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Seattle Genetics, Inc., Bothell, Washington

INTRODUCTION

More than 270,000 people in the United States suffer from non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma. In 2001, an estimated 56,200 new cases were diagnosed, and 26,300 United States deaths were attributed to the disease (American Cancer Society Facts and Figures, 2001). Non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma is frequently treated with conventional chemotherapies, such as cyclophosphamide, fludarabine, or chlorambucil, or combination therapies, such as cyclophosphamide, vincristine, and prednisolone or cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin (Dox), vincristine, and prednisolone (1). Increasingly, treatment includes rituximab (Rituxan), a chimeric monoclonal antibody (mAb) targeting the CD20 cell surface antigen. Rituximab affects antitumor activity primarily via apoptosis induction (2), complement-mediated cytotoxicity (3–5), and antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (6–8). Rituximab was approved by the Food and Drug Administration for treatment of relapsed or refractory follicular lymphoma (9). Patients treated with weekly infusions of rituximab showed a 48% response rate (6% complete responses, 42% partial responses; ref. 10), with a median response duration of about 12 months (11, 12).

The efficacy of anti-CD20 mAbs has been improved in two ways. First, two anti-CD20 radioisotope conjugates approved for clinical use (13–15) offer improved efficacy over unmodified forms of anti-CD20 (16) yet are difficult to produce, are unstable, and may be associated with undesirable myelosuppression (17). Secondly, efficacy can be improved by combination with standard cytotoxic therapies (18). In intermediate-grade non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, rituximab in combination with cyclophosphamide, Dox, vincristine, and prednisolone chemotherapy increased complete response rate from 60 to 75% and prolonged 1-year event-free survival from 49 to 69% and increased overall survival from 68 to 83% as compared with cyclophosphamide, Dox, vincristine, and prednisolone alone (19). Despite advances, a significant number of patients relapse or are refractive to these interventions.

An effective approach to enhancing the antitumor activity of mAbs is linkage to cytotoxic drugs or toxins. Antibody-drug conjugates can deliver cell-killing agents to cells via mAbs provided they internalize upon antigen binding. Gemtuzumab ozogamicin (Mylotarg, Wyeth, Collegeville, PA), an anti-CD33 mAb-calicheamicin conjugate for treating refractory acute promyelocytic leukemia, was the first Food and Drug Administration-approved antibody-drug conjugate (20). Antibody-drug conjugates of anti-CD20 have been evaluated and generally found to be ineffective. Anti-CD20 Dox conjugates (21), and more recently a rituximab-liposomal Dox (22) and anti-CD20 ricin A-chain conjugate, were not effective against CD20 positive cells (23). These results were partially attributed to differences in CD20 trafficking because other conjugates could induce modulation and internalization of mAb/antigen com-
plexes, whereas a comparable effect was not observed with anti-CD20 (24).

CD20 undergoes receptor recycling (25), and after surface binding, the anti-CD20 mAb 1F5 accumulated proximal to the Golgi apparatus in lymphoma cells (25). It was thus unknown whether more potent drugs or those with different mechanism of action or mAb linkage chemistry could produce an effective anti-CD20–based antibody-drug conjugate. We constructed two anti-CD20–antibody-drug conjugates incorporating auristatin E-based cytotoxics via peptide linkage. The auristatins are synthetic antitumor agents related to the marine natural product dolastatin 10 and ~200 times more potent than Dox (26). They act by inhibiting polymerization of tubulin and hence prevent formation of the mitotic apparatus (27). A dipeptide linkage cleavable by lysosomal proteases within antigen-positive cells has recently been applied to link auristatin E-based drugs to mAbs (26). This novel drug linkage applied to anti-CD30 mAbs produced antibody-drug conjugates with potent and highly selective activity against CD30-positive tumors (28), prompting its application to anti-CD20 mAbs. Two anti-CD20 mAbs, rituximab and 1F5, were conjugated to monomethyl auristatin E (MMAE) through a cathepsin B-cleavable valine-citrulline (vc) dipeptide linkage. We report here that anti-CD20 mAbs coupled via lysosomally cleavable linkers to the highly potent cytotoxic drug MMAE effectively and specifically kill CD20-positive tumor cells in vitro and have significant antitumor activity in xenograft models of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and Reagents. Rituximab (chimeric anti-CD20 Rituxan, IDEC Pharmaceuticals, San Diego, CA, and Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, CA) was obtained from RX USA (Jamaica, NY). mAb 1F5, an IgG2a against CD20 was reported previously (29). The hybridoma was grown in RPMI 1640 (Life Technologies, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (complete medium). Antibody was purified from culture supernatants by protein A chromatography. Ramos, Raji, and Daudi Burkitt’s lymphoma cell lines were from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA), and the ALC line, Karpas 299 was from the DSMZ (Braunschweig, Germany). All cell lines used were determined by mycoplasma free by PCR. Goat antimouse IgG FITC and goat antihuman IgG FITC were from Jackson Immunoresearch (West Grove, PA).

Drug Synthesis. The synthesis of MMAE and the activated vc linker used in both the auristatin and Dox syntheses was as described previously (26). The synthesis of Dox with the vc linker has been described previously (30).

Antibody-Drug Conjugate Preparation. Antibody-drug conjugates were prepared as described previously (26, 28). Briefly, the antibody interchain disulfides were first reduced with excess dithiothreitol. Resulting hinge-region thiols were alkylated with drug-linker (vcMMAE or vcDox) in 10% molar excess. Reactions were quenched with excess cysteine, purified by desalting over Sephadex G25 resin, sterile filtered, and stored frozen.

The concentration of antibody-drug conjugates was determined by UV absorbance. The drug/Ab ratios were determined either by UV absorbance (Dox conjugates) or by determining the number of residual thiols with 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (MMAE conjugates). The degree of aggregation, determined by size exclusion chromatography, for all antibody-drug conjugates used in this study was <3%. The level of free drug in all antibody-drug conjugate, determined by reverse phase high-performance liquid chromatography, was <1%. Drug/Ab ratios for both chimeric and murine antibody-drug conjugate preparations ranged from 7.0 to 7.5 for the vcMMAE conjugates and 6.0 to 6.5 for the Dox conjugates.

Flow Cytometry. To evaluate CD20 expression on cell lines, 1 × 10⁶ cells were combined with 10 μg/mL rituximab in ice-cold PBS (staining medium) for 30 minutes on ice, and washed twice with ice cold staining media to remove unbound mAb. Cells were stained with secondary goat antihuman IgG-FITC, at saturation (10 μg/mL) in ice-cold staining medium, incubated 30 minutes on ice, and washed as above. Labeled cells were examined by flow cytometry on a Becton Dickinson FACScan flow cytometer gated to exclude nonviable cells and analyzed using Winlist 4.0 software (Verity Software House, Topsham, ME). Background-corrected mean fluorescence intensity was determined for each cell type.

To evaluate cell binding of mAb and antibody-drug conjugate, 1 × 10⁶ Raji cells were combined with serial dilutions of mAb 1F5, rituximab, or their antibody-drug conjugates in ice-cold staining media for 30 minutes on ice and washed twice with ice-cold staining medium. Cells were then incubated with goat anti-mouse IgG FITC (for 1F5 and 1F5 antibody-drug conjugate) or goat anti-human IgG FITC (for rituximab or rituximab–antibody-drug conjugate) at 10 μg/mL on ice for 30 minutes and washed as described above. Labeled cells were analyzed by flow cytometry as above.

CD20 Expression Level. Quantitation of cell surface CD20 was determined with DAKO QiFiKit flow cytometric indirect immunofluorescence assay (DAKO A/S, Glostrup, Denmark).

Growth Inhibition Assays. Cytotoxicity was measured by Alamar Blue (Biosource International, Camarillo, CA) dye reduction assay (31). Briefly, Alamar Blue (40%) in complete media was freshly prepared before assay. After 92 hours of drug exposure, Alamar Blue solution was added to cells to 10% culture volume. Cells were incubated for 4 hours, and dye reduction was measured on a Fusion HT fluorescent plate reader (Packard Instruments, Meriden, CT) with excitation at 540 nm and emission at 590 nm. IC₅₀ was defined as the concentration resulting in a 50% reduction in growth or viability as compared with untreated control cultures.

Growth Arrest and Apoptosis. Apoptosis and cell death were assessed by Annexin V binding to the cell surface and propidium iodide (PI) exclusion with the Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection kit (BD PharMingen, San Diego, CA). Annexin V binds phosphotidyl serine displayed on the outer cell membrane during the onset of apoptosis (32) and staining with the DNA intercalating dye PI, normally excluded from viable cells indicates loss of membrane integrity in dead or dying cells (33). For analysis of cell cycle position after drug exposure, cells were cultured in complete medium and agent and at the indicated times labeled with bromodeoxyuridine (10 μmol/L final; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) for 20 minutes. Nascent DNA synthesis was detected with anti-bromodeoxyuridine (BD Bio-
sciences, San Jose, CA), total DNA content was detected with PI, and analysis for cell cycle position and apoptosis was conducted by flow cytometry on a FACScan as described previously (34).

**Microscopy for mAb/Antibody-Drug Conjugate Trafficking.** Ramos cells suspended at $5 \times 10^5$ cells per mL were treated with rituximab, rituximab-vcMMAE, or rituximab-vcDox at 5 $\mu$g/mL in complete medium. After a 4-hour incubation at 37°C with 5% CO$_2$, the cells were washed once in 1× PBS (pH 7.4) to remove unbound antibodies. Cells were fixed and permeabilized with paraformaldehyde/saponin as provided in the Cytofix/Cytoperm kit (BD Biosciences) and then stained with antibodies according to manufacturer’s instruction. Rituximab and rituximab-antibody-drug conjugates were detected with an Alexa Fluor-568 conjugated mouse antihuman IgG (H+L) antibody with minimal cross-reactivity to mouse IgG (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). Subcellular compartments were visualized with mouse antibodies raised against clathrin heavy chain, caveolin-1, GM130, or Lamp-1/CD107a, (BD Biosciences), followed by an Alexa Fluor-488–conjugated goat antimouse IgG (H+L) antibody with minimal cross-reactivity to human IgG (Molecular Probes). After washes, nuclei were stained by resuspending cells in PBS containing 1 $\mu$g/mL 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Cells were mounted on poly-o-lysine–coated cover glass in a DABCO antifade medium containing 25 mg/mL 1,4-diazobicyclo-(2,2,2)-octane (Sigma) in a solution of 90% spectrophoto metric grade glycerol and 10% 1× PBS (35). Fluorescence images were acquired with a Leitz Orthoplan epi-fluorescence microscope fitted with a Nikon 990 digital camera.

In vivo Antitumor Activities of Anti-CD20 Antibody-Drug Conjugates.** Localized and disseminated xenograft models of human B-lymphoma were used to evaluate the efficacy of anti-CD20 antibody-drug conjugates. To model localized lymphoma, $5 \times 10^5$ Ramos Burkitt’s lymphoma cells were implanted s.c. into the right flank of C.B.-17 SCID mice (Harlan, Indianapolis, IN). Therapy was initiated when the mean tumor size in each group of five animals was $\sim 100$ mm$^3$. Treatment consisted of i.v. injections of mAb or antibody-drug conjugate every 4 days for three injections. Tumor size was determined using the formula ($L \times W^2$)/2. To model disseminated disease, $5 \times 10^5$ Ramos cells in 200 $\mu$L of PBS per mouse were injected i.v. into the tail veins of female C.B.-17 SCID mice. Therapy was started on day 9 after tumor cell injection. Treatment consisted of i.v. injections of mAb or antibody-drug conjugate into the tail veins every 4 days for three injections. Animals were observed for the development of hind limb paralysis; at that point, they were euthanized. Survival of animals posttumor injection was recorded. Kaplan-Meier statistical analysis was based on time to tumor quadrupling for the solid tumor model and differences in survival time for the disseminated disease model. All animal studies were conducted in accordance with Animal Care and Use Committee Guidelines.

**RESULTS**

**Preparation of Anti-CD20 Antibody-Drug Conjugates.** MMAE was prepared by replacing a protected form of monomethylvaline for valine in the synthesis of auristatin E (26). MMAE was then additionally modified with maleimidocaproyl-vc to result in vcMMAE, which contained a p-aminobenzylcarbamate spacer between the MMAE and the linker. Similarly, Dox was modified to result in vcDox containing a p-aminobenzylcarbamate spacer between Dox and the linker (30). The resulting structures of drug derivatives used in these studies are shown appended to mAb-SH in Fig. 1. Four antibody-drug conjugates, rituximab-vcMMAE, rituximab-vcDox, 1F5-vcMMAE, and an irrelevant IgG-vcMMAE, were synthesized to the drug/mAb ratios specified in Materials and Methods for this study.

The vc linkage exhibits high stability in blood and efficient release of fully active drug in the presence of human lysosomal cathepsin B (26). Subsequent to proteolytic cleavage, residual linker between the peptide and the drug undergoes fragmentation, leading to release of fully active MMAE (26) or Dox (30).

**Cell Characterization and Sensitivity to Unconjugated Drugs.** Human B-cell lymphoma lines, Daudi, Raji, and Ramos, and the ALCL line, Karpas 299, were evaluated by flow cytometry to assess their relative CD20 expression levels with rituximab followed by a goat anti-human IgG-FITC reagent. Background corrected mean fluorescence intensities for Daudi, Ramos, and Raji cells were 880, 400, and 650 units, respectively (Table 2). The ALCL line Karpas 299, used as an antigen-negative control, showed a mean fluorescence intensity of 3.5 units. Estimation of CD20 density on these cells was determined with a Dako QiFiKit indirect immunofluorescence flow cytometric assay (36). Using this, the density of CD20 were determined to be $3.7 \times 10^5$, $4.1 \times 10^5$, and $4.5 \times 10^5$ copies per cell for Ramos, Raji, and Daudi lines, respectively (Table 2). The negative control cell line Karpas 299 showed no signal above background with this method.

---
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**Fig 1** Structures of linker-drug systems used for mAb conjugation. The structures of vcMMAE and vcDox appended to mAb sulfhydryl groups are depicted. Antibody-drug conjugates were prepared by controlled partial reduction of internal mAb disulfides with dithiothreitol, followed by addition of the linker drugs as described in Materials and Methods. Stabile thioether-linked antibody-drug conjugates were formed upon addition of the free sulfhydryl groups on the mAbs to maleimides present on the drugs.
Binding of Anti-CD20 and Anti-CD20 Antibody-Drug Conjugates to CD20+ B Cells. To evaluate the binding characteristics of rituximab and corresponding antibody-drug conjugates, Raji cells were combined with increasing concentrations of either mAb or antibody-drug conjugates, incubated on ice to block antigen modulation, and washed to remove unbound material. Goat antihuman IgG-FITC was used to detect mAb or antibody-drug conjugate for rituximab and an irrelevant, isotype-matched chimeric IgG, whereas a goat antimouse IgG FITC for was used to detect the murine mAb 1F5 as described in Materials and Methods. Fig. 2 shows fluorescence intensity versus concentration for cells stained with rituximab alone or antibody-drug conjugates of Dox or MMAE. Conjugation of rituximab or either Dox or MMAE had minimal effect on the binding when compared with the parental, unconjugated mAb. Binding of Dox-containing antibody-drug conjugates was slightly more attenuated than that with MMAE (Fig. 2). Similar results were obtained with mAb 1F5 and respective antibody-drug conjugate of 1F5 (data not shown).

Cell Sensitivity to Unconjugated Drugs and Anti-CD20 Antibody-Drug Conjugates. Tumor cells were evaluated for sensitivity to free Dox and MMAE by exposing cells for 2 hours, followed by replating in fresh media and incubation for an additional 96 hours. At 4 hours before harvest, cells were incubated with Alamar Blue, a reducible dye that provides readout of cell viability (31). Table 1 shows the drug sensitivities in IC50 values of Daudi, Ramos, Raji, and Karpas 299 cells. MMAE IC50 values ranged from 48 to 300 times more potent than Dox against these cell lines. To evaluate the potency and selectivity of various antibody-drug conjugates, cells were incubated for 2 hours, washed to remove unbound antibody-drug conjugate, replated in fresh media, and incubated as above for cell viability assessment. Results from one representative experiment are shown in Fig. 3A. Rituximab-vcMMAE was highly cytotoxic to Ramos cells with resultant IC50 of 45 ng/mL. Neither rituximab-vcDox nor an irrelevant IgG-vcMMAE were growth inhibitory to these cells at the highest levels tested (50 µg/mL). Similar, albeit not as potent, results were obtained with the mAAb 1F5-vcMMAE against CD20-positive lines Daudi, Ramos, and Raji (Table 2). 1F5-vcDox antibody-drug conjugate was not effective (data not shown). CD20-negative Karpas 299 cells were not sensitive to any antibody-drug conjugate up to the maximum level tested (50 µg/mL; Table 2). To additionally demonstrate the selectivity and stability of the antibody-drug conjugate, cells were continuously exposed to rituximab-vcMMAE for 96 hours (Fig. 3B). Continuous exposure of CD20-positive Ramos cells to rituximab-vcMMAE produced in an IC50 of 45 ng/mL, whereas the IC50 for CD20-negative Karpas 299 cells treated in parallel remained >5000 ng/mL (Fig. 3B). IC50 values for each antibody-drug conjugate determined from at least three independent experiments and the relative expression of CD20 on these cells are summarized in Table 2. Thus, treatment with anti-CD20-vcMMAE resulted in antigen-dependent cytotoxicity not seen with the unmodified mAb alone or anti-CD20-vcDox.

Induction of Apoptosis by Rituximab-vcMMAE. To assess the mechanism of toxicity, Ramos cells were incubated with a high level (5 µg/mL) of rituximab, rituximab-vcMMAE, and rituximab-vcDox. At 1, 4, and 24 hours after exposure, cells were removed from cultures, and the degree of apoptosis and cell death were determined by surface Annexin V binding and loss of PI exclusion, respectively (33). The percentages of apoptotic cells (determined as Annexin V+/PI− events) and dead cells (Annexin V+/PI+ events) in cultures treated with rituximab, rituximab-vcMMAE, or rituximab-vcDox ranged from 1 to 5% apoptotic/3 to 5% dead at 1 or 4 hours post-treatment and were indistinguishable from untreated cells by these criteria (data not shown). At 24 hours post-exposure, values were unchanged for untreated cells or cells treated with rituximab or rituximab-vcDox (Fig. 4A). In contrast, cells treated with rituximab-vcMMAE were increased to 19% apoptotic and 60% dead.

To examine the cell cycle effects and apoptosis induced by rituximab antibody-drug conjugates, Ramos cells cultured under the conditions described above were labeled at 2, 8, and 24 hours posttreatment with bromodeoxyuridine for 20 minutes to detect nascent DNA synthesis and with PI to detect total DNA content and analyzed by flow cytometry. Dox appended to other antitumor agents can produce a potent G2 arrest in antigen-dependent cells (37). However, treatment with rituximab or rituximab-vcDox at 5 µg/mL showed no significant perturbation in cell cycle position or DNA fragmentation compared with untreated control cells, suggesting the free drug was not delivered.

Table 2. Sensitivity of cells to unconjugated drugs

| Cell line   | MMAE IC50 | Dox IC50 | Activity ratio
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daudi (CD20+)</td>
<td>4.6 ± 4.3</td>
<td>225 ± 35</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramos (CD20+)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>300 ± 140</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raji (CD20−)</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>190 ± 13</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karpas 299 (CD20−)</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.4</td>
<td>975 ± 50</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* IC50 values are in nmol/L and are expressed as the mean and SD of at least three independent experimental titrations, each performed in quadruplicate.
† Activity ratio is calculated by IC50Dox/IC50MMAE.
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...wells cultured in the presence of complete media alone. Alamar blue was added to culture wells 4 hours after treatment, and the discrete G2 population became highly diffuse with sub-G2 and sub-G1 DNA content consistent with apoptotic DNA fragmentation (Fig. 4B). These data suggest active MMAE was rapidly released and affected growth inhibition within 8 hours of exposure. Growth arrest and apoptotic cell death were not seen after exposure to equivalent concentrations of rituximab or rituximab-vcDox. Parallel studies done with an irrelevant IgG-vcMMAE showed cell cycle and apoptotic signatures indistinguishable from untreated (control) cells (data not shown).

Internalization of Rituximab Antibody-Drug Conjugates. Cell cycle effects suggested rituxan-vcMMAE delivered active MMAE to the cell interior within 8 hours of exposure (Fig. 4). To evaluate cell surface modulation of rituximab and its antibody-drug conjugates, Ramos cells were incubated for 20 minutes at 4°C with saturating levels (10 μg/mL) of either the mAb alone, rituximab-vcMMAE, or rituximab-vcDox. Cells were washed at 4°C to remove unbound material and either maintained on ice in the presence of NaN3 to block modulation or shifted to 37°C. At progressive time points, cells were stained with goat antihuman IgG-FITC and analyzed by flow cytometry to detect the level of remaining surface mAb or antibody-drug conjugate. Fig. 5A shows nominal change in surface levels of either rituximab or the two antibody-drug conjugate on cells kept at 4°C for the course of the 120 minutes study. Similarly, surface loading with rituximab remained relatively constant during this time on cells shifted to 37°C. In contrast, surface levels of rituximab-vcMMAE or rituximab-vcDox declined significantly in cells shifted to 37°C over the course of the assay. Within 2 hours, >50% and >70% of rituximab-vcMMAE and rituximab-vcDox, respectively, was lost from the cell surface, suggesting that antibody-drug conjugate was either shed from the surface to the media or preferentially internalized by the cells. Whereas saturation binding of antibody-drug conjugates are comparable with parent mAb (Fig. 2) and because CD20 is not known to be shed by B cells (11, 12), these data suggest active MMAE is rapidly released and affects growth inhibition within 8 hours of exposure.

Table 2  Sensitivity of cells to anti-CD20 drug conjugates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Rituximab-vcMMAE IC50 (μg/mL)</th>
<th>Rituximab-vcDox IC50 (μg/mL)</th>
<th>IF5-vcMMAE IC50 (μg/mL)</th>
<th>IgG-vcMMAE IC50 (μg/mL)</th>
<th>Flow cytometry results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MFI §</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daudi</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.75</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.87</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramos</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.002</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.008</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raji</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.31</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karpas 299</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* IC50 values are the mean and SE of at least three independent experimental titrations, each performed in quadruplicate.
† One μg/mL rituximab-vcMMAE carries 47.0 nmol/L of the drug-linker component vcMMAE, 1 μg/mL rituximab-vcDox carries 41.5 nmol/L vcDox.
‡ Nonbinding, rituximab–isotype-matched IgG.
§ Mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of cells stained with rituximab and a secondary (goat antihuman IgG)-FITC conjugate.

Fig. 3  Sensitivity of cells to antibody-drug conjugates. Cells in complete media were incubated with titrations of mAb or antibody-drug conjugates for 2 hours to allow binding, washed to remove unbound reagent, replated in fresh complete media, and returned to incubation for an additional 94 hours. Alamar blue was added to culture wells 4 hours before evaluation. Cell viability was assessed by detecting dye metabolism on a fluorescence plate reader and compared with that of control before evaluation. Cell viability was assessed by detecting dye metabolism on a fluorescence plate reader and compared with that of control...
38), the decline in surface bound rituximab-vcMMAE and rituximab-vcDox at 37°C as shown in Fig. 5A suggested an increased internalization of the antibody-drug conjugate-CD20 complexes.

To track trafficking and localization, Ramos cells were incubated with rituximab or antibody-drug conjugates for 4 hours at 37°C, fixed, and permeabilized to allow indirect fluorescence microscopy for the cellular localization. mAb and antibody-drug conjugates were detected with fluorochrome-labeled mouse antihuman IgG (H+L) as described in Material and Methods. Antibodies against the lysosomal marker Lamp-1/CD107a (39) and the Golgi marker GM130 (40) were used to examine potential co-localization of rituximab and/or rituximab antibody-drug conjugates to these organelles. The possibility that mAb or antibody-drug conjugates were endocytosed via clathrin-coated pits or lipid raft pathways was addressed with antibodies against the clathrin heavy chain or caveolin-1, respectively. Microscopic localization of fluorescence signals in representative cells are shown in Fig. 5B–D. Cells treated with rituximab showed predominantly diffuse surface staining (Fig. 5B, left column). Signals were well segregated from those of clathrin, caveolin-1, GM130, and Lamp-1 (Fig. 5B, center and right columns), suggesting minimal internalization of the rituximab-CD20 complexes. In contrast, cells incubated with rituximab-vcMMAE showed extensive patching and capping of the antibody-drug conjugate–CD20 complexes (Fig. 5C, left column), and intracellular staining of the rituximab-vcMMAE–CD20 complexes was apparent at this 4-hour time point. The antibody-drug conjugate–CD20 complexes co-localized with Lamp-1, the clathrin heavy chain, and caveolin-1 but not with GM130 (Fig. 5C, center and right columns), suggesting that rituximab-vcMMAE might be internalized via clathrin- and/or caveolin-mediated endocytosis and trafficked to the lysosomal compartment. Similarly, rituximab-vcDox also appeared to be internalized and trafficked to the lysosomal compartment (Fig. 5D). Neither binding nor internalization of the mAb or antibody-drug conjugates could be detected on CD20-negative Karpas 299 cells (data not shown).

**In vivo Efficacy of Rituximab-vcMMAE against B-Lymphoma Xenografts.** To examine the therapeutic potential of anti-CD20 drug conjugates, the in vivo activity of rituximab-vcMMAE was evaluated in localized and disseminated B-lymphoma xenografts.
nated tumor models of Ramos lymphoma cells in SCID mice. Relatively stringent conditions in which treatment was delayed until tumors were well established in mice for \( >1 \) week were used to differentiate the in vivo efficacies of mAb and antibody-drug conjugates. In a localized tumor model, SCID mice were implanted s.c. with \( 5 \times 10^6 \) Ramos cells into the right flank. The tumor size in each group of five animals was allowed to progress to a mean size of 100 mm\(^3\), \( \sim 11 \) days after implantation (Fig. 6A), before therapy was initiated. Treatment consisted of i.v. injections of mAb or antibody-drug conjugate every 4 days for three injections with 1 (data not shown) and 3 mg/kg injection. Tumors in the untreated, rituximab, rituximab-vcDox, control nonbinding clG-vcMMAE, or rituximab-vcMMAE at 1 mg/kg (data not shown) groups grew rapidly and reached an average of \( >800 \) mm\(^3\) by day 20. Animals treated with the maximum-tolerated dose of Dox (3 mg/kg) also showed no significant reduction in the rate of tumor progression compared with untreated animals (data not shown). Free MMAE admin-
treated animals showed significant differences in tumor volume quadrupling compared with other groups \( (P = 0.0027) \). A model disseminated lymphoma, SCID mice were injected through the tail vein with \( 5 \times 10^6 \) Ramos lymphoma cells. Tumors were allowed to establish and then treated with rituximab-vcMMAE or controls at 3 and 6 mg/kg starting on day 9 posttumor injection. Rituximab-vcMMAE treated animals showed significant survival advantage compared with untreated control groups \( (P = 0.0019) \).

**DISCUSSION**

Anti-CD20 mAbs can initiate B-cell lymphoma killing through multiple mechanisms, including apoptosis (2), complement-mediated lysis (3–5), and FcR-mediated antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity and phagocytosis (6, 7). Efficacy has been improved by conjugation to radionuclides, as with Zevalin (13, 41) and Bexxar (17), or in combination with cytotoxic therapies (18). However, these improvements are not curative, and resistance or relapse after treatment remains significant in CD20-positive disease. Anti-CD20 mAbs linked to cytotoxics (21), plant toxins (23), or to immunoliposomes containing Dox (22) were ineffectual avenues for drug delivery to the cell interior. Surprisingly, anti-CD20 conjugated to the antimitotic MMAE has showed significant antitumor activity. CD20 is displayed at moderate levels, 0.2 to \( 4 \times 10^5 \) copies/cell on malignant B cells (24), and is internalized and redistributed to the surface by the process of receptor-mediated endocytosis (42). This modulation is altered by anti-CD20 binding (24, 42), resulting in CD20 redistribution into rafts or membrane compartments on the cell surface (43, 44).

Rituximab alone is weakly proapoptotic (6%) on Ramos B cells at 10 \( \mu \)g/mL, the activity nominally enhanced by mAb homodimers (45). Rituximab-vcMMAE selectively induced apoptosis, with IC\(_{50}\) of 40 ng/mL on Ramos cells (Fig. 3). Target cells growth arrested in G\(_2\)-M phase within 8 hours and were apoptotic within 24 hours of exposure to rituximab-vcMMAE (Fig. 4), suggesting efficient internalization and drug release. The MMAE-related dolastatin 10 and auristatin PE induce apoptosis within 24 hours of exposure to rituximab-vcMMAE (Fig. 4), suggesting efficient internalization and drug release. The MMAE-related dolastatin 10 and auristatin PE induce growth arrest and apoptosis by interference with microtubule assembly (27) and the cytotoxicity of rituximab-vcMMAE is likely related.

Nonbinding antibody-drug conjugates showed little effect on cell cycle or toxicity after 4 days of exposure, indicating high linkage stability and drug attenuation outside the cell (Figs. 3 and 4B). The lysosomally cleaved vc linker offers a significant linkage stability and drug attenuation outside the cell (Figs. 3 and 4A). In a model of disseminated B-cell lymphoma in SCID mice, disease was induced by i.v. injection of \( 5 \times 10^6 \) Ramos cells. Treatment with mAb, antibody-drug conjugates, or free MMAE was delayed for 9 days after tumor implantation. Survival in the untreated group or groups receiving either rituximab or control clgG-vcMMAE at 6 mg/kg or the molar equivalent of free drug sharply declined 20 days after tumor implantation (Fig. 6B), and no surviving animals remained in these groups 40 days posttumor injection. In contrast, survival in the group treated with 6 mg/kg rituximab-vcMMAE was 60% on day 55 posttumor injection and remained at 40% at the close of study on day 100. Similarly, survival in the group treated with 3 mg/kg rituximab-vcMMAE was 40% on day 45 posttumor injection, which was maintained for an additional 45 days. These selective and statistically significant results \( (P = 0.0019) \) correlated well with in vitro data. No overt toxicity was observed in animals treated with the therapeutic doses of mAb or antibody-drug conjugates described above. We have previously shown that a similarly constructed clgG-vcMMAE was well tolerated at 30 mg/kg and determined the maximum-tolerated dose to be \( \sim 40 \) mg/kg (28).
(3.7 × 10⁵ copies/cell), are the most sensitive to the antibody-drug conjugate (Table 1), suggesting that expression, receptor internalization, trafficking, and drug release are important variables to antibody-drug conjugate potency. The avidity and internalization of IF5 antibody-drug conjugate may be differ compared with rituximab-vcMMAE or the peptide linkage in the former may be less accessible to proteolysis and drug release than rituximab-vcMMAE.

Both -vcDox and -vcMMAE antibody-drug conjugates were modulated from the cell surface at increased rates compared with rituximab alone (Fig. 5), and both -vcDox and the -vcMMAE antibody-drug conjugates capped and subsequently co-localized with lysosomal Lamp-1 protein. CD20 is associated with caveolin-rich lipid rafts (43, 44), yet the antibody-drug conjugate might redirect CD20 molecules to clathrin-coated pits or more efficient trafficking to the lysosome; thus, both clathrin- and/or caveolin-associated endocytosis are potential pathways for antibody-drug conjugate to enter the lysosome. The effects of the dipeptide linker (Fig. 1) on patching, capping, and internalization of antibody-drug conjugate is being evaluated.

Interestingly, trafficking of rituximab-vcDox to the lysosomal compartment did not result in cytotoxicity. Although IC₅₀ of the free drugs differ by ~200-fold (Table 2), the IC₅₀ for the respective antibody-drug conjugate differ by >1000-fold (Table 2), suggesting a delivery advantage of MMAE-based antibody-drug conjugates. At 7.5 vcMMAE molecules per mAb molecule, the amount of free drug delivered by antibody-drug conjugate to Ramos cells at their IC₅₀ (40 ng/mL rituximab-vcMMAE) is 2 nmol/L. This is in close agreement with the IC₅₀ of free MMAE (1.5 nmol/L) and suggests efficient drug delivery. In contrast, the highest concentration of rituximab-vcDox tested (50 µg/mL) could potentially deliver 2 µmol/L Dox. This is ~5-fold higher than the IC₅₀ value (300 nmol/L) of free Dox, yet the antibody-drug conjugate was nominally cytotoxic to Ramos cells. Dox released from rituximab-vcDox might be trapped in the acidic environment of the lysosomes (46), attenuating the activity of rituximab-vcDox, or may require proteosome-mediated transport to the nucleus for maximum effect (47). Alternatively, given the role of tubulin in intracellular vesicular transport, the potential exists for tubulin-disrupting agents such as MMAE to alter trafficking upon entry into the cytoplasm (48, 49).

Rituximab-vcMMAE was efficacious in two stringent xenograft models of CD20-positive lymphoma. Rituximab alone has in vivo antitumor activity if co-administered with the lymphoma cell implant and dosed at ≥10 mg/kg (6). However, treatment of established tumors with rituximab administered at 10 mg/kg showed only marginal antitumor activity (22, 50). Shown herein, 3 or 6 mg/kg rituximab alone were not effective against established tumors, whereas equivalent doses of rituximab-vcMMAE showed significant antitumor activity and survival advantage for the hosts (Fig. 6). No drug-related toxicities were noted at these levels. We have previously treated mice with up to 30 mg/kg IgG-vcMMAE (26, 28) with no overt toxicities. Single-dose maximum-tolerated dose for IgG-vcMMAE was determined to be 40 mg/kg (28). Toxicity does not appear to be cumulative because 12 consecutive doses of IgG-vcMMAE administered to mice at 10 mg/kg produced no detectable side effects (51). Taken together, these suggest that a considerable therapeutic window may exist for anti-CD20-vcMMAE. The limited number of CD20-positive cell lines tested here suggests that antibody-drug conjugates of anti-CD20-vcMMAE are effective against tumor lines displaying this marker.

The highly potent and stable drug linker system vcMMAE, coupled with anti-CD20 mAbs such as rituximab and IF5, may comprise an effective new cytotoxic drug delivery system, providing a new tool with which to efficiently target CD20-positive disease. It will be of great interest to determine whether this drug/linkage technology could expand the therapeutic potentials of other tumor-selective mAbs that do not efficiently internalize upon antigen binding. Additional CD20-positive cell lines and animal models will better establish the significance of these findings and their potential for the treatment of rituximab-resistant CD20⁺ lymphomas.
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