Expression and Functional Significance of HtrA1 Loss in Endometrial Cancer
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Abstract

Purpose: The purpose of this study was to determine if loss of serine protease HtrA1 in endometrial cancer will promote the invasive potential of EC cell lines.

Experimental design: Western blot analysis and immunohistochemistry methods were used to determine HtrA1 expression in EC cell lines and primary tumors, respectively. Migration, invasion assays and in vivo xenograft experiment were performed to compare the extent of metastasis between HtrA1 expressing and HtrA1 knocked down clones.

Results: Western blot analysis of HtrA1 in 13 EC cell lines revealed complete loss of HtrA1 expression in all seven papillary serous EC cell lines. Downregulation of HtrA1 in Hec1A and Hec1B cell lines resulted in a three- to fourfold increase in the invasive potential. Exogenous expression of HtrA1 in Ark1 and Ark2 cells resulted in three- to fourfold decrease in both invasive and migration potential of these cells. There was an increased rate of metastasis to the lungs associated with HtrA1 downregulation in Hec1B cells compared to control cells with endogenous HtrA1 expression. Enhanced expression of HtrA1 in Ark2 cells resulted in significantly less tumor nodules metastasizing to the lungs compared to parental or protease deficient (SA mutant) Ark2 cells. Immunohistochemical analysis showed 57% (105/184) of primary EC tumors had low HtrA1 expression. The association of low HtrA1 expression with high-grade endometrioid tumors was statistically significant (P = 0.016).

Conclusions: Collectively, these data indicate loss of HtrA1 may contribute to the aggressiveness and metastatic ability of endometrial tumors. Clin Cancer Res; 17(3): 427–36. ©2010 AACR.

Introduction

The American Cancer Society predicts that 41,200 women will be diagnosed with endometrial cancer and 7,350 women will die of the disease in 2006 (American Cancer Society, 2006). Although highly amenable to curative surgical treatment, with the overall 5-year survival rate of 86%, metastatic disease remains the most significant contributor to morbidity and mortality in patients with endometrial cancer. Those patients who present with advanced or recurrent disease will require surgical therapy as well as adjuvant therapy with little impact on overall survival. Although multiple mechanisms influence tumor aggressiveness and tendency for recurrence, metastatic capability is the most important factor influencing patient survival. Understanding the molecular and biochemical mechanisms resulting in a metastatic phenotype is expected to fuel the development of new therapeutic approaches.

HtrA1 is one of the 4 members of the Htra family of serine proteases. These members share 2 highly conserved domains, the PDZ binding domain and the trypsin-like catalytic domain (1). Bacterial Htra proteases serve dual functions; one as chaperone proteins at low/normal temperature and as proteases at high temperatures (2). Second, under conditions of cellular stress, the members of this protein family play a role in activating the cellular stress response and exhibit evidence of increasing proteolytic activity (3–6). Originally identified in the bacteria (7), homologs of this protein family has been identified in vertebrae, invertebrate and humans, after loss of its expression was noted in transformed fibroblasts (1). Thus far, HtrA1 has been implicated in osteoarthritis (8), Alzheimer’s disease (9), macular degeneration (10), and appears to play a role in some neuromuscular diseases, in particular muscular dystrophy (11).

Chien and colleagues identified HtrA1 as a proapoptotic down-regulated gene in several types of malignancies including ovarian cancer (12). In addition, Baldi and colleagues (13) showed that HtrA1 acts as a metastatic...
tumor suppressor in malignant melanoma, in vitro. Using immunohistochemistry, Bowden and colleagues measured protein expression levels of HtrA1, HtrA2, and HtrA3 in progressive grades of endometrial adenocarcinoma, showing considerably less staining for all 3 HtrA proteins in grade 3 carcinoma (14). HtrA1 has also been shown to play a role in inducing cell death of cancer cells as well as a modulator of differential response to chemotherapy (3). Although these studies imply a role for HtrA1 as a pro-apoptotic tumor suppressor, its functional significance in endometrial carcinoma remains undefined. In this study, we have identified additional role for HtrA1 in invasion and migration in vitro and in vivo and tested whether HtrA1 expression in primary tumor samples correlates with clinical outcome. Identification of HtrA1 as a modulator of metastatic potential would have potential clinical implications for patient risk stratification to identify those at greater risk for metastatic or advanced disease in endometrial cancer.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture
Ark1 and Ark2 uterine papillary serous cell lines were maintained in DMEM/F12 serum with 10% FBS. Hec1A and Hec1B endometrioid-type cell lines were maintained in DMEM/F12 serum with 10% FBS. Hec1A and Ark1 clones were maintained in DMEM/F12 serum with 10% FBS.

Antibodies
An affinity-purified polyclonal rabbit anti-human HtrA1 antibody was raised against polypeptide corresponding to amino acids 161–480 of HtrA1 (8). A monoclonal antibody against β-actin was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Plasmids
Plasmids encoding wild-type (WT) or mutant S328A (SA) HtrA1 were generated by PCR cloning into pcDNA3.1. These plasmids had been established and described by Hu and colleagues (8) and used by Chien and colleagues (12). Empty vector pcDNA3.1 was used as the control vector.

Transient transfection with siRNA
HtrA1 was transiently down-regulated in Hec1A and Hec1B cell lines. Scrambled control siRNA (5'-Fluo-UCCUGCGAGCCCGCUUGU-3') and HtrA1 siRNA targeting the 3'UTR (5'-Fluo-CGGCCGAAUGCUUGCCU-3') were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and transfected in OptiMEM using Oligofectamine (Invitrogen) per manufacturer’s instructions. For optimal transfection conditions to achieve >80% to 90% downregulation, Hec1A cells were plated at 40,000 cells/well in a 24-well plate or 200,000 cells/well in a 6-well plate, whereas Hec1B cells were plated at 30,000 cell/well in a 24-well plate or 150,000 cells/well in a 6-well plate. Western immunoblot analysis using whole cell lysate was performed 48 hours after transfection to confirm efficiency of downregulation.

Establishment of stable transfectants
HtrA1 expressed with induced Ark1 and Ark2 cell lines through the establishment of stable clones. Exponentially growing Ark1 and Ark2 cells in 35 mm dishes were washed with serum-free media and incubated for 15 minutes with a reaction solution containing 1 µg of plasmid (WT HtrA1, SA HtrA1, or empty vector pcDNA3.1 control), 6 µL of Plus reagent (Invitrogen), and 4 µL of Lipofectamine. After incubation for 3 hours, complete medium with 10% serum was added. Twenty hours after transfection, cells were transferred to 100 mm plate. Forty-eight hours after transfection, G418 (Geneticin) was added at a final concentration of 500 or 900 µg/mL to select stable Ark1 and Ark2 transfectants, respectively. Clones were screened by western blot analysis and selected for migration and invasion assays based on sufficient HtrA1 expression.

Western blot analysis
Using Laemmli buffer containing fresh 2.5% β-mercaptoethanol, cells were lysed and boiled for 5 minutes. Western immunoblot analysis was performed on whole-cell lysates using the affinity-purified polyclonal rabbit anti-human HtrA1 antibody at 1:500 dilution. Loading control was confirmed using anti-β-actin antibody at 1:5,000 dilution.

Migration and invasion assay
The migratory and invasive capacity of stable Ark1 and Ark2 clones [empty vector (EV) control, HtrA1 SA, and HtrA1 WT] and transiently transfected Hec1A and Hec1B (scr-control, siRNA) was analyzed as described previously (3). Experimental conditions were optimized to required cell number and necessary time for migration or invasion. Cell migration was evaluated using transwell plates (24-well format, 8-µm pore, Millipore). To measure clonal cell
invasion, Matrigel Invasion chambers (24-well format, 8-
μm pore, BD Biosciences, Clontech) were used. 7.5 × 10^4
cells were seeded in the upper chamber in serum-free
media. Media containing 10% fetal bovine serum will be
placed in the lower chamber as a chemo-attractant. After a
12-hour 37°C incubation period (to measure transwell migration) or a 24-hour incubation period (to measure
Matrigel invasion), cells on the upper surface of the mem-
brane filter were removed using a cotton-wool swab. Cells
which migrated/invaded through to the lower surface were
fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde, stained with methyl violet,
and counted in 4 low-power fields (magnification 20×).
Mean number of net migrating or invading cells were
then fixed in formalin, embedded in paraffin, sec-
ondary antibodies were added, and slides were then covered
in a coverslip. Slides were then scored for HtrA1 expres-
sion. Cells with a clear nuclear staining were considered
HtrA1 positive. As triplicate cores were taken from each
tumor specimen, tumor core scores were averaged to deter-
mine HtrA1 expression. Cells with golden-brown membranous
staining with a score of 0

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-II</td>
<td>142 (77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-IV</td>
<td>42 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of myometrial invasion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>37 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any</td>
<td>147 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histologic grade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>65 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>75 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>44 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histologic subtype</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrioid or mucinous</td>
<td>171 (93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonendometrioid</td>
<td>13 (7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Block. Liver cores were used as fiducial markers and controls for immunohistochemical reactions. Four-micrometer sec-
tions were then cut from the TMA paraffin block and transferred to a slide for immunohistochemical staining.

**Immunohistochemistry**

The specificity of affinity-purified polyclonal antibodies
for HtrA1 was described in previous studies (3). As per the
guidelines described by De Luca and colleagues (16),
immunohistochemical staining for HtrA1 was performed
incubating the TMA sections with polyclonal rabbit anti-
human HtrA1 antibody at 1:50 dilution.

**TMA Digital Analysis**

Using the Bliss “Virtual Microscopy” microscope and
computer system (Bacus Laboratories), digital image analysis
was performed after IHC.

Two reviewers (SAM and gynecologic pathologist, AC)
indisputably scored each tissue core based on intensity of
HtrA1 expression. Cells with green-brown membranous
and/or cytoplasmic staining were considered HtrA1 posi-
tive. HtrA1 expression was scored based on intensity of
staining with a score of 0 = none, 1 = weak, 2 = moderate,
3 = strong staining. As triplicate cores were taken from each
tumor specimen, tumor core scores were averaged to deter-
mine an overall HtrA1 intensity staining score for each
patient sample. A separate dependent collaborator (AM)
retrieved the clinical data.

**Analysis of HtrA1 expression and clinical parameters in endometrial cancer**

To explore the relationship of staining with clinical out-
come, the 4 possible outcomes for staining (0, 1, 2, and 3)
were dichotomized into 2 groups, high (intensity score of 2
or 3) versus low (intensity score of 0 or 1) HtrA1 expression.
Fisher’s exact test was used to detect any significant rela-
tionships between these dichotomous variables and clinical
parameters including stage, grade, myometrial invasion,
histologic subtype using a significance level of 0.05
Kaplan–Meier estimates were used to determine association of HtrA1 expression (high or low) with progression free and overall survival (Software JMP).

Results

Expression pattern of HtrA1 in normal endometrium and endometrial cancer cell lines

Although HtrA1 is known to be expressed in a wide range of normal human tissue including proliferative endometrium and first-trimester placenta (16, 17), establishment of its expression pattern in EC cell lines is limited. Immunohistochemical staining on proliferative endometrium revealed HtrA1 is expressed in the normal tissue (Fig. 1A). Immunoblot analysis of HtrA1 expression in endometrial cancer cell lines showed loss of HtrA1 expression in more than 50% (7/13) of these cell lines. Interestingly, there is universal loss of HtrA1 expression in the uterine papillary serous cell lines, an aggressive histologic subtype of endometrial cancer (Fig. 1B). On the other hand, Western blot analysis of the 6 endometrioid-type endometrial cancer cell lines showed variable levels of HtrA1 expression (Fig. 1B).

Downregulation of HtrA1 promotes invasion, in vitro

One of the hallmarks of advanced and/or metastatic carcinoma is the ability of tumor cells to invade and infiltrate surrounding tissue as well as spread to distant sites. Loss of expression of HtrA1 has been associated with tumors of greater metastatic potential (13, 14, 18). Induction of HtrA1 expression in metastatic melanoma cell lines has been shown to inhibit cell proliferation and invasion (13). To establish what effect downregulation of HtrA1 has on cellular migratory and invasive capacity of EC cell lines, HtrA1 expression was down-regulated with siRNA in Hec1A and Hec1B, which express endogenous HtrA1 (Fig. 1B). HtrA1 was down-regulated by transient transfection with HtrA1 siRNA (1900si) or scrambled siRNA (1900scr) for 48 hours. Downregulation of HtrA1 expression by siRNA was validated by Western blot analysis (insets in Fig. 2A and B). Transwell migration assays using fetal bovine serum as the chemo-attractant were performed with the HtrA1 down-regulated and control cells for 12 hours. The migratory capacities of Hec1A and Hec1B cells were not affected by downregulation of HtrA1 (siRNA) as compared to scrambled siRNA controls (Fig. 2A and B). To determine the effect of HtrA1 down-regulation on the ability of these cells to invade, Matrigel invasion assays were performed, again using fetal bovine serum as the chemo-attractant over a 24-hour time period. The HtrA1-silenced (siRNA) Hec1A and Hec1B cells exhibited 3- to 4-fold higher invasive ability compared to the scrambled siRNA-control cells (Fig. 2A and B). These data indicate that loss of HtrA1 expression promotes invasion but not migration in Hec1A and Hec1B EC cell lines. However, stable downregulation of HtrA1 with 2 different shRNAs, shRNA 1 and shRNA 2 (Fig. 2C and D) in Hec1A and Hec1B cells resulted in increased cell migration as determined by scratch assay.

Forced overexpression of HtrA1 inhibits migration and invasion, in vitro

With the establishment that HtrA1 downregulation enhanced cell invasion, the effect of ectopic expression of HtrA1 was then investigated. Two uterine papillary serous cell lines which lack endogenous HtrA1, Ark1 and Ark2 (Fig. 1B), were used for generating stable clones expressing wild-type HtrA1 (WT), catalytic site mutant HtrA1 (SA), or empty vector (EV). HtrA1 expression was validated by Western immunoblot analysis (Ark1, Fig. 3A, inset; Ark2, Fig. 3B, inset). These stable clones were used to perform transwell migration assays using fetal bovine serum as the chemo-attractant to investigate the effect of forced expression of HtrA1 expression on cellular migration. The migratory capacity of Ark1 and Ark2 cells was inhibited by forced overexpression of HtrA1 (wild-type WT clones) as compared to EV controls (Fig. 3A and B) or protease mutant HtrA1 (SA) clones (Fig. 3B). To determine the effect HtrA1 expression had on the ability of these cells to invade, Matrigel invasion assays were performed, again using fetal bovine serum as the chemo-attractant over a 24-hour time period. The ability of HtrA1-expressing (WT) Ark1 and Ark2 clones to invade was inhibited by 60% compared to the invasive ability of EV (Fig. 3A and B) and SA control clones (Fig. 3B).
No significant difference in proliferation rate was observed in MTT reduction assay of clonal lines with and without HtrA1 expression over a period of 8 days (data not shown). This suggests that the increased invasion and migration of HtrA1 nonexpressing cells was not due to increased proliferation rate of the cells. Collectively, these results indicate that exogenous expression of HtrA1 significantly inhibits both migration and invasion in EC cancer cell lines.

HtrA1 expression decreases lung metastasis of ARK-2 Cells in SCID mice

In an in vivo experimental metastasis model, exponentially growing HtrA1 WT, SA mutant, and V1 stable clones of Ark2 cells (Fig. 4A) were injected into the tail veins of 8-week-old female SCID mice and metastasis to the lung was examined. Although lung is not a typical site for implantation of papillary serous and endometrial adenocarcinomas, Ark2 cells were able to form micrometastases in the lungs of mice (Fig. 4B). Seven of 11 mice injected with vector clonal group (no HtrA1 expression) developed lung micrometastases in 8 weeks period, whereas only 1 of 11 mice injected with wild-type HtrA1 clone, and 2 of 11 in SA mutant clones developed lung micrometastases (Fig. 4C). Immunohistochemical staining for HtrA1 in WT and SA clones showed the presence of HtrA1, whereas all of the V clones was negative suggesting that these tumor cells did not express HtrA1 (Fig. 4B lower panel). This strongly supports the role of HtrA1 as a metastatic tumor suppressor.

To further confirm our hypothesis, we generated HtrA1 knocked down pooled stable clones in Hec1B endometrial cancer cells, which express endogenous HtrA1 (Fig. 1B), using lentiviral-based shRNA targeted against HtrA1. Nontarget shRNA transduced control batch clones were also selected. HtrA1 knocked down pooled stable clones (shRNA1) with significant downregulation of HtrA1 and its corresponding nontarget control (NTC) (Fig. 5A) were injected into the tail vein of SCID mice as before. Five weeks later, the mice were sacrificed, dissected, and lungs were harvested and processed. Grossly visible metastatic nodules were noted on all lung tissues obtained from mice injected with shRNA HtrA1 knockout clones (shRNA11) cells (Fig. 5B, lower panel). In contrast, lungs from mice injected with HtrA1 expressing clones (NTC) had minimal or no visible metastatic nodules. Histological examination of the H&E stained sections of the lung tissue also supported this conclusion (Fig. 5B, top panel). Collectively, these data clearly shows that loss of HtrA1 in endometrial cancer cells is associated with increased propensity of these cells to metastasize.
HtrA1 expression is lost in high-grade versus low-grade endometrial cancer

Having established that loss of HtrA1 expression is associated with enhanced invasive ability whereas forced expression of the protein inhibits migration and invasion, in vitro and in vivo, next we wanted to correlate this observation clinically. Using tissue microarrays containing triplicate core biopsies from the tumors of endometrial cancer patients, immunohistochemical analysis for HtrA1 was performed. HtrA1 expression was scored by 2 independent, blinded individuals based on intensity of staining with a score of 0 = none, 1 = weak, 2 = moderate, 3 = strong staining. Concordance analysis using JMP (version 8), we found 90% agreement among triplicates with an overall kappa of 0.91.

Figure 3. Exogenous expression of HtrA1 inhibits migration and invasion of Ark1 and Ark2 cells in vitro. Results from matrigel invasion and migration assay show decreased number of Ark1 and Ark2 cells invading through the basement membrane (expressed as % of control cells) in with WT HtrA1 expressing cells compared to EV or SA mutant HtrA1 transfected controls, respectively. Insets in (A and B) shows the expression of WT HtrA1 in Ark1 clones 11 and 14 and Ark2 clones 13, 16, and 19. Mutated HtrA1 in SA clones 17 and 19 in Ark2 cells and absence of expression in Ark1 and Ark2 EV1 and EV3 cells by immunoblot analysis with β-actin loading control (lower panel), respectively. NS, not significant; **P ≤ 0.01 and *P ≤ 0.05 between HtrA1 WT and Vector (V1) controls.

Figure 4. Exogenously expressed HtrA1 inhibits lung metastases of endometrial cancer cells. A, Western blot analysis of HtrA1 expression in Ark2 clonal lines stably transfected with wild-type HtrA1 (WT), protease mutant HtrA1 (SA 18), or empty vector (V1). B, representative figure showing micrometastasis of Ark2 cells in the lung in V1 and SA 18 clonal lines but not in WT clone (Top Panel). C, metastasis to lung was attenuated in Ark2 cells expressing wild-type HtrA1 compared to control Ark2 cells transfected with empty vector. P values were calculated by Pearson’s χ² analysis.
Clinical outcomes including stage, grade, myometrial invasion, histologic subtype, disease-free, and overall survival were correlated with intensity of HtrA1 expression. The 4 possible outcomes for staining (0, 1, 2, and 3) were dichotomized into 2 groups, high (intensity score of 2 or 3) versus low (intensity score of 0 or 1) HtrA1 expression (Fig. 6A). Fisher’s exact test was used to detect any significant relationships between these dichotomous variables and clinical parameters. One hundred and eighty-four primary endometrial tumors were available for analysis. HtrA1 was absent or weak in 108/184 (57.1%) of tumors whereas protein expression was moderate or strongly expressed in 79/184 (42.8%) of tumors. When tumor expression level of HtrA1 was correlated with clinical

Figure 5. Downregulation of endogenous HtrA1 in Hec1B promotes lung metastasis of endometrial cancer cells. A, Western blot analysis of HtrA1 expression in Hec1B clonal lines stably transfected with nontargeting shRNA (NT) or with 2 different shRNAs targeting HtrA1 (shRNA 1 and shRNA 2). B, Hematoxylin and eosin staining of lung tissue from mice injected via tail vein with stable pool of Hec1B cells expressing nontargeted shRNA (NT) or HtrA1-targeted shRNA (shRNA1).

Figure 6. Immunohistochemical analysis of HtrA1 expression in tissue microarrays. A, a representative endometrial cancer tissue showing absence of HtrA1 staining. B, a representative endometrial cancer tissue showing intense HtrA1 staining. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was performed to evaluate HtrA1 expression level with progression-free and overall patient survival (C and D, respectively). Although not statistically significant, there is a trend toward improved PFS and OS in patients with tumor expressing high levels of HtrA1 compared to low levels based on IHC.
parameters (Table 2), only high-grade (Grade 3) tumors were associated with a statistically significant loss of HtrA1 expression compared to low grade (Grades 1 to 2) at a \( P \) value of 0.016. Interestingly, there was a trend toward lower HtrA1 expression levels with increasing myometrial invasion.

Discussion

Carcinoma of the endometrium, a common tumor of the female reproductive tract, presents with symptoms early in the disease process thereby lending itself to early diagnosis, treatment, and frequent cure. However, of those individuals who present with disease at an advanced stage or with metastatic, recurrent disease, subsequent treatment and prognosis is limited. The majority of mortality from endometrial carcinoma, unfortunately, arises from a small percentage of patients who present with this advanced stage disease. Identifying those patients, therefore, who are at risk for metastatic disease could offer opportunities for targeted surveillance and alterations in treatment. Moreover, understanding the molecular events that regulate cellular ability to locally invade and spread to distant sites provides the key to understanding development of a metastatic phenotype. One such step has been the recognition of HtrA1 as a potential metastatic tumor suppressor gene (14, 18). In lung cancer and endometrial cancer tumor specimens, decreased HtrA1 is associated with higher-grade tumor (14, 18). In addition, lymph node metastases and metastatic lesions from primary lung and melanoma, respectively, express lower levels of HtrA1 than the primary sites (13, 18). In vitro assessment has shown that upregulation of HtrA1 protein in a malignant melanoma cell line inhibits cell proliferation whereas exogenous expression induces cell death in an ovarian cancer cell line with subsequent downregulation promoting anchorage-independent growth (12, 13, 18). We have further expanded these previous observations by providing \textit{in vivo} data demonstrating the metastatic tumor suppression of HtrA1 in endometrial cancer cell lines.

Previously published work has shown that the HtrA1 is highly expressed in the normal, proliferative endometrium (16). Through immunohistochemical staining of benign, proliferative endometrium, our work confirms the expression of HtrA1 in normal endometrium. Further screening of established endometrial cancer cell line shows an interesting pattern of HtrA1 expression. There is universal absence of expression of HtrA1 in the uterine papillary serous cell lines, although all of the endometrioid-type endometrial cancer cell lines express HtrA1 to varying degrees. Translating this to the clinical situation, uterine papillary serous carcinoma is a highly aggressive, estrogen-independent Type II endometrial carcinoma which arises in a background of atrophic endometrium, appears histologically similar to and mimics the behavior of papillary serous carcinoma of the ovary (19). On the other hand, the more common endometrioid-type endometrial adenocarcinoma is an estrogen-dependent Type I endometrial cancer, which arises from a background of endometrial hyperplasia, is typically low grade and diagnosed at an early stage. There is a well-documented, clear progression from normal endometrium to atypical endometrial hyperplasia, to invasive endometrioid-type adenocarcinoma (19). Universal expression of HtrA1 in type I endometrial cancer cell lines which most closely resemble normal proliferative endometrium versus universal absence of HtrA1 in type II endometrial cancer cell lines which more closely resemble serous ovarian carcinoma than normal endometrium may be more reflective of the molecular differences between these 2 tumor types than a true reflection of the impact of HtrA1. These results are consistent with our unpublished observation that HtrA1 expression is regulated by steroid hormones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical parameters</th>
<th>Patient no. (%)</th>
<th>HtrA1 high no. (%)</th>
<th>HtrA1 low no. (%)</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>79 (42.9)</td>
<td>105 (57.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-IV</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myometrial invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \leq 50% )</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50%</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;66%</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 66% )</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histologic grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometriod</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonendometrioid</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On the other hand, the true functional significance of HtrA1 has yet to be elucidated. There is growing evidence to support a role of HtrA1 in metastatic tumor potential but its mechanism of action is unknown. In an effort to understand the role of HtrA1, in general, and more specifically, its impact in endometrial cancer, we explored the impact of this protein through forced upregulation and downregulation. To address the potential biological significance of the loss of expression of HtrA1 in tumor cells, HtrA1 was constitutively down-regulated in 2 endometrioid-type endometrial cancer cell lines, Hec1A and Hec1B. Initial immunoblot analysis had established that these cell lines expressed high levels of endogenous HtrA1. Downregulation of HtrA1 in Hec1A and Hec1B after transient transfection increased invasion through Matrigel but did not enhance transwell migration. However, we observed significant increase in cell migration following stable knockdown of HtrA1 in the same cell lines, suggesting that stable knockdown of HtrA1 may lead adaptation of cells to a more migratory phenotype which was not observed with transient knockdown. Conversely, expression of HtrA1 was induced in 2 uterine papillary serous cell lines, Ark1 and Ark2, which immunoblot analysis had established did not express endogenous HtrA1. Stable Ark1 and Ark2 clones expressing HtrA1 showed inhibition of transwell migration and Matrigel invasion compared to stable empty vector clones or clones expressing catalytically inactive mutant HtrA1 (SA). Therefore, suppression of HtrA1 appears to contribute to a metastatic phenotype through enhanced ability of a cell to migrate and invade, in vitro. The discordant effect of HtrA1 on cell migration in endometrial cancer cell lines could be the results of differences in genetic backgrounds of type I and type II carcinomas or the artificial results of forced expression in Ark1 and Ark2. Nonetheless, we observed consistent effect of HtrA1 on Matrigel invasion in all 4 cell lines tested, that is, downregulation of HtrA1 promotes invasion whereas upregulation of HtrA1 inhibits invasion.

Because expression of HtrA1 appeared to inhibit migration and invasion of endometrial cancer cells in vitro, we further defined the impact of HtrA1 expression on in vivo metastatic models and on the clinical outcome of patients with primary endometrial cancer. We found a statistically significant increase in metastatic potential of endometrial cancer cell lines with decreased HtrA1 expression. Consistent with these results, forced expression of HtrA1 in endometrial cancer cell lines decreases metastatic potential of endometrial cancer cells. Interestingly, lung micrometastases we observed in one mouse injected with Ark2 cell line stably transfected with wild-type HtrA1 continued to express HtrA1. It is likely that these cells escape HtrA1-mediated suppression of lung metastasis as a result of alterations in downstream molecular pathways mediated by HtrA1. We also observed reduced lung metastasis in Ark2 cell line stably transfected with protease wild-type HtrA1. These results suggest that protease activity is important in regulating specific steps in hematogenous metastasis. It should be noted that reduced lung metastasis was also observed in mice injected with Ark2 cell stably transfected with protease mutant HtrA1, albeit it does not rise to the levels of statistical significance. This study may not have sufficient power to detect the significant differences in metastatic behavior produced by protease mutant HtrA1. Finally, we observed a statistically significant association of HtrA1 downregulation in primary tumors with increased grade of tumor. In addition, there was a trend toward increased overall survival and prolonged progression-free survival in patients with tumor expressing high levels of HtrA1 compared to low levels. However, the overall good prognosis of endometrial cancer with limited recurrence events limits the overall conclusions that can be drawn from this analysis. It does, however, correlate with findings reported by Bowden and colleagues illustrating downregulation of HtrA1 with increasing grades of endometrial cancer. In agreement with our in vitro studies showing that loss of HtrA1 enhances cell invasion was the observation of a trend toward decreased HtrA1 expression in tumors with a greater percentage of myometrial invasion.

The finding that HtrA1 downregulation corresponds to poor clinical outcome and is associated with increased tumorigenesis and metastatic potential in vitro and in vivo, strengthens the previous observation that loss of HtrA1 expression is associated with metastatic tumors in melanoma and lung cancer (13, 18). Further studies to elucidate the underlying mechanisms associated with HtrA1 downregulation and metastasis can be explored. It is hoped that an understanding of the molecular mechanisms resulting in metastatic disease, a major contributor to cancer deaths, in general, and mortality from endometrial cancer, specifically, will lead to the successful development of therapies which inhibit this process.
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