Targeted Inhibition of Cyclic AMP Phosphodiesterase-4 Promotes Brain Tumor Regression
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Abstract Purpose: As favorable outcomes from malignant brain tumors remain limited by poor survival and treatment-related toxicity, novel approaches to cure are essential. Previously, we identified the cyclic AMP phosphodiesterase-4 (PDE4) inhibitor Rolipram as a potent antitumor agent. Here, we investigate the role of PDE4 in brain tumors and examine the utility of PDE4 as a therapeutic target.

Experimental Design: Immunohistochemistry was used to evaluate the expression pattern of a subfamily of PDE4, PDE4A, in multiple brain tumor types. To evaluate the effect of PDE4A on growth, a brain-specific isoform, PDE4A1 was overexpressed in xenografts of Daoy medulloblastoma and U87 glioblastoma cells. To determine therapeutic potential of PDE4 inhibition, Rolipram, temozolomide, and radiation were tested alone and in combination on mice bearing intracranial U87 xenografts.

Results: We found that PDE4A is expressed in medulloblastoma, glioblastoma, oligodendroglioma, ependymoma, and meningioma. Moreover, when PDE4A1 was overexpressed in Daoy medulloblastoma and U87 glioblastoma cells, in vivo doubling times were significantly shorter for PDE4A1-overexpressing xenografts compared with controls. In long-term survival and bioluminescence studies, Rolipram in combination with first-line therapy for malignant gliomas (temozolomide and conformal radiation therapy) enhanced the survival of mice bearing intracranial xenografts of U87 glioblastoma cells. Bioluminescence imaging indicated that whereas temozolomide and radiation therapy arrested intracranial tumor growth, the addition of Rolipram to this regimen resulted in tumor regression.

Conclusions: This study shows that PDE4 is widely expressed in brain tumors and promotes their growth and that inhibition with Rolipram overcomes tumor resistance and mediates tumor regression.

Despite 30 years of clinical trials, favorable outcomes from malignant brain tumors continue to be limited by poor survival and excessive treatment-related toxicity (1). Targeted therapies based on tumor biology hold enormous promise but have yet to be proven clinically effective (2). Thus, it is essential to continue our efforts to identify novel regulators of brain tumor growth that can be targeted for effective therapies.

Recent work from our laboratory suggested that cyclic AMP (cAMP) phosphodiesterase-4 (PDE4) might constitute a novel target for the treatment of brain tumors (3). Many human tumor cells originating in the central nervous system, lung, and breast overexpress phosphodiesterases, and a survey of 60 different human tumor cell lines indicated that the majority of this PDE activity was due to PDE4 (4). The PDE4 family of cAMP phosphodiesterases regulates cAMP levels through their hydrolytic activity (5). There are four PDE4 subfamily genes (A-D), from which at least 20 different functional isoforms are generated. These allow for tissue-specific intracellular compartmentalization of cAMP signaling (5). Through distinct combinations of localization motifs, regulatory sites, and protein-protein interacting domains, this array of PDE4 molecules performs numerous and often exquisitely specific...
functions (6). For instance, using interfering RNA, Lynch et al. was able to show that only PDE4D5 regulated protein kinase A-dependent heterotrimeric G protein switching by β2-adrenergic receptors (7). Similarly, dominant-negative constructs allowed McCahill et al. to determine that PDE4D3 and PDE4C2, but not PDE4A4 or PDE4B1, were specifically required to regulate the basal activity of AKAP450-tethered protein kinase type II (8). Finally, targeted deletion of the PDE4 genes has also indicated that PDE4 isoforms perform nonredundant functions such as the specific requirement for PDE4B in lipopolysaccharide induction of tumor necrosis factor-α secretion in macrophages (9).

The importance of PDE4 to tumor biology was first suggested by in vitro studies describing the antitumor activity of the specific PDE4 inhibitor Rolipram. Rolipram exhibited significant antitumor effects when tested in vitro against several breast and lung carcinoma cell lines (10, 11), inhibiting growth by up to 60% in mammary carcinoma and melanoma cells (10). Furthermore, in vivo work in our laboratory showed that Rolipram could slow the intracranial growth of glioblastoma and medulloblastoma xenografts (3).

Several studies have examined the mechanism of the antitumor effects of Rolipram. We found that Rolipram inhibits growth by elevating intracellular cAMP levels (3), which was consistent with the findings of McEwan et al. in studies of Rolipram treatment of colon carcinoma cells (12). Additionally, Chen et al. determined that Rolipram inhibits proliferation in A172 glioblastoma cells through the induction of the cell cycle inhibitors p27 and p21 (13). Despite these findings, though, it remains unclear how cAMP elevation regulates cell cycle inhibitors p27 and p21.

In this study, we provide the first direct in vivo evidence that PDE4 is a regulator of brain tumor growth and an important therapeutic target. We show that PDE4A expression is common in human brain tumors. Further, we show that the expression level of a brain-specific isoform of PDE4, PDE4A1, is correlated with the rate of intracranial xenograft growth. PDE4A1 is a unique “super-short” form of PDE4 (14). It possesses a truncated amino terminus that lacks the regulatory and protein-protein interacting domains that characterize the longer forms of PDE4 but which confers localization to the Golgi and Golgi-derived vesicles (15–17). Huston et al. have suggested that precise localization of phosphodiesterase action, such as PDE4A1 to the region of the Golgi, is essential for the compartmentalization and appropriate transduction of cAMP-dependent processes (18). The present studies establish a previously unknown role for PDE4A1 as a positive regulator of intracranial brain tumor growth and thus implicate mediators in the region of the Golgi in this activity.

The importance of PDE4 as a therapeutic target is evidenced by the effect of Rolipram on intracranial tumor growth and survival over a 5-month period when administered in combination with temozolomide and conformal radiation therapy (a current first-line treatment for glioblastoma). In this regard, we show that PDE4 inhibition can promote regression of malignant brain tumors when administered as an adjunct to established therapies.

Materials and Methods

All animals were used in accordance with an established Animal Studies Protocol approved by the Washington University School of Medicine Animal Studies Committee.

Chemicals and other reagents

All chemicals were obtained from Sigma unless otherwise indicated. All tissue culture reagents and media were obtained from Invitrogen unless otherwise indicated. A construct containing mCherry cDNA was the kind gift of Dr. Roger Y. Tsien (University of California). Murine PDE4A1 (accession no. AI297396) was provided by Dr. James Cherry (Boston University). The human PDE4A-specific antibody was generously provided by Dr. Miles Houslay (University of Glasgow).

Tumor cell lines

The following experiments use the well-characterized U87 glioblastoma and Daoy medulloblastoma cell lines as models for human brain tumors. In previous in vitro and intracranial xenograft experiments with these cell lines, we have characterized the role of CXCR4 and cAMP in the regulation of brain tumor growth and the antitumor effects of CXCR4 agonists and cAMP-elevating drugs (3, 19). These studies form the basis for the present work in which mCherry-expressing and mCherry-PDE4A1-expressing U87 and Daoy cells were generated via lentiviral infection of previously generated enhanced green fluorescent protein-firefly luciferase-expressing cell lines as described (19–21). Viral particles were produced by the Viral Vectors Core Facility of The Hope Center for Neurological Diseases at Washington University School of Medicine. Expression vectors used in viral production contained either a transgene for mCherry fluorescent protein under a CMV promoter alone or the CMV promoter-mCherry cassette together with a murine PDE4A1 (mPDE4A1) transgene under a ubiquitin promoter. mCherry-expressing cells were sorted and collected by high-speed fluorescence-activated cell sorting (MoFlo High-Performance Cell Sorter; DAKO; Supplementary Fig. S1A). Cell lines are referred to as U87-luc, mCherry-U87-luc, PDE4A1-U87-luc, mCherry-Daoy-luc, and PDE4A1-Daoy-luc.

Generation of intracranial xenografts

Intracranial xenografts were generated as described previously (3). Homozygous NCR nude mice (Taconic Farms) were anesthetized with intraperitoneal ketamine (87 mg/kg)/xylazine (13 mg/kg); Phoenix Pharmaceuticals), the cranium was exposed, and a small hole was made 2 mm lateral and posterior to the bregma with a size 34 inverted cone burr (Dremel). Mice were positioned in a stereotactic frame (Stoeling) and 50,000 cells in 5 μl PBS were injected through a 27-gauge needle over 1 min at 3 mm below the dura mater. The incision was closed with Vetbond (3M).
Bioluminescence imaging

NCR nude mice bearing intracranial xenografts of U87-luc, mCherry-U87-luc, mCherry-U87-luc, PDE4A1-U87-luc, mCherry-Daoy-luc, or PDE4A1-Daoy-luc were injected with 150 μg/g α-luciferin (Biosynth) as described (23). After anesthesia using 2.5% isoflurane, mice were imaged with a charge-coupled device camera-based bioluminescence imaging system (IVIS 50, Xenogen; exposure time 1-30 s, binning 8, field of view 12, f/stop 1, open filter). Signals were displayed as photons/s/cm²/sterad. Regions of interest were defined manually and images were processed using Living Image and IgorPro Software (Version 2.50) as described (3). Raw data were expressed as total photon flux (photons/s; ref. 22).

Treatment of mice bearing intracranial xenografts

NCR nude mice bearing intracranial xenografts of U87-luc glioblastoma cells were imaged at least twice after implantation of cells to identify those with growing tumors. As described previously, 2 weeks after tumor cell implantation, cohorts of mice were divided into control and treatment groups (7-10 mice per group; refs. 3, 19).

Systemic therapies.

Roloipram and temozolomide (Pharmacy, St. Louis Children’s Hospital) treatments were administered via the drinking water. Drug concentrations in the water were adjusted based on measured daily water consumption to deliver 5 mg/kg/d Rolipram continuously or 21 mg/kg/d for 5 days/mo temozolomide.

Irradiation.

Mice were treated with conformal radiotherapy as described previously (23). Subject animals were anesthetized [intraperitoneal ketamine (87 mg/kg)/xylazine (13 mg/kg)] and positioned within a custom-head immobilization device affixed to the microRT animal couch. The microRT couch was positioned within the microRT device using a three-axis translational couch positioning system. To create the radiotherapy plan, conformal radiotherapy fields were designed using the treatment planning system based on a computed tomography scan of the anesthetized and immobilized mouse using a Phillips Brilliance CT scanner (Phillips Medical Systems). Treatment fields were hand-optimized to minimize exposure to the mucous membranes of the oral cavity, oropharynx, nasal structures, esophagus, trachea, eyes, and ears. Final plan consisted of two fields per fraction using right and left lateral beams. For each fraction, each animal was irradiation, grades I to IV.

Immunohistochemistry

In accordance with an institutional review board-approved protocol for human research, human brain tumor tissue was retrieved from the pathology files at Washington University School of Medicine. Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue was processed and analyzed as described previously (24). Murine-specific PDE4A antibody was applied to serial sections, in the absence of murine tissue. Human-specific PDE4A was diluted 1:400 and detected as above. Application of isotype-specific IgG to serial sections, in the absence of murine tissue, served as controls for the specificity of antibody staining.

cAMP measurement

cAMP was measured by competitive immunoassay using a Correlated Enzyme Immunoassay Kit (Assay Designs) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (3). Briefly, mCherry-U87-luc, PDE4A1-U87-luc, mCherry-Daoy-luc, and PDE4A1-Daoy-luc cells growing in fetal bovine serum-supplemented (10%) α-MEM (Life Technologies) were lysed in 0.1 mol/L HCl and particulate matter was removed by centrifugation at >600 × g for 10 min. The supernatants were dried down and resuspended in cAMP assay buffer (Assay Designs). Alternatively, mCherry-expressing intracranial xenograft tissue (mCherry-U87-luc, PDE4A1-U87-luc, mCherry-Daoy-luc, and PDE4A1-Daoy-luc) was removed under direct fluorescence microscopy and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen tissue was weighed and homogenized with 10 volumes of 10% ice-cold TCA and then centrifuged for 10 min at 1,500 × g to remove precipitate. The supernatant was washed three times with 8 volumes of water-saturated ether. The aqueous phase was dried down and resuspended in cAMP assay buffer. Absorbance at 405 nm was measured, and cAMP concentrations were calculated based on a standard curve. Protein concentrations were measured by colorimetric assay (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s directions. cAMP values were normalized per sample individually.

Western blot analysis

Tissue culture extracts were prepared by lysing cells with radiomunoprecipitation assay buffer [50 mmol/L Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mmol/L NaCl, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, and 1% Triton-X-100] supplemented with phosphatase inhibitor cocktail set II (Calbiochem) and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Tumor lysates were prepared by sonication in lysis buffer (100 μL/mg tissue). The
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*Histologic subtypes of medulloblastoma: c, classic; d, desmoplastic; a, anaplastic.

1 PDE4A expression grading: 1, 1-25%; 2, 26-50%; 3, 51-75%; 4, 76-100% of tumor cells are positive for PDE4A expression.

2 Histologic grading of brain tumors based on the WHO classification, grades I to IV.
proteins were resolved with 4% to 12% or 10% Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen) and transferred onto Hybond ECL nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham) according to standard protocols. Membranes were incubated with antibodies directed against murine PDE4A (1:1,000; Abcam), human PDE4A (1:400; Houslay), and actin (1:3,000; Sigma) overnight at 4°C. This was followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:20,000; Bio-Rad). Peroxidase activity was detected using the enhanced chemiluminescence SuperSignal West Pico System (Pierce). Quantitation of Western blots was done by densitometry using ImageJ software from the NIH.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism version 4.00 (GraphPad Software) or Stata10 (Stata). Kaplan-Meier survival curves were analyzed using pairwise log-rank tests. Given the repeated measurements of mice over time, statistical differences in growth curves were analyzed using the generalized estimating equation regression analysis. For cAMP comparisons, significance was determined by two-tailed t test. Statistical significance was assumed for P < 0.05.

Results

cAMP PDE4 is widely expressed in human brain tumors. The antitumor effect of Rolipram suggested that PDE4 was important in brain tumor biology. Because members of the PDE4A subfamily are distributed throughout the brain (25), we examined the expression pattern of PDE4A in 37 tumors from pediatric and adult patients (Table 1). Among these were four types of parenchymal brain tumors (astrocytomas, medulloblastomas, oligodendrogliomas, and ependymomas) as well as meningiomas (nonparenchymal, intracranial tumors) of various grades and histologic subtypes. PDE4A was expressed in all tumor types examined (Supplementary Fig. S2). Staining in tumor cells was most commonly punctate and frequently perinuclear (Fig. 1). All astrocytomas (15) and medulloblastomas (8) exhibited PDE4A expression with no correlation between grade or histologic subtype, respectively. In more limited sample sizes, the majority of ependymomas (4 of 5) and meningiomas (5 of 6) and all oligodendrogliomas (3 of 3) showed PDE4A expression. In most cases, PDE4A expression was found solely in tumor cells. In several specimens, however, PDE4A was also evident in endothelial cells. Leukocytes within the lumens of tumor-associated blood vessels were consistently stained (data not shown). Thus, PDE4A expression is widespread in brain tumors.

cAMP PDE4A1 stimulates brain tumor growth in vivo. To determine whether the high level of PDE4A expression in human brain tumors was indicative of a growth advantage, we examined the effect of increased PDE4A expression on brain tumor growth. We overexpressed a murine homologue of a brain-specific isoform of PDE4, mPDE4A1 (26), via lentiviral infection of U87 glioblastoma and Daoy medulloblastoma.
cells that have been engineered previously to express enhanced green fluorescent protein and firefly luciferase (Supplementary Fig. S1A). As expected, increased mPDE4A1 expression (Supplementary Fig. S1B) was correlated with lower levels of cAMP compared with mCherry control cells (Supplementary Fig. S1C).

To better understand how PDE4A1 might regulate tumor growth in vivo, mCherry-expressing (control) or mPDE4A1-overexpressing brain tumor cells were stereotactically implanted into the brains of nude mice and intracranial growth was measured by weekly bioluminescence imaging as described previously (3). In both U87 and Daoy cell xenografts, overexpression of mPDE4A1 was associated with significantly accelerated intracranial growth (Fig. 2A). Curve fitting of the relation between bioluminescence ratios and time [exponential growth: \( Y = \text{start} \times \exp(k \times X) \), where \( k = \) rate constant and tumor doubling time = \( 0.69 / k \)] revealed that expression of mPDE4A1 in Daoy cells was associated with a decrease in doubling time from 1.68 to 1.09 weeks. Similarly, overexpression of mPDE4A1 in U87 cells produced a decrease in tumor doubling time from 0.94 to 0.39 weeks.

To evaluate the relationship between PDE4A1 expression and intracranial tumor growth, mCherry-positive tumor tissue was recovered from control and mPDE4A1-overexpressing xenografts under direct fluorescence microscopy (Supplementary Fig. S3). Western blot analysis of mCherry-U87-Luc and mCherry-Daoy-Luc tumor tissue with the human-specific PDE4A antibody revealed multiple human PDE4A (hPDE4A) isoforms including one with an apparent molecular weight of 78 kDa consistent with hPDE4A1 (ref. 14; Fig. 2B). Western blot analysis of mCherry control and mPDE4A1-overexpressing Daoy and U87 xenografts with the murine-specific PDE4A antibody confirmed continued xenograft expression of mPDE4A1. Consistent with in vitro studies (Supplementary Fig. S1), overexpression of mPDE4A1 in vivo was evident as a prominent band at 68 kDa (Fig. 2B). In addition, a second band of 83 kDa was evident. This latter band is similar to one previously reported for mPDE4A1 expression in COS-7 cells (27).

To verify the functionality of the overexpressed PDE4A1, cAMP levels were examined in mCherry-positive tumor tissue. cAMP was extracted from xenograft tumor tissue and measured by ELISA as described previously (3). Increased mPDE4A1 expression was associated with decreased levels of cAMP in the tumor tissue (Fig. 2C). These data are consistent with a role for PDE4A in the stimulation of intracranial brain tumor growth.

Rolipram enhances the survival of mice bearing intracranial xenografts. The growth-promoting activity of PDE4A1 suggests that targeted inhibition of PDE4 with the specific inhibitor Rolipram could be an important addition to current brain tumor therapies. We therefore performed long-term survival and coupled bioluminescence studies in mice bearing intracranial xenografts of U87-luc glioblastoma cells to determine the effects of Rolipram treatment in combination with standard chemoradiation.

Therapy began 2 weeks after tumor cell implantation. This time was sufficient to establish steadily increasing bioluminescence (a measure of tumor growth) in the xenografts. Mice were assigned to one of eight treatment groups: control, Rolipram, temozolomide, radiation therapy, Rolipram and radiation therapy, Rolipram and temozolomide, radiation therapy and temozolomide (a current first-line therapy), and Rolipram, radiation therapy, and temozolomide. Mice underwent bioluminescence imaging weekly and were examined for signs of advancing disease such as weakness, weight loss, and seizures. Mice observed to be suffering from their disease were euthanized.

All treatments were associated with improved survival compared with controls (Fig. 3). Pairwise log-rank tests indicated significant differences in survival between control (no treatment) and the following regimens: temozolomide (\( P = 0.0016 \)); radiation (\( P = 0.0005 \)); radiation and temozolomide (\( P < 0.0001 \)); Rolipram and temozolomide (\( P < 0.0001 \)); Rolipram and radiation (\( P = 0.0006 \)); and radiation, temozolomide, and Rolipram (\( P < 0.0001 \)). In terms of overall survival, however, the treatments could be divided into two groups: minimally effective (Fig. 3A) and highly effective therapies (Fig. 3B). The minimally effective therapies included temozolomide, radiation therapy, Rolipram, and Rolipram and radiation therapy; these mice had an overall survival of ≤42%. The highly effective therapies included Rolipram and temozolomide, radiation therapy and temozolomide, and Rolipram, radiation therapy, and temozolomide. Survival increased significantly among mice receiving one of these three therapies. Interestingly, the combination of Rolipram and temozolomide (70% survival) was similar in activity to radiation and temozolomide (a current first-line therapy; 70% survival), whereas the addition of Rolipram to radiation therapy and temozolomide provided the greatest effect on survival with only a single death over the 150-day experiment (90% survival).

Bioluminescence imaging distinguishes treatment effects in vivo. To gain insight into how these treatments improved survival, we examined the bioluminescence imaging data for

![Fig. 3. Inhibition of PDE4 with Rolipram enhances survival in mice bearing intracranial U87 xenografts. Nude mice bearing intracranial U87-luc xenografts were assigned to eight treatment groups (7-10 mice per group) and left untreated (control) or treated with Rolipram, temozolomide, radiation therapy, or combinations thereof as described in Materials and Methods. Survival was monitored over 150 days. Data are presented as a Kaplan-Meier curve for (A) minimally effective treatments and (B) highly effective treatments.](image-url)
evidence of antitumor growth effects. All mice, whether alive or dead, were included in the analysis. For those mice that had died, their last recorded total photon flux was included in generating the mean photon flux for the group. Bioluminescence data for evaluating treatment efficacy was analyzed by determining the midpoint of maximal growth and rate of maximal growth in control (untreated) mice and comparing it with the other treatment groups.

Several informative patterns of intracranial growth emerged. Tumors in control mice grew in an uninterrupted, exponential fashion and exhibited a midpoint of maximal growth at 6.5 weeks and maximal exponential growth of $7.8 \times 10^9$ photons/wk (Fig. 4). Because all control mice died by week 10, the plateau represents the mean of the final measures of all mice in this group.

Interestingly, although radiation and Rolipram had similar effects on overall survival, the two treatments had markedly different effects on growth. Compared with control, Rolipram treatment did not appreciably alter the midpoint of maximal growth, which was 6.7 weeks in the latter group (Fig. 4A); however, Rolipram alone decreased the rate of maximal tumor growth to $1.8 \times 10^9$ photons/wk.

In contrast, radiation therapy induced a transient arrest in growth, delaying the midpoint of maximal growth to 10.5 weeks (Fig. 4B). However, after recovery from radiation, the rate of exponential growth in irradiated tumors was similar to that of control mice, with a slope of $5.7 \times 10^9$ photons/wk. The combination of radiation and Rolipram exhibited similar antitumor effects as radiation alone (Fig. 4D).

Tumors in temozolomide-treated mice showed a multiphasic growth response with an initial regression timed to the completion of the second course of therapy (Fig. 4C). Exponential growth resumed after 8 weeks, however, with the midpoint at 12.3 weeks and a maximal slope of $8.9 \times 10^9$ photons/wk.

In contrast to the minimally effective therapies (Fig. 4), the highly effective therapies produced more favorable results on intracranial growth. With each of these treatments, no tumor growth beyond an initial phase of 2 to 3 weeks was observed (Fig. 5). Consistent with the survival data, radiation and temozolomide (Fig. 5A) versus Rolipram and temozolomide (Fig. 5B) were indistinguishable with regard to their inhibition of intracranial growth. Of note, bioluminescence signal persisted in mice treated with either of these regimens, indicating that although these tumors were not growing, they remained viable. The addition of Rolipram to radiation and temozolomide resulted in tumor regression (Fig. 5C and D). After 12 weeks of this therapy, bioluminescence declined to ~1% of the starting values. Together, these data indicate that Rolipram provides a unique therapeutic effect that promotes tumor regression and enhances survival.

**Discussion**

In this study, we show that PDE4A1 overexpression stimulates brain tumor growth in vivo, whereas inhibition of PDE4 suppresses tumor growth and augments the antitumor effects of chemotherapy and radiation therapy. PDE4 has been
shown previously to be widely expressed in several different human tumor cell lines (4). In addition, we now show that PDE4A is also expressed in astrocytomas, medulloblastomas, oligodendrogliomas, ependymomas, and meningiomas, indicating that PDE4A activity may be essential to the growth of all brain tumors.

To better define the role of PDE4 in brain tumor biology, we overexpressed PDE4A1, a brain-specific isoform, in U87 glioblastoma and Daoy medulloblastoma cells (26). Stimulation of growth occurred in both brain tumor models. U87 cells were derived from a malignant astrocytoma. These tumors typically carry mutations in the mitogen-activated protein kinase, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, Rb, and MDM2/p53 pathways (28), and consistent with this, U87 cells possess deletion of p14/p16 and PTEN genes (29). Daoy cells were derived from a desmoplastic medulloblastoma. Medulloblastomas are characterized by mutational activation of the sonic hedgehog, Wnt, and Myc pathways (30). Daoy cells also carry a mutation of TP53 (31). Despite these differences in molecular profile, PDE4A1 overexpression stimulated both U87 and Daoy growth in vivo. These data suggest that PDE4A1 effects were not dependent on the locus into which the transgene inserted and were not specific to pathways activated by mutation in these tumors. Instead, these results indicate that PDE4A1 is a positive regulator of brain tumor growth.

It will be important to determine whether the cAMP-hydrolyzing activity of PDE4A1 is essential to its growth-promoting effects. Previously, we and others have suggested that low levels of cAMP stimulate brain tumor growth (3, 12, 32–34). The current study lends additional support to this model as the effects of PDE4A1 overexpression and Rolipram are most likely the result of changes in cAMP levels. The work of Houslay and Adams has shown that PDE4s play multiple roles in intracellular signaling. These roles include the regulation of receptor desensitization and G protein switching as well as protein kinase A and extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation (5). There are at least 20 different isoforms of PDE4 that can be inhibited with Rolipram. Each contains a unique NH2-terminal region that appears to regulate specific compartmentalized functions (6). For example, the NH2-terminal regions of PDE4A4 and PDE4A5 interact with the SH3 homology domains of Src family kinases, whereas the NH2-terminal region of PDE4A1 facilitates membrane insertion, particularly into the trans-Golgi membrane (35). Thus, overexpression of even catalytically inactive PDE4 isoforms can alter signaling functions by displacing active endogenous PDE4 from necessary binding sites and functioning as dominant-negative constructs (8). The PDE4A1 isoform lacks the regulatory and protein-protein interacting domains that are found in longer PDE4 isoforms (5, 35). Thus, the growth-promoting effects of PDE4A1 overexpression are most readily attributed to increased cAMP hydrolysis as evidenced by the observed decrease in levels of cAMP.

In this regard, the localization of PDE4A1 to the membranes of the Golgi apparatus and Golgi-derived vesicles is of interest. Although global changes in cAMP were detected in our cells and xenografts overexpressing PDE4A1, PDE4 effects can also be limited to regulating local cAMP-dependent functions. For
instance, targeted deletion of PDE4B revealed that it, and not PDE4A or PDE4D, was necessary for regulating TLR signaling in macrophages (9). The effect of PDE4B loss on macrophage responses to lipopolysaccharide stimulation was dependent on protein kinase A activation but was not associated with global changes in cAMP levels. These data suggest that localization of PDE4B is necessary to regulate cAMP levels in a critical subcompartment for TLR signaling. Thus, it will be essential to identify Golgi-localized mediators of PDE4A1 effects on tumor growth and to determine whether elevation of cAMP levels antagonizes their growth-promoting functions.

Elevation of cAMP as a therapeutic strategy for cancer has been examined previously but was limited by the excessive toxicity of the early pleiotropic phosphodiesterase inhibitors (36, 37). Specific phosphodiesterase inhibitors such as Rolipram are better tolerated at therapeutic doses (38) and exhibit strong antitumor effects (10–12). Prior studies suggested a therapeutic role for Rolipram in the treatment of brain tumors and colon cancer (3, 12). To our knowledge, this is the first report to show a survival advantage in response to Rolipram treatment over an extended preclinical trial.

The potential importance of Rolipram therapy was most evident on analysis of bioluminescence data pertaining to the highly effective therapies of radiation and temozolomide, Rolipram and temozolomide, and Rolipram, radiation, and temozolomide. Although the initial pattern of tumor growth was similar among these three groups, the value of Rolipram treatment became most evident at 12 weeks of therapy. Therapy with either Rolipram and temozolomide or radiation and temozolomide resulted in cessation of further tumor growth, but tumors remained viable as evidenced by their persistent bioluminescent signal. In contrast, treatment with Rolipram in conjunction with radiation and temozolomide resulted in nearly complete loss of bioluminescence, indicating tumor regression.

Human studies have shown that a major determinant of response to alkylator therapy like temozolomide is the expression and activity of the DNA repair enzyme methyl glutamyl methyltransferase (39). In patients with reduced methyl glutamyl methyltransferase expression through promoter methylation, there is a greater response to temozolomide therapy. Consistent with published studies, we found that Daoy and U87 cells express methyl glutamyl methyltransferase (40), but this was unaffected by Rolipram treatment in vitro (data not shown). Thus, the mechanism by which Rolipram augments the activity of radiation and temozolomide may be distinct from modulating DNA alkylation.

The present study strongly indicates that PDE4 inhibitors should be evaluated in clinical trials for brain tumors. Our data suggest that PDE4 inhibition may be the key adjunct to standard chemotherapy and radiation therapy to promote brain tumor regression. Furthermore, the equivalence of combined radiation and temozolomide to Rolipram and temozolomide suggests that PDE inhibitors might prove to be suitable alternatives for radiation when combined with chemotherapy. This may be especially important for young patients for whom irradiation is not an option due to the detrimental effects radiation has on their developing brains.

The potential for Rolipram to be a useful agent in brain tumor therapy is emphasized by its ability to penetrate into and treat diseases of the central nervous system. However, clinical application of Rolipram might also be limited by its toxicities. In multiple clinical trials for depression and multiple sclerosis, Rolipram was relatively well tolerated, with nausea and vomiting the most commonly reported side effect (38). However, in long-term toxicity studies in rats, high-dose Rolipram therapy was also associated with prolactinomas and mammary adenocarcinoma (41). Although serious, these side effects are not substantially different from the nausea, vomiting, and secondary cancer risks of commonly used treatments for brain tumors like radiation therapy and temozolomide. Therefore, if Rolipram showed a unique therapeutic effect, its known toxicities would not preclude its use in the treatment of malignant brain tumors. However, newer PDE4 subfamily-specific inhibitors may prove equal or more efficacious and less toxic (6, 42). Thus, additional investigation into whether other PDE4 isoforms can also regulate brain tumor growth and whether subfamily-specific inhibitors exhibit equal efficacy to Rolipram is essential.
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