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Abstract

Purpose: Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) are characterized by expressing a gain-of-function mutation in KIT, and to a lesser extent, PDGFR. Imatinib mesylate, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, has activity against GISTs that contain oncogenic mutations of KIT. In this study, KIT and PDGFRα mutation status was analyzed and protein modeling approaches were used to assess the potential effect of KIT mutations in response to imatinib therapy.

Experimental Design: Genomic DNA was isolated from GIST tumors. Exons 9, 11, 13, and 17 of c-KIT and exons 12, 14, and 18 of PDGFRα were evaluated for oncogenic mutations. Protein modeling was used to assess mutations within the juxtamembrane region and the kinase domain of KIT.

Results: Mutations in KIT exons 9, 11, and 13 were identified in GISTs with the majority of changes involving the juxtamembrane region of KIT. Molecular modeling indicates that mutations in this region result in disruption of the KIT autoinhibited conformation, and lead to gain-of-function activation of the kinase. Furthermore, a novel germline mutation in KIT was identified that is associated with an autosomal dominant predisposition to the development of GIST.

Conclusions: We have used protein modeling and structural analyses to elucidate why patients with GIST tumors containing exon 11 mutations are the most responsive to imatinib mesylate treatment. Importantly, mutations detected in this exon and others displayed constitutive activation of KIT. Furthermore, we have found tumors that are both KIT and PDGFRα mutation negative, suggesting that additional, yet unidentified, abnormalities may contribute to GIST tumorigenesis.

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) are the most common mesenchymal tumors of the digestive tract. Mazur and Clark (1) originally described these tumors in 1983, noting they contained smooth muscle and neural elements. Prior to this, GIST tumors were often classified as leiomyosarcomas or leiomyoblastomas. They are believed to arise from the interstitial cells of Cajal (2), the pacemaker cells of the gut, or from interstitial mesenchymal precursor stem cells (3) that also have the potential of giving rise to cells in the omentum and peritoneal surfaces. More recently, it has been recognized that these tumors express KIT also known as CD117 (4).

KIT, a 145-kDa transmembrane glycoprotein, is the normal cellular homologue of the viral oncoprotein v-Kit (5, 6). It is a member of the receptor tyrosine kinase subclass III family that includes receptors for platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), macrophage-colony stimulating factor, and flt3 (7–11). These kinases have an extracellular domain containing five immunoglobulin-like domains, a single transmembrane domain, and a cytoplasmic domain containing a split kinase domain and hydrophilic kinase insert sequence (12). The juxtamembrane and kinase domains of these receptors are strongly conserved (13). KIT is normally expressed by hematopoietic progenitor cells, mast cells, germ cells, interstitial cells of Cajal, and also by certain human tumors (14–18). Studies of KIT in mouse models have shown that the protein is essential for hematopoiesis, melanogenesis, gametogenesis, mast cell growth, and the differentiation and development of interstitial cells of Cajal (19–22).

The reclassification of GIST based on the expression of KIT was complemented by the understanding that in the majority of these tumors the KIT gene is mutated and constitutively activated, leading to disregulated growth (23). A minority of GISTs have a constitutively activated PDGF receptor α (PDGFRα) as the disregulated growth initiator rather than KIT (24, 25).

The treatment of GIST has been revolutionized by the development of imatinib mesylate, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor.
with specificity against ABL, BCR-ABL, KIT, and PDGFR (26–28). Therapy with imatinib mesylate leads to prolonged stable disease and response in greater than 80% of patients with metastatic/recurrent GIST (29). Our understanding of the frequency of KIT and PDGFRα mutations in GIST and their therapeutic prognostic value is evolving. Data to date suggest that metastatic GIST tumors with KIT mutations in exon 11 have the highest response rate and survival (30).

In this article, we report the identification of new KIT mutations in sporadic GISTs. We also describe a novel germline mutation in KIT that is associated with an autosomal dominant predisposition to the development of GISTs. We have analyzed our data and others' and have illustrated a high frequency of mutations that cluster in the juxtamembrane region of KIT. Through protein structural analyses and molecular modeling, we hypothesize that these mutations lead to dissociation of the juxtamembrane segment from its autoinhibitory conformation and thus to constitutive activation and tumorigenesis. Imatinib mesylate binds to activated KIT, and hence KIT with mutations in the juxtamembrane region are more susceptible than wild-type to imatinib mesylate therapy.

Materials and Methods

Clinical gastrointestinal stromal tumor samples. Tumor specimens used in this study were collected following NIH guidelines and protocols approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Fox Chase Cancer Center and University of Alabama at Birmingham. Specimens were obtained from surgically treated GIST patients at Fox Chase Cancer Center and University of Alabama at Birmingham. All the samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen at the time of surgery and kept at −80°C until use. Blood was obtained following informed consent and DNA was isolated from the leukocyte fraction.

DNA extraction and mutational analysis. Genomic DNA isolation was done as follows: frozen tumor samples ~2 mm in diameter were homogenized in 200 μL of lysis buffer [50 mMol/L Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mMol/L NaCl, 5 mMol/L EDTA (pH 8.0), 0.5% NP40]. After homogenization, genomic DNA was isolated with the use of Easy-DNA kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California) following the instruction of the manufacturer. The purified DNA was resuspended in 50 μL of Tris-EDTA buffer.

KIT and PDGFRα PCR and DNA sequencing. PCR analysis for KIT exons 9, 11, 13, and 17 were done in all cases. Each PCR reactions were done in a 50 μL volume containing 100 ng of genomic DNA, 15 μMol/L of each primer, 0.2 μMol/L deoxynucleotide triphosphate, 5 μL of 10× reaction buffer, and 1 unit of AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The KIT primers used were as follows: exon 9, forward: 5′-CCTAGAGGATGCCAGGGCTT-3′ and reverse: 5′-GAGCCAGCCCTCAAACATCCCTC-3′ (278 bp); exon 11, forward: 5′-CTCTCCAGAGTCTCTCATAAAG-3′ and reverse: 5′-AACGAAAGCCATGCTGTCTTCTC-3′ (276 bp); exon 13, forward: 5′-CTTCTACGAGGCTTAACATG-3′ and reverse: 5′-AAGGGAAGCGCTTCTTCTC-3′ (195 bp); and exon 17, forward: 5′-GGTTTTCTTTTCTCTCTCAACC-3′ and reverse: 5′-GGAGCTGTCAACCGAGGAGA-3′ (180 bp). PCR condition was 30 seconds at 94°C, 30 seconds at 52°C, 1 minute at 68°C for 36 cycles, followed by 10-minute extension at 68°C. Primers for PDGFRα exons 12, 14, and 18 were previously published (31), and used with the same PCR condition described above. PCR products were analyzed in 2% agarose gel electrophoresis and purified by PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Direct sequencing was carried out from both directions with BigDye Terminator V3.1 cycle sequencing kit on an ABI PRISM 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Samples showing mutation profiles from direct sequencing were further confirmed by subcloning the purified PCR products with the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen). For each tumor, four to eight clones were analyzed by Miniprep DNA isolation kit (Qiagen), followed by DNA sequencing with an ABI PRISM 3100 Genetic Analyzer. Both wild-type and mutant sequences were detected in all KIT mutation – positive tumors.

Immunoblot assays for phospho-KIT and total KIT. Frozen tumor samples ~2 mm in diameter were homogenized in 400 μL of lysis buffers [50 mMol/L Tris (pH 7.5), 150 mMol/L NaCl, 5 mMol/L EDTA (pH 8.0), 1 mMol/L Na3VO4, 1 mMol/L NaF, 1 mMol/L phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 0.5% NP40] containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Indianapolis, Indiana). The whole cell extract was made by homogenizing tumors in lysis buffer with a tissue homogenizer (Fisher, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania) for 30 seconds. The whole cell extract was centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 5 minutes and the supernatants were collected and quantitated by Bradford method (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California). Fifty micrograms of whole cell extract were electrophoresed and blotted onto Immobilon-P membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA.). Membranes were incubated with anti-phospho-KIT (1:1,000 dilution) and anti-KIT (1:1,000 dilution) antibodies (Cell Signaling Technologies, Beverly, MA) in TBS/0.5% Tween 20 with 5% bovine serum albumin at 4°C overnight followed by horseradish peroxidase–conjugated secondary antibody at room temperature for 1 hour. Protein bands were detected by Western Lightning Plus chemiluminescence reagent (Roche).

Structural analysis and protein modeling. The X-ray crystallographic structures of KIT (32) in its autoinhibited conformation and with imatinib mesylate bound were downloaded from the Protein Data Bank (entires IT45 and IT46, respectively). Molecular modeling of mutant forms of KIT was done with the side-chain prediction program SCWRL (33). Molecular visualization was done with the use of the Chimera software package (34).

Results

KIT mutational analysis and prognosis of gastrointestinal stromal tumor. Recent clinical studies have shown that response to imatinib in GIST patients is dependent on the mutational status of the proto-oncogene c-KIT (30, 31). We first evaluated a series of GISTS for mutations in exons 9, 11, 13, and 17 of KIT, and the results were listed in Table 1. Tumors that were negative for KIT mutations were evaluated for mutations in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Distribution of c-KIT mutations in clinical gastrointestinal stromal tumors (N = 25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mutation status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deletion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deletion and insertion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deletion and substitution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substitution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substitution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No mutations (KIT or PDGFRα)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: 60% (15 of 25) of tumors analyzed contain mutation in exon 11. Four percent (1 of 25) has mutation in exons 9 and 13, respectively. We note that no mutation detected in exon 17.
PDGFRα because it has been reported that KIT mutation-negative tumors may harbor mutations in PDGFRα (24). In that report, when compared with KIT-positive GISTs, these KIT-negative GISTs are more likely to have epithelioid cell morphology, arise in the omentum/peritoneal surface, and contain PDGFRα oncogenic mutation (24). Among the patients examined in our study, 13 were males and 12 were females, with a median age of 50 years (range, 22-78 years; Table 2). The stomach (10 of 25, 40%) and small bowel (8 of 25, 32%) were the most common sites of primary tumors (Table 2). The median tumor size was 6.9 cm (range: 3.2-32.5 cm). Morphologically, 17 patients had the spindle cell type, 3 had the mixed cell type, and 3 had pure epithelioid tumors. The risk stratification (35, 36) of the GIST (malignant potential based on tumor size and mitotic count) was low in 16% (4 of 25) of the patients and high in 72% (18 of 25) and unavailable in 8% (2 of 25) of the patients. These frequencies did not differ significantly when evaluated in patients with KIT mutation-positive tumors (17% low and 83% high). However, in our study the KIT-negative GISTs primarily have a spindle morphology (Table 2).

Overall, we detected c-KIT mutations in 17 of 25 tumors (68%; Table 1). These mutations included in-frame deletions, often coupled with a missense mutation, insertions, and amino acid substitutions. All the KIT mutations detected were determined to be heterozygous as a result of sequencing both the PCR products directly and the subcloned PCR products. The majority of the mutations were found in the juxtamembrane region of KIT encoded by exon 11, and involved amino acids 556 to 560 (13 of 15, 87%; Fig. 1A; Table 2). Two of the other KIT mutations fell outside of the juxtamembrane region in exon 9 (patient 17; 1 of 25, 4%) and exon 13 (patient 16; 1 of 25, 4%), and no mutations were found in exon 17 (Table 1). These frequencies are consistent with previous studies, which have found that exon 11

Table 2. Clinical-pathologic features of GISTs with and without c-KIT mutations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>Exon</th>
<th>KIT mutation</th>
<th>Age/Gender</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Size (cm)</th>
<th>Mitosis/no. HPF</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1669del24, 1693ins6; K550.W557del, I558L559ins</td>
<td>37/M</td>
<td>Omentum/retrop</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>39/50</td>
<td>Epithelioid</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>DOD</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1690del6, 1695G&gt;C; Q556.W557del, K558N</td>
<td>60/F</td>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>49/50</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>DOD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1693del6; W557...558del</td>
<td>72/F</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0/50</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1697T&gt;G; V559G</td>
<td>70/F</td>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10/50</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1697T/A; V559D</td>
<td>57/M</td>
<td>Small bowel</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>&gt;200/50</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>DOD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1675del6; M552...V553del</td>
<td>66/F</td>
<td>Small bowel</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2/50</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1696del3; V559del</td>
<td>36/M</td>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Epithelioid</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>DOD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1687del15; Q556...V560del</td>
<td>63/F</td>
<td>Duodenum</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>25/50</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1695del3; K558...V569del</td>
<td>65/M</td>
<td>Distal ileum/rt colon</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>AWD</td>
<td>Progression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1689del48; V556H, W557...D572del; W557...D572del</td>
<td>52/F</td>
<td>Duodenum</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0/50</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1697T&gt;A; V559D</td>
<td>78/M</td>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>15/50</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1675T&gt;A; V559D</td>
<td>69/F</td>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5/50</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1700T&gt;G; V560G</td>
<td>69/M</td>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20/50</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1753del3; D579del</td>
<td>36/F</td>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15/50</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>AWD</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1692G&gt;T, 1693del9; W557...K558...V560del</td>
<td>65/F</td>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>&gt;200/50</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1946A&gt;G; K642E</td>
<td>63/M</td>
<td>Small bowel</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0/50</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1530ins6; A502Y503ins</td>
<td>46/M</td>
<td>Small bowel</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>18/50</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Wt</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>53/M</td>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1/50</td>
<td>Epithelioid</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>2mets at dx</td>
<td>PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Wt</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>49/F</td>
<td>Small bowel</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0/50</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Wt</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>51/M</td>
<td>Small bowel</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0/50</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>AWD</td>
<td>PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Wt</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>48/M</td>
<td>Rectum</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>12/50</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Wt</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>48/M</td>
<td>Small bowel</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>136/50</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>mets site</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Wt</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>52/F</td>
<td>Duodenum</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3/50</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Wt</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>62/M</td>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Spindle/leiomyoma</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Wt</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>22/F</td>
<td>Small bowel</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>DOD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HPF, high-power field; DOD, dead of disease; NED, no evidence of disease; AWD, alive with disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; NA, not available.

*Mutation found in the germline of patient 14 and her mother diagnosed with GIST.
mutations are predominant in GIST, whereas mutations in exons 9, 13, and 17 are rare events in GIST (37, 38). A summary of high-frequency mutations in c-KIT exon 11 is listed in Fig. 1B. The high frequency was defined by the rate of mutations in ~428 patients determined from our study and from others (36, 39, 40).

We also showed that the somatic mutations we identified in KIT lead to constitutively activation as determined by immunoblotting for the phosphorylated receptor; a surrogate gauge of kinase activity. Tumors possessing a KIT mutation expressed varying levels of phosphorylated KIT (Fig. 2, lanes 1–7; Table 2) as compared with a GIST with no detectable KIT mutation (Fig. 2, lane 8; Table 2). Our results suggest that the juxtamembrane region of KIT encoded by exon 11 is mutated in the majority of the GISTs we evaluated, including a familial case of GIST, and results in disruption of the KIT autoinhibited conformation, leading to gain-of-function activation.

**PDGFRa mutation analysis.** Surprisingly, we failed to detect any mutation in PDGFRa, focusing on exons 12, 14, and 18, which have previously been shown to be mutated in a subset of wild-type KIT GISTs (24). However, we did find a silent missense variant in PDGFRa exon 18 at position 2472C>T (V824V) in GIST DNAs. The 2472C>T allele was found in 8 of 25 GIST patients (32%). Single nucleotide polymorphism analysis of 96 disease-free individuals (48 men and 48 women) showed that 22% (10 males and 11 females of 96 individuals) carry a 2472T allele, whereas only 2% (2 of 96) were homozygous for the 2472T allele. The proportion of samples with TT or Tt was not significantly different between cases and controls (P = 0.445; two-sided Fisher’s exact test). Overall, these results suggest that 2472C>T in PDGFRa is likely a benign polymorphism and is not significant in the pathogenesis of GIST.

**Gastrointestinal stromal tumor–prone kindred.** Review of available family history of GIST patients found a GIST family kindred. Patient 14 was diagnosed with GIST at age 37. Her mother was also found to have a GIST the previous year at age 57. In addition, the maternal grandmother was also diagnosed with GIST at age 80 and other third-degree relatives with esophageal and breast cancer (Fig. 3A). Blood was obtained from patient 14 and her mother and a KIT mutation, a 3 bp deletion, 1753del3 (leading to deletion of amino acid 579), was found in the germ line of both individuals (Fig. 3B). This same mutation was found in the tumor tissue of patient 14. This germ-line 1753del3 KIT mutation resides at the first tyrosine kinase catalytic domain of KIT protein and is a novel germ-line mutation not previously reported. This patient underwent surgical resection of a gastric GIST and was found to have peritoneal metastasis at the time of surgery. The resected tumor displayed central calcification suggesting a slow process of necrosis and cell death. Her disease has had a relatively indolent course and she has stable residual tumors while receiving imatinib treatment.

**KIT/imatinib structural analysis.** The mutations identified in our clinical study were mapped (Fig. 4A-D) on the crystal structure of the autoinhibited KIT (PDB entry 1T45) and on the structure of activated KIT (PDB entry 1T46; ref. 32). Figure 4A shows the wild-type KIT in its autoinhibited conformation. We modeled each of the mutations listed in Table 1, starting from the autoinhibited experimental structure with the program SCWRL (33). In Fig. 4B we show a model of the V559D mutation from case 13. As shown in Fig. 2 (lane 6, case 13), this mutation leads to constitutive activation of KIT. The model shows that D559 would extend into the hydrophobic binding site normally occupied by V559. The mutation removes favorable hydrophobic interactions of the side chain of V559 with the side chains of V643, Y646, and V647, and burying of a charge away from solvent into a hydrophobic site. Table 3 lists...
the other physical-chemical changes due to mutations seen in GIST patients in the segment 556 to 560 of KIT. Mutations within amino acid residues 556 to 560 are very likely to disrupt the hydrophobic (W557, V559, and V660) or electrostatic (Q556) interactions that favor docking of the hairpin loop between the kinase N and C domains. Disruption of binding of the autoinhibitory region therefore leads to release of the juxtamembrane region and to gain-of-function activation of KIT.

A mutation outside of the segment 556 to 560 was observed in KIT exon 13 from a GIST patient (case 16; Fig. 2, lane 7; Table 2). This missense mutation replaces lysine with glutamic acid at position 642. The X-ray structure of KIT shows that the K642 side chain makes hydrogen bonds to the carbonyl...
oxygen of residues T574 and L576, as shown in Fig. 4C. These carboxylate oxygens are only 3.6 Å apart, which would create a large electrostatic repulsion in the absence of the Lys side-chain hydrogen bonds. It is likely that replacing the positively charged Lys side chain with a negatively charged Glu side chain (shown in Fig. 4D) would disrupt the conformation of residues 573 to 577, with potentially long-range consequences on the structure of autoinhibited KIT. These residues follow the autoinhibitory hairpin loop and the K642E mutation may therefore potentially alter the binding of the loop. Interestingly, this patient has high level of phosphorylated KIT (Fig. 2, lane 7) but is considered to be at low risk for recurrence (Table 2). Table 3 displays the identified mutations together with the physical-chemical differences between the wild-type and mutant residues in a specific position.

Important, none of the mutations in exon 11 seem to be responsible for modifications in the nucleotide binding site and, therefore, mutated KIT should remain responsive to imatinib. Together, the high-frequency mutations within amino acids 556 to 560 and their role in altering KIT structure are consistent with the clinical findings, by which the identified mutations are tumorigenic, but at the same time are associated with a high rate of positive response to imatinib treatment.

Discussion

GISTs are mesenchymal neoplasms of the digestive tract that are typically characterized by KIT mutations in exon 9, 11, 13, or 17 resulting in the constitutive activation of the KIT tyrosine kinase in ~90% of GISTs (23). The remaining GISTs have either activating mutations of tyrosine kinase PDGFRα or lack any identifiable receptor tyrosine kinase mutations (24).

Imatinib mesylate, a competitive inhibitor of ABL, BCR-ABL, KIT, and PDGFR tyrosine kinases, has been used as a successful therapeutic in GIST patients with metastatic or unresectable disease (41–46). However, imatinib therapy has resulted in few pathologic complete responses and drug resistance is observed with increasing duration of therapy. In addition, response to imatinib varies with different mutations of KIT or PDGFRα. Heinrich et al. (30) showed that in vitro wild-type and all KIT mutant isoforms in GIST respond to imatinib. Clinically, however, GIST patients with KIT exon 11 mutations (i.e., the juxtamembrane region) are the more sensitive to imatinib as compared with wild-type KIT/PDGFRα or exon 9 mutations (30, 47, 48).

In this study, we report that 68% of total patients examined possess KIT mutation. Among them, 88% (15 of 17 KIT mutation–positive patients) have mutation in exon 11, and 6% (1 of 17) have mutation in either exon 9 or 13. Our results are in general agreement with other reported mutational frequencies for KIT exon 11, which ranged from 20% to 92%, depending on the methodology used and sample source (e.g., frozen or paraffin-embedded tissues; refs. 36, 37). We did, however, observe that three of the patients (cases 19, 20, and 21) evaluated displayed partial response to initial administration of imatinib, although they were negative for both KIT and PDGFRα mutations. Tissue samples from these three patients were obtained following neoadjuvant therapy and potentially had low tumor cellularity in the samples as a result of tumor response to imatinib mesylate therapy. Therefore, care must be taken in selecting viable tumor specimens, particularly after imatinib treatment, for mutational analysis of KIT and/or PDGFRα as a molecular screening test to predict response to imatinib. Such tests will probably become more widely accepted in the near future as is being considered for the EGFR gene in the treatment of non–small-cell lung cancer with gefitinib (49, 50). However, frozen GIST tumors from patients with good clinical data represent a unique opportunity to define a specific molecular profile in relation to clinical response, mutational status, and crystalline structure towards a predictable therapeutic index.

The majority of our tumors stained positive for KIT (data not shown) and contained exon 11 mutations (Tables 1 and 2). Most of the exon 11 mutation patients we detected were considered at “high risk” for disease progression, based on clinical and pathologic criteria (Table 2). The preponderance of high-risk tumors may reflect a selection bias based on samples being obtained from two tertiary-care academic centers and that tumors that were ranked tend to be larger. The number of small bowel tumors included in our analysis, which have been reported to have poorer prognosis by some, may also be an explanation for the high numbers of high-risk tumors.

Histologically all tumors examined in this study were primarily of spindle cell or mixed spindle and epithelioid type, with only three patients having an epithelioid histology (Table 2). The distribution of our samples is in agreement with the current knowledge of pathologic features of GISTs (i.e., 70% of cases of GISTs are comprised of spindle cells, 20% of cases are epithelioid cells, and 10% of cases consist of a mixture of the two phenotypes; refs. 36, 51).

Our studies also identified a new germ-line mutation of c-KIT, a 3-base in-frame mutation (1753del3) leading to the loss of a single amino acid at position 579 (D579del). Interestingly, this germ-line mutation did not fall in the region of high-frequency mutation of c-KIT exon 11 (Fig. 1B). From the family pedigree, four family members, all female, were also reported to have GIST and two additional female relatives were reported to have esophageal and breast cancer, respectively (Fig. 3A). Blood leukocyte DNA from both mother and daughter indicated that the mutation was inherited (Fig. 3B). The extent of other cancers associated with germ-line KIT mutations has not been thoroughly evaluated. Anecdotally, we and others have observed a greater frequency of second malignancies in GIST patients than would be expected. The biological significance of this observation is not known at this time.

There are several reports of familial cancer syndromes of GIST tumors (52–56). The first report described a family with multiple GIST, both malignant and benign. These individuals were found to have a KIT mutation occurring between the transmembrane and tyrosine kinase domains, both in the tumor and peripheral leukocytes. Other family members were shown to have somatic mutations of KIT (52). Maeyama et al. (53) described a family with cutaneous hyperpigmentation presenting in adolescence followed by the diagnosis of multiple GIST tumors in their 40s. This family was found to have a germ-line mutation in KIT at codon 559 of exon 11 (V559A), which was the same as that found in the GIST. Evaluation of leukocytes from a sibling with hyperpigmentation but no diagnosis of GIST revealed the same mutation, but her two offspring were without hyperpigmentation or mutation. Other germ-line mutations have been reported in exon 13 with a Lys to Glu substitution (K642E; ref. 54) and in exon 17 with an Asp to Tyr (D820Y) substitution, which lead to gain of function...
All four mutations identified in these families (D579del, V559A, K642E, and D820Y) affect the intracellular kinase domain of the KIT protein. We also identified a mutation in exon 13 of c-KIT but do not have a family history or tissue, but suspect that this maybe a family prone to GIST.

Our studies highlighted that the majority of mutations in the juxtamembrane region of KIT encoded by exon 11 typically involve amino acids 556 to 560 (13 of 15, 87%). Within our series of GIST, we uncovered four missense mutations affecting amino acid 559 (V559D or V559G) and one leading to a substitution at residue 560 (V560D). In total, 11 of the 15 exon 11 mutations altered either or both of residues 559 and 560 (Fig. 1A). Available protein extracts indicated that all of these mutations resulted in expression of a phosphorylated KIT at varying levels (Fig. 2 and data not shown).

Analysis of the X-ray crystallographic structures of autoinhibited and activated KIT reveals a potential mechanism for the activation of KIT through acquired or inherited mutations in the juxtamembrane region and susceptibility of these mutant proteins to inhibition by imatinib. KIT, like many protein kinases, has two states: active and autoinhibited conformations (32). In KIT, as suggested for other Type III receptor phosphotyrosine kinases, the juxtamembrane domain functions as an autoinhibitory domain. In the autoinhibited state, the juxtamembrane region forms a hairpin loop that docks in the interface between the kinase N and C lobes. Consequently, the control helix and the kinase DFG motif undergo a conformational change. In the autoinhibited conformation, Trp557 takes the place occupied by Phe811 in the active conformation, Phe811 being flipped toward the binding site.

![Diagram of KIT mutations](image-url)
and preventing nucleotide binding. Furthermore, in the auto-inhibited state, the activation loop is switched from an active extended conformation to a folded one, in which it also acts as a pseudosubstrate (32).

The buried part of the inhibitory domain, involved in the interactions with the kinase DFG motif and activation loop, is composed of residues 547 to 565 (Fig. 4A). Experimentally it has been shown by mutational analysis that the juxtamembrane domain, especially residues 557 to 560, has the most important contribution to the interaction between the autoinhibitory domain and the kinase domain (57–59). Particularly, Val559 and Val560 are involved in a hydrophobic packing with Val643, Tyr646, Cys788, and Ile789 (32). Mutations in residues 556 to 560 resulted in destabilization of the autoinhibitory domain due to elimination of favorable hydrophobic interactions, therefore leading to gain-of-function (Fig. 4A and B; Table 3). From our structural analysis, we have compared the conformational change of the autoinhibited structure (wild-type, Fig. 4A) with the V559D mutation (Fig. 4B). It is clearly shown that V559D mutation is unfavorable in maintaining the structure of the autoinhibitory domain. Substitution of a hydrophobic amino acid (V) with a negatively charged residue (D) in this domain is energetically unfavorable. Furthermore, a patient-derived mutation, K642E,
disrupts side-chain/backbone hydrogen bonds to the juxtamembrane segment residues 574 and 576. Whereas this mutation seems less likely to result in complete dissociation of the juxtamembrane segment from its inhibitory conforma-

tion, it may destabilize it sufficiently to result in weak activation of the kinase.

Finally, we did not find a mutation in the PDGFRα gene in the KIT-negative tumors. This finding is surprising given that recent studies have found a high frequency of PDGFRα mutations in KIT-negative GISTs (24). Although the number of KIT mutation–negative tumors is relatively small (n = 5) or if the pretreated patients were truly KIT wild-type (n = 8), based on recent studies we would have expected to identify at least one (~30%) PDGFRα mutation in these GISTs. Our findings continue to support the fact that a subset of GIST is likely both KIT and PDGFRα mutation negative, and that these tumors are typically refractory to imatinib therapy. Furthermore, the expression of phospho-KIT and phospho-PDGFRα in the double-negative mutant GISTs was not detectable via immunoblotting with anti-phospho-KIT or anti-phospho-PDGFRα (data not shown). Together our results indicate that other mechanisms (such as AKT) which are independent of KIT and PDGFRα mutations may contribute to the activation of signal transduction pathways and tumorigenesis in GIST. In this regard, we found that GISTs were not likely to carry mutations in the p110 subunit of PI3Kα although it has been found that PI3K gene catalytic domain (PI3KCA) is highly mutated in human cancers (60–63). We did find that many KIT and PDGFRα mutation–negative tumors we have examined expressed phosphorylated forms of AKT. Lastly, we showed that protein modeling studies can help explain the response to imatinib mesylate based on the site of mutation.
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