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Abstract

Purpose: To report cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) penetration of erlotinib and its metabolite OSI-420.

Experimental Design: Pharmacokinetic measurements were done in plasma (days 1, 2, 3, and 8 of therapy) and, concurrently, in plasma and CSF (before and at 1, 2, 4, 8, and 24 h after dose on day 34 of therapy) in an 8-year-old patient diagnosed with glioblastoma who received local irradiation and oral erlotinib in a phase I protocol. CSF samples were collected from a ventriculoperitoneal shunt, which was externalized because of infection. Erlotinib concentrations were determined by liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry. CSF penetration of erlotinib and OSI-420 were estimated by a compartmental model and by calculating the ratio of CSF to plasma 24-h area under concentration-time curve (AUC0-24).

Results: This patient was assigned to receive erlotinib at a dose level of 70 mg/m², but the actual daily dose was 75 mg (78 mg/m²). Erlotinib and OSI-420 plasma pharmacokinetic variables on days 8 and 34 overlapped to suggest that steady state had been reached. Whereas erlotinib and OSI-420 AUC0-24 in plasma on day 34 were 30,365 and 2,527 ng h/mL, respectively, the correspondent AUC0-24 in the CSF were 2,129 and 240 ng h/mL, respectively. Erlotinib and OSI-420 CSF penetration were 7% and ~9%, respectively, using both estimate methods. The maximum steady-state CSF concentration of erlotinib was ~130 ng/mL (325 nmol/L).

Conclusions: The plasma pharmacokinetics of erlotinib in this child overlapped with results described in adults. Oral administration of erlotinib achieves CSF concentrations comparable with those active against several cancer cell lines in preclinical models.

Erlotinib hydrochloride (formerly known as OSI-774), an orally administered epidermal growth factor receptor and ERBB2 inhibitor, has been approved in the United States for use in patients with locally advanced or metastatic non–small cell lung cancer and for patients with locally advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer (1, 2). Erlotinib has also been tested as a single agent in adult patients with several types of cancer, including primary brain tumors (3–8).

Although the pharmacokinetics of erlotinib in both healthy volunteers and adult patients with cancer has been well characterized (6, 9–11), very little is known about the central nervous system penetration and exposure to this drug (12), which is a critical issue in the treatment of patients with primary brain tumors or systemic cancers with predisposition for brain and/or leptomeningeal spread.

We describe for the first time extensive plasma and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) pharmacokinetics of erlotinib and its active metabolite OSI-420 in one of our patients.

Materials and Methods

This 8-year-old mixed-race female presented with a 3-week history of progressive headaches. After a brain computed tomography showed a left thalamic mass, she underwent surgical resection of the tumor twice and also required ventriculoperitoneal shunt placement for hydrocephalus. She was then referred to our institution for further treatment. Histologic review confirmed the diagnosis of glioblastoma (WHO grade IV). Brain magnetic resonance imaging revealed subtotal resection of the tumor, which involved the left thalamus and midbrain, and enlargement of the left temporal horn of the lateral ventricle. Spine magnetic resonance imaging disclosed no tumor spread. Decompression of her left lateral ventricle was done before initiation of further therapy by insertion of a new catheter into that space, which was connected to the preexisting ventriculoperitoneal shunt.

Adjuvant treatment consisted of the combination of local conformal radiation therapy and erlotinib in a phase I clinical trial for patients between the ages of 3 and 25 years with newly diagnosed high-grade glioma. This clinical trial was approved by the Institutional Review Board at St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital. Informed consent for participation on this clinical trial and for plasma pharmacokinetic studies was obtained from the patient’s mother and assent was obtained from the patient. Erlotinib therapy was started on the 1st day of...
radiation therapy at a dose of 70 mg/m² administered orally once daily. The actual dose of erlotinib was rounded to 75 mg daily (78 mg/m²). Ondansetron was used as antiemetic throughout the duration of radiation therapy. Dexamethasone was started on day 9 of therapy because of progressive neurologic signs. Ranitidine administration was also started as prophylaxis for the gastrointestinal side effects of dexamethasone. Our patient experienced grade 3 hypophosphatemia and hypokalemia during the 2nd week of therapy, which improved with oral electrolyte supplementation. A CSF leak through the shunt incision, which required shunt externalization, was diagnosed on day 20 of therapy. Intravenous cefazolin was started, but it was later switched to vancomycin because of a positive CSF culture for Streptococcus viridans. Erlotinib and local radiation therapy were continued without interruption after shunt externalization. The ventriculoperitoneal shunt was reinternalized once target radiation therapy dose of 59.4 Gy was reached.

**Plasma and CSF erlotinib sampling and bioanalysis.** Serial plasma samples were collected after the first dose of erlotinib and on day 8 of therapy. Erlotinib dose was held on day 2 in all patients where consent was obtained for pharmacokinetic studies. Two milliliters of blood were drawn before and at 1, 2, 4, 8, 24 (± 2), 30 (± 4), and 48 (± 2) h after the first dose of erlotinib and before and at 1, 2, 4, 8, and 24 (± 2) h after the erlotinib dose on day 8. At the time of ventriculoperitoneal shunt externalization, we obtained additional Institutional Review Board approval to do serial, concurrent plasma and CSF pharmacokinetic studies. Once appropriate consent was obtained, 2 mL of blood were drawn simultaneously with CSF samples before and at 1, 2, 4, 8, and 24 h after erlotinib administration on day 34 of therapy. Each CSF collection was conducted in a sterile manner by allowing free flow of CSF under gravity over intervals between 6 and 12 min. Between 0.3 and 2.0 mL of CSF were obtained with each collection. To determine the approximate flow rate through the externalized shunt tubing, the volume of CSF that drained between each sample collection was also determined. Flow rate was determined by dividing the volume of CSF by the span of time over which it was collected.

Plasma and CSF erlotinib and OSI-420 concentrations were determined by a validated liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry method (13). The internal standard used was CP-396,059. Erlotinib and OSI-420 were extracted from plasma and CSF using 3 mL t-butyl methyl ether followed by shaking for 10 min and centrifugation for 10 min. The organic layer was transferred to a clean tube and evaporated to dryness. The dried extract was reconstituted in 200 μL of 80% methanol/water. Fifteen microliters of the reconstituted sample were directly injected into the liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry system. Chromatographic separation was achieved by gradient elution on a Phenomenex Luna 3μ C18 (50 × 4.6 mm; Phenomenex, San Francisco, CA). The mobile phase consisted of 80% methanol and 20% NH₄COOH (10 mmol/L) at a pH of 4.8. Compound detection was accomplished by chemical ionization at atmospheric pressure on an API 3000 (Applied Biosystems, Toronto, Ontario, Canada). The plasma standard curves, which ranged from 10 to 2,500 ng/mL for erlotinib and from 1 to 700 ng/mL for OSI-420, were fitted to a 1/×-weighted regression model. The CSF standard curves, which ranged from 1 to 250 ng/mL for erlotinib and from 0.1 to 70 ng/mL for OSI-420, were also fitted to a 1/×-weighted regression model. The lower limit of quantification for erlotinib and OSI-420 was 0.2 ng/mL. Control samples to assess the accuracy and precision of the assay were measured along with the study samples. Values for the between-run and within-run precision were <8.8% and <7.1% of the mean, respectively, with deviations from the nominal concentrations of ±9.2%.

**Compartmental pharmacokinetic modeling of plasma and CSF erlotinib and OSI-420.** The pharmacokinetics of erlotinib and OSI-420 in both plasma and CSF were determined using the compartmental model depicted in Fig. 1. This model has a gut compartment, a central and peripheral plasma compartment, and a single CSF compartment for both erlotinib and OSI-420. Additionally, a delay (Tau) between the gut and plasma compartments and a second delay between the plasma and CSF compartments were incorporated. The second delay was added to account for the time (82 min) that it took for the CSF to flow through the shunt tubing (volume of 6.6 mL). The rate variables are defined as follows: kₕ, the absorption rate from the gut; kₑ, the conversion of erlotinib to OSI-420; kₑmet, the elimination rate of OSI-420; and k₂₃, k₃₄, and k₅₆, the influx and efflux rates between the plasma and CSF for erlotinib and OSI-420, respectively. All rate variables have the unit of HR⁻¹. Additionally, V / F is the apparent volume of distribution of the erlotinib plasma compartment, Vₑmet / Fₑmet is the apparent volume of distribution of the OSI-420 plasma compartment, and VₑCSF represents the volume of erlotinib and OSI-420 CSF compartment. All volume units are described in liters. Because VₑCSF is not identifiable, we set it equal to V. We used an approximate volume of intraventricular CSF of 0.023 L following standard volumes established based on gender and age because VₑCSF could not be measured for our patient (14). Furthermore, the following secondary variables were determined: erlotinib clearance, \( CL = kₕVₑ \); erlotinib CSF penetration (formation/elimination), \( k₃₄Vₑ / k₃₄ VₑCSF \); and OSI-420 CSF penetration (formation/elimination), \( k₅₆Vₑ / k₅₆ VₑCSF \). The CSF penetration was also determined by comparing the ratio of CSF with plasma area under concentration-time curve (AUC), where the AUC for each component was determined using both the model-fitted curve and noncompartmental analysis. The measures of penetration were expressed as a percentage. The model variables were estimated using the maximum likelihood variable estimation method as implemented in ADAPT II (15).

**Noncompartmental analysis of plasma and CSF erlotinib and OSI-420 concentrations.** As another approach to determine the CSF penetration of erlotinib and OSI-420, we also calculated plasma and CSF AUC values from 0 to 24 h (AUC₀₋₂₄) for erlotinib and OSI-420 using a log-linear trapezoidal approach. The ratios of CSF to plasma AUC₀₋₂₄ values for erlotinib and OSI-420 were also expressed as a percentage.

**Results**

CSF pharmacokinetic studies were done at a time when the CSF cellularity was within normal limits and cultures were
already negative. CSF collection was well tolerated and was done without complications. Once the ventriculoperitoneal shunt was reinternalized, this child did not experience any further related complications. Our patient developed grade 2 rash at the time of CSF collection, which remained stable until the discontinuation of erlotinib at week 20 of therapy.

Figure 2 provides the plasma concentration-time plots of erlotinib and OSI-420 after the first dose (Fig. 2A) and on day 8 (Fig. 2B). The pharmacokinetic variables, including the intercompartment rate constants, half-lives, and apparent volumes of distribution determined after the first dose and on days 8 and 34 of erlotinib administration are summarized in Table 1.

Figure 3 depicts the plasma and CSF concentration-time plots of erlotinib and OSI-420 on day 34 of therapy. The disposition of erlotinib in the plasma on day 34 was similar to that observed on day 8 (oral clearance of 23.7 versus 25.9 L/h/m² for days 8 and 34, respectively; Table 1), indicating that this patient had reached steady state when the CSF studies were done.

The CSF penetration of erlotinib and OSI-420 calculated using the compartmental model were 7.0% and 9.5%, respectively. Because the CSF concentration of the samples obtained before and 24 h after erlotinib administration on day 34 did not fit well into the curve drawn based on the compartmental model (Fig. 3), we considered several alternatives to better describe the distribution of these samples (data not shown), including a nonlinear model that took into account the possible influence of erlotinib concentration on the transport between the plasma and CSF compartments. Because these variations in the model did not improve the fit, we also used a noncompartmental approach to determine the CSF penetration of erlotinib and OSI-420. The CSF penetration of erlotinib and OSI-420 were 6.9% and 8.6%, respectively, based on the ratio of the respective CSF and plasma AUC_{0-24} (Table 1). The erlotinib CSF concentrations 4 and 8 h after dosage on day 34 of therapy were 129 ng/mL (322.5 nmol/L) and 139 ng/mL (347.5 nmol/L), respectively (Fig. 3).

Discussion

We showed for the first time that the oral administration of erlotinib yields CSF concentrations comparable with those that were shown to be active in preclinical studies. In our patient, the maximum steady-state CSF concentrations of erlotinib were reached between 4 and 8 h after drug administration and were ~130 ng/mL (325 nmol/L). As a comparison, multiple studies have reported inhibitory effects of erlotinib against several tumor cell lines in vitro, including those derived from colorectal, head and neck, breast, and lung cancer, and medulloblastoma at concentrations similar to those reached in the CSF in our patient (16–18). Although OSI-420 retains antitumor activity, its concentration in the CSF represented only 10% of that of erlotinib in our patient.

Consistent with a phase I study design, our patient received a dose of erlotinib that was 10% lower than the recommended dose for phase II studies in adults (9) and almost one third

---

**Table 1. Summary of pharmacokinetic variables for erlotinib and OSI-420**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Day 1 (CV%)</th>
<th>Day 8 (CV%)</th>
<th>Day 34 (CV%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CL (L/h/m²)</td>
<td>37.9 (4)</td>
<td>23.7 (5)</td>
<td>25.9 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K_{a} (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.94 (43)</td>
<td>0.24 (59)</td>
<td>0.17 (145)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K_{e} (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.29 (52)</td>
<td>0.49 (72)</td>
<td>0.29 (142)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K_{emet} (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.96 (52)</td>
<td>4.07 (71)</td>
<td>3.46 (141)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tau gut (h)</td>
<td>1.05 (14.4)</td>
<td>0.74 (21)</td>
<td>0.0 (Fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tau CSF (h)</td>
<td>1.37 (Fixed)</td>
<td>1.02 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K_{23} (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>0.98 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K_{32} (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>13.1 (52)</td>
<td>4.87 (73)</td>
<td>9.02 (145)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (L/m²)</td>
<td>19,412</td>
<td>32,851</td>
<td>0.023 Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_{CSF} (L/m²)</td>
<td>1,416</td>
<td>3,921</td>
<td>Plasma, 28,388; OSI-420, 2,425; CSF, 209</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CV, coefficient of variation; NCA, noncompartmental analysis; CL, oral clearance.
lower than the equivalent dose recommended for adults with malignant gliomas not receiving enzyme-inducing anticonvulsants (6). Therefore, higher CSF concentrations of erlotinib would be expected at higher dosage levels, assuming that CSF penetration of erlotinib does not saturate.

Only one previously published study addressed the issue of erlotinib penetration into the central nervous system (12). Lassman et al. described erlotinib and OSI-420 steady-state trough concentrations in tumor samples obtained 24 h after dosing in six patients who underwent surgical resection of recurrent or progressive glioblastoma. These patients had received erlotinib for 1 week at a dose of 150 mg daily before surgery. The steady-state tumor trough concentrations of erlotinib and OSI-420 in four of these six patients were 6% to 8% and 5% to 11%, respectively, of concomitant plasma concentrations. Concomitant pharmacodynamic analysis of phosphorylated epidermal growth factor receptor, AKT, and extracellular signal-regulated kinase by Western blot was done in these four tumor samples and no consistent association of erlotinib therapy with either clinical outcome or status of the above putative targets was observed. In the two remaining patients, the steady-state trough tumor concentrations of erlotinib were 50% and 19% of concomitant plasma concentrations, and the respective OSI-420 concentrations were 54% and 28% of concomitant plasma concentrations. However, in both instances, the pharmacokinetic results were believed to be spurious because the tissue aliquot for pharmacokinetic studies was contaminated with a blood clot, and the respective Western blot analysis showed high phosphorylated epidermal growth factor receptor in both tumors. Overall, erlotinib penetration into four of these tumors was within the range seen in the CSF of our patient. Because the blood-brain barrier is commonly disrupted in high-grade gliomas, it would be reasonable to assume that erlotinib penetration would be higher within these tumors than in CSF. However, further studies will be required to elucidate this issue.

Although erlotinib alone or in combination has been extensively used in the treatment of several different types of cancer originating outside the central nervous system in adults, particularly non–small cell lung cancer (2–5, 7–10), only one case report to date has described activity of this agent in a patient with non–small cell lung cancer and brain metastases (19). Conversely, several case reports and series of patients have described the activity of gefitinib, a very similar oral epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor, in patients with non–small cell lung cancer and brain metastases, particularly those harboring tumors with mutations in the tyrosine kinase domain of epidermal growth factor receptor (20–22).

Three studies to date have described the use of erlotinib alone or in combination in the treatment of adults with primary brain tumors (6, 23, 24). Prados et al. (6) recently reported modest activity of erlotinib when administered with or without temozolomide in adults with recurrent or progressive high-grade glioma. In this study, 6 of 57 evaluable patients, including 5 patients with glioblastoma, had a partial response to therapy. Six of eight patients who experienced radiologic response received erlotinib only, and two patients received erlotinib and temozolomide. However, only two of eight patients had not experienced further tumor progression at the time of publication. The other two studies reported limited experience of erlotinib in combination with radiation therapy in a phase I setting (23) and a retrospective review of radiologic responses in 17 patients who received erlotinib and sirolimus (24).

We cannot draw any conclusions about the role of erlotinib in the therapy of our patient. Despite the modest activity of erlotinib in adults with recurrent high-grade glioma (6), those results are encouraging because of the lack or low activity of other agents in the same setting, including gefitinib (25, 26). The results of ongoing trials, including our own pediatric study, will hopefully elucidate the role of erlotinib in the treatment of patients with primary brain tumors or other systemic cancers with predisposition for central nervous system spread.
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