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Abstract

Purpose: MLH1 is a key DNA mismatch repair (MMR) protein involved in maintaining genomic stability by participating in the repair of endogenous and exogenous mispairs in the daughter strands during S phase. Exogenous mispairs can result following treatment with several classes of chemotherapeutic drugs, as well as with ionizing radiation. In this study, we investigated the role of the MLH1 protein in determining the cellular and molecular responses to prolonged low-dose-rate ionizing radiation (LDR-IR), which is similar to the clinical use of cancer brachytherapy.

Experimental Design: An isogenic pair of MMR+ (MLH1+) and MMR− (MLH1−) human colorectal cancer HCT116 cells was exposed to prolonged LDR-IR (1.3-17 cGy/h × 24-96 h). The clonogenic survival and gene mutation rates were examined. Cell cycle distribution was analyzed with flow cytometry. Changes in selected DNA damage repair proteins, DNA damage response proteins, and cell death marker proteins were examined with Western blotting.

Results: MLH1+ HCT116 cells showed greater radiosensitivity with enhanced expression of apoptotic and autophagic markers, a reduced HPRT gene mutation rate, and more pronounced cell cycle alterations (increased late-S population and a G2/M arrest) following LDR-IR compared with MLH1− HCT116 cells. Importantly, a progressive increase in MLH1 protein levels was found in MLH1+ cells during prolonged LDR-IR, which was temporally correlated with a progressive decrease in Rad51 protein (involved in homologous recombination) levels.

Conclusions: MLH1 status significantly affects cellular responses to prolonged LDR-IR. MLH1 may enhance cell radiosensitivity to prolonged LDR-IR through inhibition of homologous recombination (through inhibition of Rad51). (Clin Cancer Res 2009;15(22):6912–20)

Ionizing radiation is a well-known carcinogenic hazard to humans. There are many natural and man-made sources of LDR-IR affecting humans, including occupational, medical, and environmental exposures. The carcinogenic effects of LDR-IR on humans are recently reviewed (1). However, LDR-IR is also commonly used as an effective radiotherapeutic strategy (termed brachytherapy) for some cancers, including prostate, gynecologic, lung, breast, head and neck, anal/rectal, and esophageal cancers, as well as soft tissue sarcomas. Indeed, the use of permanent LDR brachytherapy is a common treatment approach to low-risk, early-stage prostate cancer, wherein the radioactivity of the permanently implanted iodine or palladium seeds decays over several months within the gland. LDR brachytherapy is also sometimes used in the treatment of coronary artery disease to prevent restenosis after angioplasty. Hence, a better understanding of the cellular response to LDR-IR is of clinical interest.

Ionizing radiation induces a complex spectrum of DNA damage, including double-strand breaks, single-strand breaks, DNA cross-links, and oxidized bases. Clearly, the ability of a cell to repair ionizing radiation–induced DNA damage will affect the overall cellular response to ionizing radiation. All five major DNA repair pathways, including homologous recombination, nonhomologous end joining, nucleotide excision repair, base excision repair, and mismatch repair (MMR) are involved in the repair of ionizing radiation–induced DNA damages (2–5). These DNA repair pathways are tightly interrelated with ionizing radiation–induced cell cycle checkpoint arrest pathways, which allow time to complete DNA repair, as well as the overall repair of DNA damage.
apoptotic (and other) cell death pathways, which eliminate severely damaged cells (6–10).

MMR is a highly conserved DNA repair pathway for maintaining genomic integrity (11–15). MMR-deficient (MMR-) cells show an increased gene mutation rate. The link between MMR-deficiency arising from germline MMR gene mutations and hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC) is well documented (11, 12, 14, 15). Many other cancers can also arise from sporadic MMR gene mutations, as well as gene promoter methylation (11, 12, 14, 15). Although the primary function of MMR is to correct mismatches generated during DNA replication, it is also involved in cellular responses to a variety of chemotherapeutic drugs (16, 17). Contrary to its role in correcting replication errors, the role of MMR in response to drug-induced DNA damages is usually detrimental to cells. Consequently, MMR-deficient cells acquire resistance (or damage tolerance) to certain types of chemotherapeutic drugs. Thus, MMR-deficiency can result in the development of human cancers that are intrinsically resistant to some forms of cancer treatment.

Several human MMR proteins have been identified based on homology to *Escherichia coli* MMR proteins (15). Human MutS and MutL homologues are heterodimers. MSH2 heterodimerizes with MSH6 or MSH3 to form MutSα or MutSβ, respectively, both of which play a critical role in mismatch recognition and initiation of repair. MLH1 forms a heterodimer with PMS2, PMS1, or MLH3 (MutLα, MutLβ, or MutLγ, respectively). MutLα is required for MMR processing of chemotherapy and ionizing radiation–induced DNA damage, and MutLγ plays a role in meiosis, whereas the role of MutLβ is less clear. The impact of MMR status on cell survival following ionizing radiation was first reported by Fritzell et al. (18). In their study, mouse fibroblast cells deficient in MLH1, MSH2, or PMS2 were shown to be resistant to high–dose rate ionizing radiation (HDR-IR; 225 cGy/min) compared with wild-type cells. How the status of MMR proteins affects the cellular responses to protracted LDR-IR has not been fully investigated. Previously, using mouse embryonic stem cells differing in MSH2 expression, DeWeese et al. (19) showed that MSH2+ cells exhibited improved survival, decreased apoptosis, and increased gene mutation following prolonged LDR-IR (24 cGy/h for 24 to 72 h). Subsequently, Zeng et al. (20) showed that PMS2 null mouse cells showed improved survival after prolonged LDR-IR (16–27 cGy/h for 12.5 to 30 h). However, how MLH1, an essential component of MutL and one of the most commonly mutated MMR genes in HNPCC patients and in MMR-deficient sporadic cancers (11, 12, 15, 21, 22), affects cellular responses to LDR-IR is unclear. We hypothesized that MLH1 status may significantly affect cellular responses to prolonged LDR-IR. In this study, we compared MLH1-deficient and MLH1-proficient cells in an attempt to gain insights into the role of MLH1 in cellular responses to prolonged LDR-IR, which may be of interest to cancer prevention and to the use of brachytherapy for cancer treatment.

Here, we show that MLH1-proficiency enhances cell killing, reduces gene mutation rate, and alters cell cycle distribution to a greater extent after protracted LDR-IR. We show that MLH1 protein accumulates as a result of compromised MLH1 protein degradation during prolonged LDR-IR. Importantly, we show that MLH1 protein accumulation occurs concomitantly with Rad51 protein reduction in a dose-rate– and time-dependent fashion. Our findings suggest that MLH1 may be involved in the inhibition of homologous recombination during prolonged LDR-IR, which leads to enhanced cell killing.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell culture.** A pair of isogenically matched HCT116 cell lines, HCT116vector (MLH1−) and HCT116MLH1 (MLH1+), was used as the predominant experimental model system in this study (kindly provided by Dr. Francoise Praz, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Villejuif, France). The parental cell line HCT116 was a human colon carcinoma cell line deficient in the MLH1 gene (MMR−). Some experiments were also done on HT29 (human colorectal carcinoma cell line; MLH1−, U251 (human glioblastoma cell line; MLH1−), and HEC59 (human endometrial carcinoma cell line; MSH2; MLH1+) cells. All cell lines were grown in DMEM (Mediatech) with 10% FBS (HyClone), 2 mmol/L glutamine, and 0.1 mmol/L nonessential amino acids (Invitrogen) in 10% CO2 at 37°C.

**LDR-IR.** The LDR-IR source used was iridium-192 (Best Medical International) in a standard tissue culture incubator. Iridium-192 has a half-life of 75 d, and the dose rates used for prolonged LDR-IR ranged from 17 to 1.3 cGy/h, which are clinically applicable LDR-IR rates as used during permanent prostate cancer brachytherapy (23). For prolonged LDR-IR, the cells were irradiated for 24 to 96 h, with the dose rates and the LDR-IR doses varying by the distance of dishes from the iridium-192 source. The control cells were seeded at the same time as the irradiated cells but incubated in a regular incubator for the same time points.

**Clonogenic survival assay.** Log-phase cells were plated into 60-mm dishes at the appropriate cell numbers to ensure the formation of about 100 colonies per dish. Twenty hours later, the cells were placed in the LDR-IR source incubator. At the desired time points, the cells were removed to a regular incubator and allowed to continue growing for 12 d to form colonies.

**Western blotting analyses.** The experiments were carried out as described previously (4). The antibodies used were as follows: β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich); MLH1, PMS2, and MSH2 (BD Biosciences; Pharmingen); MSH6 (BD Biosciences); APE1, Polβ, FEN1, OGG1, Mre11, NBS1, and LC3 (Novus Biologicals); Ku70, Ku80, and Rad51 (Abcam, Inc.); XPA (LabVision); XPC (GeneFex); γ-H2AX (Upstate); poly(ADP-ribose)
polymerase (PARP) p85 (Promega); p53, p21, and secondary antibody IgG–horseradish peroxidase conjugates (Santa Cruz).

**Flow cytometry analysis.** The determination of the cell cycle profile was described previously (24). For dual-parameter flow cytometry, 1 × 10⁶ fixed cells were incubated with a phospho-histone H3 (Ser¹⁰) antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) and a secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen/Molecular Probes) and then propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich). The flow cytometric data were analyzed with Modfit 3.0 and Winlist 5.0 (Verity Software).

**HPRT gene mutation assay.** The cells were irradiated for 96 h (4.5 cGy/h), and the irradiated cells were then seeded into 100-mm dishes for another 8 d. The surviving cells were counted and reseeded at 1 × 10⁶ cells/100-mm dish and assayed for colony formation after 12 d in the presence of 40 μmol/L 6-thioguanine. Irradiated cells were also seeded at appropriate numbers for colony formation without 6-thioguanine treatment. The HPRT mutant frequency was expressed as the ratio of cloning efficiency in selective (6-thioguanine) medium to that in nonselective medium.

**Statistics.** The data, where applicable, represent the means ± SE. Data were analyzed using the Student’s t test. The bar graph of flow cytometry data was derived from representative experiments and therefore is presented without error bars. The linear regression test was determined using Microsoft Excel statistics.

**Results**

**MLH1 (HCT116vector) cells showed an increased resistance and an increased HPRT gene mutation rate following protracted LDR-IR compared with MLH1+ (HCT116MLH1) cells.** We initially did a standard clonogenic survival assay following prolonged LDR-IR. Although the LDR-IR source normally decayed and the cells received a range of total ionizing radiation doses, a valid comparison of survival was made possible by always irradiating the two HCT116 cell lines, simultaneously. As shown in Fig. 1, at all four LDR-IR dose rate levels, the MLH1− cells showed an increased resistance (survival) to LDR-IR compared with the MLH1+ cells. The ratios of the surviving fraction between the MLH1− and the MLH1+ cells were 1.2, 2.5, 4.7, and 5.9 for the total doses of 1, 2.5, 5, and 10 Gy, respectively, at level 1 (Fig. 1A); 1.6, 2.1, and 2.8 for the total doses of 1, 2.5, and 5 Gy respectively at level 2 (Fig. 1B); 1.6 and 2.1 for the total doses of 1 and 2.5 Gy, respectively, at level 3 (Fig. 1C); and 1.6 for the dose of 1 Gy at level 4 (Fig. 1D).

We next carried out a HPRT gene mutation assay (6-thioguanine resistance assay). As expected, the MLH1+ cells showed a significantly increased HPRT gene mutation rate after prolonged LDR-IR (4.5 cGy/h for 96 h), whereas the mutation rate was only slightly increased in the MLH1− cells (Table 1).

**Prolonged LDR-IR led to a greater G₂/M arrest and a greater late–S phase population in the MLH1+ cells than in the MLH1− cells.** Because the MLH1 protein has been implicated in cell cycle regulation (4), we examined the cell cycle distribution during prolonged LDR-IR. Figure 2A shows representative flow cytometry histograms after a 72-hour treatment with LDR-IR. We did not observe a significant G₂/M arrest peak during the 24- to 96-hour LDR-IR. Rather, a moderately increased G₂/M population was found in both cell lines (Fig. 2A). Notably, the MLH1+ cells showed about 5 percentage points (equal to 20-30%) more of a G₂/M population than the MLH1− cells (Fig. 2A). Although small, these differences were highly reproducible. These differences were also found at the other time points (data not shown).

To better define LDR-IR effects on cell cycle progression, we next used nocodazole trapping to stop the cell cycle in metaphase. Here, cells were exposed to LDR-IR for 72 hours, and nocodazole was added for the last 8 hours of LDR-IR before harvesting. Figure 2B shows representative flow cytometry histograms. Compared with the nocodazole treated controls, prolonged LDR-IR significantly inhibited cell cycle progression through the G₁/S border in both cell lines. Interestingly, the MLH1+ cells also showed a greater late–S phase accumulation.
PMS2 proteins in MMR+ (HCT116MLH1) cells. Furthermore, because the G2 fraction was rate
increase in the overall G2/M population in both cell lines as that is, the lower the dose rate (therefore, the more cells enter S phase), the clearer the late S phase. For example, this late–S phase population is manifested as less steep initial slopes following level 2 and 3 LDR-IR. But at level 4, this late–S phase population becomes a separate peak distinguishable from the G2/M peak.

Although prolonged LDR-IR resulted in only a moderate increase in the overall G2/M population in both cell lines as defined by standard flow cytometry (Fig. 2A), we found that the MLH1+ cells had a greater G2/M checkpoint arrest than the MLH1− cells by using nocodazole trapping plus dual-parameter flow cytometry for the mitotic marker phosphohistone H3 (Ser10) and propidium iodide staining. The bar graph in Fig. 2D illustrates data derived from representative dual-parameter flow cytometry scatter plots (flow data not shown). Again, cells were exposed to LDR-IR for 72 hours, and nocodazole was added for the last 8 hours of LDR-IR before harvesting. In both unirradiated cell populations, there were only about 2.5% mitotic cells in the total populations without nocodazole treatment, but the percentage of mitotic cells increased to 36.5% with the nocodazole exposure. After completion of LDR-IR and nocodazole treatment, the percentage of mitotic cells was reduced to 10.5%, 20.3%, 25.2%, and 28.8% in the MLH1+ cells for levels 1 to 4, respectively, and to 6.4%, 15%, 21.6%, and 21.4% in the MLH1− cells for levels 1 to 4, respectively. Compared with the nocodazole treated controls, the reduction in the nocodazole-trapped mitotic cells during protracted LDR-IR was 71%, 44%, 30%, and 20% in the MLH1+ cells for levels 1 to 4, respectively, and was 82%, 59%, 40.8%, and 41% in the MLH1− cells for levels 1 to 4, respectively. Thus, prolonged LDR-IR inhibited cell cycle progression through the G2/M checkpoint in a LDR-IR dose rate–dependent manner, with a greater inhibition found in the MLH1+ cells. Furthermore, because the G2 fraction was not directly measured but calculated by subtracting the mitotic fraction from the total 4N population, it can be reasonably assumed that the greater G2 fraction in the MLH1+ cells (Fig. 2D) includes late–S phase and G2 cells.

Prolonged LDR-IR led to a progressive increase of MLH1 and PMS2 proteins in MMR+ (HCT116MLH1) cells. We also examined MMR protein levels by Western blotting during prolonged LDR-IR. We found that, in the MLH1+ cells, the MLH1 protein level was unchanged after a 24-hour exposure to LDR-IR but progressively increased after a 48- to 96-hour exposure to LDR-IR (Fig. 3A and B). The level of PMS2, the dimeric partner of MLH1 in the MutLα complex, was similarly increased, albeit to a lesser degree (Fig. 3A). The increase in MLH1 and PMS2 protein levels was LDR-IR dose– and time-dependent. In contrast, we found that protein levels of MSH2 and MSH6 in the MutSα complex were either decreased or unchanged depending on LDR-IR dose rate and exposure time. Interestingly, the extent of the decrease in MSH2 and MSH6 proteins seems to be slightly greater in the MLH1+ cells than in the MLH1− cells.

Because MLH1 protein expression in the MLH1+ cells is under the control of an exogenous cytomegalovirus promoter, we questioned whether this observed increase in MLH1 protein during prolonged LDR-IR was also found in endogenous MLH1-expressing cells. To address this, we examined two other cell lines, HT29 and U251, which are both MLH1+ (MMR+). A similar increase in MLH1 protein level after prolonged LDR-IR at a very low dose rate (3.1 cGy/h for 72 h; it was the highest dose rate available at the time of the experiment) was also found in these cell lines (Fig. 3C). Furthermore, the same result was found in a MSH2 (MMR) cell line, HEC59, which expresses MLH1 protein (Fig. 3C). Collectively, these data suggest that the protracted LDR-IR–induced increase in MLH1 and PMS2 proteins is a general phenomenon and that this increase is independent of MSH2 and MSH6 and, therefore, independent of functional MMR.

Because, in HCT116MLH1 cells, MLH1 protein expression resulted from a cDNA transfection, endogenous MLH1 gene regulation causing higher MLH1 protein levels in response to prolonged LDR-IR was unlikely. Thus, we hypothesized that the increased MLH1 protein might result from reduced MLH1 protein degradation. Using the protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide during LDR-IR, we showed that MLH1 protein degradation was indeed reduced (Fig. 3D). Here, cells were treated with LDR-IR (2.4 cGy/h) for 96 h, and cycloheximide was added 3 h before harvesting. Whereas the MLH1 protein level decreased with cycloheximide treatment without LDR-IR, the LDR-IR–induced accumulation of MLH1 protein was sustained in the presence of cycloheximide (Fig. 3D). However, MSH2 and MSH6 protein levels did not change significantly under the same treatment conditions. These data suggest that the degradation of MLH1 protein is compromised during prolonged LDR-IR and that the half-life of MLH1 protein is shorter than those of MSH2, MSH6, and actin proteins.

Rad51 protein expression was downregulated to a greater extent in MLH1+ (HCT116MLH1) cells than in MLH1− (HCT116vector) cells during protracted LDR-IR. To verify whether MLH1 status had an effect on other DNA repair systems during prolonged LDR-IR, we examined the expression levels of four base excision repair proteins (OGG1, APE1, Polβ, and Fen1), two nucleotide excision repair proteins (XPA and XPC), and two nonhomologous end joining proteins (Ku70 and Ku80) by Western blotting analysis. No significant changes were found in the levels of all these proteins in both cell lines in response to prolonged LDR-IR (Supplementary Fig. S1A). We also examined three homologous
recombination proteins (Mre11, NBS1, Rad51). Although Mre11 and NBS1 protein levels showed no significant changes (Supplementary Fig. S1A), Rad51, which plays a pivotal role in homologous recombination, decreased during prolonged LDR-IR in both cell lines in an inverse dose rate- and time-dependent manner (Fig. 4A and B).

With respect to changes in Rad51 and MLH1 protein levels in response to LDR-IR, we made following interesting observations. First, the basal level of Rad51 is ~30% less in the MLH1+ cells compared with the MLH1- cells, indicating that the presence of MLH1 protein per se may affect Rad51 protein dynamics. Second, as with MLH1 protein levels, Rad51 levels do not change significantly during the first 24 hours of LDR-IR exposure but decrease progressively when exposure time increases. Third, Rad51 protein level reduction in response to LDR-IR is greater in the MLH1+ cells than in the MLH1- cells.

Fig. 2. HCT116MLH1 (MLH1+) cells show a greater late-S population and a greater G2/M checkpoint arrest during prolonged LDR-IR than HCT116vector (MLH1-) cells. A, flow cytometry histograms show moderately increased G2/M population after 72-h LDR-IR in both cell lines with about 5 percentage points more G2/M fraction in the MLH1+ cells than in the MLH1- cells. B, flow cytometry histograms show inhibition of G1/S progression in both cell lines. The cells were exposed to LDR-IR for 72 h, and nocodazole was added for the last 8 h of LDR-IR before harvesting. C, in the expanded portion of (B) is a late-S phase population (arrows) in the MLH1+ cells. D, bar graph derived from the representative scatter plots of dual-parameter flow cytometry for phospho-histone H3 (Ser10) and propidium iodide showing that the MLH1+ cells have less mitotic cells than the MLH1- cells. All experiments were repeated at least twice.
The absolute amount of Rad51 protein would be much smaller in the MLH1+ cells than in the MLH1- cells if one considers the lower basal level of Rad51 protein in the MLH1+ cells. Fourth, the Rad51 protein decrease and the MLH1 protein increase are strongly correlated in the MLH1+ cells, as indicated by the r's (-0.83 to -0.99; Fig. 4C). These observations suggest that the MLH1 protein may be involved in the inhibition of homologous recombination through enhanced inhibition of Rad51 during prolonged LDR-IR.

Prolonged LDR-IR increased p53, p21, PARP p85, and LC3-II levels to greater extents in MLH1+ (HCT116MLH1) cells compared with MLH1- (HCT116vector) cells. Because the MLH1 protein has been implicated in DNA damage signaling, we were interested in determining the levels of a double strand-break marker, γH2AX, and the DNA damage response proteins p53 and p21 in the MLH1+ versus the MLH1- cells. γH2AX levels were only moderately altered during prolonged LDR-IR, but there was no clear difference between the MLH1+ and the MLH1- cells (Supplementary Fig. S1B). A fluorescent immunocytochemistry assay also failed to reveal γH2AX foci formation above the basal levels (data not shown). However, p53 and p21 protein levels increased in both cell lines during prolonged LDR-IR for 24 to 96 h (Fig. 5; 72-h data; other time points not shown). Notably, the increased levels of p53 and p21 proteins seem to be enhanced in the MLH1+ cells compared with that in the MLH1- cells.

Finally, because MLH1 protein is also implicated in DNA damage–induced cell death, we checked the apoptotic cell death marker, cleaved PARP p85 fragment, and the autophagic cell death marker, LC3-II. As shown in Fig. 5, PARP p85 levels are elevated in both cell lines during LDR-IR but to a greater extent in the MLH1+ cells compared with the MLH1- cells (LDR-IR 72 h). LC3-II does not change significantly in the MLH1- cells but increases in the MLH1+ cells. These data indicate that MLH1 may increase apoptotic and autophagic cell death pathway signaling in response to prolonged LDR-IR. However, the cell death rate at the early times was not significant enough for quantitation of different forms of cell death.

**Discussion**

In this LDR-IR study, we used lower dose rates (1.3-17 cGy/h for 24 to 96 h) than previous studies (19, 20), and these dose rates mimic the dose rates used during permanent prostate cancer brachytherapy (23). After prolonged LDR-IR...
with these low dose rates, the MLH1\(^+\) cells exhibited improved clonogenic survival (i.e., relative radiation resistance) and an increased gene mutation rate (Fig. 1; Table 1) compared with the MLH1\(^-\) cells. In addition, MLH1-proficiency seems to augment LDR-IR induced cell killing by enhancing apoptotic and autophagic cell death pathways (Fig. 5). The important clinical-translational relevance of our data suggesting that MLH1 proficiency enhances radiosensitization to prolonged LDR-IR are 2-fold: first, MLH1 proficiency may reduce cancer susceptibility by preventing potentially mutagenic lesions from being passed on to progeny after prolonged LDR-IR; and second, MLH1 proficiency may increase the efficacy of the cancer treatment regimens that use prolonged LDR-IR.

MMR is known to play an important role in a G\(_2\)/M arrest following treatment with a variety of clinically relevant chemotherapeutic drugs (25, 26). Our group has previously shown that MMR also leads to a slower release from G\(_2\)/M checkpoint arrest in MMR\(^+\) cells following HDR-IR (>1 Gy/min) exposures compared with MMR\(^-\) cells (4). In the current study, we found that LDR-IR activates a modest G\(_2\)/M checkpoint arrest in the MLH1\(^+\) and the MLH1\(^-\) cells (Fig. 2A), indicating weak activation of the ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM)/ATM and Rad3-related pathway. These data are consistent with the previous observation that LDR-IR induced DNA damage results in an inefficient activation of ATM and \(\gamma\)H2AX (27). In agreement with these findings (27), we were also unable to detect significant increases in \(\gamma\)H2AX levels (Supplementary Fig. S1B), as well

---

**Fig. 4.** Rad51 protein decreases during prolonged LDR-IR. A, Western blots show that Rad51 protein decreases progressively during prolonged LDR-IR with more significant reduction found in HCT116MLH1 (MLH1\(^+\)) cells. B, Rad51 protein levels in Fig. 4A were quantified with software Image J. C, linear regression test shows a strong correlation between MLH1 protein increase and Rad51 protein decrease in the MLH1\(^+\) cells. Correlation coefficients are calculated on data sets from Fig. 4B using Excel statistics. All Western blot assays are repeated at least twice.
as increases in pChk2 and pChk1 (data not shown). However, by using the mitotic marker phospho-histone H3, we found that the inhibition of a G2 to M transition was greater in the MLH1+ cells than in the MLH1- cells (Fig. 2D). This observation indicates that MLH1 may be involved in the activation and/or maintenance of the G2/M checkpoint in response to prolonged LDR-IR.

Interestingly, we also found an increased late-S phase population in the MLH1+ cells during protracted LDR-IR, which is not previously reported (Fig. 2C). This late-S phase accumulation is not easy to recognize without nocodazole treatment. Indeed, it is only made possible when the nocodazole-trapped mitotic cell population increases significantly, resulting in a shift of the G2/M peak to the right. Previously, Brown et al. (10) have reported that MMR is required for the S-phase checkpoint following HDR-IR. Our data also suggest a role for MLH1 in slowing late-S phase progression during prolonged LDR-IR, although the mechanism underlying this late-S phase accumulation in MLH1+ cells remains to be elucidated. We speculate that there may be interplay between MLH1 protein (or MMR) and homologous recombination in the late S phase during prolonged LDR-IR as the persistent LDR-IR leads to continuous generation of DNA damage and homologous recombination is believed to occur mainly in the late S and G2 phases (28).

In our study, we did not find significant changes in the protein levels of many different DNA repair proteins during prolonged LDR-IR (Supplementary Fig. S1A). However, we did find that the levels of MLH1 protein and its dimeric partner PMS2 protein were elevated (up to 4-fold increase in MLH1+) after protracted LDR-IR in the MLH1+ cells, whereas the levels of MSH2 protein and its dimeric partner MSH6 protein were concomitantly reduced (Fig. 3A). To the best of our knowledge, these alterations in human MMR protein levels after protracted LDR-IR have not previously been reported. These results using the isogenic HCT116 cell lines were confirmed in two additional MLH1+ human cancer cell lines (Fig. 3C). In addition, a similar result is found in HEC59 endometrial cancer cells, which are MSH2- (MMR-; Fig. 3C), suggesting that functional MMR is not a prerequisite for MLH1 protein accumulation during prolonged LDR-IR. Importantly, the increased MLH1 protein levels seem to result from reduced MLH1 protein degradation (Fig. 3D). However, MLH1 mRNA levels also need to be assessed to exclude an upregulation of MLH1 transcription. Further experiments are planned to more clearly define the mechanism and biological significance of the increased MLH1 protein (and possibly mRNA) levels during prolonged LDR-IR.

The other DNA repair protein found to be altered in this study was Rad51, which in contrast to MLH1, was found to be progressively decreased in response to prolonged LDR-IR (Fig. 4). Rad51, a strand transferase, is a key component in homologous recombination and overexpression of Rad51 results in increased homologous recombination capability (29, 30). The Rad51 gene has previously been shown to be upregulated after HDR-IR (31) and following acute LDR-IR (32). In contrast, Tomita et al. (33) reported that the cellular response to prolonged LDR-IR is remarkably different from that to HDR-IR and that nonhomologous end joining–defective cells are more sensitive to LDR-IR than homologous recombination–defective cells, suggesting a predominant role of nonhomologous end joining compared with homologous recombination in response to LDR-IR–induced DNA damage (in presumably MLH1+ cells). In our study, we found that the basal level of Rad51 was ~30% lower in the MLH1+ cells than in the MLH- cells (Fig. 4A). Prolonged LDR-IR led to a decrease in Rad51 protein levels in both the HCT116 cell lines, but the Rad51 reduction was more significant in the MLH1+ cells than in the MLH- cells (Fig. 4A and B). Importantly, a linear regression defines the r's from -0.83 to -0.99 between the MLH1 protein increase and the Rad51 protein decrease, indicating a strong inverse correlation between these two proteins (Fig. 4C).

MMR is previously reported to be involved in homologous recombination (34) because mismatches can be produced within the heteroduplex generated by strand exchange during DNA replication to allow bypass of unrepaired damage (35–37). During prolonged LDR-IR, low levels of continuous DNA damage (including low levels of double-strand breaks) result as manifested by a sustained elevation of p53 and p21 proteins but without significant pH2AX induction. Because homologous recombination is the major repair pathway of ionizing radiation–induced double-strand breaks and is most active during late S phase, our data showing the inverse correlation of MLH1 and Rad51 protein levels during LDR-IR lead us to speculate that altered MLH1 protein degradation (with enhanced MutLα levels) affects homologous recombination through reduced expression of Rad51. A possible role of MLH1 in transcriptional and/or posttranscriptional regulation of Rad51 during prolonged LDR-IR will be assessed by our group in the near future. Our current observations are supported by a recent report showing downregulation of homologous recombination and MutLα, independent of MMR activity (38). Although our report provides the first link of possible inhibition of homologous recombination by increased MLH1 protein levels during prolonged LDR-IR, further studies are needed to better understand these observations. From a clinical-translational perspective, our data suggest that MLH1-proficiency may decrease cancer susceptibility following prolonged LDR-IR and may also increase the efficacy of localized prolonged LDR-IR as used in cancer brachytherapy.

**Fig. 5.** Western blots wherein the levels of p53 and p21 proteins, as well as cleaved PARP p85 fragment, are all elevated in both cell lines after a 72-h exposure to prolonged LDR-IR but to a greater extent in the MLH1+ cells, whereas LC3-II is increased in the MLH1+ cells but not in the MLH1 cells. All Western blot assays are repeated at least twice.
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