Cisplatin-Resistant Neuroblastoma Cells Express Enhanced Levels of Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) and Are Sensitive to Treatment with EGFR-Specific Toxins
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Abstract

Purpose: Neuroblastomas frequently show expression of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and may therefore be susceptible to EGFR-targeted therapies. Here, EGFR expression and functionality was investigated in parental chemosensitive neuroblastoma cell lines (UKF-NB-3, IMR-32, NFL, SH-SY5Y) and their cisplatin-resistant sublines (UKF-NB-3rCDDP1000, IMR-32rCDDP1000, NFLrCDDP1000, and SH-SY5YrCDDP500). Moreover, the EGFR antibody cetuximab, the EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor Tyrophostin B46, and recombinant EGFR-targeted toxins were investigated for their influence on the viability and growth of neuroblastoma cells.

Experimental Design: EGFR expression and function was measured by flow cytometry or Western blot. Cell viability was detected by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide assay. Apoptosis was examined by immunostaining for active caspase-3 or cleaved poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase. Cellular binding of FITC-labeled immunotoxins was studied by flow cytometry, and cellular uptake was studied by confocal laser scanning microscopy.

Results: The EGFR-targeted antibody and growth factor toxins scFv(14E1) - Pseudomonas exotoxin A (ETA) and TGF-α-ETA exerted anti-cancer effects in neuroblastoma cell lines that were insensitive to cetuximab or EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Furthermore, adaptation of chemosensitive neuroblastoma cells to cisplatin increased EGFR expression and sensitivity to both recombinant toxins. Treatment of chemosensitive neuroblastoma cells with cisplatin reversibly increased EGFR expression, whereas cisplatin-resistant cells showed enhanced EGFR expression independent of the presence of cisplatin. Combination treatment with scFv(14E1)-ETA or TGF-α-ETA and cisplatin exerted significantly improved anticancer effects compared with either single treatment in parental neuroblastoma cells, cisplatin-resistant sublines, and primary cultures.

Conclusions: EGFR-targeted cytotoxic reagents such as scFv(14E1)-ETA and TGF-α-ETA represent promising candidates for further development as antineuroblastoma agents, especially in combination with cisplatin.
EGFR expression and functionality in the neuroblastoma cell lines UKF-NB-3, IMR-32, NLF, and SH-SY5Y, and their cisplatin-resistant sublines UKF-NB-3\textsuperscript{CDDP1000}, IMR-32\textsuperscript{CDDP1000}, NLF\textsuperscript{CDDP1000}, and SH-SY5Y\textsuperscript{CDDP1500}. Moreover, we examined their sensitivity to the EGFR-specific antibody cetuximab, the EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor Tyrphostin B46, and EGFR-targeted recombinant toxins. The effects of the combination of cisplatin with EGFR-targeted toxins were studied in cell lines and in primary neuroblastoma cells.

Materials and Methods

Materials. Cetuximab (Erbitux) was received from Merck Pharma GmbH. Cisplatin was obtained from GRY-Pharma. The immunotoxins scFv(14E1)-\textit{Pseudomonas} exotoxin A (ETA) and TGF-\alpha-ETA were produced as described before (15). The EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor Tyrphostin B46 (AG555) was purchased from Merck Biosciences. Pseudomonas exotoxin A (ETA) and TGF-\alpha-ETA were provided by Dr. Angelika Eggert of Universitäts-Kinderklinik Essen, UKF-NB-3 was established as described (16). NLF cells were kindly provided by Dr. Angelika Eggert of Universitäts-Kinderklinik Essen, Germany. The adaptation of cells to cisplatin was done as described (18).

Cells. A431 and the parental neuroblastoma cell lines IMR-32 and SH-SY5Y were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. UKF-NB-3 was established as described (16). NLF cells were kindly provided by Dr. Angelika Eggert of Universitäts-Kinderklinik Essen, Germany. The adaptation of cells to cisplatin was done as described before (16). The parental cell lines UKF-NB-3, IMR-32, and NLF were adapted to the growth in the presence of 1,000 ng/mL cisplatin and SH-SY5Y was adapted to the growth in the presence of 500 ng/mL cisplatin and named SH-SY5Y\textsuperscript{CDDP1500}. The IC\textsubscript{50} values (concentrations that cause a 50% decrease in cell viability) for cisplatin in the cell lines showing cisplatin resistance of adapted cells are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Cytotoxicity of the EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor tyrphostin B46 or the EGFR-targeted recombinant toxins TGF-\alpha-ETA and scFv(14E1)-ETA toward neuroblastoma cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>IC\textsubscript{50} (ng/mL), mean \pm SD</th>
<th>IC\textsubscript{50} (\textmu mol/L), mean \pm SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cisplatin</td>
<td>TGF-\alpha-ETA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKF-NB-3</td>
<td>76.6 \pm 37.3</td>
<td>192.9 \pm 31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKF-NB-3\textsuperscript{CDDP1000}</td>
<td>1,489.6 \pm 262.6</td>
<td>147.7 \pm 9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMR-32</td>
<td>37.0 \pm 17.7</td>
<td>83.9 \pm 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMR-32\textsuperscript{CDDP1000}</td>
<td>2,800.7 \pm 136.3</td>
<td>44.8 \pm 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLF</td>
<td>141.5 \pm 27.9</td>
<td>25.0 \pm 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLF\textsuperscript{CDDP1000}</td>
<td>2,691.9 \pm 959.9</td>
<td>7.1 \pm 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH-SY5Y</td>
<td>121.4 \pm 54.3</td>
<td>192.7 \pm 35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH-SY5Y\textsuperscript{CDDP1500}</td>
<td>558.2 \pm 65.1</td>
<td>20.89 \pm 3.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IC\textsubscript{50} for EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibition = 0.7 \textmu mol/L.

Results

Increased EGFR expression in cisplatin-resistant neuroblastoma cells. EGFR protein expression was investigated in four different neuroblastoma cell lines (UKF-NB-3, IMR-32, NLF, and SH-SY5Y) in comparison with their cisplatin-resistant sublines (UKF-NB-3\textsuperscript{CDDP1000}, IMR-32\textsuperscript{CDDP1000}, NLF\textsuperscript{CDDP1000}, and SH-SY5Y\textsuperscript{CDDP1500}). More were studied in cell lines and in primary neuroblastoma cells.
Fig. 1. Expression and phosphorylation status of EGFR and ERK1/2 in neuroblastoma cell lines. A, EGFR expression (determined by flow cytometry) in UKF-NB-3, IMR-32, NLF, or SH-SY5Y relative to A431 cells that served as positive control (100%). B, EGFR expression (determined by flow cytometry) in the cisplatin-resistant neuroblastoma cell lines UKF-NB-3 CDDP1000 (UKF-NB-3CDDP), IMR-32 CDDP1000 (IMR-32CDDP), NLF CDDP1000 (NLF CDDP), and SH-SY5Y CDDP1000 (SH-SY5Y CDDP) relative to their corresponding parental cell lines (100%). *, P < 0.05 relative to EGFR expression of parental cell line. C and D, representative Western blots showing expression and phosphorylation status of EGFR and ERK 1/2 in neuroblastoma cells. Confluent cells were kept for 24 h in serum-free cell culture medium and then stimulated for 30 min with EGF (100 ng/mL). β-Actin served as loading control. C, UKF-NB-3, UKF-NB-3 CDDP1000 (UKF-NB-3CDDP), IMR-32 CDDP1000 (IMR-32CDDP) cells. D, NLF, NLF CDDP1000 (NLF CDDP), SH-SY5Y, SH-SY5Y CDDP1000 (SH-SY5Y CDDP) cells.

Fig. 2. Apoptosis induction by EGFR-targeted toxins (A and B), cell binding (C), and internalization (D). A, UKF-NB-3 or UKF-NB-3 CDDP1000 cells were treated with scFv(14E1)-ETA (100 ng/mL) or TGF-α-ETA (100 ng/mL) for 72 h and stained for activated caspase-3. *, P < 0.05 relative to untreated cells. B, UKF-NB-3 or UKF-NB-3 CDDP1000 cells were treated with scFv(14E1)-ETA (100 ng/mL) or TGF-α-ETA (100 ng/mL) for 72 h and stained for cleaved PARP. *, P < 0.05 relative to untreated cells. C, flow cytometric analysis of UKF-NB-3 and UKF-NB-3 CDDP1000 cells incubated with fluorescence-labeled scFv(14E1)-ETA (10 μg/mL). *, P < 0.05 relative to parental cell line. D, representative images showing UKF-NB-3 and UKF-NB-3 CDDP1000 cells (red) incubated with fluorescence-labeled (green) scFv(14E1)-ETA (1 μg/mL) for 6 h.
SH-SY5Y\textsuperscript{CDDP\textsubscript{500}} and with the epidermoid carcinoma cell line A431, which served as a positive control for EGFR expression (20). The parental neuroblastoma cell lines showed EGFR expression similar to A431 (Fig. 1A). All cisplatin-resistant sublines showed increased EGFR expression (1.5- to 2.5-fold) compared with their parental cell lines (Fig. 1B). Similar results were obtained by immune staining (data not shown).

**Influence of cetuximab or EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors on the growth of neuroblastoma cells.** The anti-EGFR antibody cetuximab is approved for the treatment of metastasized colorectal cancer and locally advanced head and neck squamous cell carcinomas.\textsuperscript{6} Moreover, it is under clinical examination for a number of additional forms of cancer (21). Steady state serum levels in humans have been determined at around 150 \(\mu\)g/mL.\textsuperscript{6} Neuroblastoma cell lines were incubated with cetuximab at concentrations up to 200 \(\mu\)g/mL, and cell viability was determined in MTT assays. NL\textsuperscript{F}CDDP\textsubscript{1000} was the only cell line in which the IC\textsubscript{50} was lower than 200 \(\mu\)g/mL (25.93 \(\pm\) 2.09 \(\mu\)g/mL). Cetuximab at 200 \(\mu\)g/mL did not significantly influence the growth of IMR-32 (cell viability relative to untreated control as indicated by MTT assay, 111.84 \(\pm\) 3.26\%), IMR-32\textsuperscript{CDDP\textsubscript{1000}} (114.65 \(\pm\) 10.12\%), and SH-SY5Y cells (93.73 \(\pm\) 1.89\%). The viability of UKF-NB-3 (80.34 \(\pm\) 1.52\%), UKF-NB-3\textsuperscript{CDDP\textsubscript{1000}} (74.46 \(\pm\) 8.00\%), and NL\textsuperscript{F} (81.00 \(\pm\) 4.53\%) cells was moderately influenced, whereas the viability of SH-SY5Y\textsuperscript{CDDP\textsubscript{500}} cells (53.3 \(\pm\) 5.36\%) was more strongly affected. No statistically significant differences were detected for the effects of cetuximab on the parental cell lines IMR-32 and UKF-NB-3 and their cisplatin-resistant sublines IMR-32\textsuperscript{CDDP\textsubscript{1000}} and UKF-NB-3\textsuperscript{CDDP\textsubscript{1000}}. In contrast, SH-SY5Y\textsuperscript{CDDP\textsubscript{500}} and NL\textsuperscript{F}CDDP\textsubscript{1000} cells were more sensitive to cetuximab than their parental cell lines SH-SY5Y and NL\textsuperscript{F}.

The IC\textsubscript{50} values for the effects of the EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor Typhostin B46 on the proliferation of the investigated neuroblastoma cell lines are shown in Table 1. The values are clearly higher (5.44-32.91 \(\mu\)mol/L) than those described for specific EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibition (700 \(\mu\)mol/L). Therefore, the antiproliferative effects caused by Typhostin B46 are more likely to be caused by unspecific effects than by EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibition. Moreover, cisplatin-resistant cell lines are less sensitive to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor treatment than the parental cell lines although cisplatin-resistant cells show increased EGFR expression.

**EGF-induced EGFR signaling in neuroblastoma cells.** After activation by ligands such as EGF, EGFRs dimerize, resulting in receptor autophosphorylation and internalization (1, 2). Downstream EGFR effects are mediated by several signal transduction pathways including the Ras/Raf/MAPK/ERK pathway. To investigate ligand-induced EGFR signaling in neuroblastoma cells, the cells were kept for 24 hours in serum-free medium, before EGF (100 \(\mu\)g/mL) was added for 30 minutes and cellular levels of EGFR, phosphorylated EGFR (pEGFR), ERK 1/2, and phosphorylated ERK 1/2 were examined by Western blot (Fig. 1C and D). UKF-NB-3\textsuperscript{CDDP\textsubscript{1000}} and IMR-32\textsuperscript{CDDP\textsubscript{1000}} showed increased basal expression of EGFR and pEGFR compared with their parental cell lines UKF-NB-3 and IMR-32. No differences were found in ERK 1/2 and phosphorylated ERK 1/2 expression. EGF did not induce EGFR phosphorylation or ERK 1/2 phosphorylation in UKF-NB-3, UKF-NB-3\textsuperscript{CDDP\textsubscript{1000}}, IMR-32, or IMR-32\textsuperscript{CDDP\textsubscript{1000}} cells (Fig. 1C). NL\textsuperscript{F}CDDP\textsubscript{1000} and SH-SY5Y\textsuperscript{CDDP\textsubscript{500}} had increased EGFR levels compared with their parental cell lines NL\textsuperscript{F} and SH-SY5Y. EGF induced strong phosphorylation of EGFR and ERK 1/2 in NL\textsuperscript{F}CDDP\textsubscript{1000}, SH-SY5Y, and SH-SY5Y\textsuperscript{CDDP\textsubscript{500}} cells (Fig. 1D).

**Increased efficacy of EGFR-targeted toxins against cisplatin-resistant neuroblastoma cells.** EGFR-targeted toxins exert their cytotoxic activity after receptor binding and intracellular uptake independent of EGFR signaling. Hence, we investigated the effects of the two EGFR-targeted toxins, scFv(14E1)-ETA and TGF-\(\alpha\)-ETA (15, 22–24), on neuroblastoma cell viability. TGF-\(\alpha\)-ETA is a recombinant fusion protein consisting of the natural EGFR ligand TGF-\(\alpha\) and a truncated form of ETA devoid of the toxin’s endogenous cell binding domain. ScFv(14E1)-ETA is a similar single-chain antibody-toxin derived from the EGFR-specific monoclonal antibody 14E1 (15).

The neuroblastoma cells were incubated for 5 days with increasing concentrations of the recombinant toxins, and cell viability was determined in MTT assays. The resulting IC\textsubscript{50} values are shown in Table 1. The cisplatin-resistant sublines were significantly more sensitive to the toxins than the parental cell lines. Toxins carrying truncated ETA as a cytotoxic domain were shown to exert anticancer effects via induction of apoptosis as indicated by caspase-3 activation and PARP cleavage (25, 26). To investigate the induction of apoptosis in neuroblastoma cells,
UKF-NB-3 and UKF-NB-3’CDDP1000 cells were incubated for 72 hours with 100 ng/mL of scFv(14E1)-ETA or TGF-α-ETA. Apoptotic cells were detected by immune staining for activated caspase-3 or cleaved PARP. Whereas the toxins induced apoptosis in both cell lines, toxin-induced apoptosis was enhanced in cisplatin-resistant UKF-NB-3’CDDP1000 cells (Fig. 2A and B). **Increased binding and uptake of scFv(14E1)-ETA by cisplatin-resistant UKF-NB-3 cells.** The binding and uptake of scFv(14E1)-ETA was studied in UKF-NB-3 and UKF-NB-3’CDDP1000 cells by flow cytometry and confocal laser scanning microscopy using fluorescence-labeled antibody toxin. Flow cytometry analysis revealed increased binding of fluorescence-labeled scFv(14E1)-ETA to UKF-NB-3’CDDP1000 cells compared with UKF-NB-3 cells (Fig. 2C). Similar results were obtained when uptake was investigated by confocal laser scanning microscopy (Fig. 2D). **Dependence of EGFR expression on the presence of cisplatin.** To investigate whether acute cisplatin treatment increases EGFR expression in neuroblastoma cells, the influence of cisplatin on EGFR expression was investigated in parental chemosensitive cells. MTT assays were done to determine cisplatin concentrations that did not induce cytotoxic effects, that induced moderate cytotoxic effects, or that had strong cytotoxic effects in the individual cell lines (data not shown). The cells were then treated with these three different cisplatin concentrations for 144 hours. Cisplatin induced a concentration-dependent increase in EGFR expression (Fig. 3A). Incubation of parental neuroblastoma cell lines with the highest chosen cisplatin concentrations for 1, 24, or 144 hours showed that cisplatin-induced EGFR expression increased with prolonged incubation periods (Fig. 3B). To investigate whether cisplatin-induced EGFR expression is reversible in parental cells, neuroblastoma cell lines were incubated for 144 hours with the highest chosen cisplatin concentrations, washed, and incubated for a further 48 hours with cisplatin-free medium. Thereby, in all cell lines investigated EGFR expression levels returned to those of untreated controls (data not shown). This indicates that cisplatin induces EGFR expression in a reversible manner. In contrast, the passaging of all cisplatin-resistant neuroblastoma cells for four passages without cisplatin did not result in a decrease in EGFR expression. UKF-NB-3’CDDP1000 and IMR-32’CDDP1000 were cultivated for 16 passages without cisplatin, and EGFR expression remained constant (data not shown), indicating that EGFR expression in cisplatin-resistant cells no longer depends on the continuous presence of cisplatin.

---

**Fig. 4.** Combined effects of EGFR-targeted toxins and cisplatin against cisplatin-sensitive neuroblastoma cells and cisplatin-resistant sublines. A, the parental cell lines UKF-NB-3 (NB3), IMR-32 (IMR), NLF, and SH-SY5Y (SY5Y) were treated with 100 ng/mL scFv(14E1)-ETA [scFv(14E1)], 100 ng/mL cisplatin, or a combination of scFv(14E1)-ETA and cisplatin. No viable cells were detected in NLF cells treated with the combination of scFv(14E1)-ETA and cisplatin. B, the parental cell lines NB3, IMR, NLF, and SY5Y were treated with TGF-α-ETA (100 ng/mL), cisplatin (100 ng/mL), or a combination of TGF-α-ETA and cisplatin. No viable cells were detected in NLF cells treated with the combination of TGF-α-ETA and cisplatin. C, the cisplatin-resistant cell lines UKF-NB-3’CDDP1000 (NB3), IMR-32’CDDP1000 (IMR), NLF’CDDP1000 (NLF), and SH-SY5Y’CDDP1000 (SY5Y) were treated with scFv(14E1)-ETA (100 ng/mL), cisplatin (1,000 ng/mL), or a combination of scFv(14E1)-ETA and cisplatin. D, the cisplatin-resistant cell lines NB3, IMR, NLF, and SY5Y were treated with TGF-α-ETA (100 ng/mL), cisplatin (1,000 ng/mL), or a combination of TGF-α-ETA and cisplatin. Cell viability was measured after a 5-d incubation period by MTT assay relative to untreated controls. *, P < 0.05 relative to either single treatment.
EGFR-targeted toxins increase the antitumoral activity of cisplatin. EGFR-targeted toxins and cisplatin were combined to investigate the effects of the combinations in comparison with either single treatment. The parental cell lines UKF-NB-3, IMR-32, NLF, and SH-SYSY were treated with 100 ng/mL of the recombinant toxins scFv(14E1)-ETA or TGF-α-ETA, 100 ng/mL of cisplatin, or a combination of toxin plus cisplatin. The cisplatin-resistant cell lines UKF-NB-3rCDDP1000, IMR-32rCDDP1000, and NLFrCDDP1000 were treated with 100 ng/mL of the toxins, 1,000 ng/mL of cisplatin, or a combination of toxin plus cisplatin. SH-SYSYrCDDP500 was treated with 100 ng/mL of the toxins, 500 ng/mL of cisplatin, or a combination of toxin plus cisplatin. Survival of cells was determined after a five-day incubation period by MTT assay relative to untreated controls.

The results are shown in Fig. 4. In all cell lines investigated, the combination of EGFR-targeted toxins with cisplatin decreased cell viability significantly more than single treatment with toxins or cisplatin. Similar results were obtained in primary neuroblastoma cells isolated from the bone marrow of patients with metastasized International Neuroblastoma Staging System stage 4.

Discussion

In this report, we found that neuroblastoma cell lines adapted to growth in the presence of 1,000 ng/mL of cisplatin (UKF-NB-3rCDDP1000, IMR-32rCDDP1000, NLFrCDDP1000), or 500 ng/mL cisplatin (SH-SYSYrCDDP500) display enhanced EGFR expression compared with their cisplatin-sensitive parental cell lines.

Contradictory data have been published concerning the influence of EGF on neuroblastoma cells. EGF has been shown to stimulate, inhibit, or not influence human neuroblastoma cell growth (7, 27–35). Here, the cell lines NLF and SH-SYSY and their corresponding cisplatin-resistant sublines (NLFrCDDP1000, SH-SYSYrCDDP500) displayed EGF-induced EGFR signaling indicated by EGFR autophosphorylation and ERK 1/2 phosphorylation. Among these cell lines, only the cisplatin-resistant NLFrCDDP1000 and SH-SYSYrCDDP500 cells, but not the parental cell lines NLF and SH-SYSY, showed significant sensitivity to cetuximab in concentrations up to 200 μg/mL, a concentration in the range of therapeutic plasma concentrations in patients (36). In contrast, EGF did not induce EGFR signaling in UKF-NB-3, IMR-32, UKF-NB-3rCDDP1000, or IMR-32rCDDP1000 cells that were insensitive to cetuximab. In agreement with our data, previous reports showed EGFR signaling in NLF and SH-SYSY cells (7). In contrast to our results, however, a mitogenic response to EGF was described for IMR-32 cells in another study (28). Hence, culture conditions may influence the response of neuroblastoma cells to stimulation by EGF or specific inhibition of EGFR signaling. Furthermore, mitogenic effects and proapoptotic actions of EGF may be concentration-dependent (34).

The EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor gefitinib was shown to induce apoptosis in different neuroblastoma cell lines (KP-N-TK, KP-N-SIFA; ref. 8). In contrast, for the cell lines investigated in our study, we did not observe specific effects by the small molecular weight EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor Tyrophostin B46. Unlike antibodies and EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors, EGFR-targeted toxins should be active against cancer cells expressing EGFR for as long as they are internalized after toxin binding (22). We investigated the effects of the EGFR-targeted toxins scFv(14E1)-ETA and TGF-α-ETA on the growth of neuroblastoma cells. Cisplatin-resistant neuroblastoma cells showed an increased sensitivity to treatment with EGFR-targeted toxins when compared with the corresponding cisplatin-sensitive parental neuroblastoma cells. This is most likely due to enhanced cell binding and intracellular uptake as indicated by flow cytometry and confocal laser scanning microscopy.

Acute cisplatin treatment of parental chemosensitive neuroblastoma cells also induced increased EGFR expression. This effect was reversible and depended on the presence of cisplatin. In contrast, the cisplatin-resistant variants showed enhanced EGFR expression also after cultivation for several passages in the absence of cisplatin, indicating that adaptation of neuroblastoma cells to cisplatin results in stable changes that cause elevated EGFR expression. Combined treatment of parental cisplatin-sensitive cells with either one of the two EGFR-targeted toxins plus cisplatin showed significantly stronger anticancer effects than either single treatment alone. Surprisingly, this was also the case for cisplatin-resistant sublines. Whereas the cisplatin concentrations used had no significant effect on the growth of these cells, combinations of

---

**Fig. 5.** Combined effects of EGFR-targeted toxins and cisplatin against primary neuroblastoma cells. Primary neuroblastoma cells from the bone marrow of two patients with metastasized relapsed International Neuroblastoma Staging System stage 4 neuroblastoma (A and B) were treated with scFv(14E1)-ETA (100 ng/mL), TGF-α-ETA (100 ng/mL), cisplatin (100 ng/mL or 1,000 ng/mL), or combinations of scFv(14E1)-ETA or TGF-α-ETA and cisplatin. *, P < 0.05 relative to untreated control; #, P < 0.05 relative to either single treatment.
EGFR-targeted toxins with cisplatin were significantly more effective than treatment with toxin as a single modality. This suggests that the inhibition of protein synthesis by the recombinant toxins and/or their proapoptotic activity can act at least in part restore the cytotoxic effects of cisplatin. Notably, the combination of EGFR-targeted toxins with cisplatin also showed significantly enhanced antineuroblastoma effects in comparison with either single treatment in primary cell cultures. The systemic use of EGFR-targeted toxins has been limited by liver toxicity in clinical trials (37). Combination with cisplatin may decrease the doses needed to exert anticancer effects in neuroblastoma and therefore reduce adverse events.

In conclusion, our data show that the EGFR-targeted toxins scFv(14E1)-ETA and TGF-α-ETA exert potent anticancer effects against neuroblastoma cells that are insensitive to cetuximab or EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Adaptation of chemosensitive neuroblastoma cells to cetuximab enhanced EGFR expression and increased their sensitivity to EGFR-specific toxins. Combinations of EGFR-specific toxins with cetuximab exerted improved anticancer effects when compared with either single treatment in parental neuroblastoma cell lines, their cisplatin-resistant sublines, and primary neuroblastoma cells.
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