Abstract

Purpose: The inhibitor of apoptosis proteins (IAP) are overexpressed in hormone-refractory prostate cancer, rendering the cancer cells resistant to radiation. This study aims to investigate the radiosensitizing effect of small-molecule IAP inhibitor both in vitro and in vivo in androgen-independent prostate cancer and the possible mechanism of radiosensitization.

Experimental Design: Radiosensitization of SH-130 in human prostate cancer DU-145 cells was determined by clonogenic survival assay. Combination effect of SH-130 and ionizing radiation was evaluated by apoptosis assays. Pull-down and immunoprecipitation assays were employed to investigate the interaction between SH-130 and IAPs. DU-145 xenografts in nude mice were treated with SH-130, radiation, or combination, and tumor suppression effect was determined by caliper measurement or bioluminescence imaging. Nuclear factor-κB activation was detected by luciferase reporter assay and quantitative real-time PCR.

Results: SH-130 potently enhanced radiation-induced caspase activation and apoptosis in DU-145 cells. Both X-linked IAP and cIAP-1 can be pulled down by SH-130 but not by inactive SH-123. Moreover, SH-130 interrupted interaction between X-linked IAP/cIAP-1 and Smac. In a nude mouse xenograft model, SH-130 potently sensitized the DU-145 tumors to X-ray radiation without increasing systemic toxicity. The combination therapy suppressed tumor growth more significantly than either treatment alone, with over 80% of complete tumor regression. Furthermore, SH-130 partially blocked tumor necrosis factor-α and radiation-induced nuclear factor-κB activation in DU-145 cells.

Conclusions: Our results show that small-molecule inhibitors of IAPs can overcome apoptosis resistance and radiosensitize human prostate cancer with high levels of IAPs. Molecular modulation of IAPs may improve the outcome of prostate cancer radiotherapy.

Androgen-independent disease is the main obstacle to improved survival and quality of life in patients with advanced prostate cancer. There is an urgent need for novel therapeutic strategies to overcome radioresistance in the treatment of advanced prostate cancer by specifically targeting the fundamental molecular basis of androgen-independent prostate cancer.

Most of the current anticancer therapies work, at least in part, through inducing apoptosis in cancer cells, including ionizing irradiation (1). Lack of appropriate apoptosis due to defects in the normal apoptosis machinery plays a crucial role in the resistance of cancer cells to a wide variety of current anticancer therapies. Radioresistance markedly impairs the efficacy of cancer radiotherapy and involves antiapoptotic signal transduction pathways that prevent radiation-induced cell death (2). The aggressive cancer cell phenotype is the result of a variety of genetic and epigenetic alterations leading to deregulation of intracellular signaling pathways, including an impaired ability of the cancer cell to undergo apoptosis (3). Primary or acquired resistance of hormone-refractory prostate cancer to current treatment protocols has been associated with apoptosis resistance in cancer cells and is linked to therapy failures (4, 5).

Current and future efforts toward designing new therapies to improve survival rates and quality of life for cancer patients will include strategies that specifically target cancer cell resistance to apoptosis. The inhibitors of apoptosis proteins (IAP) is an important class of intrinsic cellular apoptosis inhibitors (6, 7). IAPs potently suppress apoptosis against a large variety of apoptotic stimuli, including chemotherapeutics, radiation, and immunotherapy in cancer cells (8). The IAPs function as potent endogenous apoptosis inhibitors by directly binding to and effectively inhibiting three members of the caspase family of IAPs: cIAP-1, cIAP-2, and XIAP.
Translational Relevance

Despite initial response to local therapy with surgery or radiation, many prostate cancer patients experience recurrence with advanced disease. Androgen ablation therapy produces only temporary responses because of the development of androgen independence. Failure to respond to radiation represents a critical problem in radiotherapy of hormone-refractory human prostate cancer. HRPC is resistant to apoptosis and IAPs have been shown to play a key role in apoptosis resistance. This study aims to investigate the radiosensitizing potential of a novel small-molecule IAP inhibitor both in vitro and in vivo in androgen-independent prostate cancer and the possible mechanism of radiosensitization. Our results show that the small-molecule IAP inhibitor radiosensitizes HRPC partly due to the enhanced apoptosis and inhibition of NF-κB induced by radiation. The data suggest that functional down-modulation of IAPs may be a promising approach for overcoming radiation resistance of HRPC. More importantly, the success of this strategy will pave the way to develop the small-molecule IAP inhibitors as an entirely new class of anticancer therapy for radiosensitizing human prostate cancer. The combination of IAP targeting molecular therapy and conventional radiotherapy may become a promising novel strategy to enhance the radiation efficacy and ultimately improve the survival of HRPC patients.

Materials and Methods

Reagents. Smac-mimetic compound SH-130, its negative control compound SH-123, and their biotin-labeled derivatives were dissolved in DMSO, stored as small aliquots at -20°C, and then diluted as needed in a cell culture medium. Recombinant human tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) was obtained from Roche Applied Science. MG132 was provided by Biomol. High-glucose DMEM, fetal bovine serum, penicillin, streptomycin, and LipofectAMINE 2000 were purchased from Invitrogen. Pan-caspase inhibitor zVAD, caspase-3 substrate DEVD- AFC, and anti-Smac polyclonal antibody were purchased from Biovision. Crystal violet and β-actin antibody were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Antibodies against poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP), pro-caspase-3, p65/RelA, IκBα, and β-tubulin were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Anti-XIAP and cIAP-1/2 antibodies were purchased from BD Biosciences. Survivin antibody was supplied by Novus Biologicals.

Cell culture. Human prostate cancer cell lines PC-3 and DU-145 and human normal fibroblast cell line WI-38 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection. Luciferase stably transfected DU-145 cells (DU-145LUC) were established as described previously (21). All types of cell lines were routinely cultured in high-glucose DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum in a 5% CO2 humidified incubator at 37°C. All media were also supplemented with 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. Human normal prostate epithelial cell line PrEC was purchased from Lonza and maintained following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Clonogenic cell survival assay. DU-145 cells were seeded in six-well plates at different cell densities (100-10,000 per well) and treated with SH-130 or SH-123 and X-ray radiation individually or in combination.
Solvent DMSO was used as a vehicle control. Each sample was tested in triplicate, and the cell medium was replaced 7 days later. After another 5 to 7 days of incubation, the plates were gently washed with PBS and stained with a 0.1% crystal violet solution. Colonies with over 50 cells were manually counted. The cell survival enhancement ratio was calculated as we described previously (22).

**Western blot analysis.** To determine the levels of protein expression in prostate cancer cell lines, cells were harvested and lysed in a radioimmunoprecipitation assay lysis buffer [50 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mmol/L NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 1% NP-40, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, and 1 mmol/L EDTA] with freshly added protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) for 15 min on ice and then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min. Whole-cell extract was measured for total protein concentration using Bradford reagent (Bio-Rad), and proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE (Bio-Rad). For nonreducing and non-denaturing SDS-PAGE, samples were mixed with loading buffer (no reducing agent) and directly loaded on a gel without boiling. After electrophoresis, the proteins were electrotransferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad), probed with the relevant primary antibody followed by horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Pierce), and detected with the SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescence substrate (Pierce). Intensity of the desired bands was analyzed using TotalLab software (Nonlinear Dynamics).

**Apoptosis assay.** To detect apoptosis, we used an Annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide double staining kit (Trevigen). In brief, DU-145 cells were seeded into six-well plates and treated with SH-130 or negative control SH-123 with or without pretreatment with the pan-caspase inhibitor zVAD (Biovision). X-ray irradiation was done immediately after drug addition. Twenty-four hours after radiation, the cells were collected, gently washed twice with cold PBS, stained with Annexin V and propidium iodide as per manufacturer’s instruction, and analyzed with a flow cytometer (FACSCalibur; BD Biosciences) in the Flow Cytometry Core at the University of Michigan Cancer Center. Other aliquoted samples were processed for caspase function and Western blot analysis.

**Caspase-3 function assay.** Caspase activation of treated DU-145 cells was determined following the instructions of a caspase-3 detection kit (BioVision). Cells were lysed in a lysis buffer, and whole-cell lysates (20 μg) were incubated with 25 μmol/L fluorogenic substrate DEVD-AFC in a reaction buffer (containing 5 mmol/L DTT) at 37°C for 2 h. Proteolytic release of AFC was monitored at λex = 405 nm and λem = 500 nm using a microplate reader (BMG Labtech). Fold increase of the fluorescence signal was calculated for each treated sample by dividing its normalized signal activity by that of the untreated control.

**Pull-down and immunoprecipitation assay.** DU-145 cells were washed with ice-cold PBS, harvested, and resuspended in a lysis buffer

---

**Table 1. Radiobiological variables from surviving curves**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean inactivation dose (Gy)</th>
<th>Enhancement ratio</th>
<th>Survival fraction (2 Gy)</th>
<th>Gy (1%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>10.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH-123 (20 μmol/L)</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH-130 (5 μmol/L)</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH-130 (10 μmol/L)</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH-130 (20 μmol/L)</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** Radiobiological variables were calculated from survival curves in Fig. 1C based on linear-quadratic model. Enhancement ratio was calculated from mean inactivation dose in control group divided by that in treated group. Gy (1%), radiation dose leading to 1% cell survival.
AFC was monitored by a microplate reader (BMG Labtech). Mean ± SD (n = 3). **, P < 0.01;***, P < 0.001, Student’s t test. B, Western blot analysis of apoptosis-related proteins. Samples were probed with antibodies against PARP, caspase-3, XIAP, and Smac. Actin is shown as a loading control. Band intensity of cleaved PARP was normalized to actin. C, caspase-3 activation after treatment. Whole-cell lysates (20 μg) were reacted with fluorogenic substrate DEVD-afc. After incubation at 37°C for 2 h, released AFC was measured by a microplate reader (BMG Labtech). Mean ± SD (n = 3). Fold increase of fluorescence measured by exciting normalized to untreated control.

Fig. 2. SH-130 enhances radiation-induced apoptosis. DU-145 cells were seeded into six-well plates at the concentration of 2 × 10^4/mL, pretreated with zVAD (2.5 μmol/L) for 1 h, incubated with 10 μmol/L SH-130 or SH-123, and irradiated at doses of 0, 20, or 30 Gy, respectively. Twenty-four hours after incubation, cells were harvested and processed for further detection. One of three independent experiments. A, early apoptotic cell populations after treatment. DU-145 cells were stained with Annexin V-FLICA and propidium iodide (Invitrogen) and determined by flow cytometry. Mean ± SD (n = 3). **, P < 0.01;***, P < 0.001, Student’s t test. B, Western blot analysis of apoptosis-related proteins. Samples were probed with antibodies against PARP, caspase-3, XIAP, and Smac. Actin is shown as a loading control. Band intensity of cleaved PARP was normalized to actin. C, caspase-3 activation after treatment. Whole-cell lysates (20 μg) were reacted with fluorogenic substrate DEVD-afc. After incubation at 37°C for 2 h, released AFC was measured by a microplate reader (BMG Labtech). Mean ± SD (n = 3). Fold increase of fluorescence measured by exciting normalized to untreated control.

[50 μmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mmol/L NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, and protease inhibitor tablet (Roche)]. For pull-down assay, after preclarifying the streptavidin agarose gel (Invitrogen) at 4°C for 2 h, whole-cell lysate (1 mg total proteins) was incubated with biotin-labeled SH-130 (20 μmol/L) with or without the addition of an unlabeled SH-130 competitor (200 μmol/L). DMSO was used as a solvent control. After rotation at 4°C for 3 h, the lysate was incubated overnight with the gel (50 μL) at 4°C. For immunoprecipitation assay, the lysate (1 mg total proteins) was incubated with 1 and 10 μmol/L SH-130 or 10 μmol/L SH-123 at 4°C for 1 h. Antibody (10 μL) was then added, and the sample was incubated for 1 h before an overnight incubation with 50 μL protein A/G-agarose gel (Invitrogen). The immunoprecipitates were washed five times with desired wash buffer (Roche), eluted with SDS-PAGE sample buffer, and analyzed by Western blot.

Animal studies. The general procedure for in vivo experiments was described previously (22). Briefly, female athymic NCr-nu/nu nude mice (5-6 weeks old) were inoculated s.c. on both sides of the lower back above the tail with 3 × 10^6 per 0.2 mL DU-145 cells. When tumors reached 50 to 100 mm^3, the mice were randomized into four groups (6 mice per group) and treated with either SH-130 i.v. injected with 50 mg/kg for q.d. × 5 × 2 weeks, X-ray irradiation with 2 Gy daily for q.d. × 5 × 2 weeks, or a combination of SH-130 and radiation. The vehicle control and radiation-only groups received the same amount of DMSO solvent. Tumor size and body weight were measured twice a week. Tumor volume was calculated using the formula: V = a × b^2 / 2, where a and b represented both the long and the vertical short diameter of the tumor. For in vivo imaging experiments, mice were transplanted s.c. with 5 × 10^6 cells per 0.2 mL DU-145Lux cells. Treatment started (day 0) when the average tumor volume reached 50 mm^3 using the following regimen: SH-130 50 mg/kg i.v. q.d. × 3 weeks, X-ray irradiation 2 Gy q.d. × 5 × 3 weeks, or a combination of SH-130 and radiation. On days 0, 8, 17, 24, 41, and 120, mice were imaged 12 min after i.p. injection of luciferin using the Xenogen Bioluminescence Imaging (BLI) System in the University of Michigan Small Animal Imaging Core. Light intensity was quantified using LivingImage software on a red (high intensity/cell number) to blue (low intensity/cell number) visual scale. All animal experiments were done according to protocols approved by the University of Michigan Committee for Use and Care of Animals.

Nuclear factor-kB activity assay. Two independent assays were done to determine the effect of SH-130 on TNFα-induced nuclear factor-kB (NF-kB) activation as shown below.

NF-kB reporter assay. DU-145 cells were seeded into a 24-well plate 24 h before transfection. For each well, cells were transiently cotransfected with 0.4 μg of a NF-kB reporter construct (pNF-kB; Panomics) or a control reporter plasmid (pControl; Panomics), together with 0.2 μg of a β-galactosidase reporter vector (Promega), which was used to normalize NF-kB reporter gene activity, using LipofectAMINE 2000 (Invitrogen). Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were pretreated with SH-130 with or without the caspase inhibitor zVAD or SH-123 for 1 h followed by TNF-α stimulation for 4 h. The proteasome inhibitor MG132 was used as a positive control. Cell lysates were prepared using Reporter Lysis Buffer (Promega). For luciferase and β-galactosidase assays, the samples were measured on a microplate luminometer (BMG Labtech) using the Bright-Glo luciferase kit (Promega) and β-galactosidase enzyme assay kit (Promega), respectively, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Fold increase was calculated for each treated sample by dividing normalized luciferase activity by that of the untreated control.

Quantitative real-time PCR. Quantitative PCR was done to determine the expression level of the TNF gene as a NF-kB target gene (23). Total RNA was extracted from DU-145 cells using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Reverse transcription reaction with 1 μg total RNA in 100 μL was done following the instructions of the TaqMan Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). For quantitative PCR, FAM probe TNF primer (Applied Biosystems) was used for detection of target gene expression, and SYBR Green-labeled actin (Applied Biosystems) was used as an internal control, with the primers designed as forward 5'-CTGAGAAGGAGATCCTGTCG-3' and reverse 5'-CTCATCTCCGCTACTGAAGCA-3'. All reactions with TaqMan PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) were done on the Mastercycler replex system (Eppendorf). Fold increase of gene expression was calculated for each treated sample by dividing normalized TNF expression activity with that from the untreated control.

Statistical analysis. Two-tailed Student’s t test and two-way ANOVA were employed to analyze the in vitro and in vivo data, respectively, using Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Prism). A threshold of P < 0.05 was defined as statistically significant.
Radiosensitization of Prostate Cancer by Modulating IAP

Synergistic induction of radiation-induced cancer cell growth inhibition by the small-molecule IAP inhibitor. As reported previously (19, 20), a series of compounds were designed to mimic AVP1, the critical NH2-terminal tetrapeptide on the active Smac protein (Fig. 1A). A, a lead compound of these Smac-mimetics, was found to be 20 to 30 times more potent in binding to XIAP than the cell-permeable Smac peptide pSMAC-8c, a positive control for serial SH compounds used previously (Fig. 1A). Another analogue, SH-123, which was almost 1,000 times less active than SH-130, was used as an inactive control (Fig. 1A). Human prostate cancer DU-145 and PC-3 cell lines have medium to high levels of most of IAPs, whereas the normal human fibroblast cell line WI-38 and normal human prostate epithelial cells PrEC show low levels of IAPs (Fig. 1B).

To determine whether the Smac-mimetic compound could potentiate radiation-induced inhibition of cancer cell growth, we examined the radiosensitization activity of SH-130. SH-130 promoted radiation-induced clonogenic cell death dose-dependently, whereas SH-123 exhibited much less effect even at a high dose (Fig. 1C). Radiobiological variables were calculated and summarized in Table 1. The radiosensitizing activity of SH-130 was not observed in normal cells PrEC and WI-38 that have low IAPs (data not shown). We have also carried out MTT-based cytotoxicity assay (22) and SH-130 alone showed IC50 > 100 µmol/L in prostate cancer DU-145 and PC-3 cells as well as WI-38 cells, indicating that SH-130 is not a cytotoxic compound, consistent with other small-molecule Smac-mimetics we reported earlier (19, 20).

Enhancement of radiation-induced apoptosis by inhibition of IAPs. Preliminary data indicated that in cell-free systems SH-130, but not SH-123, abolished the inhibitory action of IAPs on both caspase-9 and caspase-3 in a dose- and time-dependent manner, showing a potent effect on competing IAPs and thus activating caspases. To provide further evidence for the role of SH-130 in mediating radiation-induced apoptosis, DU-145 cells were treated with SH-130 or SH-123 with or without X-ray radiation. As shown in Fig. 2A, induction of early apoptotic events (Annexin V positive) became evident when cells were treated with either 20 or 30 Gy together with 10 µmol/L SH-130. A 4- to 5-fold increase was seen compared with the untreated control and a 2-fold increase over radiation alone (P < 0.01). Pretreatment with the pan-caspase inhibitor zVAD abolished this increase in radiation-induced early apoptosis by SH-130 (P < 0.001; Fig. 2A), indicating that SH-130-mediated radiosensitization is caspase dependent. In contrast, neither SH-130 nor SH-123 alone induced significant apoptosis, suggesting a nontoxic profile of these compounds. We also evaluated total (early plus late) apoptotic events and obtained similar results (Supplementary Fig. S1). Furthermore, in the cells treated with radiation plus SH-130, a clear PARP cleavage was observed (Fig. 2B) compared with the cells treated with radiation alone. Because PARP is one of the substrates of caspase-3, our functional assay also showed similar profile on caspase-3 activation (Fig. 2C). Here again, zVAD efficiently blocked the SH-130 effect on caspase-3 activation and PARP cleavage. For all the samples tested (Fig. 2B), the expression level of XIAP and Smac did not change significantly, indicating that SH-130 acts on the apoptosis pathway, not by inhibiting the expression of IAPs.

Blockade of XIAP/cIAP-1 and Smac interaction by the IAP inhibitor. To further examine whether the small-molecule IAP inhibitor, SH-130, binds to the IAP family of proteins in cells as designed, we carried out IAP pull-down assays using biotin-labeled compounds. SH-130 was labeled with biotin (SH-130BL) via chemical synthesis. A fluorescence polarization-based binding assay confirmed that SH-130BL had the same binding affinity to XIAP as unlabeled SH-130.4 SH-130BL successfully pulled down both XIAP and cIAP-1 in DU-145 cells.
(Fig. 3A). Competition with unlabeled SH-130 effectively blocked the pull-down of both XIAP and cIAP-1 by SH-130BL. Furthermore, we conducted immunoprecipitation assays to see if SH-130 interfered with XIAP (or cIAP-1) and Smac interaction. Interestingly, under nonreducing and non-denaturing conditions, XIAP and Smac formed a complex at a molecular weight ranging from ~80 to 160 kDa (Fig. 3B), consistent with the tetramer model (24). XIAP-Smac complex levels were decreased by the addition of SH-130, but not SH-123, with increasing levels of free XIAP and Smac (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, SH-130 dose-dependently interrupted interaction between Smac and XIAP or cIAP-1 (Fig. 3C). Interestingly, when mature Smac was pulled down together with XIAP, two bands always seemed to be visualized by Western blot (Fig. 3C). The upper band (~28 kDa) level showed the same alteration as the lower band (~21 kDa), which is thought to be the cleaved or activated Smac. Compared with the artificial transfection with tag-labeled system (25), our condition is more likely to mimic the native intracellular protein behavior. These data confirm that the IAP inhibitors can bind to XIAP/cIAP-1, thus interrupting the interaction between endogenous XIAP/cIAP-1 and Smac in prostate cancer cells.

In vivo tumor suppression effect of the IAP inhibitor with radiation. To evaluate the radiosensitization potential of SH-130 in vivo, a DU-145 tumor model was established as we described previously (4, 22). The DU-145 tumors were resistant to radiation, and SH-130 alone did not show tumor suppression effect (Fig. 4A). However, SH-130 synergistically sensitized the DU-145 tumors to radiation without showing systemic toxicity (Fig. 4B). The combination therapy inhibited tumor growth significantly more effectively than either treatment alone ($P < 0.001$, $n = 14$), and no obvious animal toxicity was observed. Notably, 5 of 14 tumors in the combination group showed complete regression that did not grow back 5 months after the therapy, whereas the radiation-alone group had only 2 of 10 tumors with complete regression. No complete regression in the SH-130-alone or vehicle control groups was observed.

In the second experiment, we wished to extend the treatment period to a more clinically relevant 3-week course of therapy. For this experiment, we used the DU-145Lux tumor model and employed BLI to evaluate tumor response. SH-130 plus radiation led to complete tumor regression (undetectable signal in BLI in Fig. 4C). By quantification, the combination therapy resulted in a 2-log reduction in bioluminescence signal compared with the radiation-alone group and a 4- to 5-log reduction compared with the vehicle control group (Fig. 4D). BLI was also conducted on days 0, 24, and 120 (Supplementary Fig. S2), and percentage of complete tumor regression is summarized in Fig. 4D. The percentage of complete tumor regression in the radiation-alone group was highest (35%) on day 41 and dropped to 33.3% on day 120, indicating tumor recurrence over time. For the combination therapy group, the
percentage of complete tumor regression continued to increase up to day 120 (81.3%), indicating a potent and long-term efficacy of the combination treatment. Thus, two in vivo studies showed a similar and promising in vivo radiosensitization efficacy of the IAP inhibitor.

Suppression of NF-κB activation by inhibiting IAPs. To test the hypothesis that SH-130 may also work on the NF-κB pathway, we analyzed the effects of SH-130 on NF-κB activation induced by TNF-α and X-ray radiation in DU-145 cells. Using luciferase-based NF-κB reporter assay (26), SH-130 inhibited TNF-α-induced NF-κB activation by >50% (P < 0.01) and the inhibition could not be blocked by zVAD (Fig. 5A), indicating that the IAP inhibitor-mediated NF-κB inhibition is caspases independent. Moreover, SH-130 partially inhibited NF-κB activation, even at a high concentration, compared with MG132 (Fig. 5A). SH-130 inhibited TNF-α-induced IκBα degradation (Supplementary Fig. S3), yet without a significant change in RelA nuclear translocation (data not shown), suggesting that SH-130-mediated inhibition of NF-κB activation is indirect. Quantitative real-time PCR assay further confirmed that TNF gene expression was down-regulated 50% (P < 0.05) by SH-130, but not by negative control compound SH-123, after TNF-α stimulation (Fig. 5B), indicating that SH-130 clearly although partially blocked NF-κB target gene expression.

Next, we examined if SH-130 has similar suppression effect on X-ray radiation-induced NF-κB target gene expression. Radiation (20 Gy) was employed to trigger NF-κB activation as high radiation doses (20 and 30 Gy) exhibited activation of TNF gene expression by 4- to 5-fold more than low dose (2 Gy; Fig. 5C). Similar to TNF-α, radiation-induced TNF gene expression could also be partially suppressed by SH-130 in a
Discussion

In this study, we have found that a small-molecule IAP inhibitor potently sensitizes prostate cancer DU-145 cells to X-ray irradiation and increases radiation-induced cell death and tumor growth delay both in vitro and in vivo. Such effects may be due to activation of the apoptosis pathway. Moreover, the IAP inhibitor SH-130 also blocked activation of the NF-κB partially and indirectly, suggesting a potential cross-talk between apoptosis and NF-κB pathways (Fig. 6). The data confirmed our hypothesis that molecular modulation of IAP family proteins may improve the outcome of prostate cancer radiotherapy and represents a promising molecularly targeted therapy for hormone-refractory prostate cancer.

Small-molecule inhibitors of IAPs are a promising choice for functionally blocking IAPs and overcoming chemoresistance/radiosensitivity of cancer cells with high levels of IAPs. Our previous studies showed that the Smac-mimetic tetrapeptide pSmac-8c (used as the positive control) significantly sensitized both androgen-independent DU-145 and PC-3 cells to chemotherapeutic agents (19, 20), indicating that IAP is a valid target for overcoming chemoresistance in prostate cancer cells, and our current study supports that modulating IAPs is a promising approach on radiosensitization. Future work will focus on comparing androgen-dependent LNcAP and its androgen-independent derivative cell line CL-1 on their response to radiation and SH-130. Expected data on this isogenic cell model will further support that IAPs may play an essential role in the transition from androgen-dependent to androgen-independent prostate cancer, and overcoming resistance of radiation-induced apoptosis can be achieved by down-regulating IAPs.

Our in vivo studies showed consistently that IAP inhibition exhibits promising radiosensitivity in the DU-145 xenografted model, although IAP inhibitor itself is nontoxic and shows no tumor suppression effect alone. Data from regular tumor volume measurement and BLI imaging are consistent in exhibiting a synergistic efficacy when SH-130 is used together with X-ray radiation. By BLI, mice in the combination group showed an increasing trend toward complete tumor regression that lasted up to 4 months after treatment, indicating the long-term tumor-suppressing efficacy of combination therapy over radiation alone. Using BLI for the efficacy study is critically important, especially when the tumor disappears as a complete tumor regression. Conventional tumor measurement by hand will still detect a scar or nodule, but no live tumor cells are detectable, as the BLI will detect no light emission. On the other hand, some tumors may appear to have completely disappeared and will not be detectable by hand, but BLI can still detect light emission and reveal the presence of live tumor cells. Therefore, the more sensitive and quantitative BLI will yield robust and quantitative efficacy data, especially when evaluating the complete tumor regression in the current study.

Ionizing radiation activates the NF-κB signaling pathway, and blocking this pathway can sensitize cancer cells response to radiation (27, 28). Classically, cytokines such as TNF-α trigger NF-κB activation, typically mediated by TNF receptor-associated factor 2. It has been shown that TNF receptor-associated factor 2 physically interacts with cIAP-1, another IAP protein that is commonly studied along with XIAP (29). In addition, embelin, a natural IAP inhibitor first reported by us (30), sequentially inhibits NF-κB activation at multiple levels (26). Moreover, XIAP could induce TAK1-dependent NF-κB activation (31). Thus, it is reasonable to postulate that IAPs may function as “bridging” molecules mediating cross-talk between apoptosis and NF-κB pathways. Our data show that SH-130 indeed inhibits NF-κB activation, partially and indirectly, by TNF-α and X-ray radiation. This partial effect of an IAP inhibitor on NF-κB activation is consistent with an earlier report showing that partial NF-κB inhibition by another class of Smac-mimetics (32). Inhibition of IκBα degradation by SH-130 reflects an effect at the level of the IκBα kinase complex as shown by embelin (26). Currently, we are delineating the detailed signaling pathways and molecules involved in the cross-talk either via cIAP-1 or XIAP. This may have important implications for the rational design of novel therapies targeting IAPs and optimal recruitment of the patient population that will benefit the most from such therapy.

DU-145 cells are highly resistant to radiation potentially due to high levels of IAPs as well as constitutive active NF-κB pathway (33). It is conceivable that the dose of radiation used might affect NF-κB activation as well as radiation-induced cell death, where the high and low doses may stimulate different cellular responses. In the clinic, the dose of radiation therapy on patients is 1.8 to 2 Gy daily fractionation with total doses of 20 to 70 Gy. Thus, in the current study, we followed the clinical regimen with totaling 20 and 30 Gy in two animal studies. Consistent with previous reports that a relative high dose (15-20 Gy) of X-ray radiation could induce NF-κB activation in
human tumor cells in vitro (34, 35), we found that, in our system, high-dose radiation (20 and 30 Gy) effectively induced apoptosis as well as NF-κB activation but not the low doses (2-4 Gy). Furthermore, RelA was clearly observed at doses >15 Gy (data not shown). Taken together, the radiosensitization effect of Smac-mimetic SH-130 is due, at least in part, to the simultaneous inhibition of IAPs and the NF-κB survival signaling pathway, which is constitutively active in androgen-independent prostate cancer cells.

Very recently, three groups reported that several Smac-mimetic IAP inhibitors can induce TNF-α-dependent apoptosis in sensitive cell lines via cIAP-1 down-regulation and NF-κB activation (36–38). However, most of the androgen-independent prostate cancer cells are resistant to TNF-α and have constitutive active NF-κB signaling (33). How these resistant cancer cells respond to IAP inhibitors remains to be investigated. In our hands, those cells, including DU-145, PC-3, and CL-1, are highly resistant to our Smac-mimetic IAP inhibitors such as SH-130, which has a IAP-binding affinity comparable with that of the compounds used in the three reports (36–38). To our knowledge, this is the first report that an IAP inhibitor blocks radiation-induced NF-κB activation in these TNF-α-resistant cells. Moreover, SH-130 treatment does not induce cIAP-1 down-regulation, TNF-α up-regulation, and NF-κB activation in these resistant cells (Supplementary Fig. S4). This mode of action is distinct from that in TNF-α-sensitive cells reported recently (36–38). Our study has significant clinical implications and provides important impetus for using IAP inhibitors as an adjuvant therapy for the TNF-α-resistant, NF-κB constitutively active cancers that account for the majority of patients who are refractory to conventional therapy.

In conclusion, our data provide strong support that modulating IAPs may be a promising novel approach to radiosensitization of human prostate cancer, especially among hormone-refractory, locally advanced, high-risk patients. In a clinical context, although hormone-refractory prostate cancer still exerts response to high-dose palliative radiation therapy to achieve the local control in patients (39), the patient population that could benefit the most would be those with a high Gleason score (>8) and high prostate-specific antigen (>20) without metastatic disease and with a high failure rate even with high-dose radiation. Showing a reasonable relevance to prostate cancer therapy in clinic, our reported small-molecule IAP inhibitor has a promising implication on overcoming radiation resistance of human prostate cancer with high levels of IAPs.
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