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Abstract

Purpose: To compare side-by-side the uptake of sorafenib and sunitinib in vitro by human uptake solute carriers of the SLC22A and SLCO families, the transport by and inhibition of efflux ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, and the role of ABCB1 in the plasma pharmacokinetics and brain penetration of these agents.

Experimental Design: Uptake of [(3)H]sorafenib or [(3)H]sunitinib was assessed in Xenopus laevis oocytes or mammalian cells transfected with cDNAs coding for human OATP1A2, OATP1B1, OATP1B3, OCT1, OCT2, OCT3, OCTN1, or OCTN2. Efflux and inhibition experiments were conducted in cells transfected with human ABCB1, ABCG2, ABCC2, or ABCC4. In vivo pharmacokinetic studies were done in knockout mice lacking Abcb1-type transporters.

Results: Intracellular uptake was not appreciably affected by any of the studied solute carriers and was minute relative to the respective prototypical substrates. Sorafenib and sunitinib showed concentration-dependent (1 and 10 μmol/L), low to moderate affinity for ABCB1 but were not affected by the other ABC transporters. Both agents inhibited all tested ABC transporters. The absence of Abcb1 had no affect on plasma pharmacokinetics, but brain penetration was moderately increased by 1.9- and 2.9-fold for sorafenib and sunitinib, respectively, in knockout animals versus controls.

Conclusions: Unlike other tyrosine kinase inhibitors, sorafenib and sunitinib do not appear to rely on active transport to enter the cell nor are they high-affinity substrates for ABC efflux transporters. Based on these characteristics, these two drugs may be less susceptible to transporter-mediated alterations in systemic exposure and transporter-related resistance mechanisms. (Clin Cancer Res 2009;15(19):6062-9)

In recent years, eight orally administered, small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors have been approved for the treatment of cancer in the United States. Among these, sorafenib and sunitinib are considered multikinase inhibitors because they inhibit multiple receptor and intracellular tyrosine kinases and exhibit antiangiogenic and antitumor activities (1–3). Sorafenib, an inhibitor of C-RAF, B-RAF, c-KIT, FLT-3, platelet-derived growth factor receptor β, and vascular endothelial growth factor receptors 1, 2, and 3, is approved for the treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma (2). Sunitinib, an inhibitor of c-KIT, FLT-3, platelet-derived growth factor receptors α and β, and vascular endothelial growth factor 2, is approved for the treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma and imatinib-resistant gastrointestinal stromal tumors (3). Sorafenib and sunitinib are being investigated for the treatment of other solid tumor malignancies (2, 3) and acute myelogenous leukemia (4, 5).

Studies have shown that tyrosine kinase inhibitors are substrates for and/or inhibit the function of various ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, and these interactions may play an important role in modulating systemic pharmacokinetics of drugs, tissue and brain distribution, and cellular accumulation and resistance (6–16). Although our previous studies indicated that sorafenib and sunitinib had greater intracellular accumulation than imatinib in a panel of leukemia cell lines (17), no studies have aimed to identify mechanisms involved in cellular uptake and retention of these compounds.

The purpose of this study was to compare side-by-side (a) the uptake of sorafenib and sunitinib in vitro by human solute carriers of the SLC22A and SLCO families; (b) the transport of these compounds in vitro by human ABCB1, ABCG2, ABCC2, and ABCC4 and the ability of the tyrosine kinase inhibitors to inhibit these transporters; and (c) the plasma pharmacokinetics and
Translational Relevance

Unlike other tyrosine kinase inhibitors registered for the treatment of cancer, such as those that inhibit the BCR-Abl oncogene or epidermal growth factor receptor, the multikinase inhibitors sorafenib and sunitinib do not appear to rely on active transport to enter the cell nor are they high-affinity substrates for ATP-binding cassette (ABC) efflux transporters. Although both drugs showed moderate affinity for ABCB1, the lack of ABCB1 in knockout mice did not affect the absorption and systemic disposition of sorafenib and sunitinib and resulted in moderate increases in brain penetration relative to other tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Based on these characteristics, sorafenib and sunitinib may be less susceptible to transporter-mediated alterations in plasma pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution, cellular accumulation, and drug resistance. These distinct pharmacologic features may contribute to differential clinical activity in a variety of cancers including solid tumors, central nervous system tumors, and hematologic malignancies. The findings also have relevance to clinical pharmacogenetic studies.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines. The porcine kidney epithelial LLC-PK1 cell line containing empty vector (control) and stably expressed cells with human ABCB1 were kindly provided by Dr. John Schuetz (St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital). Human sarcoma Saos-2 cells containing pcDNA empty vector (control), ABCG2, or ABCC4 were also provided by Dr. John Schuetz. HEK293 cells stably transfected with OAT2 and OAT3 were provided by Dr. Yuichi Sugiyama (18), and OCTN1 and OCTN2 cells were obtained from Dr. Akira Tsuji (19). Cells were cultured as described previously (12). Xenopus laevis oocytes injected with human OATP1A2, OATP1B1, OATP1B3, or OCT1 cRNA along with water-injected controls were obtained from BD Biosciences.

In vitro transport assays. Generally labeled [3H]sorafenib, [3H]sunitinib, and [3H]docetaxel as well as [3H]Jadefovip dipivoxil (PMEA) were custom made by Moravek Biochemicals. In all in vitro experiments, radiolabeled drug was mixed with unlabeled drug (sorafenib and sunitinib) and with low-affinity substrates for each transporter, the multikinase inhibitors sorafenib and sunitinib was determined by flow cytometry using the fluorescent dye compounds calcine-AM and Hoechst 33342, respectively, as described previously (24). Briefly, 0.1 to 25 μmol/L sorafenib or sunitinib was added to LLC-PK1 cells expressing ABCB1 or 0.1 to 5 μmol/L sorafenib or sunitinib was added to Saos-2 cells expressing ABCB2 for 15 min followed by 45 min coincubation with 1 μmol/L calcine-AM or 10 μmol/L Hoechst 33342, respectively, at 37°C. Cells were washed and resuspended in buffer and cellular dye efflux was analyzed by flow cytometry. Two to three independent experiments were done in duplicate. Inhibition of ABCB2- and ABCC4-mediated transport was determined by assessing the effect of sorafenib, sunitinib, or MK571 (a general ABCB transporter inhibitor) on the intracellular accumulation of the prototypical substrates docetaxel and PMEA, respectively. Saos-2 cells expressing ABCB2 or ABCC4 were incubated with 20 μmol/L sorafenib or sunitinib or 50 μmol/L MK571 for 15 min followed by coincubation with 5 μmol/L docetaxel or 10 μmol/L PMEA for 4 h. The inhibitory effect of sorafenib, sunitinib, or MK571 was monitored as percent change of cellular accumulation of prototypical substrates. To three independent experiments were done in triplicate.

ATPase assay of ABCB2. PREDEASY ATPase kit was obtained from Xenotech and used to assess vanadate-sensitive ATPase activity of ABCB2 in membrane vesicles from insect cells according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, ABCB2-mediated efflux of substrates out of the cell uses ATP hydrolysis as an energy source, and the amount of inorganic phosphate released is quantified with a colorimetric reaction, which is proportional to the activity of the transporter (25). The assay is composed of two different tests, which are done on the same plate. In the activation test, transported substrates may stimulate baseline vanadate-sensitive ATPase activity. In the inhibition test, which is carried out in the presence of a known activator of the transporter, inhibitors or slowly transported compounds may inhibit the maximal vanadate-sensitive ATPase activity. Using the activation and inhibition tests, sorafenib was incubated with membrane vesicles at increasing drug concentrations (0.14, 0.41, 1.23, 3.70, 11.11, 33.33, 100, and 300 μmol/L) for 10 min. Two to three experiments were done in duplicate.

To assess temperature-dependent uptake of sorafenib and sunitinib, MV4-11 cells were washed with PBS that was either chilled to 4°C or warmed to 37°C. The cells were centrifuged, the PBS was removed, and the cell pellet was resuspended in serum-free RPMI 1640 containing 0.5 or 1.0 μmol/L sorafenib or sunitinib that had been chilled to 4°C or warmed to 37°C. The final cell concentration was 1.5 million/mL. Two milliliters of the cell suspension were plated in each well of a 6-well plate. The plates were incubated at either 4°C or 37°C for 15 min. After drug incubations, plates were put on ice and the cells were collected and washed twice with cold PBS. The cell pellets were lysed with NaOH (1 N) and the cellular accumulation was measured using a liquid scintillation counter and normalized to protein concentration, which was measured using a BCA protein estimation kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Two independent experiments were done in triplicate.

Efflux experiments in cells overexpressing human ABCB1, ABCG2, ABCC2, and ABCC4 were done as described previously (12, 22). Cells were incubated with sorafenib or sunitinib at an extracellular concentration of 1.0 μmol/L. This initial concentration was selected to allow for a direct comparison with published results for other tyrosine kinase inhibitors using similar in vitro models (7, 10, 12, 13, 23) as well as ensuring that concentrations were below those with the potential to inhibit ABC transporters. Prototypical substrates for each transporter were evaluated with each experiment as a positive control as follows: Hoechst 33342 (10 μmol/L) for ABCG2, docetaxel (5 μmol/L) for ABCC2, and PMEA (10 μmol/L) for ABCC4. Two to three experiments were done in triplicate.
Drug formulation and administration. Sorafenib was dissolved in a 50% Gremophor EL (Sigma)-50% ethanol (Pharmaco Products) mixture to make a stock solution of sorafenib (24 μmol/L). The mixture was heated to 60°C for 1 min and sonicated for 10 min to fully suspend the sorafenib. The sorafenib solution was diluted to 6 μmol/L using sterile water immediately before drug administration as described previously (26). Sunitinib was dissolved in 80 mmol/L citrate buffer (pH 3.5) for a final concentration of 3 μmol/L. Mice received a single dose of 40 mg/kg sorafenib (6.67 μmol/kg) or 20 mg/kg sunitinib (6.67 μmol/kg) by oral gavage to produce clinically relevant concentrations (21). Three independent experiments were done.

Pharmacokinetic studies. Following drug administration, 50 to 100 μL blood samples were collected with heparinized capillaries at 1, 2, and 4 h. For the 1 and 4 h sample, mice were sampled twice with blood collected from the retro-orbital venous plexus at 1 h and via cardiac puncture at the terminal time point of 4 h. Blood was obtained via cardiac puncture at 2 h. Plasma was isolated by centrifugation at 3,000 × g for 5 min and frozen at -80°C until analysis. Brain samples were removed at 4 h and homogenized in 5 volumes (w/v) of human plasma and then frozen at -80°C until analysis. Sorafenib or sunitinib concentrations were measured by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry as we have described previously (27, 28). To account for drug in the brain vasculature contaminating brain tissue concentrations, the concentration of sorafenib or sunitinib in the brain vascular space (1.4% of the plasma concentration at 4 h) was subtracted from the brain concentration as described previously (6). The area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC) was calculated from 0 to 4 h (AUC0-4h) using noncompartmental analysis and the linear-logarithmic trapezoidal method. Brain penetration of sorafenib or sunitinib was calculated as the ratio of the brain concentration at 4 h to the plasma AUC0-4h as described previously for imatinib (6). AUC0-4h and brain penetration were compared between wild-type and knockout mice using a two-tailed t test using the statistical software program NCSS 2004.

Results

Uptake of sorafenib and sunitinib by solute carriers in vitro. To identify solute carriers involved in sorafenib and sunitinib transport, we evaluated drug accumulation in X. laevis oocytes or HEK293 cells transfected with seven different transporters, including OATP1A2, OATP1B1, OATP1B3, OCT1, OCT2, OCTN1, and OCTN2. Despite significant uptake of prototypical substrates by each transporter compared with control, none of the transporters tested facilitated sorafenib or sunitinib transport (Fig. 1). Sorafenib and sunitinib showed minimal differences (1-16%) in cellular uptake at 4°C and 37°C, indicating that active transport is not involved in this process (Supplementary Fig. S1). Interestingly, sorafenib showed 3- to 4-fold higher uptake than sunitinib at both temperatures (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Transport of sorafenib and sunitinib by ABC transporters in vitro. In cells overexpressing ABCB1, sorafenib and sunitinib showed moderate affinity for this transporter at a concentration of 1 μmol/L, with ~2-fold higher basal-to-apical transport compared with apical-to-basal transport (Fig. 2A). At a higher concentration of 10 μmol/L, sorafenib was not transported by ABCB1, and sunitinib transport was substantially reduced compared with the lower concentration. This suggests that both drugs may exhibit a concentration-dependent autoinhibition of ABCB1 function. Subsequent investigation indicated that, in contrast to prototypical substrates, sorafenib was not transported by any of the other tested ABC transporters (ABCG2, ABCC2, and ABCC4; Fig. 2B). Similarly, sunitinib was not transported by ABCG2 or ABCC2, although this agent was identified as a weak substrate for ABCC4.

Inhibition of ABC transporter function by sorafenib and sunitinib in vitro. Because sorafenib and sunitinib showed concentration-dependent transport by ABCB1, with reduced transport at higher drug concentrations, we further evaluated if they could inhibit the function of ABC transporters. Both sorafenib and sunitinib decreased Hoechst 33342 efflux by cells overexpressing ABCG2, with concentrations inhibiting 50% of maximal efflux of 3.1 and 3.0 μmol/L, respectively (Fig. 3A and B). Both drugs inhibited calcine-AM efflux by cells overexpressing ABCB1, although higher concentrations of sorafenib (16.6 μmol/L) than sunitinib (6.7 μmol/L) were required to inhibit half maximal efflux (Fig. 3C and D). We also evaluated the ability of sorafenib and sunitinib to inhibit ABCG2-mediated efflux of the prototypical substrate doxetaxel in cells overexpressing this transporter. Sorafenib or sunitinib at a concentration of 20 μmol/L inhibited doxetaxel efflux by ~50% and 80%, respectively, with inhibition of up to ~90% observed by the potent inhibitor MK571 (Fig. 4A). In cells overexpressing...
ABCC4, sorafenib and sunitinib inhibited efflux of the substrate PMEA by ~70% and 80%, respectively, with ~100% inhibition by MK371 (Fig. 4B).

Effect of sorafenib on ATPase hydrolysis by ABCC2. To further examine the interaction with ABC transporters, we evaluated the effect of sorafenib on the ATPase activity of ABCC2. Sorafenib inhibited both the baseline ATPase activity and the maximal ATPase activity of ABCC2 in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 5). These data suggested that sorafenib is an inhibitor but not a substrate of ABCC2, consistent with our data in cells overexpressing ABCC2 (Figs. 2 and 4).

Role of Abcb1 in the pharmacokinetics and brain penetration of sorafenib and sunitinib in mice. The in vivo relevance of the interaction of sorafenib and sunitinib with ABCB1 was determined in mice lacking the mouse orthologue transporter Abcb1 (knockout) and wild-type mice. Minimal differences were observed in the plasma concentrations of sorafenib (Fig. 6A) and sunitinib (Fig. 6C) between wild-type and Abcb1 knockout mice, and AUC0-4h values were not significantly different (P = 0.12 and 0.17, respectively). However, brain penetrations of sorafenib and sunitinib were moderately increased by 1.9-fold (P = 0.006) and 2.9-fold (P = 0.003), respectively, in the knockout animals versus controls (Fig. 6B and D). Interestingly, in wild-type mice, sunitinib exhibited 10-fold greater brain penetration than sorafenib (31% versus 3.1%).

Discussion

SLC and ABC transporters play an important role in drug absorption, distribution, elimination, drug interactions, and cellular accumulation and resistance. In this study, we explored the interaction of the multikinase inhibitors sorafenib and sunitinib with selected solute carriers and ABC transporters using in vitro and in vivo models. Overall, the current work indicates that neither sorafenib nor sunitinib are transported to an appreciable degree by the studied uptake carriers or efflux transporters, except for a low to moderate, concentration-dependent affinity for ABCB1. The in vivo relevance of the interaction of these drugs with ABCB1 was determined in mice lacking Abcb1-type transporters. Whereas the mouse experiments suggest that the systemic pharmacokinetics are not affected by a loss of Abcb1, the brain penetration of both drugs was moderately increased compared with wild-type counterparts. The results obtained from these studies highlight distinct features of sorafenib and sunitinib relative to other tyrosine kinase inhibitors that may potentially contribute to differential clinical activity in a variety of disease settings.

Unlike recent studies showing active cellular uptake of imatinib by several solute carriers (12, 29) and an association of low expression of the SLC22A1 gene encoding OCT1 with resistance to imatinib in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia (30), no solute carrier was identified that was involved in the cellular uptake of sorafenib and sunitinib. In addition, neither agent showed temperature-dependent uptake. Combined, these results indicate that active transport processes are unlikely to play a significant role in the intracellular uptake of these agents. Sorafenib and sunitinib are primarily used for the treatment of solid tumors. Emerging data indicate that solute carriers are differentially expressed on solid tumor cells (31), and these differences have been associated with chemosensitivity and resistance (32, 33). Our data showing minimal active cellular uptake of sorafenib and sunitinib by a panel of SLC transporters suggest that these drugs may not be highly susceptible to solute carrier-mediated drug resistance mechanisms on solid tumor cells. Sorafenib and sunitinib also target tumor vasculature by inhibiting vascular endothelial growth factor receptors on normal endothelium. However, little is known regarding SLC transporter expression on tumor endothelial cells and how this may affect cellular uptake of sorafenib and sunitinib. Interestingly, OCTN2, a sodium-dependent transport protein for carnitine, is expressed in endothelial cells in heart tissue and was shown to contribute to the cardiac uptake of cardiovascular drugs (34). We showed increased transport of sorafenib and sunitinib over control by 141% and 130%, respectively, which, although minimal, was similar to our previous studies of imatinib transport (12). It is possible that OCTN2-mediated drug uptake in heart tissue contributes to the cardiac toxicity observed with sorafenib, sunitinib, and imatinib (35, 36).

Most tyrosine kinase inhibitors in current clinical use, including imatinib, dasatinib, gefitinib, and erlotinib, have been associated with high substrate affinity for ABCB1 and ABCC2. In
contrast, sorafenib and sunitinib showed only moderate affinity for ABCB1, with negligible transport observed in cells overexpressing ABCG2. Furthermore, these two tyrosine kinase inhibitors were not transported by ABCC2 or ABCC4. Therefore, sorafenib and sunitinib may be less susceptible to ABC transporter-mediated drug resistance in solid tumor cells (37). This possibility may also be relevant to the treatment of leukemia because ABC transporters have been shown to be expressed on hematopoietic and leukemic stem cells. For example, chronic myeloid leukemia cells transduced with ABCG2 exhibited lower intracellular accumulation of imatinib and nilotinib and were protected from drug-induced cytotoxicity and hence suggest a role of ABC transporters in stem cell resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors (7). These findings may be relevant to sorafenib, which is currently being evaluated for the treatment of acute myelogenous leukemia (5). Zhang et al. at M. D. Anderson Cancer Center recently published the results of an ongoing phase I trial of single-agent sorafenib in 16 adult patients with relapsed/refractory acute myelogenous leukemia (4). Greater than 50% reduction in circulating blasts was observed in 6 of 6 (100%) of patients harboring a FLT3-ITD mutation and treated with sorafenib 400 or 600 mg twice daily. A modest clinical response in circulating blasts was observed in 3 of 7 (43%) patients with wild-type FLT3 despite the majority of them being treated at a dose level of 200 mg twice daily. Promising activity has been observed in 38 patients ages <65 years with newly diagnosed acute myelogenous leukemia (13 had a FLT3 mutation and 25 were wild-type) given sorafenib concurrently with cytarabine/idarubicin. The overall response rate was 83% with 70% of patients achieving a complete response. At 9 months follow-up, the probability of survival was 82% and remission duration was 72%, with high and durable response rate achieved in patients with both wild-type and mutated FLT3 acute myelogenous leukemia.

Similar to other tyrosine kinase inhibitors evaluated to date (9, 11, 14, 15, 38), sorafenib and sunitinib were shown to inhibit the function of ABC transporters, including ABCB1, ABCG2, ABCC2, and ABCC4; thus, this function appears to be a “class effect.” The mechanism of inhibition appears to be through direct contact at transport-substrate sites (7, 9, 15, 38). Another cellular effect common to tyrosine kinase inhibitors is that they can reverse multidrug resistance to a variety of chemotherapeutic agents. Recently, sunitinib was shown to partially reverse ABCB1-mediated resistance to romidepsin, a cyclic depsipeptide, and completely reverse topotecan resistance mediated by ABCG2 (38).

Because sorafenib and sunitinib inhibit ABC transporters, the potential for drug-drug interactions exist. This is of particular concern when combining these tyrosine kinase inhibitors with cytotoxic anticancer that are substrates for ABC transporters such as doxorubicin, irinotecan, paclitaxel, and docetaxel. In a phase I trial, plasma exposure to doxorubicin was increased by...
20% to 30% in combination with sorafenib, but no increase in clinical toxicity was observed (39). In line with the clinical data, a significant pharmacokinetic drug interaction with doxorubicin mediated through ABCB1 or ABCG2 would not be expected because doxorubicin plasma exposure was unaltered in mice lacking one or both transporters compared with wild-type mice (43). When coadministered with sorafenib, minimal to no increases in plasma exposure were reported for imatinib whereby brain penetration was increased 3.6-fold in Abcb1 knockout mice and 12.6-fold in mice lacking both Abcb1 and Abcg2 compared with wild-type mice (6, 44). The presence of ABCG2 is expected to have a negligible effect on the plasma pharmacokinetics and brain penetration of sorafenib and sunitinib because neither agent was shown to be a substrate for this transporter. Thus, in contrast to associations noted between ABC transporter variants and adverse effects observed in cancer patients treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (13), pharmacogenetic studies of ABC transporters in relation to sorafenib and sunitinib pharmacokinetics are not expected to yield significant relationships. However, there is the possibility that associations exist between ABCB1 variants and organ-specific side effects.

Brain penetration of tyrosine kinase inhibitors has been reported to be low in wild-type murine models ranging from 2% to 10% for imatinib (6, 44) and from 3% to 8% for dasatinib (45). Cerebrospinal fluid penetration of imatinib has been reported to be even lower at ~1% (46–48). The brain penetration of sorafenib was shown to be 3%, at the lower range reported for imatinib and dasatinib. In contrast, sunitinib exhibited a dramatically greater brain penetration of 31%. However, despite the relatively low brain penetration of sorafenib, concentrations that reach the brain (~300ng/mL; 645nmol/L) may be sufficiently high to inhibit multiple tyrosine kinases and have clinical activity (2, 3). This possibility is consistent with several recent reports indicating that both sorafenib and sunitinib were active against cerebral metastases in patients with renal cell carcinoma (49, 50).

Because sorafenib and sunitinib exhibited low to moderate affinity for ABCB1, we evaluated the role of this transporter on the plasma pharmacokinetics of both agents in vivo. This was of particular interest because the absence of ABCB1 and ABCG2 significantly increased the plasma exposure of two other tyrosine kinase inhibitors, imatinib and erlotinib (6, 23). In contrast, our data show that the absence of ABCB1 affected minimally the absorption and systemic disposition of sorafenib and sunitinib. However, this transporter may play a role in the central nervous system penetration of these agents. Indeed, brain penetration of sorafenib and sunitinib was increased by 1.9- and 2.9-fold, respectively, in knockout mice lacking Abcb1 compared with their wild-type counterparts. However, this effect appears moderate compared with that observed for imatinib whereby brain penetration was increased 3.6-fold in Abcb1 knockout mice and 12.6-fold in mice lacking both Abcb1 and Abcg2 compared with wild-type mice (6, 44). The presence of ABCG2 is expected to have a negligible effect on the plasma pharmacokinetics and brain penetration of sorafenib and sunitinib because neither agent was shown to be a substrate for this transporter. Thus, in contrast to associations noted between ABC transporter variants and adverse effects observed in cancer patients treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (13), pharmacogenetic studies of ABC transporters in relation to sorafenib and sunitinib pharmacokinetics are not expected to yield significant relationships. However, there is the possibility that associations exist between ABCB1 variants and organ-specific side effects.

Brain penetration of tyrosine kinase inhibitors has been reported to be low in wild-type murine models ranging from 2% to 10% for imatinib (6, 44) and from 3% to 8% for dasatinib (45). Cerebrospinal fluid penetration of imatinib has been reported to be even lower at ~1% (46–48). The brain penetration of sorafenib was shown to be 3%, at the lower range reported for imatinib and dasatinib. In contrast, sunitinib exhibited a dramatically greater brain penetration of 31%. However, despite the relatively low brain penetration of sorafenib, concentrations that reach the brain (~300ng/mL; 645nmol/L) may be sufficiently high to inhibit multiple tyrosine kinases and have clinical activity (2, 3). This possibility is consistent with several recent reports indicating that both sorafenib and sunitinib were active against cerebral metastases in patients with renal cell carcinoma (49, 50).

Because sorafenib and sunitinib exhibited low to moderate affinity for ABCB1, we evaluated the role of this transporter on the plasma pharmacokinetics of both agents in vivo. This was of particular interest because the absence of ABCB1 and ABCG2 significantly increased the plasma exposure of two other tyrosine kinase inhibitors, imatinib and erlotinib (6, 23). In contrast, our data show that the absence of ABCB1 affected minimally the absorption and systemic disposition of sorafenib and sunitinib. However, this transporter may play a role in the central nervous system penetration of these agents. Indeed, brain penetration of sorafenib and sunitinib was increased by 1.9- and 2.9-fold, respectively, in knockout mice lacking Abcb1 compared with their wild-type counterparts. However, this effect appears moderate compared with that observed for imatinib whereby brain penetration was increased 3.6-fold in Abcb1 knockout mice and 12.6-fold in mice lacking both Abcb1 and Abcg2 compared with wild-type mice (6, 44). The presence of ABCG2 is expected to have a negligible effect on the plasma pharmacokinetics and brain penetration of sorafenib and sunitinib because neither agent was shown to be a substrate for this transporter. Thus, in contrast to associations noted between ABC transporter variants and adverse effects observed in cancer patients treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (13), pharmacogenetic studies of ABC transporters in relation to sorafenib and sunitinib pharmacokinetics are not expected to yield significant relationships. However, there is the possibility that associations exist between ABCB1 variants and organ-specific side effects.

Brain penetration of tyrosine kinase inhibitors has been reported to be low in wild-type murine models ranging from 2% to 10% for imatinib (6, 44) and from 3% to 8% for dasatinib (45). Cerebrospinal fluid penetration of imatinib has been reported to be even lower at ~1% (46–48). The brain penetration of sorafenib was shown to be 3%, at the lower range reported for imatinib and dasatinib. In contrast, sunitinib exhibited a dramatically greater brain penetration of 31%. However, despite the relatively low brain penetration of sorafenib, concentrations that reach the brain (~300ng/mL; 645nmol/L) may be sufficiently high to inhibit multiple tyrosine kinases and have clinical activity (2, 3). This possibility is consistent with several recent reports indicating that both sorafenib and sunitinib were active against cerebral metastases in patients with renal cell carcinoma (49, 50).

Because sorafenib and sunitinib exhibited low to moderate affinity for ABCB1, we evaluated the role of this transporter on the plasma pharmacokinetics of both agents in vivo. This was of particular interest because the absence of ABCB1 and ABCG2 significantly increased the plasma exposure of two other tyrosine kinase inhibitors, imatinib and erlotinib (6, 23). In contrast, our data show that the absence of ABCB1 affected minimally the absorption and systemic disposition of sorafenib and sunitinib. However, this transporter may play a role in the central nervous system penetration of these agents. Indeed, brain penetration of sorafenib and sunitinib was increased by 1.9- and 2.9-fold, respectively, in knockout mice lacking Abcb1 compared with their wild-type counterparts. However, this effect appears moderate compared with that observed for imatinib whereby brain penetration was increased 3.6-fold in Abcb1 knockout mice and 12.6-fold in mice lacking both Abcb1 and Abcg2 compared with wild-type mice (6, 44). The presence of ABCG2 is expected to have a negligible effect on the plasma pharmacokinetics and brain penetration of sorafenib and sunitinib because neither agent was shown to be a substrate for this transporter. Thus, in contrast to associations noted between ABC transporter variants and adverse effects observed in cancer patients treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (13), pharmacogenetic studies of ABC transporters in relation to sorafenib and sunitinib pharmacokinetics are not expected to yield significant relationships. However, there is the possibility that associations exist between ABCB1 variants and organ-specific side effects.

Brain penetration of tyrosine kinase inhibitors has been reported to be low in wild-type murine models ranging from 2% to 10% for imatinib (6, 44) and from 3% to 8% for dasatinib (45). Cerebrospinal fluid penetration of imatinib has been reported to be even lower at ~1% (46–48). The brain penetration of sorafenib was shown to be 3%, at the lower range reported for imatinib and dasatinib. In contrast, sunitinib exhibited a dramatically greater brain penetration of 31%. However, despite the relatively low brain penetration of sorafenib, concentrations that reach the brain (~300ng/mL; 645nmol/L) may be sufficiently high to inhibit multiple tyrosine kinases and have clinical activity (2, 3). This possibility is consistent with several recent reports indicating that both sorafenib and sunitinib were active against cerebral metastases in patients with renal cell carcinoma (49, 50).

Because sorafenib and sunitinib exhibited low to moderate affinity for ABCB1, we evaluated the role of this transporter on the plasma pharmacokinetics of both agents in vivo. This was of particular interest because the absence of ABCB1 and ABCG2 significantly increased the plasma exposure of two other tyrosine kinase inhibitors, imatinib and erlotinib (6, 23). In contrast, our data show that the absence of ABCB1 affected minimally the absorption and systemic disposition of sorafenib and sunitinib. However, this transporter may play a role in the central nervous system penetration of these agents. Indeed, brain penetration of sorafenib and sunitinib was increased by 1.9- and 2.9-fold, respectively, in knockout mice lacking Abcb1 compared with their wild-type counterparts. However, this effect appears moderate compared with that observed for imatinib whereby brain penetration was increased 3.6-fold in Abcb1 knockout mice and 12.6-fold in mice lacking both Abcb1 and Abcg2 compared with wild-type mice (6, 44). The presence of ABCG2 is expected to have a negligible effect on the plasma pharmacokinetics and brain penetration of sorafenib and sunitinib because neither agent was shown to be a substrate for this transporter. Thus, in contrast to associations noted between ABC transporter variants and adverse effects observed in cancer patients treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (13), pharmacogenetic studies of ABC transporters in relation to sorafenib and sunitinib pharmacokinetics are not expected to yield significant relationships. However, there is the possibility that associations exist between ABCB1 variants and organ-specific side effects.

Brain penetration of tyrosine kinase inhibitors has been reported to be low in wild-type murine models ranging from 2% to 10% for imatinib (6, 44) and from 3% to 8% for dasatinib (45). Cerebrospinal fluid penetration of imatinib has been reported to be even lower at ~1% (46–48). The brain penetration of sorafenib was shown to be 3%, at the lower range reported for imatinib and dasatinib. In contrast, sunitinib exhibited a dramatically greater brain penetration of 31%. However, despite the relatively low brain penetration of sorafenib, concentrations that reach the brain (~300ng/mL; 645nmol/L) may be sufficiently high to inhibit multiple tyrosine kinases and have clinical activity (2, 3). This possibility is consistent with several recent reports indicating that both sorafenib and sunitinib were active against cerebral metastases in patients with renal cell carcinoma (49, 50).
Conclusion

In conclusion, sorafenib and sunitinib appear to have several unique pharmacologic features relative to other tyrosine kinase inhibitors. In particular, these agents do not appear to rely significantly on active transport to enter the cell nor are they high-affinity substrates for ABC efflux transporters. Based on these characteristics, sorafenib and sunitinib may be less susceptible to transporter-mediated alterations in plasma pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution, cellular accumulation, and drug resistance.
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