The Transcription Factor Evi-1 Is Overexpressed, Promotes Proliferation, and Is Prognostically Unfavorable in Infratentorial Ependymomas
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Abstract

**Purpose:** Ependymomas are glial tumors of presumably radial glial origin that share morphologic similarities with ependymal cells. The molecular genetics of ependymomas of supratentorial, infratentorial, and spinal location is heterogeneous. We aimed at identifying pathways operative in the development of infratentorial ependymomas.

**Experimental Design:** To do so, gene expression profiles of tumor cells laser microdissected from infratentorial ependymomas (n = 15) were compared with that of nonneoplastic ependymal cells laser microdissected from autopsy tissue (n = 7).

**Results:** Among 31 genes significantly overexpressed (>5-fold) in ependymomas, transcription factor EVI1 (ecotropic viral integration site 1) showed the highest overexpression (35-fold). Evi-1 protein expression could be confirmed in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded samples of 26 of 28 infratentorial ependymomas but only in 7 of 47 nonependymal glial tumors (P < 0.001). Furthermore, MDS1/EVI1 fusion transcripts were detectable in 17 of 28 infratentorial ependymomas and significantly correlated with MGMT (O6-methylguanine-DNA-methyltransferase) promoter hypermethylation (P < 0.05). In primary infratentorial ependymoma cells, transfection with EVI1-specific siRNAs resulted in significant growth inhibition [48 hours: 87% ± 2% and 74% ± 10% as compared with control (mean ± SD; P < 0.001)]. The prognostic role of EVI1 could further be validated in an independent cohort of 39 infratentorial and 26 supratentorial ependymomas on the basis of mRNA expression profiling. Although in supratentorial ependymomas EVI1 expression status had no prognostic impact, in infratentorial ependymomas, high EVI1 expression was associated with shorter overall survival and progression-free survival.

**Conclusions:** To conclude, the transcription factor Evi-1 is overexpressed in infratentorial ependymomas, promotes proliferation of ependymal tumor cells, and is prognostically unfavorable. *Clin Cancer Res; 17(11); 3631–7. ©2011 AACR.

Introduction

Ependymomas are glial tumors showing morphologic features resembling that of ependymal cells lining the inner surfaces of the central nervous system. Located in proximity to the ventricular system or the spinal canal, ependymomas may develop supra- and infratentorially as well as in the spinal cord (1). These tumors occur in all age groups, but intracranial ependymomas are most common in children, where they are most frequently encountered infratentorially within the posterior fossa (2). Whereas in adult cases of infratentorial ependymomas, 5-year survival rates as high as 70.8% have been reported (3), prognosis is substantially worse in children (2, 4) because gross total resection, which represents an important prognostic factor, is less frequently achieved (5) and adjuvant radiotherapy often cannot be employed because of the young age of the patients. Furthermore, ependymomas are largely chemoresistant (6–8), raising the need for novel therapeutic approaches and a better understanding of pathways involved in their tumorigenesis.

It is now evident that ependymomas of infratentorial, supratentorial, and spinal cord location are genetically distinct and may in fact represent distinct tumor entities (9–12). In recent years, a number of gene expression profiling studies have been conducted on whole tumor tissue, to identify genes differentially expressed in ependymomas of different location (11–16). Given the high vascularity of anaplastic ependymomas, it is not surprising...
Translational Relevance

Here, we show that the transcription factor Evi-1 (ecotropic viral integration site 1) is highly expressed in infratentorial ependymomas and promotes proliferation. Furthermore, high EVI1 expression was associated with significantly shorter overall and progression-free survival in ependymoma patients, suggesting that EVI1 not only plays a role in the biology of ependymomas but also is of prognostic relevance.

that identified genes frequently also comprised genes commonly expressed in endothelial cells (14). Furthermore, the lack of established ependymoma cell lines hampers studies on the functional role of identified genes. Presumably of radial glia cell origin (17), ependymomas share morphologic similarities with ependymal cells. We therefore aimed to compare gene expression profiles of laser-microdissected tumor cells of infratentorial ependymomas and nonneoplastic ependymal cells to identify differentially expressed genes that might play a functional and prognostic role in infratentorial ependymomas.

Materials and Methods

Materials and patients

For laser microdissection and subsequent gene expression profiling, snap-frozen surgical samples of 15 infratentorial ependymomas [7 ependymomas (WHO grade II) and 8 anaplastic ependymomas (WHO grade III)] were retrieved from the archives of the Institute of Neuro-pathology Münster, the Institute of Neuropathology Düsseldorf, and the Institute of Brain Research Tübingen. Median age of 7 males and 8 females was 13 years (range: 2–38 years). For protein expression of identified genes, genetic analyses and methylation studies, formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) samples from 28 infratentorial ependymomas [18 ependymomas (WHO grade II) and 10 anaplastic ependymomas (WHO grade III)] were retrieved from the archives of the Institute of Neuro-pathology Münster. Median age of these patients was 25 years (range: 0–76 years). Sixteen of the patients were male and 12 female. In all cases, the diagnosis was confirmed neuropathologically according to the current WHO classification (18).

Gene expression profiling

RNA was amplified using the GeneChip Two-Cycle cDNA Synthesis Kit (Affymetrix) and subsequently transcribed to cRNA using the GeneChip IVT Labeling Kit (Affymetrix). After confirmation of cRNA integrity, fragmented cRNA was hybridized for 16 hours under constant rotation to U133A 2.0 gene chips (Affymetrix) representing 18,400 transcripts and variants of 14,500 human genes. After washing and staining (Fluidics Station 450; Affymetrix), fluorescence was scanned on a GeneChip Scanner 3000 (Affymetrix). Data files were evaluated using the GeneSpring Software (Agilent). After global chip quality control and fluorescence gradient correction, differentially expressed probe sets were identified. To screen for differentially expressed candidate genes, normalized data were filtered for probe sets displaying expression levels at least 5-fold higher or lower in ependymoma tumor cells compared with microarray data sets from 7 samples representing laser-microdissected normal ependymal cells from human autopsy tissues that had been generated under comparable conditions.

Quantitative reverse-transcription PCR

On RNA isolation from human tissue samples and cell cultures using the RNeasy Kit (Qiagen) and reverse transcription of total RNA using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems), cDNA was used for quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) measurement in duplicates using the TaqMan Gene Expression assay EVI1 (ecotropic viral integration site 1; Hs01115415_m1). Data were normalized for glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase expression using the comparative threshold cycle method.

RT-PCR for MDS1/EVI1 fusion transcript in FFPE tissues

RNA was isolated in FFPE tissue of 28 infratentorial ependymomas and cDNA was generated using the High Capacity Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Expression of the MDS1/EVI1 fusion transcript was evaluated using 2 specific primers (MDS1/EVI1 fwd: TCAAA-CCTGAAAAAGGCCCGAGTTA and MDS1/EVI1 rev: GCATCTATGCAGAATCTCAGTGT).

Laser microdissection

On assessment of total RNA quality and integrity of the tissue samples by 2100 Bioanalyzer runs using the RNA-6000-Nano-LabChip (Agilent), cryosections (12 µm) were prepared on a cryostat and mounted on foil slides (P.A.L.M. Microlaser Technologies). Sections were cresyl violet stained and air dried. Laser microdissection was conducted using a Zeiss system equipped with an UVA-laser. A total of 10,000 cells were microdissected from each sample and collected in microcentrifuge tubes containing Buffer RLT (RNeasy Micro kit; Qiagen). Total RNA from these samples was then extracted following manufacturer’s instructions. All steps were carried out under RNase-free conditions.

Cell culture

Primary cell cultures of an infratentorial ependymoma (WHO grade II) were grown in culture medium [DMEM (Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL.
streptomycin, at 37°C in 5% CO₂. All cell culture reagents were purchased from PAA Linz.

**Immunohistochemistry and immunocytochemistry**

Immunohistochemistry and immunocytochemistry were carried out using a rabbit polyclonal antibody directed against Evi-1 (Ab28457; Abcam; 1:200) and the avidin–biotin method on an automated staining system (TechMate; DAKO). Expression of Evi-1 was scored as present (1) or absent (0).

**Western blot**

Protein lysates of snap-frozen ependymomas and primary cell cultures were separated on 7.5% SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Schleicher & Schüll). Membranes were blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in TST buffer for 30 minutes and incubated with a primary rabbit polyclonal antibody against Evi-1 (1:500 in TST; Abcam) at 4°C overnight. Detection was carried out with a peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody and the ECL plus Kit (GE Healthcare). After stripping, membranes were reprobed with an antibody against β-actin (A5441; 1:10,000; Sigma).

**RNA interference**

Two different siRNA oligonucleotides for EVI1 inhibition as well as a scrambled (Scr) siRNA for negative control (All Star Negative siRNA) were purchased from Qiagen. A total of 40,000 cells per mL were seeded the day before siRNA transfection in 24-well plates containing 500 µL culture medium. Cells were then transfected by adding 3 µL HiPerFect Transfection Reagent (Qiagen) and 3 µL siRNA dissolved in culture medium without FCS (5 nmol/L) per well according to manufacturer’s recommendations. Cells were maintained in full culture medium for 24, 48, and 72 hours before lysis and subsequent RNA isolation. Extent of residual expression of EVI1 was evaluated using qRT-PCR.

**Proliferation**

Cellular growth was assessed by a WST-8 [2-(2-methoxy-4-nitrophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-5-(2,4-disulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium; (CCVK-I)] assay (PromoCell). Briefly, ependymoma cells were seeded at a density of 40,000 cells per mL in a 24-well plate. Forty-eight hours after siRNA transfection, 50 µL assay solution was added to the culture medium and incubated for 1.5 hours. Supernatant was transferred to a 96-well plate and measurement was done at 3633 (D; lane 9: water control).
450 nm using an ELISA reader. Experiments were independently carried out twice, and 3 wells were evaluated for each experimental condition.

**Validation of expression data and prognostic role**

Expression of EVII was validated in a large set of ependymomas, for which microarray gene expression profiles as well as detailed clinical data were available. Patients of this cohort were part of a larger study that has already been published (19). This set comprised 65 intracranial ependymomas of which 39 were of infratentorial location. Of the infratentorial ependymomas, 17 were ependymoma (WHO grade II) and 22 were anaplastic ependymoma (WHO grade III). In the 39 patients harboring infratentorial ependymomas [median age: 13 years (range: 1–63 years)], mean progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) times accounted for 4.75 and 5.9 years, respectively. Sixteen recurrences and 8 deaths had occurred (19). To evaluate the impact of EVII mRNA expression status on PFS and OS, EVII microarray expression data were dichotomized into high (EVI1 expression higher than mean EVI1 expression of all tumors) and low (EVI1 expression lower than mean EVI1 expression of all tumors).

**Statistics**

Effects of siRNA treatment on proliferation were evaluated by ANOVA followed by LSD (least significant difference) test, whereas the effect of EVI1 expression and other variables on OS and PFS was evaluated using log-rank test and Cox regression. All statistical analyses were conducted using PASW Statistics version 18.0 (SPSS Inc.).

**Results**

**Gene expression profiling identifies EVI1 to be highly overexpressed in tumor cells of infratentorial ependymomas**

Analysis of microarray data showed a total of 31 genes to be significantly overexpressed more than 5-fold (Fig. 1A) in tumor cells of infratentorial ependymomas as compared with nonneoplastic ependymal cells, whereas 112 genes were downregulated more than 5-fold (Supplementary Table S1). Among those, EVII coding for the transcription factor Evi-1 showed the highest overexpression (35-fold). On validation of microarray data using qRT-PCR, which could be carried out in fresh frozen tumor tissue of 12 of the 15 ependymomas used for microarray analysis, a significant overexpression of EVI1 mRNA as compared with nonneoplastic ependymal and subependymal brain tissue could be confirmed (95- /C6 60-fold, mean /C6 SD; P = 0.009 Mann–Whitney U Test; Fig. 1B).

**Evi-1 protein is overexpressed in infratentorial ependymomas**

On examination of 28 infratentorial ependymomas using immunohistochemistry, 26 of 28 cases (93%) showed tumoral Evi-1 protein expression (Fig. 1C). Expression of Evi-1 protein was not correlated with clinical factors such as age or WHO grade (data not shown). As shown in Table 1, the proportion of tumors showing Evi-1 protein expression was significantly lower in nonependymal glial tumors: only 7 of 47 tumors (15%) showed Evi-1 protein expression (\( \chi^2 = 43.285, P < 0.001 \)).

![Figure 2](image-url)
The *MDS1/EVI1* fusion transcript is expressed in infratentorial ependymomas and associated with MGMT promoter methylation status

The expression array probe set (221884_at) as well as the RT-PCR primers recognize all known *EVI1* transcripts. However, *EVI1* and *MDS1* may form a fusion transcript, which is common in both neoplastic as well as nonneoplastic tissues (20). We therefore also examined the expression pattern of *MDS1/EVI1* fusion transcripts in ependymomas. On RT-PCR, the presence of a *MDS1/EVI1* fusion transcript was detectable in 17 of 28 infratentorial ependymomas (61%; Fig. 1D). No association between *EVI1* overexpression and *MDS1/EVI1* fusion transcript status was observed. Because the newly introduced PR domain of the fusion protein has the potential to act as a methyltransferase (21), *MDS1/EVI1* expression status was correlated with MGMT (O6-methylguanine-DNA-methyltransferase) promoter methylation status, which had been already determined in these tumors (22). Interestingly, a significant association of MGMT promoter methylation and *MDS1/EVI1* expression status was observed (*P* < 0.05).

Evi-1 plays a functional role in ependymal tumor cells

To investigate the functional role of Evi-1 in infratentorial ependymomas, the effect of *EVI1* knockdown was investigated in primary cultures derived from an infratentorial ependymoma expressing Evi-1 protein (Fig. 2A). Transfection of the primary cultures with 2 different siRNAs directed against *EVI1* resulted in a significant decrease of *EVI1* mRNA with a residual expression of about 30% as compared with Scr control (data not shown). Under these conditions, a significant inhibition of cellular growth was observed [48 hours of incubation: 87% ± 2% and 74% ± 10% of Scr control (mean ± SD; *P* < 0.001; Fig. 2B)].

**EVII has a prognostic role in infratentorial ependymomas**

To determine the prognostic role of *EVI1* and validate our data, a different microarray data set comprising 39 infratentorial ependymomas and 26 supratentorial ependymomas was examined. Infratentorial ependymomas showed a 7-fold upregulation of *EVI1* mRNA expression as compared with supratentorial ependymomas. Although in supratentorial ependymomas *EVI1* mRNA expression status had no prognostic impact (data not shown), in infratentorial ependymomas, shorter OS was associated not only with incomplete resection and WHO grade but also with high *EVI1* mRNA expression [HR: 5.948 (1.325–26.701), *P* = 0.001; Table 3]. Age and gender did not affect OS or PFS significantly. On multivariate analysis taking into account all of the above factors in addition to incomplete resection (*P* = 0.149), high *EVI1* mRNA expression (*P* = 0.029; Table 2), and MGMT methylation status (Table 2), a significant *PFS* was associated with high *EVI1* mRNA expression [HR: 10.282 (2.251–46.966), *P* = 0.003], high *EVI1* mRNA expression [HR: 5.948 (1.325–26.701), *P* = 0.02] was associated with shorter PFS (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

Using gene expression profiling, *EVI1* was found to be highly overexpressed in tumor cells laser microdissected from infratentorial ependymomas, suggesting a role of this transcription factor in the tumorigenesis of infratentorial ependymomas. Evi-1 had been originally discovered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>OS [mean mos (95% CI)]</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EVI1 (low vs. high)</td>
<td>104 (99–109) vs. 82 (68–96)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (&lt;18 vs. &gt;18 years)</td>
<td>84 (72–96) vs. 101 (90–112)</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO grade (II vs. III)</td>
<td>104 (98–110) vs. 81 (68–94)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male vs. female)</td>
<td>89 (76–102) vs. 94 (84–104)</td>
<td>0.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resection (incomplete vs. gross total)</td>
<td>81 (65–96) vs. 100 (92–108)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Effect of clinical and molecular factors on PFS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>PFS [mean mos (95% CI)]</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EVI1 (low vs. high)</td>
<td>99 (88–110) vs. 52 (35–68)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (&lt;18 vs. &gt;18 years)</td>
<td>63 (47–79) vs. 85 (67–104)</td>
<td>0.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO grade (II vs. III)</td>
<td>89 (74–105) vs. 58 (41–75)</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male vs. female)</td>
<td>68 (50–85) vs. 78 (60–96)</td>
<td>0.313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resection (incomplete vs. gross total)</td>
<td>42 (26–59) vs. 97 (87–107)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
because its activation through retroviral insertion caused leukemia in a mouse model (23). Transcriptional activation of Evi-1, however, is not only caused by retroviral insertion but also by a variety of genetic aberrations (24). A biological role of Evi-1, commonly found to be overexpressed in acute myeloid leukemia (25), to our knowledge has not yet been described in central nervous system tumors. On evaluation of functional effects of Evi-1 in ependymal tumor cells, we observed that downregulation of **EVI1** resulted in decreased cellular growth, suggesting an involvement of Evi-1 in proliferation and the tumorigenesis of infratentorial ependymomas. When interpreting the relative moderate extent of decrease in cellular growth, the lower transfection efficiency and proliferative activity in primary cultures as compared with cell lines has to be taken into account. In leukemic cells, Evi-1 has been shown to be a critical regulator (26) and overexpression of Evi-1 in ovarian carcinoma cell lines promotes cellular growth in vitro (21). Interestingly, Evi-1 has also been shown to be involved in neuroectodermal differentiation (27).

**EVI1** and **MDS1** can form a fusion transcript, which is common in both neoplastic as well as nonneoplastic tissues (20). The **MDS1/EVI1** fusion transcript contains a putative methyltransferase domain (21) and has been associated with differential promoter methylation in acute myeloid leukemia (28), suggesting that the observed correlation of **MDS1/EVI1** fusion transcript status and MGMT promoter methylation might represent more than an epiphenomenon. Because MGMT promoter methylation status is less frequently encountered in ependymomas as compared with malignant astrocytic tumors (22) and has no proven prognostic role (29), the clinical implications of these findings remain uncertain.

An important finding of the present study is the observation that **EVI1** mRNA overexpression was associated with shorter OS and PFS. These findings are well in line with the dismal prognostic role of Evi-1 in acute myeloid leukemia (25) and suggest that Evi-1 expression status might serve as a valuable prognostic marker. On multivariate analysis, in addition to known important prognostic factors (i.e., WHO grade and extent of resection), **EVI1** overexpression showed to be significantly associated with PFS. Nevertheless, because of the relatively small number of recurrences and the retrospective nature of this study, the prognostic role of **EVI1** expression status will need to be validated in future larger prospective trials. Further studies on the function of **EVI1** and other differentially expressed genes will hopefully contribute to a better understanding of the complex molecular pathogenesis of infratentorial ependymomas. To conclude, the transcription factor Evi-1 is highly overexpressed in infratentorial ependymomas, promotes proliferation of ependymal tumor cells, and has an unfavorable prognostic role in patients harboring infratentorial ependymomas.

**Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest**

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors thank the team of the Integrated Functional Genomics Unit of the DZIF Münster for providing expert technological and experimental support and Dr. Joachim Gerß (Institute of Biostatistics and Clinical Research, University Hospital Münster, Münster) for statistical support.

**Grant Support**

This study was supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Ha3060/3-1). The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked advertisement in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received January 21, 2011; revised March 28, 2011; accepted April 5, 2011; published OnlineFirst April 14, 2011.
References

The Transcription Factor Evi-1 Is Overexpressed, Promotes Proliferation, and Is Prognostically Unfavorable in Infratentorial Ependymomas

Björn Koos, Sebastian Bender, Hendrik Witt, et al.


Updated version
Access the most recent version of this article at:
doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-0175

Supplementary Material
Access the most recent supplemental material at:
http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/suppl/2011/04/21/1078-0432.CCR-11-0175.DC1

Cited articles
This article cites 28 articles, 10 of which you can access for free at:
http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/17/11/3631.full#ref-list-1

Citing articles
This article has been cited by 5 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at:
http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/17/11/3631.full#related-urls

E-mail alerts
Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions
To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions
To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, contact the AACR Publications Department at permissions@aacr.org.