeting regimen, the dose-limiting organ is more likely to be the kidney, although, even for this organ, the data suggest that there may be minimal toxicity (2.7 + 0.4%ID/g at 3 h and 1.3 + 0.2%ID/g at 24 h for 111In-labeled peptide hapten). The combined use of gemcitabine and pretargeted radioimmunotherapy treatment modalities, having non-overlapping toxicities, may provide superior antitumor effects, with a better toxicity profile than was observed for the gemcitabine and PAM4-IgG radioimmunotherapy treatment. These studies are in progress.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the feasibility of using the pretargeted, bispecific antibody technology for nuclear imaging of pancreatic cancer. The advantage of the pretargeted bsPAM4 antibody as an imaging platform is the achievement of very high tumor:nontumor ratios early after injection of the radiolabeled hapten. Use of the MUC1-reactive PAM4 antibody should result in a high specificity for pancreatic cancer as compared with the physicochemical parameters identified by current imaging technologies. At the present time, we envision that this imaging technology might be useful as part of a paradigm for initial diagnosis and imaging of patients suspected of pancreatic cancer, as well as a sensitive method for determining extent of disease. Prospective clinical trials are required to test this thesis.
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