BEAMing Sheds Light on Drug Resistance

Josh Lauring and Ben Ho Park

Targeted therapies against somatically altered genes are currently used for the treatment of many human cancers. The nascent technology known as BEAMing has the potential to increase the clinical utility of these agents because it allows for the detection of cancer mutations in peripheral blood, providing a rapid assessment of tumor mutation status. *Clin Cancer Res; 17(24); 7508–10. ©2011 AACR.*

In this issue of *Clinical Cancer Research*, Taniguchi and colleagues (1) describe the use of a novel technology called BEAMing (for Beads, Emulsification, Amplification, and Magnetics) to query for a common second-site epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutation (T790M) that confers resistance to small-molecule EGFR inhibitors in patients with lung cancer, using blood as the source for mutant DNA detection. As background, somatically mutated or altered genes have led to effective targeted therapies and improved biomarkers for clinical decision-making. As the use of such targeted therapies becomes more widespread, there will be a great need for companion diagnostic technologies that can reliably and quickly identify patients with cancers that harbor the mutation/genetic alteration to be targeted. Particularly challenging is the fact that the ascertainment of mutational status in solid tumors often depends on biopsies of metastatic sites, followed by conventional genotyping assays with limited sensitivity. Such biopsies can be difficult to obtain, even in a research setting, resulting in a great impetus for developing sensitive, noninvasive diagnostic tools to assay for the mutational status of a tumor and molecular predictors of response.

BEAMing is one such technology. It relies on single-molecule PCR at a massively parallel scale, similar to next-generation DNA sequencing technologies (refs. 2 and 3; Fig. 1). The mechanics of BEAMing start with amplification of a predetermined locus of interest by conventional PCR. Next, the PCR product is added to flow cytometric analysis of hundreds of thousands of oligonucleotide-coupled beads allows for the detection and quantification of either wild-type or mutant sequences. Finally, flow cytometric analysis of hundreds of thousands of beads allows for the detection and quantification of wild-type or mutant alleles. Because BEAMing is a digital PCR technique that analyzes one allele at a time, it is highly sensitive for the detection of rare mutant alleles within a large population of wild-type alleles. This is the exact molecular environment found in circulating plasma of cancer patients, i.e., rare circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) intermixed among a vast pool of noncancerous DNA.

Taniguchi and colleagues (1) applied the BEAMing technology for the first time to the analysis of EGFR mutations in non–small cell lung cancer. Activating mutations in EGFR are found in ~10% of Caucasian patients with non–small cell lung cancer and up to 50% of Asian patients, and they are enriched in females, never-smokers, and patients with adenocarcinomas. Such patients are now routinely offered therapy with the small-molecule EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKI) gefitinib and erlotinib. Unfortunately, resistance to these agents universally occurs. In 50% of cases, resistance is associated with the emergence of a second-site gatekeeper mutation in EGFR: T790M (4, 5). The authors studied two cohorts of patients with stage III or IV non–small cell lung cancer whose diagnostic biopsy specimens revealed activating EGFR mutations. Cohort 1 had progressive disease after treatment with an EGFR-TKI, and cohort 2 had never received an EGFR-TKI. Overall, BEAMing had a sensitivity of 72.7% for detecting the known activating EGFR mutation in plasma ctDNA collected at a single time point. In the progressive disease cohort, a T790M resistance mutation was identified in 43.5% of patients, a value near the predicted 50%. This is the first use of BEAMing to detect a drug-resistance mutation, although other technologies were used for that purpose in previous studies (6–9). Because BEAMing is both qualitative and quantitative, Taniguchi and colleagues were able to calculate the ratio of T790M mutations to the original activating EGFR mutations for each patient, with ratios ranging from 13.3% to 94%. One would predict that when this ratio...
Numerous studies involving large populations of patients need to be answered in further depth. This will require a need for adjuvant systemic therapies. However, many questions will also arise. For example, it is currently unclear whether a low level of detectable ctDNA in plasma has clinical meaning or usefulness. In a small number of patients with colon cancer who were studied retrospectively, detectable mutant DNA predicted eventual overt disease progression (13); however, it is clear that more studies are needed. Likewise, in the study by Taniguchi and colleagues, T790M resistance mutations were found in patients with progressive disease, but we do not know at what quantitative level such mutations predict clinical failure of EGFR-TKI therapy.

Thus, perhaps the most powerful utility of BEAMing is its ability to quantitate the amount of cancer-specific ctDNA. BEAMing has the potential to enable investigators to assess tumor burden and tumor heterogeneity with great sensitivity and to address important questions with greater precision. Is a patient with no detectable ctDNA after surgery cured? Can we rapidly ascertain whether a patient is benefiting from a chosen therapy? Does early detection of resistance mutations allow for meaningful therapeutic interventions? These questions will undoubtedly be answered as BEAMing and other technologies mature. Ultimately, the ability to detect microscopic amounts of tumor burden will better inform clinicians and patients, and allow for a tailored approach for the treatment of human cancers.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.
References


Clinical Cancer Research

BEAMing Sheds Light on Drug Resistance
Josh Lauring and Ben Ho Park

Clin Cancer Res 2011;17:7508-7510. Published OnlineFirst November 7, 2011.

Updated version
Access the most recent version of this article at:
doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-2556

Supplementary Material
Access the most recent supplemental material at:
http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/suppl/2011/12/12/1078-0432.CCR-11-2556.DC1

Cited articles
This article cites 12 articles, 5 of which you can access for free at:
http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/17/24/7508.full.html#ref-list-1

E-mail alerts
Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions
To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions
To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, contact the AACR Publications Department at permissions@aacr.org.