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Abstract

**Purpose:** The aim of the present study was to compare the effects of the three different forms of the antioxidant enzyme superoxide dismutase [i.e., manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD), copper zinc superoxide dismutase (CuZnSOD), and extracellular superoxide dismutase (EcSOD)] on the malignant phenotype of human pancreatic cancer.

**Experimental Design:** Human pancreatic cancer cell lines were infected with adenoviral vectors containing the cDNAs for these three different forms of the antioxidant enzyme SOD. Intratumoral injections of the adenoviral vectors were used in nude mice with human tumor xenografts.

**Results:** Increases in immunoreactive protein and enzymatic activity were seen after infections with the AdMnSOD, AdCuZnSOD, or AdEcSOD constructs. Increased SOD activity decreased superoxide levels and increased hydrogen peroxide levels. Increasing SOD levels correlated with increased doubling time. Cell growth and plating efficiency decreased with increasing amounts of the adenoviral constructs, with the AdCuZnSOD vector having the greatest effect in decreasing *in vitro* tumor growth. In contrast, inhibiting endogenous SOD with small interfering RNA increased superoxide levels and promoted tumor growth. Of the three SODs, tumors grew the slowest and survival was increased the greatest in nude mice injected with the AdEcSOD construct.

**Conclusions:** Scavenging plasma membrane–generated superoxide may prove beneficial for suppression of pancreatic cancer growth.

Adenocarcinoma of the pancreas is the fourth leading cause of cancer death in the United States and is increasing in incidence (1). *K-ras* mutations have been identified in up to 95% of pancreatic cancers, implying their critical role in the molecular pathogenesis (2–4). It is hypothesized that *K-ras* activates the NADPH oxidase system to produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) that leads to cell proliferation (5). Vaquero et al. (5) have recently shown that ROS are prosurvival, antiapoptotic factors in pancreatic cancer. They showed that growth factors stimulate ROS generation by activation of membrane nonmitochondrial NAD(P)H oxidase and that inhibiting ROS by different approaches stimulates apoptosis in pancreatic cancer cells. Thus, the prosurvival effect of ROS may be an important mechanism of pancreatic cancer cell resistance to therapy. Santillo et al. (6) added to these findings by showing that in *K-ras*–transformed mouse fibroblasts, ROS are increased, leading to activation of signal transduction pathways. In addition to *K-ras*, mouse fibroblasts transfected with the viral *Ha-ras* oncogene have increased superoxide (O$_2^-$) production and the generated O$_2$ may act as a second messenger molecule to promote cell proliferation (7). Similar results have been found in human keratinocytes with *Ha-ras* (8). In *ras*-transformed keratinocytes, increased O$_2^-$ production was shown, and this increased production could be blocked efficiently by an adenovirus containing the cDNA of the antioxidant protein superoxide dismutase (SOD; ref. 8).

Cells contain a large number of antioxidants to prevent or repair the damage caused by ROS. The SODs dismutate O$_2^-$ into H$_2$O$_2$, whereas the catalases and peroxidases convert H$_2$O$_2$ into water. In this way, two toxic species, O$_2$ and H$_2$O$_2$, are converted to the harmless product water. An important feature of these enzymes is that they are highly compartmentalized (9). In general, extracellular superoxide dismutase (EcSOD) is the only isoform of SOD that is expressed extracellularly; manganese-containing superoxide dismutase (MnSOD) is localized in the mitochondria; and copper- and zinc-containing superoxide dismutase (CuZnSOD) is expressed in the cytoplasm. One reason for the existence of many forms of each of these enzymes is to reduce oxidative stress in the various parts of the cell; different proteins are needed for different cellular and subcellular locations. CuZnSOD comprises ~90% of total SOD activity in most eukaryotic cells (10). Besides its primary distribution in cytosol, a small fraction of this enzyme has been found in cellular organelles such as lysosomes, peroxisomes, and the nucleus. Recently, there has been some evidence showing the presence of CuZnSOD (~2%) in the intermembrane space of mitochondria (11, 12) and this localization was suggested to be important in providing further protection against ROS and in preventing superoxide radicals from leaking out of the mitochondria. Although EcSOD also uses copper as a
catalytic cofactor and zinc as a structural component in a similar fashion as CuZnSOD, the expression of EcSOD is highly restricted to specific cell types and tissues such as lung, heart, kidney, plasma, lymph, ascites, and cerebrospinal fluid (13). EcSOD is the only isoform of SOD that is expressed extracellularly (14, 15). A fundamental property of EcSOD is its affinity, through its heparin-binding domain, for heparin sulfate proteoglycans located on cell surfaces and in extracellular matrix (16). In addition, EcSOD differs from CuZnSOD in that EcSOD is a glycosylated high molecular weight homotetramer (155 kDa) and CuZnSOD is an unglycosylated homodimer (32 kDa). Increasing EcSOD expression has been shown to inhibit the in vitro growth of melanoma tumors by blunting tumor vascularization and vascular endothelial growth factor expression (15). MnSOD is limited to the matrix of the mitochondria (17) and exists at much lower concentrations inside most cells than CuZnSOD. Because the mitochondrial respiratory chain is a major site of superoxide generation in cells, MnSOD plays an important role in maintaining the balance of cellular ROS. Our previous studies showed a tumor-suppressive effect of MnSOD on both in vitro and in vivo growth of pancreatic cancer (18, 19).

Because ROS generation by activation of membrane non-mitochondrial NAD(P)H oxidase seems to be one mechanism regulating cell growth contributing to pancreatic tumor progression, we hypothesized that scavenging of superoxide generated from the plasma membrane would also inhibit pancreatic cancer growth. Our studies confirm that overexpression of MnSOD inhibits pancreatic tumor growth (18, 19). In addition, we show that scavenging of superoxide with the antioxidant enzymes EcSOD and CuZnSOD has a stronger tumor-suppressive effect in pancreatic cancer than MnSOD.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell culture.** Pancreatic cancer cells were purchased from American Type Culture Collection. MIA PaCa-2 cells are undifferentiated human primary pancreatic adenocarcinoma cells (18) and are maintained at 37°C in DMEM (Life Technologies, Inc.) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum and 2.5% horse serum. BxPC-3 cells are poorly differentiated human primary pancreatic adenocarcinoma cells (18) and are maintained in RPMI 1640 with 10% fetal bovine serum.

**Adenovirus gene transfer.** The adenovirus constructs used were replication-defective, E1- and partial E3-deleted recombinant adenovirus (18, 19). Inserted into the E1 region of the adenovirus genome was the human MnSOD, CuZnSOD, or EcSOD gene, which is driven by a cytomegalovirus promoter. For the vector control, we used the same adenovirus with no gene added (an empty vector; AdEcSOD). The adenovirus constructs were obtained from the University of Iowa Gene Transfer Vector Core whereas the AdEmpty and AdMnSOD constructs were purchased from ViraQuest.

Approximately 10⁶ cells were plated in 10 mL of complete medium in a 90-cm² plastic dish and allowed to attach for 24 h. Cells were then washed thrice in serum- and antibiotic-free medium. The AdMnSOD, AdCuZnSOD, or AdEcSOD constructs, suspended in 3% sucrose, were then applied to cells suspended in 4 mL of serum- and antibiotic-free medium at 0, 10, 25, 50, and 100 multiplicities of infection (MOI). Control cells were treated with 100 MOI of the AdEmpty construct. Cells were incubated with the adenovirus constructs for 24 h. Medium was then replaced with 10 mL of complete medium for an additional 24 h before cells were harvested.

**Cell growth.** After 48 h of adenoviral infection, cells were trypsinized, counted, and reseeded at a density of 1 × 10⁶ in 24-well plates with 1.5 mL of complete medium. For the growth analysis, cells were trypsinized and then counted daily for 1 week using a Coulter counter. Cell population doubling time (DT) in hours was determined using the following equation:

$$DT \text{ (hours)} = 0.693 \left(\frac{t - t_0}{N_o - N_t}\right)$$

where \(t_o\) is time at which exponential growth began; \(t\) is time in hours; \(N_o\) is initial cell number at time \(t_o\); and \(N_t\) is initial cell number (18).

**Cell death measurements.** Phosphatidylserine translocation to the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane was assessed by reaction with Annexin V-FITC and detected with a FACScan flow cytometer. MIA PaCa-2 cells (1 × 10⁶) were infected with adenovirus constructs at 100 MOI. After 48 h, cells were harvested, washed with PBS, and resuspended in 500 μL of binding buffer [10 mmol/L HEPES (pH 7.4), 140 mmol/L NaCl, 2.5 mmol/L CaCl₂]. Cells were then incubated with RNA interference. Inhibition of CuZnSOD and MnSOD was achieved by using small interfering RNA (siRNA). Predesigned double-stranded siRNA against MnSOD (siMnSOD) was purchased from Ambion, Inc., with the sequence of 5′-GGCCUGAUAIUAU-UAAAGCUGCT-3′. siRNA against CuZnSOD was custom designed using a computer program available from Ambion with the sequence of 5′-GGCCUGAIAGUGAIHIICAUGit-3′. As a negative control, a siNeg siRNA, verified to have no significant effect on most essential mammalian genes, was obtained from Ambion.

**siRNA transfection.** MIA PaCa-2 cells (1 × 10⁶) were seeded into 60-mm plates with 4 mL of complete DMEM. After 24 h, the medium was replaced with 4 mL of Opti-MEM (Life Technologies). Cells were then transfected with 200 pmol of the siRNAs using LipofectAMINE 2000 reagent (Invitrogen) in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol. After 48 h of transfection, cells were trypsinized and reseeded into 24-well plates at a density of 5 × 10⁵ per well. At different time points, cells were harvested to determine growth rates, antioxidant protein, and activity.

**Cell lysis and protein determination.** Cells were washed thrice in PBS (pH 7.0) and scrape harvested from the dishes using a rubber policeman. Cells were then lysed by NP40 lysis buffer [50 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 120 mmol/L NaCl, 1% NP40, 5 mmol/L EDTA] and protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche Diagnostics) and protein concentration was determined using the Bio-Rad Bradford dye binding protein assay kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Western blot analysis.** Immunoreactive protein corresponding to MnSOD, CuZnSOD, or EcSOD was identified and quantitated from total cell protein by the specific reaction of the immobilized protein with its antibody. Total protein was electrophoresed in a 12.5% SDS-polyacrylamide running gel and a 5% stacking gel. Actin was used for loading controls. The proteins were then electrotransferred onto nitrocellulose sheets. After blocking in 5% nonfat powdered milk for 1 h, the sheets were washed and then treated with antisera to MnSOD, CuZnSOD, or EcSOD (1:5,000) for overnight at 4°C. Antibodies to MnSOD and CuZnSOD have been prepared and characterized in our laboratory (18), whereas the EcSOD antibody was prepared and characterized by Dr. James Crapo (National Jewish Medical and Research Center, Denver, CO). The blot was incubated with horseradish peroxidase–conjugated goat anti-rabbit (Sigma) IgG (1:100,000) for 1 h at room temperature. The washed blot was then treated with SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce) and exposed to X-ray film. Western blots were done in duplicate.

**Antioxidant enzyme activity gels.** Nondissociating slab gels were run essentially by the method of Davis (20) with ammonium persulfate used as the initiator in the running gel (12.5%) and riboflavin-light in the stacking gel (5%). Once run, the gels were stained for SOD activity by the method of Beauchamp and Fridovich (21). CuZnSOD and MnSOD were characterized by the presence of sodium cyanide in the staining solution, which inhibits CuZnSOD. All antioxidant enzyme activity gels were done in duplicate.

**siRNA transfection.** MIA PaCa-2 cells (1 × 10⁶) were infected with adenovirus constructs at 100 MOI. After 48 h, cells were harvested, washed with PBS, and resuspended in 500 μL of binding buffer [10 mmol/L HEPES (pH 7.4), 140 mmol/L NaCl, 2.5 mmol/L CaCl₂]. Cells were then incubated with...
5 µL of Annexin V conjugated with FITC plus 5 µg/mL propidium iodide and incubated for 10 min at room temperature in the dark. Samples were then analyzed by flow cytometry to identify apoptotic and necrotic cells.

**Measurement of ROS levels.** Intracellular generation of O₂⁻ was assessed by hydroethidine fluorescence. The level of presumably intracellular peroxide was also determined with dichlorofluorescein (DCFH) diacetate (Molecular Probes, Inc.). In other experiments, to determine if increases in fluorescence of DCFH were due to hydrogen peroxide, cells were also treated with PEGylated catalase (PEG-catalase, Sigma). 100 units/mL for 2 h, before DCFH measurement. Briefly, cells were incubated with 10 µmol/L dihydrothiourid or 10 µmol/L DCFH in complete medium for 40 min at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Cells were then washed with PBS and harvested. Cells were lysed with 1% SDS and the fluorescent intensity of dihydrothiourid or DCFH in the lysate was assessed by using the Bio-Rad Dc Protein assay and the ROS levels were expressed as mean fluorescent intensity per milligram of protein.

**Plating efficiency.** AdMnSOD-, AdCuZnSOD-, or AdEmpty-transduced cells (100 MOI) were plated in triplicate into 60-mm dishes in complete medium. The plates were maintained in the incubator for 6 days to allow colony formation. The colonies were then fixed and stained with 0.1% crystal violet and 2.1% citric acid, and the plates were counted to determine plating efficiency.

**Measurement of ROS levels.** Intracellular generation of O₂⁻ was assessed by hydroethidine fluorescence. The level of presumably intracellular peroxide was also determined with dichlorofluorescein (DCFH) diacetate (Molecular Probes, Inc.). In other experiments, to determine if increases in fluorescence of DCFH were due to hydrogen peroxide, cells were also treated with PEGylated catalase (PEG-catalase, Sigma). 100 units/mL for 2 h, before DCFH measurement. Briefly, cells were incubated with 10 µmol/L dihydrothiourid or 10 µmol/L DCFH in complete medium for 40 min at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Cells were then washed with PBS and harvested. Cells were lysed with 1% SDS and the fluorescent intensity of dihydrothiourid or DCFH in the lysate was assessed by using the Bio-Rad Dc Protein assay and the ROS levels were expressed as mean fluorescent intensity per milligram of protein.

**Plating efficiency.** AdMnSOD-, AdCuZnSOD-, or AdEmpty-transduced cells (100 MOI) were plated in triplicate into 60-mm dishes in complete medium. The plates were maintained in the incubator for 6 days to allow colony formation. The colonies were then fixed and stained with 0.1% crystal violet and 2.1% citric acid, and the colonies containing >50 cells were scored.

**Nude mice.** Thirty-day-old athymic nude mice were obtained from Harlan Sprague-Dawley. The nude mouse protocol was reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Iowa. The animals were housed four to a cage and fed a sterile commercial stock diet and tap water ad libitum. Animals were allowed to acclimate in the unit for 1 week before any manipulations were done. Each experimental group consisted of five to eight mice.

**Adenovirus vector-mediated MnSOD, CuZnSOD, or EcSOD gene transfer.** MIA PaCa-2 tumor cells (2 × 10⁶) were delivered s.c. into the flank region of nude mice with a 1-mL tuberculin syringe equipped with a 25-gauge needle. The tumors were allowed to grow until they reached between 3 and 4 mm in greatest dimension (from 10 days to 2 weeks), at which time they were treated with adenovirus.

The adenovirus constructs were delivered through two injection sites in the tumor. Approximately 1 × 10⁸ plaque-forming units in 50 µL of PBS of the AdMnSOD, AdCuZnSOD, or AdEcSOD constructs were delivered to the tumor by means of a 25-gauge needle attached to a 1-mL tuberculin syringe. This was defined as day 1 of the experiment. Control tumors received serum-free media or adenovirus containing no gene (AdEmpty) in similar volumes and plaque-forming units at the same time points. Tumor size was measured every 2 to 3 days by means of a vernier caliper, and tumor volume was estimated according to the following formula: tumor volume = π/6 × L × W², where L is the greatest dimension of the tumor and W is the dimension of the tumor in the perpendicular direction (19). Animals were killed by CO₂ asphyxiation when the tumors reached a predetermined size of 1,000 mm³, and this was considered the time to sacrifice.
Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis for the in vitro studies was done using SYSTAT. A single-factor ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey test was used to determine statistical differences between means. All means were calculated from three experiments and error bars represent SE. All Western blots, activity assays, and activity gel assays were repeated at least twice. For the in vivo studies, the statistical analyses focused on the effects of different treatments on cancer progression. The primary outcomes of interest were time to death and tumor growth over time. Once tumors were visible, the mice were then randomly assigned to a treatment group and followed until death or until the experiment was terminated. If a death was not observed, the mouse was considered lost to follow-up. The log-rank test was used to compare the survival times between treatment groups. Kaplan-Meier survival plots were constructed to estimate survival. Tumor sizes (mm³) were measured throughout the experiments, resulting in repeated measurements across time for each mouse. Linear mixed-effects regression models were used to estimate and compare the group-specific tumor growth curves. In both the survival and growth curve analyses, statistically significant global tests of equality across groups were followed up with pairwise comparisons to identify specific group differences. All tests were two sided and carried out at the 5% level of significance. Analyses were done with the SAS and R statistical software package.

Results

Adenovirus SOD gene transfer greatly increased SOD immunoreactive protein and enzyme activity in MIA PaCa-2 cells

Western blot analysis. To determine whether scavenging superoxide in MIA PaCa-2 cells would inhibit growth, we first overexpressed CuZnSOD, MnSOD, and EcSOD using adenoviral constructs (AdCuZnSOD, AdMnSOD, and AdEcSOD, respectively) at MOIs of 25, 50, and 100. As a negative control for virus infection, adenovirus construct with an empty vector (AdEmpty) was used. In MIA PaCa-2 human pancreatic cancer cells, a dose-dependent increase in MnSOD, CuZnSOD, and EcSOD protein immunoreactivity was observed in cells infected with the AdMnSOD, AdCuZnSOD, and AdEcSOD constructs, respectively (Fig. 1A-D). Basal levels of MnSOD and CuZnSOD immunoreactivities were detectable in the parental and 100 MOI AdEmpty–infected cells. Control and AdEmpty-infected cells did not express EcSOD (Fig. 1A) and infection with AdCuZnSOD and AdMnSOD did not lead to increases in EcSOD protein (Fig. 1C). There was an increase in immunoreactive protein (presumably EcSOD) in cells infected with AdEcSOD (Fig. 1D).

Fig. 2. In vitro cancer cell line growth. A, doubling times in MIA PaCa-2 pancreatic cancer cell line after overexpression of AdMnSOD, AdCuZnSOD, AdEcSOD (0, 25, 50, and 100 MOI), or AdEmpty (100 MOI). Cells infected with AdCuZnSOD had the greatest increases in doubling time compared with the other adenoviral vectors. Columns, mean of three separate experiments (n = 3); bars, SE. *, P < 0.05, versus 100 MOI AdEmpty. B, doubling times in BxPC-3 pancreatic cancer cell line after overexpression of AdMnSOD, AdCuZnSOD, AdEcSOD (0, 25, 50, and 100 MOI), or AdEmpty (100 MOI). Cells infected with AdEcSOD had the greatest increases in doubling time compared with the other adenoviral vectors. Columns, mean of three separate experiments (n = 3); bars, SE. *, P < 0.05, versus 100 MOI AdEmpty. C, plating efficiency. MIA PaCa-2 cells infected with 25, 50, and 100 MOI of AdMnSOD, AdCuZnSOD, or AdEcSOD showed significant reductions in plating efficiency. No significant changes were seen with 100 MOI AdEmpty–transferred compared with parental cells. Columns, mean plating efficiencies of AdMnSOD−, AdCuZnSOD−, and AdEcSOD− or AdEmpty−transduced MIA PaCa-2 cells (n = 3); bars, SE. *, P < 0.05, versus AdEmpty. D, BxPC-3 cells infected with 25, 50, and 100 MOI of AdMnSOD, AdCuZnSOD, or AdEcSOD showed significant reductions in plating efficiency. No significant changes were seen with 100 MOI AdEmpty–transferred compared with parental cells. Columns, mean plating efficiencies of AdMnSOD−, AdCuZnSOD−, and AdEcSOD− or AdEmpty−transduced MIA PaCa-2 cells (n = 3); bars, SE. *, P < 0.05, versus AdEmpty.
infected with the AdEcSOD vector without an increase in either MnSOD or CuZnSOD protein (Fig. 1B). Because EcSOD is an extracellular protein, we also determined the presence of the protein in the culture media and found that there was also increased immunoreactive protein in media of cells infected with AdEcSOD (Fig. 1D) but not AdCuZnSOD or AdMnSOD (Fig. 1C).

As seen with the immunoreactive protein, there was a dose-dependent increase in MnSOD and CuZnSOD activity in cells infected with the AdMnSOD and AdCuZnSOD constructs, respectively (Fig. 1E). MnSOD and CuZnSOD activities were detectable in the parental and 100 MOI AdEmpty–infected cells. There was no increase in MnSOD or CuZnSOD activity in cells infected with the AdEcSOD vector (Fig. 1F), and there was no detectable EcSOD activity after infection with the AdCuZnSOD or AdMnSOD vectors (Fig. 1G). Although EcSOD protein was detected from the AdEcSOD-infected cell lysate (Fig. 1B), its activity was undetectable in the same sample from the activity gel assay. In fact, there was only increased activity in media in cells infected with AdEcSOD (Fig. 1H). These data indicate that in MIA PaCa-2 cells, protein level and enzymatic activities of all of the SODs (MnSOD, CuZnSOD, and EcSOD) were increased to a similar extent with the adenoviral transduction.

**Tumor biological characteristics of adenovirus-transduced cells change with increasing MOI**

**Cell growth.** Tumor cell growth characteristics were used to evaluate the effect of the overexpression of MnSOD, CuZnSOD, and EcSOD in cell culture. The growth rate, cell population doubling time, and plating efficiency were therefore examined and the data represent the mean of three separate experiments. MIA PaCa-2 human pancreatic cancer cells infected with AdMnSOD, AdCuZnSOD, and AdEcSOD showed slower in vitro growth compared with parental cells and cells infected with the AdEmpty vector (Fig. 2A). MIA PaCa-2 cell growth significantly decreased with AdMnSOD (25, 50, and 100 MOI) when compared with the parental cells or 100 MOI AdEmpty cells. For example, on day 6, cell number decreased by >17-fold with the 100 MOI AdMnSOD–infected cells compared with 100 MOI AdEmpty cells (Fig. 2A). AdEcSOD (25, 50, and 100 MOI) also had significant effects in decreasing MIA PaCa-2 cell growth significantly when compared with the parental cells or 100 MOI AdEmpty cells. For example, on day 6, cell number decreased by 84-fold with the 100 MOI AdEcSOD compared with 100 MOI AdEmpty (Fig. 2A). AdCuZnSOD (25, 50, and 100 MOI) had the greatest effects in inhibiting MIA PaCa-2 cell growth significantly when compared with the parental cells or 100 MOI AdEmpty cells. On day 6, cell number decreased by >125-fold with the 100 MOI AdCuZnSOD–infected cells compared with 100 MOI AdEmpty (Fig. 2A). When comparing the results from the three separate adenoviral constructs containing the three different SODs, doubling time was greatest in the MIA PaCa-2 cells that were infected with the AdCuZnSOD vector (Fig. 2A). Doubling time in cells infected with the AdEmpty vector was 18.2 ± 1.5 h (means ± SE; n = 3). Doubling time was 147 ± 0.4 h after AdCuZnSOD 100 MOI treatment, which was significantly greater than AdMnSOD 100 MOI (36 ± 0.9 h) and AdEcSOD 100 MOI (62 ± 0.7 h; mean ± SE; n = 3; P < 0.05).

SOD overexpression in another pancreatic cancer cell line, BxPC-3, showed similar inhibition of in vitro growth (Fig. 2B).
the AdCuZnSOD vector had the greatest reduction in plating efficiency (Fig. 2C). Plating efficiency was reduced to 32.0 ± 1.3% and 31.2 ± 0.8% in the AdEmpty 100 MOI and control groups (0 MOI), respectively. Enforced expression of AdMnSOD decreased plating efficiency in a dose-dependent manner with the 100 MOI dose decreasing the plating efficiency to 6.2 ± 2.2%. Infection with AdEcSOD also decreased the plating efficiency in a dose-dependent manner to a plating efficiency of 2.5 ± 1.0% at 100 MOI. AdCuZnSOD decreased plating efficiency most significantly to 4.8 ± 0.2%, 2.5 ± 1.5%, and 0.4 ± 0.6% at the 25, 50, and 100 MOI doses, respectively (P < 0.01, versus AdEmpty and controls). Overexpression of the
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SOD overexpression alters ROS levels
If the antioxidant enzymes MnSOD, EcSOD, and CuZnSOD inhibit growth of pancreatic cancer by scavenging of superoxide, then we should be able to see a decrease in superoxide levels after overexpression of these antioxidant enzymes and an increase in hydrogen peroxide levels (22). Intracellular generation of O2 was assessed by hydroethidine fluorescence and the level of intracellular peroxide was determined with DCFH diacetate. Figure 3A shows that overexpression of these antioxidant enzymes and MnSOD, CuZnSOD, or EcSOD decreases hydroethidine fluorescence in MIA PaCa-2 pancreatic cancer cells. As a positive control, antimycin A, a known inhibitor of complex III in the mitochondrial electron transport chain, results in significantly increased hydroethidine fluorescence. When compared with MIA PaCa-2 cells treated with the AdEmpty vector, hydroethidine fluorescence levels were decreased by 26%, 37%, and 51% with the AdMnSOD, AdCuZnSOD, and AdEcSOD vectors, respectively. In contrast, overexpression of MnSOD, CuZnSOD, or EcSOD resulted in increases in DCFH fluorescence (Fig. 3B). Compared with the AdEmpty-infected cells, there were increases in DCFH fluorescence of 21%, 66%, and 92% with the AdMnSOD, AdCuZnSOD, and AdEcSOD vectors, respectively. As mentioned, interaction of DCFH with oxidants such as peroxide gives rise to cellular fluorescence. Because DCFH can also be oxidized by other ROS such as hydroxyl radical, PEGylated catalase was used to show that SOD-induced increases in DCFH fluorescence were most likely due to hydrogen peroxide. As seen in Fig. 3B, PEG-catalase treatment reversed the increase in DCFH fluorescence induced by enforced expression of MnSOD, CuZnSOD, and EcSOD. The reversal of SOD-induced increase in DCFH fluorescence was greatest in the MnSOD group, which may be due to the fact that CuZnSOD is known to back react at a slow rate with H2O2, producing a hydroxyl radical–like species (23) that also oxidizes DCFH. Although EcSOD has not been shown to be able to generate hydroxyl radical, it may behave in a similar fashion to CuZnSOD based on the ~50% homology of the two enzymes at the active catalytic site (24).

Inhibition of CuZnSOD and MnSOD alters cell growth and ROS levels
To further determine the role of SOD in scavenging of superoxide and in the growth of pancreatic cancer, siRNAs to CuZnSOD and MnSOD were used (because EcSOD is not naturally expressed in pancreatic cancer cells or media, we could not inhibit this enzyme). Cells were seeded for 24 h and then transfected with 200 pmol of siRNAs (siNeg, siCuZnSOD, or siMnSOD) using LipofectAMINE 2000 (Invitrogen) transfection reagent. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were seeded for 24 h and infected with the adenoviral vectors, respectively. In contrast, overexpression of MnSOD, CuZnSOD, or EcSOD resulted in increases in DCFH fluorescence (Fig. 3B). Compared with the AdEmpty-infected cells, there were increases in DCFH fluorescence of 21%, 66%, and 92% with the AdMnSOD, AdCuZnSOD, and AdEcSOD vectors, respectively. As mentioned, interaction of DCFH with oxidants such as peroxide gives rise to cellular fluorescence. Because DCFH can also be oxidized by other ROS such as hydroxyl radical, PEGylated catalase was used to show that SOD-induced increases in DCFH fluorescence were most likely due to hydrogen peroxide. As seen in Fig. 3B, PEG-catalase treatment reversed the increase in DCFH fluorescence induced by enforced expression of MnSOD, CuZnSOD, and EcSOD. The reversal of SOD-induced increase in DCFH fluorescence was greatest in the MnSOD group, which may be due to the fact that CuZnSOD is known to back react at a slow rate with H2O2, producing a hydroxyl radical–like species (23) that also oxidizes DCFH. Although EcSOD has not been shown to be able to generate hydroxyl radical, it may behave in a similar fashion to CuZnSOD based on the ~50% homology of the two enzymes at the active catalytic site (24).
of hydroethidine and DCFH fluorescence. In MIA PaCa-2 cells treated with siCuZnSOD or siMnSOD, hydroethidine fluorescence was increased (Fig. 4D). Moreover, DCFH fluorescence was decreased in cells treated with either siCuZnSOD or siMnSOD (Fig. 4E).

**Growth of tumor xenografts**

To test the hypothesis that besides MnSOD, CuZnSOD and EcSOD could reduce tumor growth in vivo, we injected 2 × 10^6 MIA PaCa-2 cells s.c. into the flank region of nude mice and allowed tumors to reach 4 × 5 mm in greatest dimension. The adenovirus constructs were then injected into the tumors and the tumor volume was followed over time until they reached 1,000 mm^3, at which the mice were sacrificed. The AdEmpty vector was used to determine the role of adenovirus infection by itself on tumor suppression. When the AdMnSOD construct was given, a slower growth in tumor was observed in comparison with the control group as well as the AdEmpty injected group (Fig. 5A). The control and the AdEmpty group had mean tumor volumes of 473 and 473 mm^3, respectively, whereas the AdMnSOD group had a mean tumor volume of 401 mm^3 (Table 1A). This result is not surprising because previous work has shown similar tumor-suppressive effects of AdMnSOD (19). However, the AdCuZnSOD group had even more tumor growth suppression than the AdMnSOD group, with a mean tumor volume of 384 mm^3. Surprisingly, animals that received AdEcSOD had the smallest tumor volumes (350 mm^3) among the groups.

The mixed linear regression analysis of the tumor growth curves showed that their rate of growth differed significantly between the groups (P < 0.0001; Table 1A). Pairwise group comparisons were carried out to identify where the group differences occurred. The group pairs for which significant differences were observed included controls versus AdMnSOD, AdCuZnSOD, and AdEcSOD (P < 0.05) and AdEmpty versus AdMnSOD, AdCuZnSOD, and AdEcSOD (P < 0.05; Table 1B). The only nonsignificant group differences were between controls and mice that received the AdEmpty construct, between the groups of mice that received the AdCuZnSOD and AdEcSOD treatments, and between the AdMnSOD and AdEcSOD groups. Estimated tumor growth curves are displayed in Fig. 5A. Metastases are rare in this heterotopic model of pancreatic cancer, yet they do occur (25). In addition, some investigators have suggested that MnSOD-dependent generation of H2O2 leads to increased expression of matrix metalloproteinase-1 and to enhanced invasive capacity of tumors with elevated levels of MnSOD (26, 27). None of the animals in this current study developed metastatic disease.

The estimated survival curves for each treatment group are given in the Kaplan-Meier plots of Fig. 5B. The log-rank analyses of survival showed that the animals that received AdMnSOD had increased survival compared with controls (58.5 versus 40.0 days), whereas the AdEmpty group had a mean survival rate of 45.5 days. Again, AdEcSOD group had the highest survival rate among the treatment group (63 days) whereas animals with AdCuZnSOD treatment had a survival rate of 56 days (Table 2A). The global test of equality indicates that there is a significant difference in the survival times (P = 0.003). Pairwise group comparisons were carried out to identify where the group differences occurred. The group of animals that received the AdEcSOD vector had significant increases in survival when compared with the control and AdEmpty groups (P = 0.001; Table 2B). AdCuZnSOD and AdMnSOD both had significant increases in survival when compared with controls (P = 0.02, P = 0.04, respectively) and approached statistical significance when compared with AdEmpty (P = 0.05 and P = 0.07, respectively). No significant

---

**Table 1. In vivo tumor growth over time between treatment groups for the data shown in Fig. 5A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean tumor size (mm^3)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>473.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdEmpty</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>473.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdCuZnSOD</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>384.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdMnSOD</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>401.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdEcSOD</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>350.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**(B) P values for pairwise group comparisons of the tumor growth curves**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controls vs AdEmpty</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls vs AdCuZnSOD</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls vs AdMnSOD</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls vs AdEcSOD</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdEmpty vs AdCuZnSOD</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdEmpty vs AdMnSOD</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdEmpty vs AdEcSOD</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdCuZnSOD vs AdMnSOD</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdCuZnSOD vs AdEcSOD</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: The sample sizes (n) given in the table are the total number of measurements available within each group. The P values are for the global tests of equality between the growth curves across treatment groups.
Table 2. In vivo survival over time between treatment groups for the data shown in Fig. 5B

(A) Log-rank tests comparing the median survival times between treatment groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Median survival</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Pairwise differences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Controls vs AdEmpty; AdMnSOD, and AdEcSOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdEmpty</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdCuZnSOD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>AdEmpty vs AdCuZnSOD, and AdEcSOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdMnSOD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdEcSOD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B) P values for pairwise group comparisons of survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controls vs AdEmpty</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls vs AdCuZnSOD</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls vs AdMnSOD</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls vs AdEcSOD</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdEmpty vs AdCuZnSOD</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdEmpty vs AdMnSOD</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdEmpty vs AdEcSOD</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdCuZnSOD vs AdMnSOD</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdCuZnSOD vs AdEcSOD</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdMnSOD vs AdEcSOD</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: P values are for global tests of equality across groups.
suggest that the prooxidant environment leads to cell proliferation and reinforces the importance of ROS regulation of cellular homeostasis.

Mounting evidence suggests that increases in steady-state levels of ROS may trigger transformation and contribute to cancer progression by amplifying genomic instability (31). Our current study adds further data to suggest that generated ROS leads to pancreatic cancer cell proliferation and correlates well with others showing that ROS are prosurvival factors in pancreatic cancer (5). We and others (5) have shown that mitigating ROS by different approaches inhibits growth in pancreatic cancer cells. Vaquero et al. (5) inhibited ROS using various antioxidants including Tiron and N-acetylcysteine, MnSOD plasmid transfection, and Nox4 antisense. Their study shows that ROS protect pancreatic cancer cells from apoptosis. Thus, the prosurvival effect of ROS may be an important mechanism of pancreatic cancer cell resistance to therapy and reducing ROS may be a rational therapeutic approach. The opposite strategy of increasing ROS levels may also be a valid approach. Trachootham et al. (32) used this approach in ovarian epithelial cells expressing H-RasV12 treated with β-phenylethyl isothiocyanate, which effectively disables the glutathione antioxidant system and causes severe ROS accumulation preferentially in the transformed cells due to increases in ROS. Our group has also used similar approaches with dicumarol, a naturally occurring anticoagulant that increases mitochondrial production of ROS and preferential cytotoxicity to transformed cell lines (33).

In summary, we have shown that overexpression of MnSOD, CuZnSOD, and EcSOD decreases superoxide levels and increases peroxide levels in pancreatic tumor cells. Increasing SOD levels correlated with slower in vitro growth. Overexpression of CuZnSOD had the greatest effect in vitro in inhibiting cell growth and plating efficiency, whereas direct injections of the AdEcSOD vector had the greatest effect in inhibiting in vivo tumor growth and increasing survival. These studies suggest that scavenging membrane-generated superoxide may have beneficial effects on pancreatic cancer treatments.
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