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Abstract Purpose: Axitinib (AG-013736) is a potent and selective inhibitor of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptor tyrosine kinases 1 to 3 that is in clinical development for the treatment of solid tumors. We provide a comprehensive description of its in vitro characteristics and activities, in vivo antiangiogenesis, and antitumor efficacy and translational pharmacology data.

Experimental Design: The potency, kinase selectivity, pharmacologic activity, and antitumor efficacy of axitinib were assessed in various nonclinical models.

Results: Axitinib inhibits cellular autophosphorylation of VEGF receptors (VEGFR) with picomolar IC50 values. Counterscreening across multiple kinase and protein panels shows it is selective for VEGFRs. Axitinib blocks VEGF-mediated endothelial cell survival, tube formation, and downstream signaling through endothelial nitric oxide synthase, Akt, and extracellular signal-regulated kinase. Following twice daily oral administration, axitinib produces consistent and dose-dependent antitumor efficacy that is associated with blocking VEGFR-2 phosphorylation, vascular permeability, angiogenesis, and concomitant induction of tumor cell apoptosis. Axitinib in combination with chemotherapeutic or targeted agents enhances antitumor efficacy in many tumor models compared with single agent alone. Dose scheduling studies in a human pancreatic tumor xenograft model show that simultaneous administration of axitinib and gemcitabine without prolonged dose interruption or truncation of axitinib produces the greatest antitumor efficacy. The efficacious drug concentrations predicted in nonclinical studies are consistent with the range achieved in the clinic. Although axitinib inhibits platelet-derived growth factor receptors and KIT with nanomolar in vitro potencies, based on pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic analysis, axitinib acts primarily as a VEGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor at the current clinical exposure.

Conclusions: The selectivity, potency for VEGFRs, and robust nonclinical activity may afford broad opportunities for axitinib to improve cancer therapy.
Translational Relevance
Axitinib is a potent and selective VEGF inhibitor currently in phase II/III development. Clinical reports and narrowly focused academic publications have been published. There is a need to describe the characteristics of axitinib based on information of discovery and translational research. *Clinical Cancer Research* is an ideal journal for this article because its broad audience of medical oncologists, translational researchers, and basic research scientists can all benefit from this comprehensive and integrated report. The translational value to the article includes the clinically relevant target and efficacious concentrations; the nature of axitinib's selectivity in the context of the current clinical dose; the molecular basis for clinical hypertension and why it would be manageable; the potential impact of dose scheduling, interruption, or truncation on antitumor efficacy; and for the first time the proof of concept of enhanced benefit by targeting both the VEGF ligand and intracellular VEGFR of tumor vasculature—a hypothesis that is being tested in the clinic. We also briefly discuss the difference in selectivity profile between several similar RTKIs, an issue of interest in the field of antiangiogenesis. This discussion provides clarification on why we believe axitinib would present non-overlapping and important therapeutic opportunities in the clinic.

VEGFR selectivity are in phase II/III development with some activities including PTK787 (12), AZD2171 (13), and motesanib diphosphate (14). The phase II activities of multi-RTKIs XL999 [ref. 15; anti-fibroblast growth factor receptors (FGFR) 1-3, VEGFR-2, PDGFR, RET, KIT, SRC, and Flt-3] and XL880 (ref. 16; anti-MET and VEGFR) are encouraging but are coupled with noticeable toxicities.

Although the multi-RTKIs can be highly effective in treating cancers through antagonizing more than one cancer pathway, an oral, potent, and selective VEGF RTKI with desirable pharmacokinetics may improve effectiveness, ease of dose adjustment, and high combinability with chemotherapy and targeted therapy, which enables the treatment of a broad spectrum of cancers. Furthermore, the highly potent and selective VEGF RTKIs may afford to avoid significant adverse events in patients that do not tolerate the inhibition of multiple RTKs (often expressed on epithelial cells, hematopoietic lymphocytes, and/or leukocytes), as in the case of potent, selective, and prolonged PDGFR inhibition that may not be desirable (17, 18).

Axitinib is an oral, potent, and selective small-molecule inhibitor of VEGF RTKs 1, 2, 3. It is currently in phase I to III development in a range of solid tumors. In nonclinical and clinical studies, the compound has been shown to inhibit angiogenesis, vascular permeability, and blood flow (19–21). In phase II studies, axitinib showed single-agent activity in a wide range of tumor types, including advanced renal cell carcinoma (22), thyroid cancer (23), non-small cell lung cancer (24), and melanoma (25) as well as improved progression-free survival and overall survival in advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer when combined with gemcitabine (26).

In addition, axitinib has a well-tolerated clinical safety profile and a relatively shorter half-life (2-5 h). Compared with some other similar antiangiogenic agents currently in development, axitinib appears to be a much more potent and selective VEGFR inhibitor (Fig. 1), which may be a key contributing factor to its clinical activity and tolerability.

**Materials and Methods**

**Compound synthesis and formulation**
Axitinib [N-(methyl-2-[3-(E)-2-pyridin-2-yl-vinyl]-1H-indazol-6-ylsulfonyl)benzamide] was synthesized as described in U.S. Patent 6,534,524. For in vitro experiments, axitinib was dissolved in 10 mmol/L DMSO and diluted as needed, and for in vivo studies, axitinib was formulated in 0.5% carboxymethylcellulose/H2O-HCl (g/v, pH 2-3) and dosed as a suspension at 5 mL/kg orally twice daily. Bevacizumab (Avastin; Henry Schein) was formulated in sterile PBS and dosed at 5 mg/kg i.v. twice a week. Docetaxel (Taxotere; Amersham/ Bergen Brunswick) was administered i.v. at 5 to 40 mg/kg once a week. Carboplatin was dosed i.p. daily for 5 days during each treatment cycle. Gemcitabine (Eli Lilly) was formulated in 0.9% NaCl and dosed i.p. 3 times per d for 4 d with a 10-day dosing break between cycles.

**Cells and cell culture**
Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were purchased from Clonetics or Cambrex Bio Science. Human microvascular endothelial cells were purchased from Cascade Biologics. MV522 (human colon cancer) cells were obtained from the University of California. M24met (human melanoma) cells were provided by Dr. Barbara Mueller (La Jolla Institute for Molecular Medicine). Tumor cell lines LLC (murine Lewis lung carcinoma), NIH-3T3 (murine fibroblastoma), C6 (rat glioblastoma), U87MG (human glioblastoma), MDA-MB-231 (human breast carcinoma), HT29 (human colon carcinoma), A375 and A2058 (human melanoma), NCI-H526 (human small cell lung carcinoma), A2780 (human ovarian carcinoma), and Namalwa (human lymphoma) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection.

**Animals**
Female nu/nu mice or severe combined immunodeficient beige mice (ages 7-10 weeks) were obtained from Harlan or Charles River Laboratories. Animals were fed Lab Diet 5061 (PMI Nutrition International) and water ad libitum. Animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH, 1996) by Institute of Laboratory Animal Research (NIH).

**Cellular receptor kinase phosphorylation assay**
Porcine aorta endothelial (PAE) cells overexpressing full-length VEGFR-2, PDGFR-β, KIT, and NIH-3T3 overexpressing murine VEGFR-2 (Flk-1) or PDGFR-α were generated as described previously (27, 28). The ELISA capture plates were prepared by coating 96-well ReactiBind plates (Pierce) with 100 µL/well of 2.5 µg/mL anti-VEGFR-2 antibody (Novus Biologicals), 0.75 µg/mL anti-PDGFR-β antibody, 0.25 µg/mL anti-PDGFR-α antibody (both Santa Cruz Biotechnology), 0.5 µg/mL anti-KIT antibody (NeoMarkers), or 1.20 µg/mL anti-Flk-1 antibody (Invitrogen). Measurement of RTK phosphorylation by ELISA was done as described previously (29).

**Three-dimensional spheroidal tube formation assay**
Five hundred human microvascular endothelial cells were added to EGM-2 medium containing 0.24% methylcellulose and transferred to U-bottomed 96-well plates to form a spheroid overnight. Approximately 50 spheroids were collected and mixed with 2 mg/mL fibrinogen solution containing 4% to 8% fetal bovine serum (FBS).
with or without compound in the 48-well plates coated with thrombin (5,000 units/mL). The resulting three-dimensional fibrin gel was covered with EGM-2 containing 4% to 8% FBS and incubated at 37°C. Endothelial tube formation was observed daily under an inverted microscope.

**Immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting**

Endothelial or tumor cells were starved for 18 h in the presence of either 1% FBS (HUVEC) or 0.1% FBS (tumor cells). Axitinib was added and cells were incubated for 45 min at 37°C in the presence of 1 mmol/L Na3VO4. The appropriate growth factor was added to the cells, and after 5 min, cells were rinsed with cold PBS and lysed in the lysis buffer (Cell Signaling) and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Applied Science). The lysates were incubated with immunoprecipitation antibodies for the intended proteins overnight at 4°C. Antibody complexes were conjugated to protein A beads and supernatants were separated by SDS-PAGE. The Super Signal West Dura kit (Pierce) was used to detect the chemiluminescent signal.

**In vivo target modulation**

**VEGFR-2 phosphorylation inhibition in the rat development model.** Six-day-old Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratory) were given two i.p. injections of axitinib. Animals were sacrificed, retinas were collected and lysed, and immunoprecipitation/immunoblotting experiments were done as described above. ECL-Plus (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) was used for detection and densitometry analysis was done using the Alpha Imager 8800 (Alpha Innotech).

**VEGFR-2 phosphorylation inhibition in xenograft tumors.** Mice with M24met xenograft tumors (400-600 mm3) were administered with a single i.p. injection of axitinib and cells were incubated for 45 min at 37°C in the presence of 1 mmol/L Na3VO4. The appropriate growth factor was added to the cells, and after 5 min, cells were rinsed with cold PBS and lysed in the lysis buffer (Cell Signaling) and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Applied Science). The lysates were incubated with immunoprecipitation antibodies for the intended proteins overnight at 4°C. Antibody complexes were conjugated to protein A beads and supernatants were separated by SDS-PAGE. The Super Signal West Dura kit (Pierce) was used to detect the chemiluminescent signal.

**Skin vascular permeability assay in naïve or tumor-bearing mice**

The assay was done according to Miles and Miles (30) with some modifications. nu/nu mice (n = 5–8) received a single oral dose of axitinib followed by an injection of 30 μL Evan’s blue dye through the tail vein. Thirty minutes later, murine VEGF-A (400 ng in 10 μL PBS) or PBS was injected into the trunk area posterior to the shoulder of the animal. Four hours later, the skin region immediately surrounding the blue color area was dissected and immersed in 1 mL formamide. Evan’s blue was extracted by incubating the tissues in formamide at 56°C for 24 h. Vascular permeability was quantified by measuring light absorbance at 620 nm.

**Mouse xenograft models**

In general, tumor cells in FBS-depleted medium were implanted s.c. into the right flank region of athymic mice, except for the following: the M24met cells were implanted intradermally in a 50 to 100 μL volume in BALB/C severe combined immunodeficient mice; the procedures for orthotopic implantation of HCT-116-GFP and SN12C-GFP tumors have been described elsewhere (31); the A375 cells were implanted in the presence of 10% Matrigel; the LLC tumors were inoculated either using the suspension cells or 2 × 2 mm viable tumor fragments via the Trocar needles. Unless otherwise specified, mice were randomized when the average tumor was ~100 mm3 (9-12 per group). Tumor volumes

**Axitinib pharmacokinetics**

Plasma concentrations of axitinib were quantitatively determined by a triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with a high-performance liquid chromatography system (Agilent 1100) using a Phenyl column (Zorbax Eclipse XDB, 5 μm particle size, 50 × 2.1 mm) under isotropic conditions of 60:40 water/acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid. Data were collected under multiple reaction monitoring mode of m/z 387.3–356.2 for axitinib and m/z 394.2–360.2 for the internal standard, AG-013736-d7. The method quantified for axitinib over the range of 1 to 1,000 ng/mL in mouse plasma. The area under the plasma concentration-time curve of axitinib was calculated using the linear trapezoidal rule.

**Comparison of cellular selectivity of approved and investigational small-molecule RTKIs.** Data are expressed as ratios of IC50 of RTK/IC50 of VEGFR-2, where cellular IC50 values for axitinib, sunitinib, SU14813, and vatalanib were obtained from receptor phosphorylation assays using transfected PAE cells; the ratios for sorafenib, motesanib diphsophate (AMG706), and AZD2171 were calculated based on published data. Ratio of IC50 of RTK/IC50 of VEGFR-2 ≤ 5 is indicated by the gray panel, suggesting lack of a meaningful selectivity for VEGFRs versus a given RTK (PDGFR-β, KIT, or Flt-3). Inset, chemical structure of axitinib.
were calculated by fitting the data using a four-variable analysis in a statistical data-analyzing template. B, dose-dependent inhibition of VEGF-stimulated but not basic FGF-stimulated HUVEC survival. HUVECs (passages 4–5) were incubated with axitinib for 45 min before adding 20 ng/mL VEGF (■) or basic FGF (▲). Three days later, viable cell numbers were determined by the method of MTT. Mean ± SD of triplicates. IC_{50} values were calculated the same as above. C, axitinib (3, 6, 12.5, 25, and 50 nmol/L) dose-dependently blocked the sprouting and tube formation of human microvascular endothelial cell spheroids. Images were captured on day 7 by a digital camera (Olympus BX60) connected to the microscope. D, dose-dependent inhibition of Akt, eNOS, and ERK1/2 phosphorylation by axitinib in HUVEC immunoprecipitation/immunoblotting. HUVECs were starved and treated with axitinib for 45 min with the indicated concentrations followed by a 5-min VEGF stimulation. The cell lysates (1 mg total lysate proteins) were immunoprecipitated with antibody SC-1158 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for VEGFR-2. For immunoblotting, anti-phosphotyrosine antibody 4G10, phospho-Akt, phospho-eNOS, and phospho-MAPK44/42 (all Cell Signaling) were used for phosphorylated VEGFR-2, Akt, eNOS, and ERK1/2, respectively. The horseradish peroxidase-linked IgG was used as the secondary antibody to probe for phosphorylated proteins. Total VEGFR-2, Akt, eNOS, and ERK were also probed using antibodies specific to these molecules. The levels of cellular proteins were not changed as a result of axitinib treatment (data not shown). Equal protein loading of each lane is indicated by total ERK2 signal (bottom row).

**Results**

**Axitinib potently inhibits cellular VEGF RTK activities in vitro.** In transfected or endogenous RTK–expressing cells, axitinib potently blocked growth factor–stimulated phosphorylation of VEGFR-2 and VEGFR-3 with average IC_{50} values of 0.2 and 0.1 nmol/L, respectively (Fig. 2A; Table 1). Cellular activity against VEGFR-1 was 1.2 nmol/L (measured in the presence of 2.3% bovine serum albumin), equivalent to an absolute IC_{50} of ~0.1 nmol/L, based on protein binding of axitinib. The potency against murine VEGFR-2 (Flk-1) in Flk-1–transfected NIH-3T3 cells was 0.18 nmol/L, similar to that of its human homologue. Axitinib showed ~8– to 25-fold higher IC_{50} against the closely related type III and V family RTKs, including PDGFR-β (1.6 nmol/L), KIT (1.7 nmol/L), and PDGFR-α (5 nmol/L; Table 1); nanomolar concentrations of axitinib blocked PDGF BB–mediated human glioma U87MG cell (PDGFR-β-positive) migration but not proliferation (data not shown). In contrast,
Axitinib showed potent inhibition of VEGF-mediated endothelial cell survival, migration, and tube formation. Axitinib showed potential inhibition of VEGF-stimulated but not basic FGF-stimulated HUVEC survival with ~1,000-fold selectivity for VEGF-2 versus FGF-1 receptors (Fig. 2B). The average IC₅₀ value for VEGF-2 derived from the functional assays (0.24 ± 0.09 nmol/L) was similar to that obtained in the cellular receptor phosphorylation assays (Table 1), confirming that receptor antagonism led to a functional inhibition by the compound. In addition, axitinib dose-dependently inhibited spheroidal endothelial tube formation in a three-dimensional fibrin matrix system (Fig. 2C; Supplementary Fig. S1). Higher compound concentrations than other types of assays were required to inhibit tube formation because of the presence of 2.3% bovine serum albumin typically shifted cellular IC₅₀ values up by a factor of ~10.

Axitinib exposed primary tumor inhibition of vascular permeability in the skin of mice; the inhibition was dose-dependent and not expressed functional human VEGFR-2. A single oral dose of axitinib (100 mg/kg) markedly suppressed human tumors for up to 7 h compared with control tumors (Fig. 3B). Phosphorylation of downstream ERK1/2 was also measured from the same samples. Compared with the control, partial inhibition of ERK1/2 signal was observed in treated tissues as early as 30 min post-dose. A similar inhibitory effect was also shown in the skin of V522 tumor-bearing mice without exogenous VEGF-A stimulation (data not shown).

Taken together, the required in vivo pharmacologic concentration (Cₜₐrg) based on the inhibition of vascular VEGF-2 phosphorylation and VEGF-mediated permeability is ~0.5 nmol/L (unbound), which translates to a Cₜₐrg of ~100 nmol/L (or 40 ng/mL, total concentration) in humans.

Axitinib inhibited tumor growth and angiogenesis in mice. Axitinib inhibited the growth of human xenograft tumors in mice (Table 2). Axitinib produced dose-dependent growth delay regardless of initial tumor size, model type, or implant site. Importantly, axitinib exhibited primary tumor inhibition and distant metastasis control in orthotopically implanted tumors such as M24met (melanoma), HCT-116 (colorectal cancer), and SN12C (renal cell carcinoma). A dose-dependent

### Table 1. Enzymatic Kᵢ and cellular IC₅₀ values determined by receptor phosphorylation assays

| Target | RTK phosphorylation, IC₅₀ (nmol/L) ± SD | Immunoprecipitation/immunoblotting
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR-2 (KDR)</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.06 (VEGFR-2/PAE)</td>
<td>0.06 (HUVEC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR-1 (Flt-1)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-0.1 ± 0.3 (HUVEC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murine VEGFR-2 (Flk-1)</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.03 (Flk-1/NIH-3T3)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR-3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.1–0.3 (VEGFR-3/PAE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGFR-β</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.4 (PDGFR-β/PAE)</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.4 (NIH-3T3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGFR-α</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.0 (PDGFR-α/NIH-3T3)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIT</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.6 (KIT/PAE)</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.4 (NCI-H526)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF-1R</td>
<td>73 ± 18 (CSF-1R/NIH-3T3)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flt-3</td>
<td>&gt;1,000 (RS; 411)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviation: ND, not determined.
† Measured in the presence of 1% FBS (HUVEC) or 0.1% FBS (PAE or tumor cells).
‡ Measured in the presence of 1% FBS and 2.3% bovine serum albumin. Data were corrected for protein binding with the consideration that the presence of 2.3% bovine serum albumin typically shifted cellular IC₅₀ values up by a factor of ~10.

Axitinib had much weaker target and functional activity against FGFR-1 (Fig. 1A and B; Table 1). With up to 1 μmol/L concentrations, axitinib showed minimal activity against Flt-3 in RS; 411 cells and RET in TT cells (data not shown). A similar trend was observed for enzymatic inhibitory activity (Kᵢ) against recombinant tyrosine kinases of the aforementioned receptors (data not shown). Importantly, axitinib had little inhibition against “off-target” protein kinases; at a concentration of 1 μmol/L (~1,000-fold of the Kᵢ for VEGFR-2) across three kinase panels of ~100 protein kinases (Pfizer in-house; Upstate and Dundee panels), axitinib inhibited only five protein kinases: Abl, Aurora-2, Arg, AMPK, Axl, and MST2 (~60% inhibition). Finally, axitinib exhibited no significant activity in a broad protein kinase screen (Cerep; data not shown).

**Axitinib inhibits VEGF-mediated endothelial cell survival, migration, and tube formation.** Axitinib showed potent inhibition of VEGF-stimulated but not basic FGF-stimulated HUVEC survival with ~1,000-fold selectivity for VEGFR-2 versus FGFR-1 receptors (Fig. 2B). The average IC₅₀ value for VEGF-2 derived from the functional assays (0.24 ± 0.09 nmol/L) was similar to that obtained in the cellular receptor phosphorylation assays (Table 1), confirming that receptor antagonism led to a functional inhibition by the compound. In addition, axitinib dose-dependently inhibited spheroidal endothelial tube formation in a three-dimensional fibrin matrix system (Fig. 2C; Supplementary Fig. S1). Higher compound concentrations than other types of assays were required to inhibit tube formation because of the presence of the higher serum level (4-8% FBS) in the system.

**Axitinib inhibits intracellular signal transduction in endothelial cells.** Axitinib rapidly and dose-dependently reduced the phosphorylation of Akt, endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS), and extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2), key downstream signaling molecules of VEGF (Fig. 2D), with IC₅₀ values similar to that of the inhibition of VEGFR-2. This suggests that the reduction in Akt, eNOS, and ERK1/2 phosphorylation may be due to antagonism of upstream VEGFRs by axitinib.

**Target modulation in vivo by axitinib.** Acute axitinib treatment rapidly and significantly reduced retinal vascular VEGF-2 phosphorylation. One hour after the second dose, retinal VEGF-2 phosphorylation was reduced by 80% to 90% compared with that of the control tissues (Fig. 3A, left). Six and 24 to 32 h post-dose, the phospho-VEGFR-2 levels returned to ~50% and 100% of the control, respectively. Levels of phospho-VEGFR-2 inversely correlated with axitinib plasma concentrations over the study time course. The EC₅₀ value for the inhibition of VEGF-2 phosphorylation was 0.49 nmol/L (or 0.19 ng/mL, the unbound value corrected for plasma protein binding; Fig. 3A, right). Axitinib also inhibited murine VEGFR-2 phosphorylation in angiogenic vessels of xenograft tumors of the M24met; M24met tumors secrete high VEGF-A, are highly vascularized, and do not express functional human VEGFR-2. A single oral dose of axitinib (100 mg/kg) markedly suppressed murine VEGFR-2 phosphorylation for up to 7 h compared with control tumors (Fig. 3B). Phosphorylation of downstream ERK1/2 was also measured from the same samples. Compared with the control, partial inhibition of ERK1/2 signal was observed in treated tissues as early as 30 min post-dose and remained inhibited at least 7 h (Fig. 3C).

Axitinib rapidly inhibited VEGF-induced vascular permeability in the skin of mice; the inhibition was dose-dependent and directly correlated with drug concentration in mice (Fig. 3D). Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic analysis indicated an unbound EC₅₀ of 0.46 nmol/L (Supplementary Fig. S2). Similar inhibitory effects were also shown in the skin of MV522 tumor-bearing mice without exogenous VEGF-A stimulation (data not shown).

Taken together, the required in vivo pharmacologic concentration (Cₜₐrg) based on the inhibition of vascular VEGF-2 phosphorylation and VEGF-mediated permeability is ~0.5 nmol/L (unbound), which translates to a Cₜₐrg of ~100 nmol/L (or 40 ng/mL, total concentration) in humans.

Axitinib inhibited tumor growth and angiogenesis in mice. Axitinib inhibited the growth of human xenograft tumors in mice (Table 2). Axitinib produced dose-dependent growth delay regardless of initial tumor size, model type, or implant site. Importantly, axitinib exhibited primary tumor inhibition and distant metastasis control in orthotopically implanted tumors such as M24met (melanoma), HCT-116 (colorectal cancer), and SN12C (renal cell carcinoma). A dose-dependent
growth inhibition in the MV522 tumor model is shown (Fig. 4A). Tumor growth inhibition (TGI) was associated with central necrosis, reduction in microvessel density (CD31 staining) and Ki-67, and increased caspase-3 in the tumor (Fig. 4B; Supplementary Fig. S3). Similar effects were observed in all tumor types examined regardless of tumor type and RTK expression. In summary, axitinib treatment produced consistent antitumor efficacy across various tumor types and this activity is associated with reduction in vascular angiogenesis and tumor proliferation and increase in tumor apoptosis.

**Determination of ED50 and Ceff.** The efficacious dose resulting in 50% antitumor efficacy (ED50) was determined as follows:

**A, in vivo modulation of VEGFR-2 phosphorylation in the rat ocular angiogenesis model.** Six-week-old rats were given two injections of axitinib (30 mg/kg i.p.). Animals were sacrificed at 0, 1, 6, 24, and 48 h post-dose and six retinas from three animals were pooled and lysed. Soluble retinal protein (1 mg) was immunoprecipitated with VEGFR-2 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and separated by SDS-PAGE.

**Left,** phospho-VEGFR-2 and total VEGFR-2 were probed using anti-phosphotyrosine antibody and VEGFR-2 antibody (both Santa Cruz Biotechnology), respectively. **Right,** relative VEGFR-2 phosphorylation signals were normalized to total VEGFR-2 intensities in the corresponding lanes and plotted against plasma concentrations at the time points of tissue collection; a nonlinear regression curve fit of the plot revealed the target inhibition concentration of 0.49 nmol/L (unbound; 0.19 ng/mL). For curve fit purpose, the 48 h plasma concentration is assumed to be 0.05 nmol/L (unbound), which is right below the detection limit.

**B, in vivo modulation of VEGFR-2 phosphorylation in the vasculature of M24met xenograft tumors in mice.** M24met cells (2 x 10^6) were implanted into the lower flank region of severe combined immunodeficient (BALB/c) mice intradermally. A single dose of axitinib (50 mg/kg orally) was given when tumors reached 500 to 800 mm^3. Animals were sacrificed at 0.5, 1, 4, and 7 h post-dose (n = 4-5 per group) and blood samples were collected for plasma concentration. Tumor samples were harvested and lysates (1-2 mg total tumor or HUVEC proteins) were added with 4 to 10 μg antibody to VEGFR-2 (SC-1158) for immunoprecipitation. The remaining procedure is the same as described previously. Shown are phosphorylation signals for all individual samples from treatment time point, the control group, and HUVECs. Average plasma concentration values are also indicated.

**C, in vivo inhibition of ERK1/2 in M24met tumors.** Change of ERK1/2 activity of the M24met tumors in response to axitinib treatment were measured in tumor lysates. Totals lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE and probed with anti-phospho-MAPK44/42 or anti-MAPK44/42 (Cell Signaling). The remaining procedure is the same as described previously in Materials and Methods. Each lane is a representative tumor under each time point of tissue collection and the corresponding plasma concentration values are indicated.

**D, axitinib treatment reduced skin vascular permeability, which inversely correlated to plasma drug concentrations.** Vascular permeability values of VEGF-stimulated and axitinib-treated groups (0.3, 1, 3, and 10 mg/kg) were measured as described in Materials and Methods. Group vascular permeability was normalized to that of the control group (Y-axis, left) and plotted against dose levels. The corresponding plasma concentration values at 4 h post-dose are also plotted against dose levels (shown as group mean ± SD (Y-axis, right)).
using the MV522 model. MV522 tumor cells do not express functional VEGF or PDGF RTKs. In addition, the tumors have a moderate growth rate, making it an ideal model to evaluate the antiangiogenesis-associated ED50 of axitinib. Based on the relationship between dose and the corresponding TGI (Fig. 4A), the ED50 was determined to be 8.8 mg/kg twice daily (Supplementary Fig. S4) and a 30 mg/kg twice daily dose level corresponded to an ED70 in this model. The range of in vivo efficacious concentration (\(C_{\text{eff}}\)) corresponding to a 50% TGI was determined by evaluating the relationship between TGI (Fig. 4A) and plasma concentrations. Based on \(C_{\text{min}}\) (trough concentration), the estimated unbound \(C_{\text{eff}}\) was determined to be 0.28 nmol/L (or 0.11 ng/mL; Fig. 4C, left); based on \(C_{\text{ave}}\) (average concentration across 24 h), the calculated unbound \(C_{\text{eff}}\) was determined to be 0.85 nmol/L (0.33 ng/mL; Fig. 4C, right). Thus, the \(C_{\text{eff}}\) value range (0.28-0.85 nmol/L) is in agreement with the \(C_{\text{target}}\) value (0.5 nmol/L) obtained from in vivo target modulation studies.

The relationship between dose and target inhibition was further analyzed based on pharmacokinetic profiles, IC50 values, and treatment response.
C\textsubscript{target} and C\textsubscript{eff}. Plasma concentrations at 10 mg/kg (ED\textsubscript{50} dose) and 30 mg/kg (ED\textsubscript{70} dose) were both above and near C\textsubscript{target} (for VEGFRs) and C\textsubscript{eff} (TGI-based) during the majority of the day (Fig. 4D). However, the plasma concentrations at these doses only allowed a total of \(\sim 5\) and 12 h coverage over the cellular IC\textsubscript{50} of PDGFR-\(\beta\), respectively (anti-PDGFR-based C\textsubscript{target} or C\textsubscript{eff} from in vivo studies is not available). Based on this analysis, the antitumor efficacy at 10 mg/kg in the MV522 model (VEGFR-null, PDGFR-null) appeared to be mainly driven from vascular VEGFR inhibition by axitinib. In the same model, infusions of axitinib achieved a near maximal antitumor efficacy (80%) that was associated with a steady-state plasma concentration greater than the cellular IC\textsubscript{50} for VEGFRs but below the cellular IC\textsubscript{50} for PDGFR-\(\beta\) (data not shown).

Axitinib enhances antitumor efficacy of chemotherapeutic agents in multiple tumor models. The antitumor efficacy of axitinib was assessed in combination with docetaxel (in LLC and human breast cancer models), carboplatin (in a human

![Fig. 4](https://www.aacrjournals.org/ClinCancerRes2008;14(22)November15,2008/7279)

**Fig. 4.** Antitumor efficacy and pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic correlation of axitinib. A. axitinib dose-dependent inhibition of tumor growth in MV522. Tumor cells \(2 \times 10^5\) were inoculated into the right upper flank of nu/nu mice. Tumors were randomized according to tumor size and axitinib treatment was initiated on day 7. Mean ± SE. ■ control; ▲ axitinib 0.3 mg/kg; ● axitinib 1 mg/kg; ○ axitinib 3 mg/kg; ▼ axitinib 10 mg/kg; ◐ axitinib 30 mg/kg; O axitinib 100 mg/kg; ▼▼ axitinib 150 mg/kg. B. axitinib inhibition of microvessel growth compared with the control as shown by CD31 staining (clone Mec13.3; PharMingen). Highly positive vessel staining (brown) is shown in the control group compared with the axitinib-treated group. Axitinib treatment also induced the level of caspase-3 and reduced Ki-67 staining in MV522 tumors. Antibody 9661L (Cell Signal) and RM9106 (LabVision/NeoMarkers) were used as the primary antibodies for caspase-3 and Ki-67, respectively. All images were taken at \(\times 20\) magnification. C. derivation of EC\textsubscript{50} of axitinib from the MV522 TGI study. The %TGI ± SE was plotted against either C\textsubscript{min} (left) or C\textsubscript{ave} (right) plasma concentrations corresponding to the different dose levels to derive EC\textsubscript{50}. Curve fit and calculation of EC\textsubscript{50} values using the sigmoidal dose-response nonlinear regression model (Prism, GraphPad) are shown. To facilitate the curve fit, a close to 0% TGI was assigned to an artificial plasma concentration of 1/100 of the lowest measurable value. The curve fit was nonrestrictional at the high concentration end (a 100% TGI was not enforced for curve fit). D. axitinib preferentially inhibited VEGFRs at the ED\textsubscript{50} and ED\textsubscript{70} doses. The pharmacokinetic profile is created by plotting the plasma concentrations (± SE) against the time points of treatment at 10 mg/kg twice daily (▲) and 30 mg/kg twice daily (▼). The pharmacokinetic profile was analyzed against the derived C\textsubscript{target} (0.5 nmol/L) and C\textsubcript{eff} (0.28–0.85 nmol/L) of axitinib. IC\textsubscript{50} values for VEGFR-2 (0.2 nmol/L) and PDGFR-\(\beta\) (1.6 nmol/L) are also shown. The duration of action against VEGFRs and PDGFR-\(\beta\) is assessed base on this correleative analysis.
ovarian cancer model), or gemcitabine (in a human pancreatic cancer model). These models were chosen because they have only low or moderate sensitivity to chemotherapies in mice.

In the LLC model, axitinib (10 or 30 mg/kg orally twice daily) in combination with a maximally tolerated dose of docetaxel (40 mg/kg once a week) enhanced tumor growth delay, defined as the increase in the median time to the end point (TTE) in a treatment group compared with the control group. A TTE (a measure of disease progression) is defined as tumor size = 1,500 mm$^3$ or animal moribund due to tumor burden or metastasis. A 54% or 100% tumor growth delay was obtained for docetaxel plus 10 or 30 mg/kg axitinib versus a 9%, 30%, and 60% for docetaxel alone and 30 and 60 mg/kg axitinib alone, respectively (data not shown). Docetaxel plus axitinib significantly delayed disease progression compared with docetaxel alone (Fig. 5A).

In the MDA-MB-435/HAL-luc dose scheduling study of axitinib and gemcitabine in human pancreatic carcinoma model BxPC-3. Xenografts were initiated by s.c. implanting a 1 mm$^3$ BxPC-3 tumor fragment in the right flank of each mouse. Treatments began when tumors were 90 mm$^3$ in size. Axitinib was dosed at 30 mg/kg orally twice daily for 60 d (60d) except for the last two groups; gemcitabine (Gem) was given at 140 mg/kg i.p. 3 times per day for 4 d for either one cycle (1C) or three cycles (3C). Tumors were measured twice weekly and animals were euthanized when their tumors reached an endpoint volume of 1,200 mm$^3$ or on 60 d of treatment, whichever came first. Data shown are tumor sizes at 53 d of treatment. The control and gemcitabine single groups are not included because all tumors in these groups reached the 1,200 mm$^3$ end point before day 53. Statistical significance was determined by ANOVA with a Tukey’s multiple comparison test. C, combination therapy of axitinib and bevacizumab significantly inhibited spontaneous lymph node metastasis and prolonged survival of animals in the M24met model. Primary tumors were surgically removed once they reached the size of 200 to 300 mm$^3$, which enhanced tumor metastasis to lymph nodes and the lung. Lymph node tumors were measured until the predetermined survival end point (total lymph node tumor size = 2,000 mm$^3$). Treatment with axitinib (60 mg/kg orally twice daily), bevacizumab (5 mg/kg i.v. 2xqw), or the combination of the two agents began 1 d post-surgery and continued until the end of the study. Lymph node tumor volume comparison after 33-day treatments is shown. Mean ± SE. D, a Kaplan-Meier plot showed significant delay of disease progression with combination therapy compared with either single-agent alone. Combination group (●) $P < 0.0001$, versus axitinib (60 mg/kg; ●) and $P = 0.0076$, versus bevacizumab (5 mg/kg; ▲). n, control.

---

Fig. 5. Effect of axitinib in combination with chemotherapeutics and bevacizumab. A, axitinib significantly enhanced animal survival as single agent and in combination with docetaxel in the LLC model. LLC cells were inoculated into B6D2F1 mice (1 × 10$^6$ per site, s.c.). Mice were randomly assigned to treatment with axitinib alone, docetaxel alone (40 mg/kg; ▲), or axitinib plus docetaxel combination treatment. The Kaplan-Meier plot shows delay of TTE; ∆TTE (TTEtreat - TTEcontrol) was found to be 1.9 d for docetaxel alone ($P > 0.05$, versus vehicle control; ●), 1.8 d ($P > 0.05$, versus control), 6.4 d ($P < 0.001$, versus control), and 13.9 d ($P < 0.001$, versus control) for axitinib at 3 mg/kg (▲), 10 mg/kg (●), and 30 mg/kg (●●), respectively. ∆TTE was 2.5 d ($P = 0.05$, versus axitinib, $P = 0.6$, versus docetaxel), 11.6 d ($P = 0.047$, versus axitinib; $P < 0.001$, versus docetaxel), and 21.9 d ($P = 0.043$, versus axitinib; $P < 0.001$, versus docetaxel) for the combination treatment groups involving docetaxel and axitinib of 3 mg/kg (▲), 10 mg/kg (●), and 30 mg/kg (●●), respectively. B, dose scheduling study of axitinib and gemcitabine in human pancreatic carcinoma model BxPC-3. Tumors were measured twice weekly and animals were euthanized when their tumors reached an endpoint volume of 1,200 mm$^3$ or on 60 d of treatment, whichever came first. Treatment with axitinib (60 mg/kg orally twice daily), bevacizumab (5 mg/kg i.v. 2xqw), or the combination of the two agents began 1 d post-surgery and continued until the end of the study. Lymph node tumor volume comparison after 33-day treatments is shown. Mean ± SE. D, a Kaplan-Meier plot showed significant delay of disease progression with combination therapy compared with either single-agent alone. Combination group (●) $P < 0.0001$, versus axitinib (60 mg/kg; ●) and $P = 0.0076$, versus bevacizumab (5 mg/kg; ▲). n, control.
model, axitinib (30 mg/kg) and docetaxel (5 mg/kg; 25% of murine maximally tolerated dose) produced a robust tumor growth delay as shown by the reduction of tumor bioluminescent signal (Supplementary Fig. S5) and increase in the number of complete responders compared with either single agent alone (data not shown).

The antitumor efficacy of axitinib in combination with gemcitabine was investigated against various dosing schedules in the gemcitabine-resistant BxPC-3 human pancreatic cancer model (Fig. 5B). In one study, single-agent gemcitabine (140 mg/kg i.p., days 1, 4, 7, and 10, either one-cycle or three-cycle treatments) or axitinib (30 mg/kg orally twice daily) delayed tumor growth. In the groups receiving gemcitabine plus axitinib, the “early dosing” of axitinib (day 1, group 5) produced a greater tumor growth delay than “late dosing” [starting axitinib on day 11 (group 6) or 16 (group 7) after initiation of gemcitabine] regardless of the number of gemcitabine cycles; with the same axitinib regimen, three gemcitabine treatment cycles (group 8, 9, 10) produced a greater efficacy than one gemcitabine treatment cycle (group 5, 6, 7). Alternating dose of the two agents (group 12) or early termination of axitinib (group 11) resulted in a significant compromise in tumor growth delay compared with coadministration and continuous twice daily dosing of axitinib.

Combination of axitinib and bevacizumab produced significant antitumor efficacy in the orthotopic tumor model (Fig. 5C), antiangiogenesis (Supplementary Fig. S7). In addition, the combination therapy significantly prolonged animal survival measured by reduction in tumor mass (Fig. 5C), antiangiogenesis (Supplementary Fig. S7). Furthermore, the combination therapy produced a greater antitumor efficacy than either single agent alone (data not shown).

**Discussion**

Axitinib was generated from an iterative structure-based drug design approach and is a potent (picomolar) and selective inhibitor of VEGF RTKs 1, 2, 3. The compound exhibits ~8-fold lower inhibitory activity against PDGFRs and KIT and has little activity against other type III and V family kinases (CSF-1R, Flt-3, FGFR-1, and RET), epidermal growth factor receptor, and c-Met. Axitinib is highly selective against nonreceptor kinases and proteins in a variety of off-target screening assays.

Axitinib dose-dependently inhibits endothelial cell proliferation, survival, and three-dimensional tube formation in vitro. Axitinib rapidly blocks downstream signal transduction via the eNOS/Akt pathway that has been implicated in the pathologic angiogenesis and normal vascular homeostasis (33, 34). The required concentrations for eNOS and Akt phosphorylation inhibition track with that for VEGFR-2 phosphorylation inhibition by axitinib. Furthermore, phospho-eNOS inhibition was reversible with the signal fully recovered soon after (1-2 h) withdrawal of axitinib (whereas inhibition of phospho-VEGFR-2 was sustained for up to 8 h; data not shown). Consistent with these findings is the observation that, in the clinic, axitinib-associated increases in diastolic blood pressure are reversible and closely correlate with clinical outcome (35).

In vitro, axitinib markedly inhibited vascular VEGF-2 phosphorylation in (a) the development rat ocular angiogenesis model, (b) the VEGF-mediated skin permeability model of mice, and (c) the vasculature of a human xenograft tumor model in mice. In addition, the C_{\text{target}} values derived from the rat ocular angiogenesis model and the mouse permeability model (~0.5 nmol/L) are consistent with the efficacy-based C_{\text{eff}} value (0.28-0.85 nmol/L) obtained from TGI studies. Furthermore, the C_{\text{eff}} value derived from these nonclinical studies in general agrees with the range of plasma concentrations of the 5 mg twice daily clinical starting dose of axitinib (C_{\text{ave}} = 0.19 ± 0.12 nmol/L; interim data). This clinical dose is associated with reduced tumor permeability and blood flow (19), tumor shrinkage (RECIST), overall response rate, and survival benefit observed in multiple phase II axitinib single-agent and combination studies in the clinic (22–25).

Axitinib alone produced marked antitumor efficacy that was associated with antiangiogenesis effects across nonclinical models regardless of the RTK expression profile in the tumor cells. This may imply that axitinib may have broad indications and utility in the clinic. In addition, based on published information and this report, we believe that vascular VEGFR inhibition alone by axitinib is essential and sufficient to produce significant antiangiogenesis and meaningful antitumor activity. In animal models, this activity can be achieved with a 10 to 15 mg/kg orally twice daily administration of axitinib. Higher dose levels of axitinib (>30 mg/kg) in mice have been shown to produce a C_{\text{max}} exposure significantly above the IC_{50} for PDGFR and typically were associated with greater antitumor efficacy (see Fig. 4A); we believe that although a transient PDGFR inhibition may have partially contributed to the efficacy, a more sustained and prolonged inhibition of VEGFR-2 throughout the majority of the day played a significant role in efficacy enhancement. The latter is consistent with the preliminary nonclinical data that equal or even greater efficacy can be achieved through continuous infusion of axitinib with a steady-state plasma concentration lower than PDGFR IC_{50}. Furthermore, in the RIP-Tag2 transgenic tumor model in which axitinib at efficacious dose levels (up to 25 mg/kg) produced rapid and dramatic inhibition of tumor endothelial cell fenestration, VEGFR-2 expression, vessel growth, and vascular density but did not decrease PDGFR expression or tumor vasculature-associated pericytes, there was, however, evidence that certain markers on the pericytes were modulated (36, 37). The interpretation of the latter remains to be addressed. Based on our nonclinical and clinical pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic understanding, C_{\text{max}} of the current clinical starting dose of axitinib (5 mg twice daily) in general does not exceed the IC_{50} for PDGFR in the majority...
of patients; this suggests that the observed clinical activity of axitinib in most patients is driven by inhibition of VEGFR activity.

Combining axitinib with docetaxel generated marked suppression of disease progression compared with docetaxel alone in a docetaxel-resistant LLC model. In addition, additive or synergistic enhancement of TGI and response to chemotherapeutic agents alone was observed when axitinib was combined with docetaxel, carboplatin, and gemcitabine. With gemcitabine, dose scheduling was an important contributing factor to efficacy. This may indicate that simultaneous administration of both compounds without prolonged dose interruptions or withdrawal as of axitinib is likely to produce the optimal clinical outcome. More studies are under way to gain a deeper insight into how axitinib and chemotherapeutic agents can be best used for maximal activity in animal models.

Dose-escalation studies showed that axitinib single agent had a therapeutic index of 64 in the murine LLC model (data not shown). In general, chronic treatments (3-6 weeks) of axitinib up to 100 mg/kg in combination with maximally tolerated dose levels of chemotherapeutic agents were tolerated in tumor-bearing mice, consistent with the highly selective nature of axitinib. In addition, the nonclinical safety profile was extensively evaluated in safety pharmacology, genetic toxicology, and general toxicology assessments and the monitorable and manageable toxicity profile supported the clinical evaluation of axitinib.

In the clinic, bevacizumab (anti-VEGF-A) has shown activity in combination with chemotherapeutics (9, 10). Currently, several clinical studies are being conducted combining bevacizumab with small-molecule RTKIs to determine whether clinical activity may be improved. Here, we present data from a nonclinical model to address this hypothesis. In a spontaneous metastatic human melanoma model, we observed that coadministration of axitinib and bevacizumab significantly improved antimetastasis efficacy compared with either agent alone. Lymph node metastasis of M24met may be highly dependent on lymphangiogenesis, in which VEGF-C/VEGFR-3 plays a central role (38–41). In addition to VEGF-A, other ligands including VEGF-D (42), VEGF-E (43, 44), and PlGF (45–47) are found to contribute to tumor angiogenesis and progression by binding to VEGFR-3, VEGFR-1, VEGFR-2, or neuropilin (48). Furthermore, these growth factors interact with each other synergistically to promote processes leading to sustained tumor progression (45, 46). In this context, small-molecule VEGF RTKIs may have advantage over VEGF-A-selective bevacizumab. Finally, a recent report showed that intracellular autonomous VEGF/VEGFR-2 signaling had an indispensable role in vascular homeostasis and endothelial cell survival (49) and that intracellular VEGFR-2 activation in wild-type cells was suppressed by a small-molecule VEGFR antagonist but not by bevacizumab. The implication of intracellular VEGFR-2 inhibition in the context of tumor angiogenesis remains to be elucidated; however, it is conceivable that membrane permeable axitinib, but not bevacizumab, may potently inhibit intracellular VEGFR-2 signaling (in addition to inhibiting VEGFR autophosphorylation mediated by exogenous VEGF) in tumor endothelial cells that ultimately induce endothelial cell apoptosis that cannot be rescued by exogenous VEGF. Although clinically combining axitinib and bevacizumab may not be feasible due to overlapping mechanism-based adverse events such as hypertension, we believe that, in principle, combining intracellular and extracellular inhibition of VEGF signaling would lead to robust and prolonged antiangiogenesis.

In summary, axitinib is a potent and selective inhibitor of VEGFR-1, VEGFR-2, and VEGFR-3 with proven antitumor activity from nonclinical and clinical studies. Axitinib may evolve to become one of the most promising oral VEGF RTKIs with significant single-agent antitumor activity and combinability for the treatment of a broad spectrum of human malignancies.
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