High Efficacy of Panobinostat Towards Human Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors in a Xenograft Mouse Model
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Abstract

Purpose: Histone deacetylase inhibitors have emerged as potent anticancer compounds. Using a nude-mouse xenograft model, for the first time we evaluated the response of human gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) carrying different oncogenic KIT mutations to panobinostat (LBH589), administered single or in combination with imatinib.

Experimental Design: We grafted the human GIST882 cell line with KIT exon 13 mutation and two biopsies from patients radiologically progressing under imatinib showing KIT exon11 and KIT exon9 mutations, respectively. Our study included 4 groups: A (n = 9; control), B (n = 10; panobinostat 10 mg/kg daily, i.p.), C (n = 9; imatinib 150 mg/kg bidaily, p.o.), and D (n = 8; combination panobinostat-imatinib, same dose/schedule as above). Treatment lasted 12 days. Tumor size was measured regularly using standard variables. Histopathological assessment was by H&E, and immunohistochemically with KIT, cleaved caspase-3, Ki-67, and histone acetylation staining.

Results: Overall, GIST xenografts responded rapidly to panobinostat as indicated by tumor regression, necrosis, hemorrhages, fibrosis, and/or myxoid degeneration, remarkable apoptosis, and substantial decline of cell proliferation. H3 and H4 acetylation increased significantly from control level in all treated groups. The combination of panobinostat and imatinib further enhanced most of the assessed parameters.

Conclusions: We show for the first time potential therapeutic activity of panobinostat in human GISTs, in vivo. Our results warrant further exploration of histone deacetylase inhibitors for the treatment of advanced GISTs. Our study is also the first one on human GIST mouse xenografts established using patient biopsies.

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) are the most common mesenchymal cancers of the digestive system, showing resistance to radiotherapy and/or conventional chemotherapy (1). They have heterogeneous pathological features and behavior, ranging from benign to overtly malignant sarcomas with spindle cell, epithelioid cell, or mixed-cell component (2, 3). GISTs are driven mainly by oncogenic mutations in the KIT receptor, also known as CD117 or stem cell factor receptor (4). KIT exon 11 mutations are the most frequent (70-85%), whereas KIT exon 9 mutations account for 5% to 15% of cases (5). The tyrosine kinase inhibitor imatinib mesylate targeting KIT has been a major breakthrough in the treatment of patients with advanced GISTs (1). However, in time, most patients experience drug resistance, clinically classified as primary (early, within 6 months of therapy) or secondary (late; ref. 6). Secondary KIT mutations account most frequently for this resistance, followed by amplification of the KIT gene (7, 8). Alternative GIST therapies after failure to imatinib are, as yet, only in development (6, 9).

Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors (HDACi) are long known in psychiatry and neurology as mood stabilizers and antiepileptics (10). However, in the past decades, they emerged as potent anticancer compounds with antiproliferative and proapoptotic activities (11). HDACi may primarily act through transcriptional reactivation of dormant tumor suppressor...
Translational Relevance

The data presented in this study show that panobinostat (LBH589; pan-HDAC-inhibitor of a new generation) has strong antitumor potential against gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST), and in combination with imatinib, the therapeutic effect is enhanced. Protein lysine acetylation is emerging as a proper signaling system influencing various functions in different cellular compartments including apoptosis and protein folding (cytoplasm), and gene expression (nucleus). Thus, pan-HDAC inhibitors offer a wide range of appealing anticancer targets, and emerge as ideal compounds to be associated in standard cancer therapy. The elucidation of the heterogeneous molecular mechanism conferring resistance to imatinib in GISTs renders the need for novel therapeutic strategies of utmost importance. The combined therapy with imatinib (membrane) and histone deacetylase inhibitors (cytoplasm+nucleus) represents a promising strategy for the treatment of patients with advanced GISTs, potentially overcoming resistance to imatinib.

Efficacy of HDACi in GISTs

Chemicals and drugs. Antibodies to p-Y703 KIT (mc), cleaved caspase-3 (D175; mc), acetyl-K9 histone 3 (Ac-H3K9; pc), acetyl-K18 histone 3 (Ac-H3K18; pc), acetyl-K8 histone 4 (Ac-H4K8; pc), acetyl-K12 histone 4 (Ac-H4K12; pc), p-S473 AKT (pc), AKT (pc), p-T202/ Y204 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK; pc), p42/44 MAPK (pc) STAT3 (pc), pY705 STAT3 (pc), and FOXO3a (pc) were from Cell Signaling. Antibodies to B-actin (pc), α-tubulin (clone DM1A; mc), and acetylated α-tubulin (clone 6-11B-1; mc) were from Sigma-Aldrich. Antibodies to Ki-67 (clone SP6; mc) were from Thermo Scientific. Antibodies to KIT (CD117; pc), hoseradish peroxidase (HRP)-polyclonal rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulins, HRP-polycional goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulins, the anti-rabbit Envision+ System- HRP-labeled polymer, and 3’-diaminobenzidine-tetrahydrochloride were from DAKO. Western Lightning chemiluminescence reagent was from Perkins and Elmer. Bio-Rad protein assay and the polyvinylidene difluoride membranes were from Bio-Rad. Electrophoresis was carried in NuPAGE gels from Invitrogen. Imatinib mesylate (Glivec/Gleevec) and the cinnamic acid hydroxamate panobinostat (LBH589) were provided by Novartis Pharma AG.

Cell line, biopsies, and mice. The GIST882 cell line carrying the homozygous KIT exon 13 K642E (KIT-exon13) mutation was a kind gift from Dr. Jonathan Fletcher (Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; ref. 26). GIST biopsies with heterozygous KIT exon 9 A502_Y503dup (KIT-exon9) and KIT exon 11 K558_G565delinsR (KIT-exon11) mutations, respectively, were obtained from patients radiologically progressing under imatinib. Female adult athymic NMRI nude mice (36-42 grams) were obtained from Janvier laboratories.

Generation of mouse GIST xenografts. Heterotopic GIST xenografts were generated by subcutaneous inoculations of GIST 882 cells as previously described (27), or s.c. transplantation of biopsies on both sides. We retransplanted the tumors when they reached 0.7 to 1 cm³ volume (8-12 wk). At each passage, a tumor piece was snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and another one fixed in formalin. For this study, we used 10 mice with GIST882 (4th passage), 12 mice with KIT-exon9 (3rd passage), and 14 mice with KIT-exon11 (4th passage) mutations.

Experimental design and treatment. All animal experiments were approved by the ethical committee of the Catholic University of Leuven. Thirty-six mice, each bearing 2 GIST xenografts (except for 1 mouse with 3 tumors, and 2 mice with one tumor) of (average) ~700 mm³ were assigned to 4 treatment groups: not treated (group A), panobinostat (group B), imatinib (group C), and panobinostat-imatinib combination (group D). Imatinib was administered orally by gavages at 150 mg/kg twice a day, and LBH589 i.p at a 10mg/kg daily. The treatment lasted 12 d. Mice were randomly assigned to the four groups. Group A composed of 16 tumors (4 KIT-exon13, 8 KIT-exon11, and 4 KIT-exon9 mutants). Group B composed of 21 tumors (6 KIT-exon13, 9 KIT-exon11, and 6 KIT-exon9 mutants). Group C composed of 18 tumors (6 KIT-exon13, 6 KIT-exon11, and 6 KIT-exon9 mutants). After treatment initiation, tumor volume and mice body weight were assessed at baseline, day 4th, 8th, and 12th of the experiment. Three orthogonal diameters were measured with Vernier
caliper, and the ellipsoid formula was used to calculate tumor volume (28). When treatment ended, pieces of tumor were either fixed in 10% buffered formalin, or snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Organs, including liver, kidney, lung, spleen, and intestine were collected for treatment side effect evaluation.

**Histology.** Paraffin sections (5 μm) were cut for H&E staining and immunohistochemistry. Mites and apoptotic bodies were counted in 10 high power fields (HPF) at 400-fold magnifications. Ki-67 immunohistochemistry. Mitoses and apoptotic bodies were counted side effect evaluation.

Liver, kidney, lung, spleen, and intestine were collected for treatment buffered formalin, or snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Organs, including (28). When treatment ended, pieces of tumor were either fixed in 10% (28). When treatment ended, pieces of tumor were either fixed in 10%.

**Immunoblotting.** Tumor lysates were obtained from frozen tissue, as described (7), and used for immunoblot analysis. Electrophoresis was done in NuPAGE 4-12% Bis-tris gels from Invitrogen, and chemiluminescence was captured with the FUJI miniLAS3000-plus imaging system.

**Sequencing.** Mutational analysis was done on patient biopsies and on randomly chosen 36 xenografts (3 tumors per genotype from each experimental group). Genomic DNA was extracted from frozen biopsies or from neoplastic areas from paraffin sections using the High Pure PCR Template Preparation kit (Roche). KIT exon 9, 11, 13, 14, and 17 were screened for mutations, using a combination of PCR amplification, de-naturing high-performance liquid chromatography, and bidirectional sequencing, as described before (30).

**Fluorescence in situ hybridization.** Dual-color interphase fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) was done on 36 paraffin sections selected as mentioned above. Digoxigenin-labeled BACs RP11-568A2 DNA for KIT were cohybridized with SpectrumGreen-labeled chromosome 4 centromeric probe (CEP4; Vysis, Inc.), as described (7). The FISH data were collected on a Leica DMRB (Leica) fluorescence microscope equipped with a cooled black and white charged couple camera (Photometrics), run by Quips SmartCapture FISH Imaging Software (Vysis). One hundred interphase nuclei were evaluated, and the ratio of KIT/CEP4 was calculated. A ratio of >2 was defined as KIT amplification.

**Statistics.** A general linear model with three factors (time, group and genotyping) was used to compare the changes in tumor volume from baseline. The main interest was in the comparison at 12 d. Due to a right-skewed distribution, volume values were first logarithmically transformed. Consequently, ratios were obtained after back-transformation to the original scale. Because each mouse was measured repeatedly over time and contributed at least two tumors, the statistical model included the possible correlations between the repeated measures. Thus, we considered a random effect from mouse and applied a heterogeneous compound symmetrical covariance matrix for the measurements over time. The model was fitted using the statistical procedure PROC MIXED (SAS, version 9.1). A Poisson regression model was used to compare the counts of mitosis, apoptosis, and the cleaved-caspase3 between the four groups. The differences between groups were allowed to be genotype specific. Generalized estimating equations were used to deal with the multiple tumors per mouse. Finally, a general linear model was used to compare the KI-67 labeling index and the mean global histone-acylation between groups. The differences between groups were also allowed to be genotype specific. The data were logarithmically transformed, and the variances were allowed to be specific for each genotype/group combination. Computationally, it was not feasible to add a random mouse effect to take into account the correlation between the tumors within a mouse. P values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### Results

**Effect of treatment on tumors growth.** We obtained 71 GIST xenografts: 20 with KIT-exon13, 29 with KIT-exon11, and 22 with KIT-exon9 mutations. Having been assigned to the study groups, treatment lasted 12 days, with dose schedules as described in Materials and Methods. Overall, the tumors in the control group grew steadily from baseline (Fig. 1A), showing ~2-fold increase at day 12. On average at day 12, both single treatments resulted in tumor shrinkage with 25% and 62% reduction under panobinostat and imatinib, respectively (Fig. 1A). This response was further increased under the combination schedule (73% reduction; Fig. 1A). After 12 days, the differences among the 4 groups were highly statistically significant ($P < 0.0001$). The changes in the tumor volume related significantly to KIT genotype ($P < 0.0001$). Thus, in group A, KIT-exon11 xenografts showed the highest increase in volume from baseline (Fig. 1B). In group B (panobino-stat), the KIT-exon11 mutants remained rather steady in size, showing 106% of the baseline volume on day 12 [95% confidence interval (95% CI), 81-139%; Fig. 1B]]. By contrast, the KIT-exon9 and KIT-exon13 xenografts had a 37% volume reduction from baseline at day 12. This result is significantly different in comparison with control tumors ($P = 0.0016$ and $P < 0.0001$ respectively; Fig. 1C and D). In group C (imatinib), the KIT-exon11 xenografts showed the most remarkable response, with 80% reduction from baseline (Fig. 1B). This response was significantly different from the responses of KIT-exon13 and KIT-exon9 mutants ($P < 0.0001$), which regressed only by 45% and 50% from baseline, respectively (Fig. 1C and D). In addition, the difference in response between imatinib and panobinostat-treated mice with GISTs carrying KIT-exon11 mutation was highly significant ($P < 0.0001$). In GISTs with KIT-exon13 and KIT-exon9 mutations, these differences were not statistically significant ($P = 0.49$ and $P = 0.32$, respectively).

In group D (combination), on day 12, the best response was in the KIT-exon13 and KIT-exon11 xenografts (~80% reduction; Fig. 1B and D), whereas in the KIT-exon9 mutants, the reduction was of 56% (Fig. 1C). However, only in the GIST882 tumors, there was statistical evidence for an added effect of the combined therapy in comparison with imatinib alone ($P < 0.0001$).

**Genotype and FISH analysis.** We confirmed the presence of the original KIT genotype in all selected xenografts, but we did not identify any secondary mutations in KIT exon 13, 14, or 17, neither in the original samples (biopsies) nor in the grafts. However, the KIT-exon 9 xenografts shifted their KIT status from heterozygous to homozygous. Control xenografts bearing KIT-exon11 and KIT-exon13 mutations showed diploid copy number of the KIT gene in majority of nuclei (mean KIT/nucleus ratio 2.3 and 1.9, respectively). In line with genotyping, KIT-exon9 xenografts revealed only one copy of the KIT gene in the majority of cells (mean KIT/nucleus ratio, 1.35; mean KIT/CEP4 ratio, 0.9). The KIT copy number remained unchanged, regardless of the treatment. Also, no KIT amplification was recorded in any of the analyzed specimens.

**Histopathology assessment.** Macroscopically, all xenografts were multinodular with no infiltration of surrounding tissues (Table 1). Macroscopically (H&E staining), the KIT-exon9 and
KIT-exon11 tumors showed mixed population of epithelioid and spindled cells, whereas the GIST882 ones were composed mainly of spindled cells. KIT immunostaining was cytoplasmic, with diffuse pattern in all three types of xenografts, and somewhat weaker staining in the GIST 882 derived-tumors.

A histologic response (H&E) including myxoid degeneration, necrosis, calcification, scarring, and ischemia (31) was found in all xenografts, regardless of KIT mutation and treatment. However, this response was heterogeneous in grade and did not correlate with tumor volume reduction (Fig. 2A). Panobinostat alone induced the best response, grade 1 to 3, in GIST882 and KIT-exon11 tumors (Fig. 2A). By contrast, KIT-exon9 xenografts showed only grade 1 response under panobinostat. Overall, panobinostat induced wide areas of necrosis and small foci of microscopic necrosis in the majority of the KIT-exon11 and GIST882 xenografts (Fig. 2B and C). In addition, the GIST882 tumors showed myxoid degeneration, calcifications, and ischemia often associated with blood vessels lined by a hyalinized vessel wall (Fig. 2D). Grade 1 to 3 response, with no grade 4, was also observed in group C (imatinib; Fig. 2A). GIST882-derived tumors showed a more heterogeneous response (grade 1-3) than KIT-exon9 and KIT-exon11 xenografts, which scored, all but one, only grade 1 (Fig. 2A). We identified grade 4 histologic response only in group D (combination), in two GIST882 and one KIT-exon11 tumors (Fig. 2A). Here, histologic changes were overall identical to those observed in group B (panobinostat), except for a more prominent myxoid degeneration in the GIST882 tumors.

We identified brisk mitotic activity in all tumor types in group A (control), ranging from 23 to 38 mitoses/10 HPF, with (average) 28 mitoses/10 HPF (95% CI, 27.02-29.96), clearly indicating high degree of malignancy (Fig. 3A). Overall, we found decreased mitotic activity or increased apoptotic activity in all three treatment groups. Under panobinostat, the mitotic activity, as estimated from H&E staining, decreased significantly ($P < 0.0001$) from (average) 28.5 mitoses/10 HPF in the control group to (average) 12.8 mitoses/10 HPF (95% CI, 10.8-15.2), whereas apoptotic count increased ($P < 0.0001$) from (average) 13.8 apoptotic bodies/10 HPF (95% CI, 12.3-15.3) to (average) 35 apoptotic bodies/10 HPF (95% CI, 30.1-40.9; Fig. 3A). The Ki-67 labeling index ($P < 0.0001$) as well as the apoptosis marker cleaved-caspase3 ($P < 0.0001$) confirmed the findings from H&E staining (Fig. 3B and C). When different genotypes were considered the mitotic index (H&E) decreased by $\sim$2.5-fold in KIT-exon9 and in GIST882-derived tumors, and by 1.7-fold in the KIT-exon11 ones (Fig. 3A). The apoptotic activity (H&E) increased in all xenografts, by 3.2-fold in GIST882 and KIT-exon11 tumors, and 1.6-fold in KIT-exon9 tumors compared with nontreated ones (Fig. 3A). Some tumors treated with panobinostat alone showed a unique feature, i.e., scattered mitotically active cells with cytoplasm positive for cleaved-caspase3 (data not shown).
Overall, imatinib (group C) reduced \( P < 0.0001 \) the mitotic activity to (average) 2.7 mitoses/10 HPF (95% CI, 1.9-3.8; Fig. 3A). Apoptotic activity increased \( P < 0.0001 \) to (average) 33.4 apoptosis/10 HPF (95% CI, 27-41.3; Fig. 3A), as calculated from H&E staining. This increase was further confirmed by the immunostaining for cleaved-caspase3 \( P = 0.0008 \); Fig. 3C). As shown by Ki-67 immunostaining, the reduction in the proliferative activity in group C was at least 2-fold higher in the GIST882 andKIT-exon11 tumors than in the KIT-exon9 ones (Fig. 3B). Apoptotic activity increased by 6.5-fold in KIT-exon11 GISTs, whereas in the remaining tumors, the increment ranged from 1.2 to 1.7 (Fig. 3A).

The mitotic activity decreased \( P < 0.0001 \) during combination treatment (group D) to (average) 2.7 mitoses/10 HPF (95% CI, 2-3.6; Fig. 3A). Ki-67 immunostaining further confirmed the decreased proliferation in this group (13-fold; \( P < 0.0001 \)); Fig. 3B). The increase in apoptosis under combination treatment was, however, the most remarkable of all three treatment groups, i.e., to (average) 97 apoptotic bodies/10 HPF (95% CI, 87.5-107.5; \( P < 0.0001 \); Fig. 3A). This notable increase was also confirmed by the caspase-3 immunostaining, which indicated (average) \( \sim 4 \)-fold increase in comparison with controls \( P < 0.0001 \); Fig. 3C). As in group C, the proliferative activity was affected to a higher degree in GIST882 and KIT-exon11 tumors than in the KIT-exon9 ones (Fig. 3A and B). The apoptotic activity was highest in KIT-exon11 derived tumors (30-fold increase in comparison with controls; Fig. 3A). In GIST882 and KIT-exon9-derived tumors, the increase was 8.3- and 1.4-fold, respectively (Fig. 3A).

KIT presence was confirmed in all control xenografts by immunostaining (data not shown).

### Evaluation of histone and tubulin acetylation.

It is well-known that HDACi enhance acetylation of the core histones (3). We further evaluated H3 and H4 acetylation by immunohistochemistry with four antibodies against acetylated lysine: Lys9 and Lys18 of H3, and Lys8 and Lys12 of H4. From each study group, we randomly chose nine tumors, with three tumors included for each genotype. The peroxidase reaction was exclusively nuclear (Fig. 4A). The histone acetylation pattern was mainly granular in control animals. The nuclei of the treated tumors looked more homogeneously stained, partially hiding the granular appearance, most probably a reflection of chromatin rearrangements after enhanced histone acetylation.

We also did semiquantitative evaluation of the stained nuclei (Fig. 4B). For each section, three HPFs were selected for nuclear count, and the values for each antibody were pooled to obtain a mean global H3 and H4 acetylation status. In control tumors, on average, 57% of the nuclei showed H3 and H4 acetylation (95% CI, 53.55-60.34; Fig. 4B). Both the positive nuclei number and the nuclear staining intensity increased significantly in all treatment groups in comparison with the control one (at least \( P = 0.0002 \) for the 3 pair-wise comparison). Surprisingly, this increase was similar in group B (panobinostat) and group C (imatinib), up to 68% (95% CI, 65.94-69.28) and 66% (95% CI, 63.31-67.82), respectively, but was more prominent in group D (combination), up to 75%, (95% CI, 69.37-80.69), indicating an additional effect of combined treatment on histone acetylation \( P < 0.01 \); Fig. 4B).

Interestingly, we found that the level of global acetylation in control tumors was significantly influenced by the genotypes \( P < 0.0001 \). Thus, the GIST882 tumors showed higher level of acetylation (64%; 95% CI, 59.41-67.76) than the KIT-exon11 (53%; 95% CI, 49.91-56.26) and KIT-exon9 (54%; 95% CI, 45.43-62.9) ones. Panobinostat alone significantly increased the level of acetylation only in the GIST882 and GIST KIT-exon11 tumors \( P = 0.0004 \) and \( P < 0.0001 \), respectively. Imatinib increased significantly the level of acetylation in KIT-exon9 \( P = 0.009 \) and exon11-derived \( P < 0.0001 \) GISTs, whereas in GIST882 tumors, the levels were not significantly affected \( P = 0.6 \). Similarly to imatinib, the global acetylation status was significantly enhanced by the combination treatment only in KIT-exon9 \( P = 0.0001 \) and KIT-exon11 \( P = 0.005 \) tumors. This finding could be related to intrinsic biological differences of the three different genotypes but may require confirmation in a larger group.

HDAC6 is one of the panobinostat targets, and α-tubulin is one of the main substrate of this enzyme. Therefore, it was suggested that increased level of acetylated tubulin could be a surrogate marker for the cytoplasmic activity of panobinostat.

### Table 1. Macroscopic and microscopic characteristic of the GIST xenografts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Xenograft</th>
<th>Macroscopic appearance</th>
<th>Microscopic appearance (H&amp;E)</th>
<th>KIT immunostaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KIT exon 11-derived tumors</td>
<td>Multinodular growth pattern, no infiltration of surrounding tissues. In treated tumors, areas of hemorrhages, necrosis, and calcifications were observed*</td>
<td>Spindled cells arranged in cellular whorls or in &quot;onion bulb-like&quot; formations, making up multiple nodules rimmed by thin vessels. At the periphery of the sections, small nests of epithelioid cells with clear cytoplasm were observed</td>
<td>Strong and diffuse cytoplasmic; focally membranous staining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIT exon 9-derived tumors</td>
<td>Spindled cells arranged in short intersecting fascicles with abrupt transition toward epithelioid areas</td>
<td>Spindled cells arranged in short fascicles, showing a transition from hypercellular areas to hypocellular areas embedded in a myxoid stroma; scattered pleomorphic cells with enlarged hyperchromatic nuclei were observed as well</td>
<td>Strong and diffuse, cytoplasmic &quot;Golgi-like&quot; pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIST882-derived tumors</td>
<td>Strong and diffuse cytoplasmial &quot;Golgi-like&quot; pattern</td>
<td>Weak and diffuse cytoplasmic staining; focally &quot;Golgi-like&quot; pattern observed in some cases</td>
<td>Strong and diffuse cytoplasmic staining; focally &quot;Golgi-like&quot; pattern observed in some cases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Macroscopic appearance description is the same for all three xenografts.*
To verify this hypothesis, we did immunoblot analysis in a substantial number of tumors (n = 35). However, overall, we did not find significant increases in α-tubulin acetylation in the panobinostat-treated tumors over control levels (Fig. 5A, B, and C). The specificity of the α-tubulin antibody was verified in a test study with primary GIST cells exposed for 24 hours to increased panobinostat concentrations (Supplementary Fig. S1). In this study, KIT expression and activation decreased under panobinostat in a dose-dependent manner.

Evaluation of KIT signaling pathways. Western analysis of total KIT in 35 tumors confirmed our findings from immunohistochemistry that KIT level significantly decreased only in the GIST882 tumors under combination treatment (Fig. 5).

In group A (control), the KIT phosphorylation level varied among genotypes, and even within same genotype, thus showing high heterogeneity. Notably, KIT-exon9 xenografts showed the strongest KIT phosphorylation. AKT was preferentially activated in tumors bearing KIT-exon11 and KIT-exon9 mutations, and negligible in GIST882-derived tumors. MAPK activation was weak, particularly in KIT-exon11 mutants.

Panobinostat did not influence KIT phosphorylation and its downstream molecules after 12-day treatment. Surprisingly, KIT phosphorylation did not change substantially under imatinib, regardless of xenograft genotype. However, we found changes in the activation of downstream AKT and MAPK in most tumors. In KIT-exon11 xenografts, AKT phosphorylation was significantly decreased in all specimens analyzed (Fig. 5A, lanes 7-9), whereas in KIT-exon 9 mutant tumors, a slight reduction of the phosphorylation level was observed only for MAPK (Fig. 5B, lanes 8-9). In GIST882 tumors, a more convincing reduction of the level of AKT and MAPK phosphorylation under imatinib was observed only in one tumor (Fig. 5C, lane 7).

In group D (combination), KIT and downstream molecule phosphorylation was significantly reduced in KIT-exon11 and GIST882 tumors. In the KIT-exon9 ones, a slight reduction in phosphorylation was found only for MAPK (Fig. 5B, lanes 10-11).

Total signal transducers and activators of transcription 3 (STAT3) was expressed in all xenografts, but it was not activated in control tumors, and no changes in the phosphorylation level were observed in the treated tumors (data not shown).

We further studied by immunohistochemistry the expression of the transcription factor FOXO3a, a protein downstream of AKT and important modulator of the cell cycle and apoptosis (32). It is generally accepted that the activity of FOXO3a is primarily regulated by its subcellular localization, being active in nucleus and inactive in the cytoplasm (32). In control tumors, FOXO3a was diffusely expressed mainly in the nuclei. In the KIT-exon11 and GIST882 tumors, FOXO3a staining appeared frequently also in the cytoplasm. In the KIT-exon9 ones, the protein...
Fig. 3. Histopathologic assessment of the mitotic and apoptotic activity. Mitoses and apoptotic bodies in H&E stainings (A), positive nuclei for Ki-67 (B), and positive cells for cleaved-caspase3 (C) were counted as described in Materials and Methods. Results are presented as box plots showing minimum, maximum, and interquartile range. Within each treatment-group, the data are shown to illustrate the different GIST genotypes and all the tumors pooled together. Representative pictures for each staining and each group are also presented (H&E, Ki-67, and cleaved-caspase3). Mitosis (red circles) and apoptosis (green circles; H&E) are shown in A.
was exclusively nuclear. However, the pattern of FOXO3a expression was unaffected by the different treatments in the three xenografts (Supplementary Fig. S2).

Treatment side effects. After 12-day treatment, the body weight decreased by 21% under panobinostat (group B). In group D (combination), the decrease was more pronounced (27%). In some of these animals, there was also skin dehydration and diarrhea. Histopathologically, panobinostat induced mild to moderate changes particularly in the spleen (dysplastic megakaryocytes) and in the small bowel (focal shortening of the villi).

Under imatinib (group C), the body weight was only slightly affected (7% reduction). A mild skin rash occurred only in some mice during the first days of drug administration. Liver, spleen, kidneys, and bowel showed no histologic alterations.

Discussion

Using a nude-mouse xenograft model, we show for the first time the antitumor activity of the HDACi panobinostat in three GISTs carrying distinctive oncogenic KIT mutations. Xenografts were obtained with the imatinib-sensitive GIST882 cell line or with biopsies from progressive patients on imatinib. According to the high mitotic index, all xenografts were highly aggressive. Mice received a 12-day treatment with panobinostat, imatinib, or combination of these drugs.

All GIST xenografts responded rapidly to panobinostat, early after treatment initiation. Panobinostat reduced significantly proliferation and increased apoptosis in all xenografts. Although nonhistone proteins are also HDAC substrates, the core histones are still their most abundant targets; therefore, their acetylation is a reliable marker for the HDACi bioavailability and activity (12). Indeed, the panobinostat-treated tumors showed a much stronger nuclear H3 and H4 acetylation as well as a higher number of positive nuclei than the control ones. We also found significant differences ($P < 0.0001$) in the basal H3 and H4 acetylation of the control tumors with different KIT mutants, with GIST882 tumors having the highest level. The global-acetylation status of the core histones might be related to differences in the biology of different
tumors as already described in prostatic (33) and lung carcinomas (34).

HDACi were shown to induce growth arrest and/or apoptosis to a variable extent both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, depending on the dose level (12, 35). The cell cycle is arrested at the G1-S check point, but this activity does not necessarily depend on the apoptotic program activation. In our study, panobinostat did not completely abolish cell proliferation but clearly up-regulated their apoptotic activity. Interestingly, we also observed few cells in mitosis showing cleaved-caspase3 immunostaining. This finding is in line with the hypothesis that histone hyperacetylation of the pericentromeric heterochromatin occurring in the presence of HDACi leads to abnormal chromosomal segregation, nuclear fragmentation, and cell death due to aberrant mitosis (11). In accordance with this theory, we observed a trend toward a higher number of hyperacetylated mitoses in LBH589-treated mice than in the control ones (data not shown). However, mechanisms other than acetylation/deacetylation may promote or maintain the condensed state of the chromatin during mitosis (36).

As observed for other HDACi of the hydroxamate class, most likely panobinostat acts not only in the nucleus but also in the cytoplasm, through HDAC6 inhibition (12). By modulating the acetylation status of proteins such as α-tubulin and HSP90, HDAC6 is emerging as a master regulator of crucial cellular functions including the maturation of oncogenic protein kinases (37). Our experiment with cultured primary GIST cells showed that acetylated α-tubulin is a marker for HDACi cytoplasmatic activity (12). However, in our *in vivo* study on xenografts, panobinostat did not significantly alter the level of the acetylated α-tubulin, suggesting that under our experimental conditions, such marker is not sensitive enough to prove the cytoplasmatic activity of the drug. Cell exposure to HDACi leads to HSP90 acetylation, resulting in inhibition of its chaperone function and degradation of client proteins including the oncogenic KIT (14, 16). Indeed, as indicated by *in vitro* evidence and by our control experiment, after HDACi exposure, KIT expression is consistently impaired (19). In our xenografts, KIT level was only partially affected; therefore, it is conceivable that *in vivo* other mechanisms such as other post translational modifications modulate at different levels HSP90 activity (13). Furthermore, HDAC6 has been recently involved in the control of angiogenesis by stabilizing the hypoxia-inducible factor HIF-1α (13). We found focal areas of ischemia and scattered “ghost” vessels lined by a hyalinized wall, as indicated by H&E staining. This effect might be related to the antiangiogenic activity of panobinostat and, therefore, to its cytoplasmatic activity. However, such features were only observed in the GIST882 tumors, suggesting that panobinostat mechanism of action may be heterogeneous and dependent on intrinsic biological features of individual tumors.

All GIST xenografts in our study significantly shrunk under imatinib, indicating they were all sensitive to the drug, although the *KIT*-exon11 and *KIT*-exon9 xenografts were obtained from biopsies of patients radiologically progressive under imatinib. The reason of their disease progression is not clear. We could not detect any secondary, imatinib-resistant KIT mutations, nor KIT amplification, which are the most frequent mechanisms for imatinib resistance in GISTs (7, 8). One explanation for the loss of resistance to imatinib can be that clones of imatinib-sensitive tumor cells are still present in the biopsies of these patients, and may have a better proliferation capacity in a new host and under imatinib withdrawal; therefore, they kept expanding from passage to passage in the nude mice. We consider the possibility that our xenografts still contained imatinib-resistant GIST clones, but the experiment did not last long enough to prove or exclude their presence. To our knowledge, our study is the first one done on mouse xenografts established with human GIST tumors rather than with cell lines, and successfully transferred for several passages. Therefore, it is difficult to relate this current finding to previous ones. Our study highlights once more the complexity of the imatinib resistance as well as of the GIST biology (38).

Notably, in spite of remarkable tumor shrinkage and pronounced histologic response under imatinib, KIT remained phosphorylated in all imatinib-treated xenografts. This is
overall an unexpected finding. For the GIST882-derived xenografts, this is in contradiction to our previous study showing loss of KIT phosphorylation in these xenografts under imatinib (27). The difference could relate to the use of passage 4 of these xenografts, which based on histology and tumor growth capacity show more aggressive biological features than previously (passage 0).

Interestingly, imatinib treatment also enhanced the H3 and H4 acetylation. This finding might be related to the inhibitory effect of imatinib on the RAS/MAPK pathway. Indeed, the constitutive activation of this pathway is associated with constitutive phosphorylation and translocation to the nucleus of HDAC4, one of the deacetylases associated with chromatin remodeling (39). Thus, inhibition of the RAS/MAPK pathway might prevent HDAC4 nuclear translocation.

Although we do not have statistical evidence for an enhanced effect of panobinostat-imatinib combination on tumor regression, we observed more prominent histopathologic changes than in single treatments, including higher apoptotic activity and histone acetylation. This is in line with a more recent in vitro study on GIST cell lines describing additive or synergistic effects of vorinostat, an HDACi in the same class with panobinostat, when combined with imatinib (19). Importantly, it is difficult to make a reliable comparison between the schedule/dose regimens adopted in our mouse study and the ones applied to human subjects in clinical trial. Indeed, panobinostat (LBH589) is still under early phase clinical trials in which the safety, tolerability, biological activity, and pharmacokinetic profile of panobinostat is currently studied.

One observation of our study was the loss of body weight under panobinostat, of up to 27%, which occurred most likely due to the treatment-induced diarrhea. The observed dysplastic megakaryocytes in the spleen may explain the delayed healing of the petechiae in the abdominal wall. Diarrhea and thrombocytopenia were also reported as side effects in patients receiving HDACi (12, 18). As already observed in clinical trials, the inclusion of anti-diarrhea treatment and alternative dose schedules (such as the three weekly oral administration) may improve the toxic profile of panobinostat leaving unaffected its therapeutic effects (40).

In conclusion, our results provide the first in vivo evidence for a potential therapeutic activity of HDACi in GIST. Panobinostat significantly induces necrosis, apoptosis, and arrest of tumor cell proliferation at variable degrees. In combination with imatinib, the described therapeutic effects were enhanced. The ability of panobinostat to synergize with, and potentially overcome resistance to, imatinib represents a promising strategy for the treatment of patients with advanced GISTs.
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