Total Marrow Irradiation: A New Ablative Regimen as Part of Tandem Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation for Patients with Multiple Myeloma
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Abstract

**Purpose:** To establish feasibility, maximum tolerated dose (MTD), and potential efficacy of ablative dose total marrow irradiation (TMI) delivered by helical tomotherapy in patients with multiple myeloma (MM).

**Experimental Design:** Patients with responding or stable MM received tandem autologous stem cell transplants, first with melphalan 200 mg/m², and 60 days or later with TMI. TMI doses were to be escalated from 1,000 cGy by increments of 200 cGy. All patients received thalidomide and dexamethasone maintenance.

**Results:** Twenty-two of 25 enrolled patients (79%) received tandem autologous stem cell transplantation (TASCT); TMI was administered at a median of 63.5 days (44–119) after melphalan. Dose-limiting toxicities at level 5 (1,800 cGy) included reversible grade 3 pneumonitis, congestive heart failure, and enteritis (1), and grade 3 hypotension (1). The estimated median radiation dose to normal organs was 11% to 81% of the prescribed marrow dose. Late toxicities included reversible enteritis (1), and lower extremity deep venous thrombosis during maintenance therapy (2). The complete and very good partial response rates were 53% and 27% following TASCT and maintenance therapy. At a median of 35 months of follow-up (21–50+ months), progression-free and overall survival for all patients were 49% (95% CI, 0.27–0.71) and 82% (0.67–1.00).

**Conclusion:** Ablative dose TMI as part of TASCT is feasible, and the complete response rate is encouraging. Careful monitoring of late toxicities is needed. Further assessment of this modality is justified at the 1,600 cGy MTD level in MM patients who are candidates for ASCT. Clin Cancer Res; 17(1); 174–82. ©2010 AACR.

Introduction

Complete response (CR) and very good partial response (VGPR) are considered surrogate markers of survival for patients with multiple myeloma (MM; refs. 1–3). Single and tandem autologous stem cell transplantation (TASCT) have improved CR and VGPR rates, leading to improved progression-free (PFS) and overall (OS) survival (4–6). Although controversy still exists regarding the merits and best timing of TASCT (7, 8), data suggest that ASCT consolidation provides the greatest benefit when applied relatively early, within a year from diagnosis, and prior to the development of refractory disease (6, 9). Data have also been emerging in support of maintenance therapy following ASCT (10–12). The incorporation of thalidomide, bortezomib, and lenalidomide as components of MM regimens has led to improved CR and VGPR rates (13–17). However, at least in patients younger than 65 years (8), the best-long term results for PFS and OS have been observed following treatment with ASCT and/or TASCT consolidation with maintenance (6, 12).

Fractionated total body irradiation (FTBI) continues to be an important part of conditioning regimens for patients undergoing stem cell transplantation for a wide variety of hematologic malignancies (18). The optimal ablative dose for FTBI has not been clearly defined (18). Attempts to include FTBI as an adjunct to high-dose, melphalan-based conditioning (19) in patients with MM were unsuccessful because of unacceptable toxicities, primarily mucositis (20). Low-dose FTBI has been applied as part, or as the sole modality, in the context of nonmyeloablative allogeneic stem cell transplantation, but the role of such therapy in patients with MM is still to be defined (21–23).
showed reduced doses to adjacent critical normal organs, which predicted for reduced toxicities (24–26). We therefore set out to evaluate the feasibility and efficacy of total marrow irradiation (TMI) as part of TASCT in patients with MM after they recovered from melphalan-based ASCT. Patients subsequently received maintenance therapy with thalidomide (27) and dexamethasone. Here, we describe the observed acute and early chronic toxicities, dose-limiting toxicities (DLT), and maximum tolerated dose (MTD), CR and VGPR rates, and early results of PFS and OS in patients treated during the dose-finding phase of this trial.

Materials and Methods

Patient characteristics

Patients with Salmon–Durie stage I–III MM (28), within 18 months from diagnosis, in response, or with stable disease, and 70 years or younger were eligible for this trial. Patient characteristics are provided in Table 1. Eligibility criteria included the following: Karnofsky status 70% or greater; creatinine clearance greater than 50 mL/min; cardiac left ventricular ejection fraction 50% or greater; aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase less than 2.5 times the upper limits of institutional normal; adequate pulmonary function as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Patient characteristics</th>
<th>Median (range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>53 (35–66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from diagnosis to ASCT, mo</td>
<td>8 (4–14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between cycles 1 and 2 of TASCT, mo</td>
<td>63.5 (44–119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>5 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>15 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-protein type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>15 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA</td>
<td>7 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del 13 by FISH</td>
<td>9 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperploidy 5, 9, 15, 14, and/or 17</td>
<td>7 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperploidy 5, 9, or 15 and del 13</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>7 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta2 microglobulin at presentation &gt;3.5</td>
<td>2 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received thalidomide/dexamethasone</td>
<td>8 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received bortezomib/dexamethasone</td>
<td>9 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received lenolidomide/dexamethasone</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received an anthracycline-containing regimen</td>
<td>7 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGPR</td>
<td>10 (45.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR/SD</td>
<td>10 (45.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best response prior to TASCT</td>
<td>5 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response after TASCT</td>
<td>11 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best response after TASCT and maintenance</td>
<td>6 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 (18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.
shown by a forced expiratory volume of greater than 60% and DLco of greater than 59% of predicted lower normal limit; and peripheral neuropathy grade 1 or lower. Patients were preassessed for their ability to lie supine for approximately 1 hour, the time needed for 1 session of TMI. All patients voluntarily signed an informed consent form approved by the Institutional Review Board of the City of Hope Cancer Center.

### Treatment schema

Patients underwent mobilization of peripheral blood progenitor cells (PBPC) with a combination of cyclophosphamide \(1.5 \text{ gm/m}^2\) and G-CSF \(10 \mu\text{g/kg/d}\) to procure \(\geq 4 \times 10^6\) CD34+ cells/kg.

**Cycle 1**

Melphalan \(100 \text{ mg/m}^2\) x 2 days and PBPC reinfusion on the next day, followed by G-CSF \(5 \mu\text{g/d}\) starting on day 5.

**Cycle 2**

TMI followed by PBPC and G-CSF \(\mu\text{g/kg/d}\) starting on day 0.

---

### Table 2. Treatment schema

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mobilization and PBPC procurement</th>
<th>Cyclophosphamide 1.5 \text{ gm/m}^2\text{ and G-CSF 10 }\mu\text{g/kg/d} to procure (\geq 4 \times 10^6) CD34+ cells/kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Cycle 1**

Melphalan \(100 \text{ mg/m}^2\) x 2 days and PBPC reinfusion on the next day, followed by G-CSF \(5 \mu\text{g/d}\) starting on day 5.

**Cycle 2**

TMI followed by PBPC and G-CSF \(\mu\text{g/kg/d}\) starting on day 0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>TMI, cGy</th>
<th>Dose level</th>
<th># of patients treated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CGy/time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200/a.m.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200/a.m.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200/p.m.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200/a.m.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200/p.m.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200/a.m.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200/p.m.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** Maintenance: Dexamethasone (40 mg/d \(\times 4\) days every 28 days) and thalidomide (50–200 mg/d).

*Because of an unplanned downtime, 1 patient, who was to receive 1,400 cGy, received only 1,200 cGy.

---

### Radiotherapy technique

Details of the technique have been previously published (24–26). Briefly, all patients were initially scanned on a large-bore (85 cm) CT simulator (Philips Medical System) and 4-mm slices were obtained during shallow breathing, inspiration, and expiration to account for changes in position of ribs, lung, kidneys, liver, and spleen. A full-body Vac-Lok bag (CIVCO Medical Systems) and thermoplastic mask over the head and neck were used as immobilization devices. Target (skeletal bone) and avoidance structures were contoured on an Eclipse treatment planning system (Varian Medical Systems). The mandible and maxillary bones were excluded as target structures in an effort to minimize oral cavity dose and mucositis. Using the Hi-Art Tomotherapy treatment planning system (Tomotherapy, Inc.), plans were designed such that a minimum of 85% of the target structures received the prescribed dose. An example of the radiation dose distribution is shown in Figure 1 for a patient receiving a dose level of 1,600 cGy.

### Maintenance therapy

Dexamethasone (40 mg/d \(\times 4\) days every 28 days) and thalidomide (50–200 mg/d) were initiated 30 days or later after TMI and were administered for 6 months following achievement of complete remission, or, for at least, 12 months for patients with persistent evidence of residual disease (Table 2). Patients also received zoledronic acid (4 mg, intravenously) every 3 months.

### Supportive care

During cycles 1 and 2, mandatory prophylactic antibiotics included levaquin, acyclovir, and fluconazole, and broad-spectrum intravenous antibiotics were prescribed according to the treating physician’s choice for patients...
who developed neutropenic fever. Total parenteral nutrition, intravenous fluid support, red blood cell and platelet transfusions, and antiemetics were prescribed as per physician’s choice during cycle 1. However, to optimize control of nausea/emesis, the administration of antiemetics was standardized with the cohorts of patients treated at TMI doses 1,600 and 1,800 cGy and consisted of aprepitant, ondasentron, and dexamethasone. No patient received palifermin or erythropoietin. Filgrastim 5 mg/kg was started 5 days after PBPC reinfusion during cycle 1, and on the day of PBPC reinfusion during cycle 2, and was administered through recovery of the absolute granulocyte count to 1,000/μL or greater for 3 consecutive days.

Follow-up
Mandatory evaluations included physical assessment, routine hemogram and comprehensive chemistry panel, serum protein electrophoresis every 3 months, and bone radiographs and bone marrow biopsies at 30 days, 6, and 12 months post-TMI and yearly thereafter.

Statistical design
Patients were accrued in cohorts of 3 to 6 following a conventional phase I trial design. In case of observing DLT among the first 3 patients, a maximum of 6 patients were to accrue at that dose level. TMI dose escalation was not allowed until all patients treated at the previous dose level recovered hematologic function. DLT was defined as grade 3 or 4 nonhematologic toxicity (except for fatigue, electrolyte abnormalities, and febrile neutropenia) or grade 4 leukopenia or thrombocytopenia of greater than 28-day duration. Time to platelet independence was reported as the duration between ASCT and the day after the last platelet infusion (29). Toxicities were assessed according to the NCI Common Toxicity Criteria (CTC) version 3. If 2 or more of 6 patients developed grade 3–4 toxicities, the MTD was to be established at the preceding (DLT-1) dose level.

Response criteria. CR was defined as complete absence of serum and urinary M-protein and no more than 5% plasma cells on bone marrow; VGPR as 90% or greater reduction in bone marrow plasma cells and blood M-protein levels; partial response as 50% or greater reduction in blood and bone marrow findings; and stable disease as less than 25% reduction in blood and bone marrow findings for a minimum of 3 months (30, 31). Progression was defined as greater than 25% increase in M-protein, greater than 25% increase in bone marrow plasma cells, or new bone lesions. During this phase I trial, a combined CR and VGPR rate of greater than 50% was required to warrant further testing of the regimen.

Additional outcomes examined included PFS and OS, which were calculated from day 1 of administering high-dose melphalan during cycle 1 of TAST. PFS was defined as the time to any type of recurrence or death from any cause. Standard Kaplan–Meier and Cox regression methods were applied for survival analysis using the SAS/STAT and S-Plus software.
Results

Patient population

Twenty-five patients were enrolled between February 2005 and October 2007, 22 of whom received TASCT. Of the 3 patients who did not proceed to TMI, one refused, one suffered a septic episode during cycle 1 and her left ventricular ejection fraction remained lower than what was allowed (<50%) to proceed with TMI, and a third patient was found to be ineligible because of a preexisting, possibly malignant thyroid nodule.

Patient characteristics for the 22 patients who had received TMI are given in Table 1. The median age was 53 (range, 35–66). The majority of patients were treated for stage III disease. Thirty-five percent of patients received thalidomide and dexamethasone induction alone, 17% received thalidomide and dexamethasone plus either bortezomib or an anthracycline, 30% received an anthracycline-based regimen only, 13% received bortezomib and dexamethasone, and 4% received lenalidomide and dexamethasone as part of the induction regimen. No patients had received prior radiotherapy. Two patients were in CR (9%) and 10 were in VGPR (45.5%) before initiating cycle 1 of TASCT. Patients were enrolled in this trial for an average of 8 months (range, 4–14) from diagnosis.

Toxicities

Table 3 illustrates grade 3 and 4 nonhematologic toxicities among the 22 patients who received TASCT. Reversible grade 3 nonhematologic toxicities by TMI dose levels included febrile neutropenia (levels 1, 2, 4, 5: 1 patient each) and fatigue (level 1: 1 patient, levels 4 and 5: 2 patients each). Other non-DLTs included metabolic/electrolyte abnormalities (level 1: 1 patient, level 2: 1 patient, levels 4 and 5: 4 patients each). We observed 1 case of early engraftment syndrome in a patient treated at the lowest 1,000 cGy dose level. The observed higher grade toxicities of nausea/emesis in the patients treated at 1,600 and 1,800 cGy were felt to be due to noncompliance and insufficient intensity of prescribed antiemetics: once the antiemetic regimen was adjusted and enforced (see the Supportive Care section), no further incidence of greater than grade 2 nausea or emesis was observed. The incidence and types of non–dose-limiting grade 3–4 toxicities were similar to those observed following cycle 1 (melphalan). DLT was observed in 2 patients treated at level 5 (1,800 cGy) and consisted of reversible grade 3 radiation pneumonitis, congestive heart failure, and enteritis requiring parenteral feeding (1 patient), and reversible grade 3 hypotension and enteritis requiring pressor support (1 patient), thereby defining the MTD at 1,600 cGy (200 cGy twice daily × 4 days).

The median time between cycles 1 and 2 was 63.5 days (range, 44–119). The median number of days to reach a granulocyte count of greater than 1,000/μL was 14 after cycles 1 and 2 (range: 12–17 vs. 13–18). The period to reach platelet independence was 13 days (range: 0–15 vs. 0–17) following cycles 1 and 2. There were no delayed/secondary graft failures.

Table 3. Grade 3 nonhematologic toxicities by cycle and dose levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle 1</th>
<th>Cycle 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melphalan 200 mg/m²</td>
<td>TMI 1,000 cGy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of patients treated</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxicities, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febrile neutropenia</td>
<td>6 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue/anorexia</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea/emesis</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucositis</td>
<td>1 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteritis/colitis</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engraftment syndrome</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonitis</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure/hypotension abnormalities</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic/electrolyte abnormalities</td>
<td>5 (23)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only patients who received both cycles are included.

**Noncompliance with antiemetics, not DLT.

†One case of infectious colitis (non-DLT).

‡One case of DLT: reversible enteritis, pneumonitis, and congestive heart failure in the same patient.

§DLT: hypotension, requiring pressure support.
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Late (30 days after ASCT) grade 3–4 toxicities included reversible enteritis in a patient previously experiencing similar toxicities during cycle 2 (one of the cases defining DLT) and 2 cases of deep venous thrombosis. There were no secondary malignancies.

The estimated median radiation dose to normal organs ranged from 11% to 81% of the prescribed target bone marrow dose (Supplementary Table S3). The median doses to lens and oral cavity were less than 25% of the prescribed target dose and to lungs, heart, gastrointestinal tract, and thyroid approximately 33% to 55%. Figure 2 illustrates the median organ doses with TMI. With the escalation of the prescribed TMI dose, median doses for all organs increased as expected but still remained below that would have been expected for standard 1,200 cGy FTBI, where all unshielded organs would receive approximately 1,200 cGy, with the exception of bladder and breast doses in a few patients at the 1,800 cGy dose level (26). The observed acute toxicities (Table 3) were consistent with the reduced organ doses predicted from treatment plans. In general, toxicities were not significantly different from what has been observed following high-dose melphalan and ASCT (cycle 1).

Table 1 illustrates changes in response status following TASCT. At the mandated first (30 days after TMI) time point for disease assessment, 23% of patients were in CR and 50% in VGPR. An overall CR rate of 55% and VGPR rate of 27% were observed, with the majority of patients achieving CR while on maintenance therapy at a median time from TASCT of 10 months (range: 1–37 months). At a median of 35 months of follow-up (range: 21–50 months), PFS and OS for all 25 patients enrolled were 49% (95% CI, 0.27–0.71) and 82% (0.67–1.00; Fig. 3). PFS and OS were 53% (95% CI, 0.3–0.76) and 84% (0.68–1.00) for the 22 patients who had received their planned TASCT (data not shown). Currently, 6 patients are still on maintenance therapy. The very first patient enrolled remains in CR at 50 months off maintenance therapy.

Discussion

Accomplishment of CR and VGPR is predictive of prolonged PFS and OS in patients with MM. Single and more recently TASCTs have resulted in an increased percentage of CR and VGPR, and, as a consequence, significant prolongation in PFS has been observed in several well-conducted, prospective, randomized trials (5, 32), with OS benefits also seen in at least 1 trial (5). With the availability of novel therapeutic agents, higher CR and VGPR rates can be achieved both prior to ASCT, and also, when such agents (e.g., thalidomide, bortezomib, lenolidomide) are incorporated as part of, or subsequent to ASCT (12, 33). Our current therapeutic dilemmas therefore include the task of identifying those who may benefit from ASCT following novel induction regimens, defining whether tandem ASCT provides benefit over "consolidation" therapy with novel agents, and, if so, in which patient population. Indeed, prospective randomized trials designed by the Bone Marrow Transplant CTN group in the United States, and by the IFM in collaboration with the Dana–Farber group, have just begun accruals to provide answers to such questions in
well-designed, prospective, randomized trials. In the older (≥65) patient population, data suggest that combinations of melphalan and/or anthracyclines with novel agents (e.g., thalidomide, lenalidomide, bortezomib) may yield high CR and VGPR rates and PFS and OS durations similar to that of tandem or single ASCT (16, 34, 35). However, none of the current regimens (inclusive of novel therapeutics with or with subsequent ASCT) are optimized for sequence, dose, duration, or targeting abilities, and their ability to kill the most resistant myeloma cells, that is, myeloma stem cells, is limited (36).

TMI is an attractive option for patients with radiosensitive hematologic malignancies, such as MM. Because stem cell/tumorigenic cell properties may include the ability to withstand the effects of radical oxygen species, higher doses of radiation to disease-containing areas is a logical strategy to apply in the treatment sequence of patients with MM (36). Several groups have attempted to escalate FTBI doses in combination with chemotherapeutic agents in an effort to improve outcomes via overcoming radiation resistance. The group from Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center reported comparing cyclophosphamide combined with 1,200 cGy delivered at 200 cGy/d or 1,575 cGy at 225 cGy/d. Lower relapse rates, but unacceptably higher treatment-related mortality rates, were noted in patients treated for chronic myelogenous leukemia (24% at 1,200 cGy and 34% treated at 1,575 Gy), resulting in no difference in OS between the 2 arms (37) and confirming the difficulties of combining FTBI in the allogeneic or autologous setting with high-dose chemotherapy (20). Similarly, very high (76%) incidence of grade 3 or greater mucositis was observed in a trial that applied radiation therapy, first described by coinining the phrase “total marrow irradiation,” using modified TBI with shielding of the liver and lungs, in combination with busulfan and cyclophosphamide, and resulting in 48% and 41% CR rates in untreated and more advanced cases of myeloma (38).

Because CR rates are a meaningful surrogate marker for PFS and OS, improving the currently available and standard ASCT and TASCT preparatory regimens in patients with myeloma is worthwhile, whether the improvement is accomplished by adding novel agents (such as bortezomib) or using radiation therapy as the sole modality but in a technically more precise fashion. Therefore, we applied helical tomotherapy for TMI, and, indeed, the acute toxicities observed in our TASCT trial following single-modality TMI were modest and compared favorably with results from a prior phase I tandem autologous HCT trial of similar design. In that previous study, patients with MM or breast cancers or sarcomas with primarily bone metastases were first treated with busulfan, melphalan, and thiotepa, followed by modified FTBI at 1,200, 1,350, or 1,500 cGy. Death from pulmonary toxicity was observed in 3 of 17 patients treated at 1,500 cGy when the “standard” FTBI was applied in the setting of the trial (19).

Bone-seeking radiopharmaceuticals, such as 166Ho-DOTMP (39) have also been undergoing evaluation as part of ASCT conditioning regimens. Because of the unpredictable amounts of these pharmaceuticals that reach the target (bone marrow), and unexpectedly high doses that reach critical organs, such as components of the genitour-
in the urinary tract, these modalities are currently do not fit into the standard treatment regimens for myeloma patients.

In this phase I portion of our trial, we have shown the safety and clinical utility of TMI by using image-guided, intensity-modulated radiotherapy delivered by helical tomotherapy. Median organ doses were approximately 11% to 81% of the prescribed bone marrow dose. With dose escalation to 1,600 cGy, median organ doses still remained below that for standard FBBI to 1,200 cGy, which predicted for reduced acute toxicities. Whether combining melphalan with TMI or delivering boost doses to areas of greater tumor burden (e.g., areas of persistent uptake on positron emission tomographic scan following recovery from TASCT) is feasible with simultaneous TMI would be the subject of alternative study designs.

TMI as the sole ablative modality in a second TASCT following the first cycle of melphalan-ASCRT is feasible up to 1,600 cGy and may contribute to the success of the tandem strategy followed by maintenance in this trial in view of the substantial conversion rate (55%) to CR and VGPR (27%). Reduced radiation doses to major normal organs were predicted, and, indeed, the toxicities observed were moderate. However, further evaluation is needed to better characterize long-term toxicities and assess the impact this new approach will have on disease control. The phase II portion of our trial at TMI of 1,600 cGy is ongoing, and pending the outcome, a randomized comparison of single ASCRT versus TASCT may be justified.
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