Polymeric Tubulysin-Peptide Nanoparticles with Potent Antitumor Activity
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Abstract

Purpose: Tubulysins are naturally occurring tetrapeptides with potent antiproliferative activity against multiple cancer cell lines. However, they are also highly toxic in animal models. In order to improve the therapeutic index of this class of compounds, a nanoparticle prodrug of tubulysin A (TubA) was synthesized and evaluated in vitro and in vivo.

Experimental Design: A thiol derivative of TubA was covalently attached to a linear, β-cyclodextrin based polymer through a disulfide linker (CDP-TubA). The polymer conjugate assembled into stable nanoparticles. Inhibition of tubulin polymerization and antiproliferative activity of the polymer conjugate were evaluated in vitro. The preclinical efficacy of CDP-TubA administered i.v. was evaluated in nude mice bearing s.c. implanted human HT29 colorectal and H460 non–small cell lung carcinoma tumors.

Results: The IC50 of CDP-TubA (in TubA equivalents) was 24, 5, and 10 nmol/L versus 3, 1, and 2 nmol/L for TubA in NCI-H1299 (lung), HT-29 (colon), and A2780 (ovarian) cell lines, respectively. TubA and the active thiol derivative were potent inhibitors of tubulin polymerization, whereas CDP-TubA showed minimal inhibition, indicating that target inhibition requires release of the peptide drug from the nanoparticles. The maximum tolerated dose of CDP-TubA was 6 mg/kg (in TubA equivalents) versus 0.05 mg/kg for TubA in nude mice. In vivo, a single treatment cycle of three weekly doses of CDP-TubA showed a potent antitumor effect and significantly prolonged survival compared with TubA alone.

Conclusions: Cyclodextrin polymerized nanoparticles are an enabling technology for the safe and effective delivery of tubulysins for the treatment of cancer.

There has been tremendously increased interest during the past few years in both synthesis (1–6) and potential cancer treatment applications (7–11) of compounds in the tubulysin family because of their potent antiproliferative activity against multiple cancer cell lines with IC50 in the nmol/L to pmol/L concentration range. Tubulysins are naturally occurring tetrapeptides isolated from strains of myxobacteria (12). Contrary to epothilones and taxol, which induce tubulin polymerization, they act as antimitotic agents that depolymerize cell microtubules and trigger apoptosis. Tubulysins bind to tubulin through the vinca site in a noncompetitive fashion with vinca alkaloids such as vincristine and vinblastine (8). Tubulysins are neither inhibitors of nor substrates for cytochrome P450 enzymes, which minimizes the potential for drug interactions (7). Tubulysins have also been found to be active in tumors that exhibit a multidrug resistance phenotype, especially in those with up-regulated surface p-glycoprotein pumps (7).

Total synthesis of multiple tubulysin analogs and accompanying structure-activity relationship studies have revealed several analogs with equal or greater activity compared with the natural compounds that are both easier to synthesize and also more stable under physiologic conditions (1, 13).

However, despite all the aforementioned attractive characteristics, the pharmaceutical development of tubulysins has been hampered by their remarkably high toxicity and low solubility. One way to overcome these issues common to many cytotoxics is targeted delivery of compounds to cancer tissues. Strategies commonly used for this purpose are liposomal encapsulation (14, 15), conjugation to antibodies or small molecules targeted to antigens that are up-regulated in certain cancer types (16, 17), and polymer conjugation (18, 19). The use of macromolecular carriers and nanoparticles in this setting is based on their ability to positively change the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of chemotherapeutics by (a) enhancing solubility and therefore reducing third-spacing, (b) increasing circulation half-life by avoiding first-pass kidney clearance, (c) protecting drugs from enzymatic degradation, (d) increasing drug concentration in the tumor by the enhanced permeability and retention effect, (e) controlling release kinetics to more closely match the mode of action of these drugs, and (f) overcoming multidrug...
Translational Relevance

Tubulin-targeted drugs are the mainstay of current cancer treatments, but many patients eventually still progress due to the rise of resistance and side effects leading to dose reductions or discontinuations. There is a great unmet need for new therapies that can overcome resistance and are better tolerated. Tubulysins are a novel tubulin-targeted class of natural compounds that are highly active in multidrug-resistant cells. However, natural tubulysins are also highly toxic in animal models and have no therapeutic window. Here we report for the first time on the synthesis, in vitro and in vivo evaluation of a nanoparticle produg of tubulysin A. This prodru approach dramatically increases the tolerability of tubulysin A, resulting in a therapeutic index similar to or greater than currently approved tubulin inhibitors. We believe that nanoparticle conjugation may enable the clinical development of this class of compounds as potent anticancer agents.

resistance by intracellular delivery through endocytosis rather than diffusion, a process that is less susceptible to surface pump-mediated multidrug resistance. We have previously reported that conjugation of another cytotoxic drug, camptothecin, to a linear, β-cyclodextrin (β-CD)-based polymer (CDP) can increase the solubility and improve the biodistribution to tumor tissue compared with the parent compound administered by itself (20). Preclinically, this resulted in increased efficacy and reduced toxicity compared with camptothecin and irinotecan, a small molecule analog of camptothecin (21, 22). In humans, the CDP-camptothecin conjugate IT-101 showed excellent tolerability and promising signs of early clinical activity in heavily pretreated patients with solid tumors (23).

In CDP-TubA, a thiol derivative of the natural compound tubulysin A (TubA) is linked to CDP through a disulfide bond (Supplementary Fig. S1). A disulfide bond containing linker was chosen among various covalent linkages because of its reported high plasma stability and selective release characteristics. It takes advantage of a high redox potential difference between the extracellular environment and the reducing intracellular space to become a selective delivery tool (24). Disulfide produgs have been reported to release their payload after uptake by cells through endocytosis. Disulfide linkers have gained more and more attention in drug formulations and delivery especially in macromolecular drugs such as antibody-drug conjugates that are targeted to internalizing surface ligands (25–28). CDP-polymer conjugates have also been shown to be taken up by cancer cells through endocytosis (29).

Here we report for the first time on the synthesis of CDP-TubA, a series of in vitro studies on CDP-TubA including IC50 release and tubulin inhibition studies, and subsequent in vivo studies including maximum tolerated dose (MTD) and efficacy studies in two mouse tumor xenografts.

Materials and Methods

General

All of the anhydrous solvents, high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade solvents, and other common organic solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Parent polymer, Poly-CD-PEG, was synthesized as previously described (20). The polymer conjugate with methylprednisolone (CDP-MP) was synthesized as previously described (30). TubA was isolated and purified from the myxobacterium Archangium gephyra as previously described (10). The purity of TubA was 97.1% by HPLC, and identity was confirmed by electron spray mass spectrometry (m/z expected 843.45; found 843.8). Paclitaxel was purchased from Natural Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Vinblastine was from American Pharmaceutical Partners. Human plasma was purchased from Sigma. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian 300 MHz spectrometer. Mass spectral analysis was done on Thermo Finnigan electrospray mass spectrometer, LCQ DECA (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Molecular weights of the polymer samples were analyzed on a Wyatt WinGPC Unity system coupled with double gel permeation columns (PL- aquagel-OH-40, 8 μm, 300 × 7.5 mm, Polymer Laboratories) on HP 1100 HPLC system (Agilent). TubA, TubA derivatives, and polymer-TubA conjugates were analyzed with a C-18 reverse phase column (Synergi Hydro-RP, 4 μm, 250 × 4.6 mm, Phenomenex) on a HP 1100 HPLC system using ammonium bicarbonate buffer (pH 8) and acetonitrile. Particle size measurement by dynamic light scattering was done on a ZetaPALS instrument (Brookhaven Instruments).

Synthesis of Poly-CD-PEG-SS-Py, 1

A mixture of parent polymer, Poly-CD-PEG (70 KD, 2 g, 0.43 mmol), pyridine dihydroethyamine hydrochloric salt (364 mg, 1.73 mmol), N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N′-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC; 333 mg, 1.73 mmol), and N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS; 198 mg, 1.73 mmol) were dried overnight under vacuum (Supplementary Fig. S2). Then anhydrous N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF; 40 mL) was added to the mixture followed by anhydrous N,N-diisopropylentulysine (DIEA; 0.3 mL, 1.73 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred under argon at room temperature for 4 h. Diethyl ether (300 mL) was then added into the mixture to precipitate the polymer. The crude product was dissolved in H2O (400 mL) and the solution was dialyzed using a 25,000 MWCO membrane (Spectra/Por 7, Spectrum Laboratories) against water, filtered through a 0.2-μm filter membrane and lyophilized to yield 1.64 g of Poly-CD-PEG-SS-Py (82% yield) as a white solid.

Table 1. IC50 of TubA, CDP-TubA, 2-mercaptoethyl amide of TubA, and TubA-SS-TubA in human HT29 colorectal, human A2780 ovarian, and human H1299 non–small cell lung carcinoma cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>TubA (nmol/L)</th>
<th>CDP-TubA (nmol/L)</th>
<th>2-mercaptoethyl amide of TubA (nmol/L)</th>
<th>TubA-SS-TubA (nmol/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HT29</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2780</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCI-H1299</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: All IC50 values were acquired in a 48 h MTS assay and are expressed in tubulysin monomer equivalents.
Synthesis of Poly-CD-PEG-SH, 2
To a PBS (6.8 mL) solution of Poly-CD-PEG-SS-Py (155 mg, 0.032 mmol) was added a solution of DTT in water (1 mL, 0.64 mmol; Supplementary Fig. S2). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and then dialyzed directly by a 25K MWCO membrane in degassed EDTA (1 mmol/L, 2 L) in water. After filtration through a 0.2-μm filter membrane, the solution was lyophilized to produce a white solid (109 mg) in quantitative yield.

Synthesis of pyridin-2-yl disulfanyl ethyl amide derivative of TubA, 3
To a solution of pyridinedithioethylamine hydrochloride salt (15.8 mg, 0.071 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (1.5 mL) was added DIEA (25 μL, 0.142 mmol) followed by a solution of tubulysin A (40 mg, 0.047 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (0.5 mL) and O-Benzotriazole-N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl-uronium-hexafluoro-phosphate (HBTU; 0.047 mmol; Supplementary Fig. S2). The reaction mixture was stirred under argon at room temperature for 2 h. The mixture was then evaporated under vacuum. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 15/1) to afford white solid (54 mg) in 90% to quantitative yield. Purity was >99% by HPLC and identity was confirmed by electron spray mass spectrometry (m/z expected 1012.35; found 1034.80 M + Na).

Synthesis of Poly-CD-PEG-SS-TubA (CDP-TubA)
Poly-CD-PEG-SH (43 mg, 0.0094 mmol) was dissolved in degassed MeOH (1.8 mL), into which was added a methanol solution (0.35 mL) of 3 (9.5 mg, 0.0094 mmol) to bring the total reaction volume of 2.15 mL (Supplementary Fig. S2). The resulting yellow mixture was stirred under argon at room temperature for 4 h. N-ethyl maleimide.
### Table 2. Antitumor activity of CDP-TubA in the HT29 human colorectal carcinoma xenograft model in nude mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Treatment regimen</th>
<th>Median T-C</th>
<th>%TGD</th>
<th>Statistical significance*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agent mg/kg</td>
<td>Schedule</td>
<td>TTE vs G1</td>
<td>vs G5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>qwk x3</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tubulysin</td>
<td>0.1 qwk x3</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Paclitaxel</td>
<td>30 qd x5</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Vinblastine</td>
<td>4 qwk x3</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CDP-TubA</td>
<td>3 qwk x3</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>39.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CDP-TubA</td>
<td>3 qd x1</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** Potency was evaluated using log-rank testing on the time it took for individual tumors to reach a predetermined endpoint (TTE) of 1,000 mm³ and by comparing the mean tumor volume (MTV) for different treatment groups when tumors of vehicle-treated animals reached 1,000 mm³ (day 33). Ten animals per group were treated. CDP-TubA (batch #1) doses are in tubulysin equivalents. **Vehicle, 10% DMSO: 1% Tween 80 in saline.**

**T-C, difference between median TTE (d) of treated versus control group; %TGD, [(T-C)/C] × 100.**

**MTV, mean tumor volume ± SD (mm³) on the day the vehicle control group mean tumor volume reached the predetermined endpoint of 1,000 mm³.**

**Mean BW nadir, lowest group mean body weight, as % change from Day 1; —, no decrease in mean body weight was observed.**

**Abbreviations:** PR, partial regressions; CR, total number complete regressions; TFS, tumor-free survivors, i.e., CRs at end of study; TR, treatment-related; ne, not evaluable; ns, not significant.

*Statistical significance, Log-rank test.**

**i** Statistical significance, ANOVA w. Tukey’s post test.

**P < 0.001.**

**P < 0.01.**

**P < 0.05.**

...
were added to each well. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h in a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere. The medium was replaced with fresh medium containing the test compounds at concentrations ranging from 0.01 nmol/L to 1000 nmol/L. Triplicate wells per plate were treated at each concentration. Controls were vehicle-treated cells and medium only blank. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. MTS assay reagent was prepared by diluting CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution (Promega) 5-fold into PBS/glucose (4.5 g/L) Cell culture medium was aspirated and 100 µL of MTS reagent were added to each well. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 1 to 2 h depending on the cell line. The plates were shaken for 5 min and the absorbance was measured at 485 nm using a SPECTRAFluor Plus plate reader (Tecan). The percentage of cell survival was calculated relative to untreated cells, and IC50s were estimated from the graphs of log dose (nmol/L) versus % cell survival (GraphPad Prizm).

**Table 2. Antitumor activity of CDP-TubA in the HT29 human colorectal carcinoma xenograft model in nude mice (cont’d)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MTV</th>
<th>Statistical significance 1</th>
<th>Regressions</th>
<th>Mean BW nadir</th>
<th>TR deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day 33</td>
<td>vs G1</td>
<td>vs G5</td>
<td>vs G6</td>
<td>PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1018 ± 198</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>651 ± 59.5</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 ± 8.1</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>633 ± 357</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88.1 ± 85.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350 ± 193</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Animal care**

All mice were housed in a pathogen-free animal facility at Piedmont Research Center according to the Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the regulations of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The animal program at Piedmont Research Center is accredited by the Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.

**Subcutaneous human tumor xenografts**

HT29 colon carcinoma and NCI-H460 non–small cell lung carcinoma cell lines were from the American Type Culture Collection. Cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a concentration of 5,000 cells per well and grown in medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum at 37 °C for 24 h in a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere. The plates were shaken for 5 min and the absorbance was measured at 485 nm using a SPECTRAFluor Plus plate reader (Tecan). The percentage of cell survival was calculated relative to untreated cells, and IC50s were estimated from the graphs of log dose (nmol/L) versus % cell survival (GraphPad Prizm).

**Determination of treatment efficacy**

Treatment efficacy was determined by the time it took a specific tumor to reach the predetermined end point size and by comparing tumor volumes between treatment groups when the mean tumor size of vehicle control animals reached the predetermined endpoint size. The time to end point (TTE) for each mouse was calculated as previously described (21). Partial regression response is defined as a tumor volume ≤50% of its day 1 volume for three consecutive measurements during the course of the study and ≥13.5 mm³ for one or more of these three measurements. Complete regression response is defined as a tumor volume <13.5 mm³ for three consecutive measurements during the course of the study. A tumor-free survivor is an animal with a complete regression response at the end of the study.

**Determination of tolerability**

Animals were weighed daily on days 1 to 5, then twice per week until the completion of the study. The mice were examined for overt signs of any adverse drug-related side effects. Acceptable toxicity for the MTD was defined as group mean weight loss of ≤20% or ≤10% animal deaths from toxicity.

**Statistical and graphical analyses**

The log-rank test was applied to analyze the significance of the difference between the TTE value distributions of two groups. The two-tailed statistical analyses were conducted at P = 0.05. The final tumor size recorded for the mouse was included with the data used to calculate the mean tumor size at subsequent time points when a mouse exited the study due to tumor size or treatment-related death. Tumor volume comparisons between groups were done by one-way ANOVA using a Tukey’s post test.

**Results**

**Synthesis of CDP-TubA conjugates.** The conjugate was synthesized in a four-step synthesis starting from Poly-CD-PEG, the parent polymer, and TubA (Supplementary Fig. S2). Pyridin-2-yl-disulfanyl ethyl amide derivative of both TubA, step 3, and β-cyclodextrin based polymer, step 1, were synthesized by a standard peptide conjugation method using conjugating agents such as HBTU and EDC with high yields. After DTT treatment of step 1, the free thiol containing polymer, step 2, was purified by dialysis in argon-purged EDTA water solution to prevent the sulfhydryls from spontaneous auto-oxidization in air to form disulfide bonds intramolecularly or intermolecularly. Step 2 was then applied to react with step 3 in a ratio of one repeat unit of polymer to one molar equivalent of step 3 to form the disulfide conjugate. Because each repeat unit on step 2 has two free thiol available, the remaining thiol was then quenched with N-ethyl maleimide. The theoretical w% loading of 2-mercaptoproethyl amide of TubA is 31.2% with the ratio of one molar repeat unit on step 2 to two molar equivalents of
step 3. The weight percent loading of TubA on polymer can be controlled through changing the ratio between steps 2 and 3, and we have synthesized polymer conjugates with a wt% loading ranging from 2% to 28%.

Two batches of conjugate were prepared and characterized by HPLC and dynamic light scattering (Supplementary Table S1). Although the parent polymer had a mean particle size of approximately 8 nm by dynamic light scattering, the polymer conjugates had a mean particle size of 10 to 130 nm and a relatively wide size distribution (half-width 50-80 nm). Solubility of TubA in water was approximately 0.1 mg/mL at neutral pH whereas that of CDP-TubA was at least 10 mg/mL in TubA equivalents (>100 mg/mL CDP-TubA). The CDP-TubA conjugate was stable for >6 months without any change in characteristics or purity when stored as a lyophilized solid at -20°C.

**Release of tubulysin from CDP-TubA conjugate.** The release of tubulysin from the polymer conjugate was studied at 37°C in PBS (pH 7.4) and human plasma (pH 7.4). Minimal release of <0.5% was seen in both conditions over 72 hours (data not shown). As expected, the released species detected were predominantly the 2-mercaptoethyl amide of TubA (TubA-SH) and its dimer (TubA-SS-TubA). Additionally, at 48 and 72 hours two unidentified degradation products were detected at low levels (<0.15%). This indicates that the polymer conjugate was stable in physiologic conditions for extended periods of time. Release was even lower at reduced pH (PBS adjusted to pH 5.5 with HCl), confirming that the disulfide cleavage is a redox and not a pH-driven process.

**IC₅₀ measurement by MTS assay.** The cytotoxicity of TubA, CDP-TubA, 2-mercaptoethyl amide of TubA, and the TubA-SS-TubA dimer was tested in the HT29 colorectal, A2780 ovarian, and NCI-H1299 non–small cell lung carcinoma cell lines using an MTS assay (Table 1). The IC₅₀ of TubA was in the low nmol/L range. The IC₅₀ values of CDP-TubA were four to eight times higher than that of TubA. Interestingly, the IC₅₀ values of both CDP-TubA and 2-mercaptoethyl amide of TubA were very similar to each other. The TubA-SS-TubA dimer was approximately two to three times more potent than the corresponding monomer containing the free sulphydryl, when potency was expressed in tubulysin monomer equivalents.

**Tubulin inhibition.** Inhibition of tubulin polymerization by TubA was studied in a cell-free system (Fig. 1A). TubA showed a dose-dependent inhibition of polymerization of tubulin (30 μmol/L concentration) with an IC₅₀ of 3.2 μmol/L and 5.4 μmol/L depending on whether maximum polymerization velocity or end point OD, respectively, was used to calculate potency (Supplementary Table S2).

In a separate experiment, tubulin polymerization was studied in the presence of the polymer conjugate CDP-TubA and the active derivative, TubA-SH (Fig. 1B, Supplementary Table S3). The CDP-TubA polymer conjugate affected the polymerization kinetics, suppressing the maximum polymerization velocity, but did not affect the end point OD at 60 minutes. A polymer conjugate with methylprednisolone, a steroid that is not known to bind to tubulin, did not affect the polymerization of tubulin, indicating that the effect on polymerization kinetics is mediated by the tubulysin component of the conjugate. The free thiol derivative TubA-SH was significantly more potent than the conjugated form, reducing both the maximum polymerization velocity and end point OD (Supplementary Table S3). The most potent tubulin polymerization inhibitor in this experiment was TubA, whereas the tubulin stabilizer paclitaxel showed the expected enhancement in tubulin polymerization.

**Immunocytochemistry.** Incubation of human ovarian carcinoma cells with 200 nmol/L TubA led to a rounding up of cells and a concomitant depletion of microtubule structures (Supplementary Fig. S3). Tubulin staining seemed homogenous and the length of observable tubules was decreased. Untreated control cells showed a normal microtubule network.
for interphase cells and several mitotic cells with what seem to be bipolar spindles were visible. In contrast, no mitotic cells or spindle formation was observed in the TubA-treated cells.

Tolerability. The MTD of TubA given i.v. in three weekly doses was found to be 0.05 mg/kg in non–tumor-bearing nude mice (Supplementary Table S4). Using the same schedule and route of administration, the MTD of CDP-TubA was found to be 6 mg/kg (TubA equivalents), an increase of approximately 100-fold over the small molecule analog. Doses above the MTD resulted in animal deaths that were preceded by body weight loss, with maximum body weight loss occurring usually on day 4, three days after the first dose or day 11, three days after loss, with maximum body weight loss occurring usually on day 4. A single dose of 6 mg/kg, a maximum weight loss of 10.8% was observed on day 4.

Efficacy in the human HT29 colon carcinoma xenografts. The antitumor activity of CDP-TubA was evaluated in s.c. HT29 human colon carcinoma xenografts established in female athymic nude mice (Fig. 2, Table 2).

There was no difference between untreated (data not shown) and vehicle-treated animals. This initial study was designed to compare the unconjugated TubA peptide and the CDP-TubA conjugate at equitoxic doses of approximately 50% of MTD based on tolerability determined in non–tumor-bearing nude mice. However, three weekly doses of TubA at 0.1 mg/kg were found to be above the MTD in tumor-bearing mice, resulting in 50% mortality. The median TTD for the remaining mice was comparable with untreated animals.

In contrast, three weekly doses of CDP-TubA at 3 mg/kg were well tolerated with a body weight loss of 2.2% on day 4. This treatment resulted in a significant tumor growth delay (TGD) of 119%, three 90-day survivors, six partial regressions, and three complete regressions, with one tumor-free survivor.

The vinblastine and paclitaxel reference treatments resulted in TGDs of 127% and 34%, respectively. These results were statistically significant compared with vehicle treatment. Whereas treatment with vinblastine resulted in no regressions, paclitaxel induced two 90-day survivors, one partial regression, and nine complete regressions, with one tumor-free survivor. Treatment with weekly vinblastine was well tolerated; paclitaxel treatment resulted in a maximum group mean body weight loss of 10.2% indicating that it was dosed at its MTD. Two animals in the paclitaxel group displayed hind leg paralysis, a common side effect of this treatment.

Statistical analysis showed that weekly CDP-TubA at approximately 50% MTD was significantly more effective in both Kaplan-Meier survival as well as tumor size reduction compared with vinblastin at an equitoxic dose and as effective as paclitaxel at its MTD. A single dose of CDP-TubA at 3 mg/kg also showed significant antitumor activity resulting in TGD equivalent to and tumor size control superior to weekly vinblastine.

Efficacy in the human H460 non–small cell lung carcinoma xenografts. Efficacy was further evaluated in human H460 non–small cell lung carcinoma xenografts established s.c. in female nude mice (Fig. 3, Table 3). In order to enable comparison of efficacy at equitoxic doses in this second efficacy study, the dose of unconjugated TubA was reduced to 0.025 mg/kg (approx. 50% of MTD) and polymer-conjugated TubA was studied at both 3 and 6 mg/kg (approximately 50% and 100% of MTD), respectively.

There was no significant difference in tumor growth between untreated (data not shown) and vehicle-treated animals. In the untreated control group, however, one long-term survivor with a regressing tumor indicated a potential background of spontaneous tumor regression.

Treatment with tubulysin A resulted in a nonsignificant TGD and reduction in tumor burden on day 25, the time when tumors of control animals reached the predetermined average size of 2,000 mm³. The vinblastine and paclitaxel reference treatments resulted in TGDs of 109% and 77%, respectively. Tumor sizes on day 25 were also reduced to 41% and 35% of vehicle controls, respectively. These results were statistically significant compared with vehicle treatment. One tumor-free survivor was reported in each of the reference groups, which may have been responses to treatment or spontaneous regressions. Mean body weight loss was more pronounced in the paclitaxel group (17.5%), indicating that it was dosed at the MTD, whereas the vinblastine group showed a body weight loss of 6.7%. Clinical observations of hind leg paralysis were recorded in all animals in the paclitaxel group but were not observed in any other treatment groups.

Treatment with CDP-TubA at 3 and 6 mg/kg resulted in a dose-dependent TGD of 113% and 158%, respectively. Average tumor sizes on day 25 were also reduced to 40% and 6.7% of vehicle controls, respectively. These results were statistically significant compared with vehicle controls. One tumor-free survivor was recorded in each of the CDP-TubA groups. Tumor growth curves indicate that CDP-TubA at 6 mg/m² resulted in tumor stasis for approximately two weeks after the final dose. CDP-TubA at 6 mg/m² also showed the smallest average tumor size of any treatment at day 25, a difference that was statistically significant compared with paclitaxel and with low-dose CDP-TubA but not vinblastine. CDP-TubA treatments were well tolerated with a maximum body weight loss of 7.9% in the high-dose group.

Discussion

Microtubule-targeted agents such as the microtubule stabilizer paclitaxel or microtubule destabilizer vinblastine have gained significant clinical use. Despite their impressive clinical success, however, there remains room for improvement in a variety of areas such as (a) a broader spectrum of antitumor activity to include diseases not responsive to current tubulin inhibitors (e.g. colorectal cancer), (b) activity in previously treated, resistant tumors (e.g. taxane-resistant lung or ovarian cancer), (c) reduced toxicity, and (d) improved efficacy (i.e. survival). Tubulysins are microtubule-targeted, peptide-like compounds that have been shown to have many desirable characteristics suitable for a chemotherapeutic agent such as high potency in a wide variety of tumor cells, high activity in multidrug resistant cell lines including cells resistant to paclitaxel, high antiangiogenic activity, and lack of cytochrome P450 interaction. However, their potential as anticancer drugs is severely hampered by their low solubility and high toxicity. For instance, in our hands TubA had a MTD of 0.05 mg/kg in nude mice, which was significantly below the therapeutic dose in xenograft models. Tubulysins are therefore good candidates for targeted delivery to tumors. One way of achieving this is through the use of macromolecular carrier systems. CDP, a linear copolymer of β-cyclodextrin and polyethylene glycol, is a unique carrier for potent small molecule drugs because its conjugates self-assemble into nanoparticles with neutral surface
charge and high solubility. This has been shown before for conjugates with camptothecin (20) and methylprednisolone (30) and again here in the case of TubA, in which an average particle size of around 100 nm was observed for conjugates whereas parent polymer had an average size of 8 nm by dynamic light scattering.

In addition to size, charge, and hydrophilicity, the choice of linker chemistry is important for successful tumor targeting. Ideally, the linker should show significant stability in circulation while allowing for the controlled release of active drug from the conjugate in the tumor, a characteristic that has been reported for disulfide linkers. Because TubA does not contain any thiol groups, this strategy required derivatization of the molecule. Recently, multiple, fully synthetic tubulysin analogs have successfully been synthesized, enabling the study of the structure-activity relationship of these compounds (1). Based on these results, we hypothesized that the introduction of a mercaptoethyl amide group at the carboxy-terminus of TubA may be possible without causing a significant loss of target inhibition and cytotoxicity. The primary mode of action of TubA is through potent inhibition of tubulin polymerization. In a cell-free system, 3 to 5 μmol/L TubA were able to inhibit polymerization of 30 μmol/L tubulin by 50%. Consistent with this mode of action, cancer cells exposed to TubA for a short period of time showed a loss of microtubule structures and a lack of spindle formation. The mercaptoethyl amide derivative TubA-SH remained a potent inhibitor of tubulin polymerization, being about 20% less active than TubA in the cell-free tubulin polymerization assay. The polymer conjugate of TubA-SH showed some effect on tubulin polymerization kinetics but not on the final extent of polymerization, indicating that release of tubulysin from the polymer is required for effective target inhibition. The kinetic effect was mediated by TubA, because a steroid conjugate at the same concentration had no effect on tubulin polymerization. Consistent with these results, the TubA-SH derivative also showed potent in vitro cytotoxicity in three different human tumor cell lines (IC50 of 4.4 to 19 nmol/L) and was only moderately less potent than the TubA parent molecule (1.3 to 2.8 nmol/L), confirming our initial hypothesis.

Release studies in PBS and human plasma confirmed that the disulfide-linked polymer conjugate was very stable under physiologic conditions. At the same time it retained its cytotoxic activity, indicating reduction of the disulfide linker and efficient release of active drug upon incubation with cells. We have previously shown that cyclodextrin-based polymers bind to tumor cells and get internalized efficiently into endosomes (29). Although the exact mechanism of disulfide reduction upon endocytosis is still under investigation, multiple studies have shown the efficiency of this process (for a review see ref. 24). For example, Yang et al. found that endocytosis through the folate receptor leads to rapid reduction of disulfide linkers with a half-life of 6 hours and that release was not dependent on the redox machinery associated with the cell surface (31). Arunachalam et al. identified a lysosomal thiol reductase in B cells that was capable of catalyzing disulfide bond reduction under low pH conditions (32). Feener et al. found that reduction of disulfide bonds was cell surface–mediated and not related to endosomes or lysosomes (33). On the other hand, the cleavage of certain internalized disulfide-based antibody-drug conjugates was found to be independent of the reducing potential in endosomes/lysosomes (34). Regardless of the exact mechanism of action, our data confirm that the release of the disulfide-linked tubulysin prodruk was a very efficient cellular process.

Polymer conjugation of TubA resulted in a dramatic 100-fold increase in MTD in nude mice, suggesting that the conjugate was stable in circulation in vivo. This increased tolerability translated into increased efficacy in two s.c. human cancer xenografts. Whereas TubA at its MTD was completely inactive, CDP-TubA showed equal or superior efficacy compared with vinblastine and paclitaxel reference treatments with minimal observed toxicity. In the H460 non–small cell lung carcinoma study, weekly CDP-TubA at its MTD was the most active treatment showing prolonged tumor growth suppression for up to 2 weeks after the last administration, suggesting efficient

Table 3. Antitumor activity of CDP-TubA in the H460 human non–small cell lung carcinoma xenograft model in nude mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Treatment regimen</th>
<th>Median TTE</th>
<th>T-C</th>
<th>%TGD</th>
<th>Statistical significance*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agent mg/kg Schedule</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs G1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vehicle — qwk ×3</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tubulysin 0.025</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Paclitaxel 30</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Vinblastine 8</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CDP-TubA 6</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CDP-TubA 3</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Potency was evaluated using log-rank testing on the time it took for individual tumors to reach a predetermined endpoint (TTE) of 2,000 mm³ and by comparing the mean tumor volume (MTV) for different treatment groups when tumors of vehicle treated animals reached 2,000 mm³ (day 25). Ten animals per group were treated. CDP-TubA (batch #2) doses are in tubulysin equivalents. MTV, mean tumor volume ± SD (mm³) on the day the vehicle control group mean tumor volume reached the predetermined endpoint of 2,000 mm³.

*Statistical significance = Logrank test.

1P < 0.001.

2P < 0.01.

3P < 0.05.
delivery of prodrug and prolonged release of active drug within the tumors. This is the first successful showing of antitumor activity against established tumors by a tubulysin analog in vivo. These observations confirm that cyclodextrin-based polymer conjugation through a disulfide linker is a valid approach to increasing the therapeutic index of tubulysins. Although more detailed pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies remain to be done, our results give hope that macromolecular prodrugs with intelligently designed linkers may enable the development of this class of compounds in diseases with high unmet medical need such as solid tumors refractory or resistant to current microtubule inhibitors.
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