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**Abstract**

**Purpose:** Recent studies suggest that temozolomide has activity in neuroendocrine tumors. Low levels of the DNA repair enzyme, O\textsuperscript{6}-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase (MGMT), are associated with sensitivity to temozolomide in other tumor types. We evaluated the prevalence of MGMT deficiency in neuroendocrine tumors and correlated MGMT deficiency with treatment response to temozolomide-based regimens.

**Experimental Design:** The prevalence of MGMT deficiency, measured by immunohistochemistry, was assessed in 97 archival neuroendocrine tumor specimens. Rates of treatment response and survival were next evaluated in a cohort of 101 consecutive neuroendocrine tumor patients who had received treatment with a temozolomide-based regimen at one of three institutions. MGMT expression was directly correlated with treatment response in 21 patients who had available tumor tissue and response data.

**Results:** In archival specimens, MGMT deficiency was observed in 19 of 37 (51%) pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors and 0 of 60 (0%) carcinoid tumors ($P<0.0001$). In the clinical cohort, 18 of 53 (34%) patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors but only 1 of 44 (2%) patients with carcinoid tumors ($P<0.001$) experienced a partial or complete response to temozolomide-based therapy. Among 21 patients with evaluable tumor tissue who had also received treatment with temozolomide, 4 of 5 patients with MGMT-deficient tumors (all pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors) and 0 of 16 patients with tumors showing intact MGMT expression responded to treatment ($P=0.001$).

**Conclusions:** MGMT deficiency, measured by immunohistochemistry, is more common in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors than in carcinoid tumors as is treatment response to temozolomide-based therapy. Absence of MGMT may explain the sensitivity of some pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors to treatment.

The alkylating agents streptozocin or dacarbazine are commonly incorporated in chemotherapy regimens for patients with advanced neuroendocrine tumors (1–7). Temozolomide is an alkylating agent initially developed as an oral and more easily tolerated alternative to dacarbazine. Initial clinical studies done with temozolomide showed clear evidence of activity in both melanoma and glioma (8–10). Recently, temozolomide has also been shown to have moderate activity in patients with advanced neuroendocrine tumors.

In an initial prospective study, treatment with temozolomide and thalidomide was associated with objective responses in 5 of 11 (45%) patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors and 1 of 14 patients with carcinoid tumors (11). In a second prospective study, treatment with temozolomide and bevacizumab was associated with tumor responses in 4 of 17 (24%) patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors and 0 of 12 patients with carcinoid tumors (12). Both regimens incorporated a dose-intense temozolomide regimen of 150 mg/m\textsuperscript{2}/d for 7 days administered on an every other week schedule.

Retrospective series further support the use of temozolomide in neuroendocrine tumors. In a series of 36 patients treated with temozolomide monotherapy, tumor regression was observed in 31% of bronchial carcinoid tumors and 8% of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (13). In small, retrospective series of patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors, combination therapy with temozolomide and capcitabine...
has been associated with a tumor response rates of 59% to 71% (14, 15).

The cytotoxic effect of temozolomide has been attributed to its ability to induce DNA methylation at the O\(^6\) position of guanine. Methylation of guanine results in DNA mismatch, ultimately resulting in apoptosis and tumor cell death (16). The sensitivity of tumor cells to alkylating agents is significantly higher in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors than in carcinoid tumors, a finding that is consistent with a higher prevalence of MGMT deficiency in this tumor subtype. In patients with available tumor tissue who had received treatment with temozolomide, MGMT expression directly correlated with treatment response. Neuroendocrine tumors have historically been considered resistant to standard cytotoxic chemotherapy regimens. Our findings confirm the activity of temozolomide-based regimens in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors and suggest that approximately one-third of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor patients will respond to such treatment. Our study further suggests that the tumors of the subgroup of patients who respond are characterized by MGMT deficiency as measured by immunohistochemical techniques. Prospective trials to validate immunohistochemical MGMT status as a predictive marker of response to temozolomide-based therapy in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors are warranted.

**Table 1. Immunohistochemical MGMT expression in neuroendocrine tumors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor type</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>MGMT deficient, n (%)</th>
<th>MGMT intact, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic neuroendocrine</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>19 (51)</td>
<td>17 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonfunctional</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13 (54)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulinoma</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3 (30)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrinoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucagonoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoid</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60 (100)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.0001.
Identification of neuroendocrine patients who had received temozolomide-based therapy. We examined patients with locally advanced or metastatic neuroendocrine tumors who received temozolomide-based therapy either as part of prospectively conducted clinical trials or off-protocol at the discretion of the treating physician. Patients were treated at one of three institutions: Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Massachusetts General Hospital, or Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center. Patients were identified either through review of two clinical trials that included temozolomide or through an institutional review board-approved protocol in which patients provide informed consent for the use of medical records, biospecimens, and clinical outcome data for medical research purposes. Medical records and clinical trial records were used to obtain demographic and treatment information as well as to assess response to temozolomide-based therapy.

Assessment of response and survival. For all patients in this analysis, radiologic response was measured using Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors. Patients who had enrolled on prospective, phase II studies underwent baseline staging computed tomography scans within 4 weeks of treatment initiation, and every 8 weeks thereafter. Response measurements for patients who received temozolomide-based therapy outside of a study setting were obtained using the nearest pretreatment computed tomography scan and subsequent scans obtained as part of routine clinical care. Biochemical response was measured based on baseline chromogranin A levels obtained before initiation of temozolomide-based therapy. Patients were considered to have a partial biochemical response if there was a ≥50% reduction in plasma chromogranin A from the baseline level on two successive measurements. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time from initiation of temozolomide-based therapy until death from any cause. Progression-free survival (PFS) was defined as the time from initiation of temozolomide therapy to the date of documented progression or death from any cause. OS and PFS were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method.

Results

We first evaluated MGMT expression in a cohort of 97 archival neuroendocrine tumor specimens and compared the prevalence of MGMT deficiency in pancreatic neuroendocrine and carcinoid samples (Table 1; Fig. 1). Among 37 pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors, 19 (51%) were MGMT deficient. Absence of MGMT was observed in 13 of 24 nonfunctional pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors, 3 of 10 insulinomas, 2 of 2 gastrinomas, and 1 of 1 glucagonoma. In contrast, MGMT staining was intact in all 60 carcinoid tumors, comprising 20 typical bronchial carcinoid tumors, 20 atypical bronchial carcinoid tumors, and 20 small intestine carcinoid tumors ($P < 0.0001$). Heterogeneous staining for MGMT was observed in our study; tumors with heterogeneous staining were classified as MGMT “intact” according to the classification scheme. We noted particularly prominent heterogeneity in three atypical bronchial carcinoid tumors, suggesting that a significant subpopulation of cells in these tumors was MGMT deficient.

To evaluate whether patterns of treatment response might mirror the prevalence of MGMT deficiency in these tumor types, we next identified 101 patients who had received temozolomide-based therapy for neuroendocrine tumors and recorded treatment outcome according to tumor type (Table 2). The patient cohort had a median age of 57 years and had been diagnosed a median of 19.5 months before initiating treatment with temozolomide. Fifty-three patients had pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors, 44 had carcinoid tumors, and 4 had pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma. The majority of patients had received one or more systemic treatments for their malignancy before receiving treatment with temozolomide.

Of the 101 patients who received temozolomide-based therapy, 63 were treated as part of one of two prospective, single-arm, phase II clinical trials. These trials examined either the combination of temozolomide and thalidomide or temozolomide and bevacizumab. Within the clinical trials, temozolomide was administered at a dose of 150 mg/m²/d in both regimens; thalidomide was administered at doses ranging from 200 to 400 mg/d, and bevacizumab at a dose of 5 mg/kg intravenously every other week. Similar regimens and starting doses were used in the majority of patients receiving temozolomide-based treatment outside of the formal study setting.

No significant differences in tumor response rates were observed based on the type of temozolomide regimen administered. Moreover, patients who received temozolomide as part of a clinical trial appeared to experience a similar objective response rate when compared with those who were treated outside of a clinical trial. A marked difference in
response rates was observed, however, between pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors and carcinoid tumors. Among 53 patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors, 18 (34%) experienced partial responses to therapy as defined by Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors. In contrast, only 1 of 44 (2%) patients with carcinoid tumors experienced an objective response ($P < 0.001$); the single responder had metastatic well-differentiated bronchial carcinoid tumor. One of 4 patients with pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma responded to treatment.

The median PFS was 13.6 months for pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor patients and 9.6 months for patients with carcinoid tumors who received temozolomide ($P = 0.12$; Fig. 2A). Median OS was 35.3 months for patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors and 19.4 months for patients with carcinoid tumors ($P = 0.07$; Fig. 2B).

In light of the parallel patterns of MGMT deficiency and treatment response among carcinoid and pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors, we postulated that MGMT expression might directly correlate with response to temozolomide therapy. We therefore examined the effect of immunohistochemical MGMT expression on clinical outcomes among 21 temozolomide-treated patients, comprising all patients for whom both clinical data and archival, paraffin-embedded specimens were available. Tumors from 16 of the treated patients (13 carcinoid tumors and 3 pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors) showed intact MGMT expression. None of these 16 patients experienced radiologic or biochemical responses to temozolomide. Five patients had tumors that were MGMT deficient; all five tumors were pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Four of these 5 (80%) patients experienced partial radiologic responses to treatment ($P = 0.001$); 4 of 5 also experienced biochemical (chromogranin A) responses. One patient who did not experience a radiologic response experienced a chromogranin A response; conversely, one of the radiologic responders did not have a chromogranin A response.

Among those patients who received temozolomide-based therapy, the median PFS for patients whose tumors showed intact MGMT expression was 9.3 months compared with 19.2 months for patients with MGMT-deficient tumors (Fig. 3A; $P = 0.11$). The median OS for patients whose tumors showed intact MGMT expression was 19.1 months; the median OS for patients with MGMT deficient tumors has not been reached (Fig. 3B).

### Table 2.

#### (A) Patient characteristics and treatment response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Radiologic response, $n$ (%)</th>
<th>Biochemical response (baseline elevated), $n$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumor type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic neuroendocrine</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>18/53 (34)</td>
<td>16/32 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoid tumors</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1/44 (2)</td>
<td>6/27 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1/8 (13)</td>
<td>3/8 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small bowel</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/19 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/unknown</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraganglioma/pheochromocytoma</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1/4 (25)</td>
<td>2/2 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>10/51 (20)</td>
<td>9/31 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10/50 (20)</td>
<td>15/30 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment regimen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temozolomide/thalidomide</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>8/44 (18)</td>
<td>14/25 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temozolomide/bevacizumab</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>11/52 (21)</td>
<td>9/33 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temozolomide/xeloda</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/1 (100)</td>
<td>1/1 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temozolomide alone</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0/4 (0)</td>
<td>0/4 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase II study</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>12/63 (19)</td>
<td>11/37 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-study</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>8/38 (21)</td>
<td>13/24 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median time from diagnosis (mo)</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. prior systemic antitumoral treatments*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>12/44 (27)</td>
<td>11/30 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3/35 (8)</td>
<td>10/19 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2/6 (33)</td>
<td>2/5 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2/6 (33)</td>
<td>1/5 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/1 (100)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### (B) MGMT status and treatment response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MGMT status</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Radiologic response, $n$ (%)</th>
<th>Biochemical response (baseline elevated), $n$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MGMT intact †</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0/16 (0)</td>
<td>0/10 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGMT deficient ‡</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4/5 (80)</td>
<td>4/5 (80)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Prior treatment data not available for 9 patients.

†Thirteen of 16 tumors with intact MGMT expression were carcinoids; 3 of 16 were pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

‡All 5 MGMT-deficient tumors were pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.
Discussion

In a large cohort of archival neuroendocrine tumor specimens, we found that MGMT deficiency, as measured by immunohistochemistry, was more common in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors than in carcinoid tumors. Consistent with this difference, we found that 34% of patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors treated with temozolomide-based regimens experienced a Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors-defined radiologic tumor regression, whereas responses in carcinoid tumor patients were rare. MGMT deficiency was directly associated with treatment response to temozolomide in the subgroup of 21 treated patients who also had available tumor tissue.

Like temozolomide, streptozocin and dacarbazine induce methylation at the O\textsuperscript{6} position of guanine (27–30). This common cytotoxic mechanism suggests that the mechanisms of drug resistance for these agents may also be similar and that the ability of MGMT to repair treatment-induced formation of O\textsuperscript{6} methylguanine may contribute to drug resistance to all three drugs. Our observations that temozolomide-based therapy is more effective in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors than in carcinoid tumors in fact mirror earlier results with the alkylating agents streptozocin and dacarbazine.

In an initial randomized trial, the combination of streptozocin and doxorubicin was associated with a combined biochemical and radiologic response rate of 69% in patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (5). In a retrospective analysis of 84 pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor patients treated with streptozocin, 5-fluorouracil, and doxorubicin, using more formal radiologic response criteria, the overall response rate was 39% (4). Dacarbazine was associated with an overall response rate of 33% in patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors in a phase II study (6). The response rate of 34% observed with temozolomide in the current study is similar to that observed in these prior studies.

Response rates associated with these alkylating agents in carcinoid tumors are lower. In a recent trial, 249 patients with advanced carcinoid tumors were randomized to receive either streptozocin/5-fluorouracil or 5-fluorouracil...
doxorubicin (7). The response rates associated with these regimens were 16% and 15.9%, respectively. The reported response rates associated with single-agent dacarbazine in carcinoid tumors are 8% to 16% (2, 7). Only a single carcinoid tumor patient (2%) responded to temozolomide-based therapy in our series. Our results are similar to those of a smaller study of temozolomide monotherapy in 36 patients with neuroendocrine tumors (13). As in our study, 4 of 5 responding patients in the monotherapy study had low MGMT expression; responses were uncommon in patients with high MGMT expression. In contrast to our observations, however, temozolomide monotherapy was associated with an overall response rate of 31% (4 of 13) in patients with bronchial carcinoid tumors. We identified only 8 bronchial carcinoid tumor patients in our series, limiting our ability to more formally evaluate the efficacy of temozolomide in this subpopulation. Interestingly, the single carcinoid patient who responded to temozolomide in our study had a bronchial carcinoid tumor. Although several tumors classified as MGMT “intact” showed heterogeneous staining in our study, we observed a markedly heterogeneous pattern of MGMT expression in three atypical bronchial carcinoid tumors, providing a possible explanation for the sensitivity of some carcinoid tumors to temozolomide. Streptozocin-based therapy has been associated with improved OS in patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (5). We observed trends toward improved PFS and OS among temozolomide-treated patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors when compared with treated patients with carcinoid tumors in our study. Survival comparisons in our cohort are limited by both the retrospective nature of our analysis and potential differences in the treated subpopulations. Nevertheless, given the often similar natural history of patients with these malignancies, our observations raise the possibility that the higher observed rate of treatment response may also translate into improved survival in patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Although we also observed a trend toward improved PFS and OS in patients with MGMT-deficient compared with MGMT-intact tumors, we cannot rule out the possibility that MGMT status had an independent effect on survival. Prospective, randomized studies will be necessary to confirm these associations.

There remains considerable controversy regarding the optimal method of MGMT analysis in clinical studies. 

![Fig. 3. PFS and OS in patients with MGMT-intact or MGMT-deficient neuroendocrine tumors treated with temozolomide-based therapy. A, median PFS was 19.2 mo for MGMT-deficient neuroendocrine tumors and 9.3 mo for MGMT-intact tumors (P = 0.11). B, median OS for patients with MGMT-deficient tumors was not reached; median OS for patients with MGMT-intact tumors was 19.1 mo (P = 0.14).](image-url)
analysis of MGMT enzymatic activity generally requires use of carefully preserved frozen tissue or cell lysates and is not readily applicable to analysis of archival tumor samples from large clinical studies (31–33). Epigenetic silencing of the MGMT gene by CpG island promoter methylation is a common mechanism of MGMT gene regulation, and promoter methylation status, assessed by methylation-specific PCR, has been widely used as a surrogate marker of MGMT activity in clinical specimens (34). In patients with glioblastoma, MGMT promoter methylation has been associated with improved survival and benefit from temozolomide in most, although not all, studies (20, 21, 23, 35–37). Direct measurement of MGMT protein expression using immunohistochemistry, as was done in our study, is the technically easiest and perhaps the most commonly used technique to measure MGMT status in tumor samples. As with MGMT promoter methylation, low levels of immunohistochemical MGMT expression have been associated with improved response to temozolomide in glioblastoma in many studies, although correlations have not always been consistent (19, 22–25, 38).

Our observation that MGMT deficiency is more common in pancreatic neuroendocrine than in carcinoid tumors would suggest that MGMT promoter methylation status may also be more prevalent in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. However, previously reported studies of CpG island methylation in neuroendocrine tumors have found either no significant difference in MGMT promoter methylation rates between carcinoid and pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors or higher rates of promoter methylation in carcinoid tumors compared with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (39, 40). A poor correlation between MGMT promoter methylation and immunohistochemical expression of MGMT has been reported in several studies directly comparing these two methods (41–43). One study evaluating 31 glioblastoma samples found evidence of MGMT promoter methylation in 61% of samples but low level immunohistochemical MGMT expression (<20% nuclear staining) in only 31% (41). In a second study, substantial numbers of MGMT-positive cells were detected in the majority (73%) of tumor specimens carrying a methylated promoter (42). Tumor heterogeneity, as well as the presence of endothelial cells and other nonneoplastic components expressing MGMT in tumor samples, may have contributed to the discordant results observed in these studies.

We sought to minimize these limitations in our study by prospectively using a strict definition for MGMT deficiency, in which specimens were only considered deficient if they showed complete absence of detectable MGMT in tumor cells by immunohistochemistry. We further specifically identified non-neoplastic components of the tumors using these elements as positive internal controls. Nevertheless, technical limitations and interobserver variability remain a concern in the interpretation of MGMT immunohistochemical assays. We also cannot rule out the possibility that mechanisms other than MGMT expression affect neuroendocrine tumor sensitivity to temozolomide. Parallel DNA repair mechanisms, including the base excision repair system, may affect temozolomide sensitivity, resulting in an imperfect correlation between MGMT expression and treatment response (44, 45).

In summary, MGMT deficiency, as measured immunohistochemically, appears to be more common in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors than in carcinoid tumors. Consistent with this finding, in a retrospective analysis, we observed a 34% response rate to temozolomide-based therapy in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors compared with 2% in carcinoid tumors. MGMT deficiency was directly associated with temozolomide response in the patient subgroup with available tumor tissue and treatment data. Our findings suggest that MGMT status could be used as a predictive marker to identify neuroendocrine tumor patients who are likely to respond to treatment with alkylating agents. Standardization of techniques to assess MGMT status in tumor tissue, together with prospective trials to confirm a correlation between MGMT status and treatment response in neuroendocrine tumor patients treated with alkylating agents, is warranted.
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