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Abstract

Background: Despite the use of prostate specific antigen (PSA), Gleason-score, and T-category as prognostic factors, up to 40% of patients with intermediate-risk prostate cancer will fail radical prostatectomy or precision image-guided radiotherapy (IGRT). Additional genetic prognosticators are needed to triage these patients toward intensified combination therapy with novel targeted therapeutics. We tested the role of the Nkx3.1 gene as a determinant of treatment outcome given its reported roles in tumor initiating cell (TIC) renewal, the DNA damage response, and cooperation with c-Myc during prostate cancer progression.

Methods: Using high-resolution array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH), we profiled the copy number alterations in TIC genes using tumor DNA from frozen needle biopsies derived from 126 intermediate-risk patients who underwent IGRT. These data were correlated to biochemical relapse-free rate (bRFR) by the Kaplan–Meier method and Cox proportional hazards models.

Results: A screen of the aCGH-IGRT data for TIC genes showed frequent copy number alterations for Nkx3.1, PscA, and c-Myc. Nkx3.1 haploinsufficiency was associated with increased genomic instability independent of PSA, T-category, and Gleason-score. After adjusting for clinical factors in a multivariate model, Nkx3.1 haploinsufficiency was associated with bRFR when tested alone (HR = 3.05, 95% CI: 1.46–6.39, P = 0.0030) or when combined with c-Myc gain (HR = 3.88, 95% CI: 1.78–8.49, P = 0.00067). A similar association was observed for patients following radical prostatectomy with a public aCGH database. Nkx3.1 status was associated with positive biopsies post-IGRT and increased clonogen radioresistance in vitro.

Conclusions: Our results support the use of genomic predictors, such as Nkx3.1 status, in needle biopsies for personalized approaches to prostate cancer management. Clin Cancer Res; 18(1): 308–16. ©2011 AACR.
Intermediate-risk prostate cancers show heterogeneity in treatment response. Novel biologic and genetic prognosticators could further subcategorize patients and help individualize therapy. We determined allelic loss or gain in 44 genes thought to be associated with tumor initiating cell (TIC) status using DNA derived from pretreatment biopsies. We found significant genetic alterations in c-MYC, PSCA, and NKX3.1. After adjusting for clinical factors in a multivariate model, NKX3.1 haploinsufficiency was significantly associated with bRFR in image-guided radiotherapy patients when tested alone, or when combined with c-MYC gain. A similar trend for NKX3.1 status as a novel prognostic factor was observed in patients following radical prostatectomy. Our results support the use of genomic predictors, such as NKX3.1 status in needle biopsies, for personalized approaches to prostate cancer management. Future work should focus on whether NKX3.1 haploinsufficiency is a surrogate for differential TIC fraction and validating our finding in prospective prostate cancer treatment cohorts.

Translational Relevance

Intermediate-risk prostate cancers show heterogeneity in treatment response. Novel biologic and genetic prognosticators could further subcategorize patients and help individualize therapy. We determined allelic loss or gain in 44 genes thought to be associated with tumor initiating cell (TIC) status using DNA derived from pretreatment biopsies. We found significant genetic alterations in c-MYC, PSCA, and NKX3.1. After adjusting for clinical factors in a multivariate model, NKX3.1 haploinsufficiency was significantly associated with bRFR in image-guided radiotherapy patients when tested alone, or when combined with c-MYC gain. A similar trend for NKX3.1 status as a novel prognostic factor was observed in patients following radical prostatectomy. Our results support the use of genomic predictors, such as NKX3.1 status in needle biopsies, for personalized approaches to prostate cancer management. Future work should focus on whether NKX3.1 haploinsufficiency is a surrogate for differential TIC fraction and validating our finding in prospective prostate cancer treatment cohorts.

from frozen biopsies of men who subsequently underwent IGRT. Using this approach, we have confirmed reports of DNA copy number alterations in prostate cancer for a number of gene loci including amplification of c-MYC and PSCA, TMPRSS2/ERG gene fusions, and deletion of PTEN, ATBF1, KLF5, KL6, RB1, NKX3.1, E-cadherin, p16INK4A, p27KIP1, and SMAD4 genes (4, 6–8). Amplification of the 8q24.21 locus containing the c-MYC oncogene has been associated with increased relapse following radical prostatectomy (9, 10) and metastatic disease (11). These aggressive clinical phenotypes may be secondary to aberrant c-MYC signaling and its function pertinent to the transcriptional control of genes involved in DNA damage response (DDR) and genetic stability, cell proliferation, apoptosis, cell migration, angiogenesis and metastasis (12, 13).

NKX3.1, a tumor suppressor, is required for prostatic stem cell maintenance (14) and haploinsufficiency of this gene has been associated with prostate cancer progression (15, 16). Recently, NKX3.1 has been implicated in DDR through interactions with topoisomerase I (17) and through facilitating recruitment of phosphorylated-ATM and γH2AX to sites of DNA double-strand breaks (DSB) damage (16). Preclinical models have supported a unique cooperation between c-MYC overexpression and NKX3.1 allelic loss in driving prostate cancer progression and aggression in murine prostate tumors (18). Furthermore, amplification of the 8q24.21 locus also encompasses PSCA, which has recently been shown at the mRNA level in preoperative negative prostate biopsies to predict for incidental prostate cancer (19), c-MYC, NKX3.1, and PSCA are all considered to be markers of tumor initiating cells (TIC) or clonogens (14, 20) which in turn can be a determinant of metastasis and therapeutic response due to altered DDR responses (21, 22).

We therefore tested whether allelic gains and losses in TIC-related loci, such as c-MYC, NKX3.1, and PSCA, were prognostic or predictive of outcome after localized treatment following either IGRT (e.g., daily DSBs for 7–8 weeks) and/or radical prostatectomy (e.g., IGRT; refs. 12–14). For this purpose, we analyzed aCGH data from cohorts of patients who underwent IGRT or surgery for copy number changes of c-MYC, NKX3.1, and PSCA and tested whether they were determinants of biochemical failure.

Materials and Methods

Patient cohort

Two hundred and forty-seven men with histologically confirmed adenocarcinoma of the prostate were studied as a supportive clinical study, which was approved by the University Health Network Research Ethics Board and registered (NCT00160979) in accordance with the criteria outlined by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. From 1996 to 2006, pretreatment biopsies were derived from those patients who had chosen radical radiotherapy for primary treatment (REB#00-0443-C) at the Princess Margaret Hospital from the original 247 candidates. Prior to radiotherapy, each patient underwent trans-ultrasound (TRUS)-guided insertion of 3 intraprostatic gold fiducial markers for radiotherapy planning, as previously described (4). At the same time, they underwent 3 research biopsies (2 formalin fixed and 1 flash frozen in liquid nitrogen; ref. 4). Staging CT and bone scans were not routinely carried out for those with low- and intermediate-risk disease. Bulk disease, defined by an independent observer as sufficient tumor in biopsies from the measurement sites to permit manual microdissection, was identified in 142 of these patients (tissue was unavailable for remaining patients). Of these 142 patients, 126 patients met intermediate-risk criteria as defined by D’Amico (i.e., T1–T2 disease, a GS < 8 and PSA < 20 ng/mL; ref. 3) and also had information pertaining to long-term biochemical outcome. The final study therefore included 126 patients (see Table 1).

Radiotherapy planning and delivery

The clinical target volume (CTV) encompassed the prostate gland alone. The planning target volume was defined by a 10 mm margin around the CTV except posteriorly in which the margin was 7 mm. All patients were treated with 6-field conformal or intensity modulated radiotherapy. The radiotherapy dose was escalated over the period of accrual in a series of separate phase I/II studies. As summarized in Table 1, 33 patients (26%) received a dose of 75.6 Gy in 1.8 Gy daily fractions and 78 (62%) a dose of 78 to 79.8 Gy in 1.8 to 2 Gy daily fractions. Fifteen patients (12%) participated in a study of hypofractionated radiotherapy and received 60 to 66 Gy in 3 Gy daily fractions. Neoadjuvant and concurrent hormonal therapy was used in 33 patients (26%) as bicalutamide 150 mg po OD for 3 months.
prior to, and 2 month concurrent, with radiotherapy. None of the patients received adjuvant hormonal treatment. Patients were followed at 6 monthly intervals after completing treatment with clinical examination and PSA. Additional tests and the management of patients with recurrent disease were at the discretion of the treating physician. The median follow-up of surviving patients was 6.7 years following the end of treatment.

**aCGH analysis**

Frozen biopsies were embedded in optimum cutting temperature at −80°C and cut into 10 μm sections for manual microdissection and preparation of DNA samples as previously described (4). DNA labeling and hybridization was carried out with slight modifications to that described previously (4). In brief, 300 ng of sample and reference DNA were differentially labeled in a random priming reaction with Cyanine 3–dCTP and Cyanine 5–dCTP (Perkin Elmer Life Sciences). The reaction was incubated in the dark at 37°C for 16 to 18 hours. DNA samples were then combined and mixed with 100 μg of Human Cot-1 DNA (Invitrogen) followed by removal of unincorporated nucleotides using micro con YM-30 columns (Millipore). The mixture was then applied onto arrays containing 26,819 bacterial artificial chromosome–derived amplified fragment pools spotted in duplicate on aldehyde coated glass slides (SMRT v.2; BC Cancer Research Centre Array Facility). Slides were scanned with a dual laser array scanner (Axon) and spot signal intensities determined by the SoftWoRx Tracker Spot Analysis software (Applied Precision). The log2 ratios of the Cyanine 3 to Cyanine 5 intensities for each spot were assessed. Data were filtered based on both SDs of replicate spots (data points > 0.075 SD were removed) and signal to noise ratio (data points with a signal to noise ratio < 3 were removed). Resulting data set was normalized using a stepwise normalization procedure (23). Areas of aberrant copy number were identified using a robust Hidden Markov Model (24) and classified as either loss, neutral, or gain for all clones processed. Pre/postprocessed log2 ratios of intensities for clone regions were visualized with SeeGH software (25, 26). The genomic positions of clones are mapped to the National Center for Biotechnology Information’s Genome Build 36.1, released in March 2006. Percentage Genome Alteration (PGA) is defined as the cumulative size of the genetic alterations found in each patient DNA sample divided by the total size of the human genome. PGA is a general measure of genetic instability and was used in the analyses of this study as a surrogate for DNA ploidy.

**FISH hybridization**

Three color interphase FISH was applied to formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) prostate cancer biopsies to validate the genomic imbalances associated with c-MYC allelic gain and NKX3.1 allelic loss in tumors by aCGH analysis, as previously described by our group (4). Loss of NKX3.1 was defined as one copy of NKX3.1 in the majority of tumor nuclei whereas gain of c-MYC was defined as more than 2 copies of c-MYC in the majority of tumor nuclei (see detailed methods in Supplementary Table 3).

**Surviving fraction determination and Western blot analysis of various prostate cancer cell lines**

Clonogenic assay data on surviving fraction after 3 Gy for LNCaP, PC-3, DU-145, and 22Rv1 were previously published from our laboratory and others (27, 28). Data for all the cell lines were available for extraction at 3 Gy and used in the analysis. The surviving fraction of the BPH-1 cell line was generated anew using the same methodology (27). For each cell line, protein was quantified (BioRad protein assay) before Western blot analyses for NKX3.1 (Lifespan Biosciences; LS-B3435) and KU-70 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; SC-5309) and visualized using an Odyssey 3.0 system. All cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection and authenticated by short tandem repeat DNA profiling (March 2011).

**Statistical methods**

The primary outcome was time to biochemical failure as defined by Roach and colleagues to be a PSA rise of at least 2 ng/mL above postradiation nadir value (29). Differences in PGA between genetically altered groups were compared using the Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon test. Five-year biochemical relapse-free rates (bRFR) were calculated using the Kaplan–Meier method. The log-rank test was used to

---

**Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the intermediate-risk prostate cancer patients, n = 126 in this study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T-category</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>How was used in the analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>45 (36%)</td>
<td>T1 vs. T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>81 (64%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS</td>
<td>6 vs. 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>31 (25%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>95 (75%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreatment-PSA (ng/mL)</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.9–19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormone therapy</td>
<td>Neoadjuvant 33 (26%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT dose (Gy/fraction)</td>
<td>75.6 vs. 60 + 66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60/20</td>
<td>12 (10%)</td>
<td>78 + 79.8 vs. 60 + 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66/22</td>
<td>3 (2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.6/42</td>
<td>33 (26%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78/39</td>
<td>3 (2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79.8/42</td>
<td>75 (60%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean = 76.4Gy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
evaluate the association of NKK3.1 status (loss or normal), PSCA (gain or normal), and c-MYC status (gain or normal) with bRFR. Multivariate (gain or normal), and PSCA evaluate the association of predominantly at loci containing the related genes showed allelic gains or losses in our cohort events in intermediate-risk prostate cancer. The panel shows a images are shown for 3 prostate samples. Representative FISH intermediate-risk prostate cancer (14), we determined whether allelic loss and NKX3.1 confirmed associated copy number changes within multiple nuclei (Fig. 1A, Supplementary Table S3). NKK3.1 is located on chromosome site 8p21 whereas c-MYC loss and only 2 of 126 (2%) of the biopsies showing c-MYC gain alone. As c-MYC and NKK3.1 have been shown to cooperate in animal models, we audited in secondary FISH analyses 3 patients exhibiting NKK3.1 loss and c-MYC gain based on aCGH analysis and confirmed associated copy number changes within multiple nuclei (Fig. 1A).

Results

NKK3.1 allelic loss and c-MYC allelic gain are common events in intermediate-risk prostate cancer

As NKK3.1 may be a putative TIC marker in prostate cancer (14), we determined whether NKK3.1 and other TIC-related genes showed allelic gains or losses in our cohort (see Supplementary Table 2). Allelic changes were observed predominantly at loci containing the NKK3.1, c-MYC, and PSCA genes. c-MYC and PSCA are located on chromosome site 8q24 whereas NKK3.1 is located on chromosome site 8p21 (Fig. 1A). Upon evaluation of 126 biopsies by aCGH, 59 of 126 or 47% of the intermediate-risk patients displayed an allelic loss in chromosome site 8p21 (NKK3.1) whereas 29 of 126 or 23% and 23 of 126 or 18% of the intermediate-risk patients displayed an allelic gain in chromosome site 8q24 corresponding to c-MYC and PSCA, respectively. A c-MYC gain was rarely observed in absence of a NKK3.1 loss with 27 of 126 or 21% of the biopsies showing concomitant c-MYC gain and NKK3.1 loss and only 2 of 126 (2%) of the biopsies showing c-MYC gain alone. As c-MYC and NKK3.1 have been shown to cooperate in animal models, we audited in secondary FISH analyses 3 patients exhibiting NKK3.1 loss and c-MYC gain based on aCGH analysis and confirmed associated copy number changes within multiple nuclei (Fig. 1A).

Figure 1. A, regions of DNA copy number gains (red) and losses (green) on chromosome 8 from 126 intermediate-risk prostate cancer samples. Representative FISH images are shown for 3 prostate cancer biopsies. The panel shows a pseudocolor image with 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole counterstained nuclei. Original magnification is 63×. Multiple color FISH shows tumor cells with red signal for NKK3.1 (8p21), orange signal for c-MYC (8q22), and green signal for the control probe (cep8). White arrows depict example tumor nuclei with loss of NKK3.1 signal and gain in c-MYC signals. B-D, PGA levels are displayed for patient biopsies categorized as per their (B) NKK3.1 and (C) c-MYC status; alone or in combination. Also shown in D is the effect of the genetic changes within groups of patients with GS 6 or 7. The median PGA for each group is represented by the black line (*, significantly different, P < 0.05; Mann–Whitney analyses).
than specimens without such alterations; tumors with NKX3.1 altered/c-MYC normal also had significantly higher PGA than NKX3.1 normal/c-MYC normal (3.9 versus 1.1, \( P = 0.00066 \); Fig. 1C). When subgroupsing patients by GS and NKX3.1/c-MYC status, we observed that specimens with alterations in both NKX3.1 and c-MYC were associated with higher PGA in both GS 6 and GS 7 cancers than those with normal NKX3.1 and c-MYC status (\( P < 0.01 \); Fig. 1D). Significant relationships between genetic instability in specimens with both allelic changes were independent of pretreatment PSA (<10 and >10 ng/mL) and T-category (T1 and T2; Supplementary Fig. S2). Alteration in PSCA was also associated with a significantly higher PGA than normal PSCA (data not shown).

**Patients with NKX3.1 allelic loss are more likely to relapse following IGRT**

We next examined the impact of allelic loss of NKX3.1 in our clinical cohort of 126 patients with intermediate-risk prostate cancer on bRFR following treatment with a DSBI-inducing agent: IGRT (mean dose 76.4 Gy). The clinical characteristics of this cohort with 6.7 years median follow-up (range 0.8–10.3) are shown in Table 1. Forty-seven patients in this cohort experienced a biochemical relapse; 42 as defined by the Phoenix definition and an additional 5 patients in this cohort whose tumors displayed a c-MYC gain also had increased biochemical failure at 5 years compared with patients with normal NKX3.1 status (bRFR 87% vs. 58%, \( P = 0.00015 \); Fig. 2A). The HR associated with NKX3.1 loss alone was 3.03 (95% CI: 1.66–5.52). Patients whose tumors displayed a c-MYC gain also had increased biochemical failure at 5 years compared with patients with normal c-MYC (bRFR 80% vs. 49%, \( P = 0.00093 \); Fig. 2B). The HR associated with c-MYC gain alone was 2.77 (95% CI: 1.48–5.18). Patients with combined allelic changes in c-MYC and NKX3.1 had a poor prognosis when compared with patients with normal c-MYC and NKX3.1 status (bRFR 95% CI: 1.92–8.26) as compared with normal c-MYC and NKX3.1. Last, patients whose tumors displayed a PSCA gain also had increased biochemical failure at 5 years compared with patients with normal PSCA (bRFR 79% vs. 46%, \( P = 0.00058 \); Supplementary Fig. S1). The HR associated with PSCA gain was 3.26 (95% CI: 1.6–6.63).

On a multivariate analysis, NKX3.1 allelic loss alone was a significant independent predictor after correcting for PSA, GS, T-category, c-MYC gain, and PSCA gain (HR = 3.05, 95% CI: 1.46–6.39, \( P = 0.0030 \); Table 2). This effect was also observed when NKX3.1 loss and c-MYC gain were combined and tested against PSA, GS, and T-category with both normal (HR = 3.88, 95% CI: 1.78–8.49, \( P = 0.00067 \)). The significance for these effects was preserved when factoring in the model prehormone treatment, PCA, and radiation therapy dose in addition to the pretreatment PSA, T-category, and the GS (Zafarana and colleagues; In Press, CANCER, 2011). In contrast, c-MYC and PSCA were significant on multivariate analysis when controlling for PSA, GS, and T-category independently but were no longer significant when NKX3.1 was added to the model (Table 2B).

**NKX3.1 allelic loss and relapse following radical prostatectomy**

We next interrogated the publically available Memorial Sloan Kettering Prostate Cancer aCGH database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/) consisting of 131 intermediate-risk patients who underwent radical prostatectomy. The clinical characteristics of this surgical cohort

---

**Figure 2.** Univariate Kaplan–Meier plots of bRFR of survival versus time to recurrence for patients who underwent IGRT with differential NKX3.1 status (A), c-MYC status (B), or combined NKX3.1/c-MYC (C) status in biopsies are displayed.
allelic loss of chromosome site 8p21 (131 or 37% of the intermediate-risk patients displayed an allelic loss of chromosome site 8p21). In this cohort, 49 of 131 or 12% displayed an allelic gain of chromosome site 8p21. MYC status (bRFR 83% vs. 78%, P = 0.62; Fig. 3B). The HR associated with NKX3.1 gain did not have a statistically significant difference in clinical local control and/or radioresistance (95% CI: 0.93–4.63). Patients whose tumors displayed NKX3.1 loss and radiotherapy failure at 5 years as compared with patients with normal NKX3.1 status (bRFR 90% vs. 73%, P = 0.07; Supplementary Table 5B). The HR associated with NKX3.1 loss alone was 2.07 (95% CI: 0.93–4.63). Patients whose tumors displayed c-MYC gain did not have a statistically significant difference in failure at 5 years as compared with patients with normal c-MYC status (bRFR 83% vs. 78%, P = 0.62; Fig. 3B). The HR associated with c-MYC gain alone was 1.36 (95% CI: 0.4–4.57). Furthermore, patients whose tumors displayed NKX3.1 loss and/or c-MYC gain had a trend toward increased failure at 5 years as compared with patients with normal loci status (bRFR 90% vs. 73%, P = 0.054; Fig. 3C). The HR associated with NKX3.1 loss and c-MYC gain was 2.17 (95% CI: 0.97–4.86). On multivariate analysis of the surgical cohort, allelic loss of the NKX3.1 loci and/or gain of the c-MYC loci seemed to be a prognosticator after modeling with GS, although not statistically significant (HR = 2.19, 95% CI: 0.98–4.91, P = 0.057; Supplementary Table 3B).

NKX3.1 status and expression may associate with clinical local control and/or radioresistance in vitro

Given our clinical findings, we explored a potential relationship between NKX3.1 loss and radiotherapy response using a small subgroup of 37 patients that had post-IGRT biopsies at the time of PSA failure. Of the 59 patients with loss of NKX3.1, 14 patients had a post-RT biopsy and 9 (64%) of these were associated with local recurrence. This suggests that a component of biochemical failure could be associated with tumor cell radioresistance.

We therefore determined whether there was any correlation between endogenous NKX3.1 expression and radioresistance in vitro in a panel of 5 prostate cancer cell lines for which clonogenic radiation survival data were available (Fig. 4; see Methods for details). We observed an inverse correlation between the relative protein expression of NKX3.1 and clonogenic survival at 3Gy (r² = 0.9079, P = 0.0122). When taken together, our limited clinical biopsy data and radiation clonogenic survival data, suggest that decreased expression of NKX3.1 may associate with the intrinsic radioresistance of prostate cancer cells.

Discussion

Using high-resolution aCGH of tumor DNA obtained from 126 prostate cancer biopsies, we show for the first time that NKX3.1 haploinsufficiency in pretreatment biopsies is an independent prognostic factor in intermediate-risk prostate cancer treated by IGRT. Furthermore, combined NKX3.1 loss and c-MYC gain was prognostic for radiotherapy relapse with an approximately 4-fold decrease in bRFR. Although not significant, a similar trend was observed in a subgroup of 37 patients that had post-IGRT biopsies at the time of PSA failure. Of the 59 patients with loss of NKX3.1, 14 patients had a post-RT biopsy and 9 (64%) of these were associated with local recurrence. This suggests that a component of biochemical failure could be associated with tumor cell radioresistance.
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Table 2. Multivariate results of the association of NKX3.1, c-MYC, PSCA, and c-MYC/NKX3.1 alterations on T-category, GS, and pretreatment PSA with the time to recurrence in the 126 intermediate-risk patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>T-category: T2 vs. T1</th>
<th>Pretreatment PSA (continuous)</th>
<th>GS: 7 vs. 6</th>
<th>NKX3.1</th>
<th>c-MYC</th>
<th>PSCA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) Clinical model</td>
<td>0.76 (0.41–1.41)</td>
<td>1.11 (1.04–1.2)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.54–2.22)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) Effect of NKX3.1 alone, c-MYC alone, and PSCA alone when adjusting for the clinical factors and each other</td>
<td>0.76 (0.40–1.43)</td>
<td>1.12 (1.03–1.21)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.41–1.67)</td>
<td>3.05 (1.46–6.39)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.23–2.66)</td>
<td>2.06 (0.61–6.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Effect of NKX3.1 and c-MYC when adjusting for the clinical factors</td>
<td>0.72 (0.39–1.36)</td>
<td>1.12 (1.03–1.21)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.39–1.62)</td>
<td>3.46 (1.67–7.2)</td>
<td>3.88 (1.78–8.49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Results are displayed as HR, 95% CI, and P value.
observation of increased HRs in both treatment groups could be explained by NKX3.1 allelic loss heralding the presence of occult metastases at the time of any local treatment and biochemical failure due to systemic disease. However, our limited data using post-IGRT–positive biopsies and preclinical data on relative clonogenic survival association with prostate cancer cell radioresistance; future studies should focus on validating our results on clonogenic survival in prostate cancer cell lines.

Figure 3. Univariate Kaplan–Meier plots of bRFR of survival versus time to recurrence for the Memorial Sloan Kettering Prostate Cancer cohort of patients who underwent surgery with differential recurrence for the Memorial Sloan Kettering Prostate Cancer cohort of and discerning whether c-MYC loss and NKX3.1 loss are associated with prostate cancer cell radioresistance. The efficacy of radiotherapy is dependent on the eradication of all clonogenic TICs (21, 22). Recently, Iwata and colleagues showed using transgenic models that overexpression of c-MYC is crucial for prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia development from luminal epithelial cells and responsible for repressed NKX3.1 expression in these prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia lesions (18). The significant frequency of NKX3.1 loss and c-MYC gain observed in 21% of biopsies in our study supports the interaction between c-MYC and NKX3.1 for prostate cancer progression and aggression. Most genomic-based predictors in prostate cancer to date have been based on tissues derived post hoc from radical prostatectomies (5, 30). Our study is clinically significant in that we used tumor DNA derived from a single biopsy from the putative index lesion. This is not dissimilar to the material and information acquired through diagnostic biopsies that undergo FFPE in many clinics today. Our data suggest that biopsy-derived DNA could be useful in stratifying clinically heterogeneous intermediate-risk cancers specifically planned for IGRT treatment into subcategories for improved patient management.

The efficacy of radiotherapy is dependent on the eradication of all clonogenic TICs (21, 22). Recently, Iwata and colleagues showed using transgenic models that overexpression of c-MYC is crucial for prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia development from luminal epithelial cells and responsible for repressed NKX3.1 expression in these prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia lesions (18). The significant frequency of NKX3.1 loss and c-MYC gain observed in 21% of biopsies in our study supports the interaction between c-MYC and NKX3.1 for prostate cancer progression and aggression. Most genomic-based predictors in prostate cancer to date have been based on tissues derived post hoc from radical prostatectomies (5, 30). Our study is clinically significant in that we used tumor DNA derived from a single biopsy from the putative index lesion. This is not dissimilar to the material and information acquired through diagnostic biopsies that undergo FFPE in many clinics today. Our data suggest that biopsy-derived DNA could be useful in stratifying clinically heterogeneous intermediate-risk cancers specifically planned for IGRT treatment into subcategories for improved patient management.

For improved patient management.

In our prostate cancer biopsy cohort, combined NKX3.1 loss and c-MYC gain was significantly associated with an increased genetic instability as measured by PGA. c-MYC's central roles in prostate cancer initiation and progression are well established (12, 13). Recently, Iwata and colleagues showed using transgenic models that overexpression of c-MYC is crucial for prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia development from luminal epithelial cells and responsible for repressed NKX3.1 expression in these prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia lesions (18). The significant frequency of NKX3.1 loss and c-MYC gain observed in 21% of biopsies in our study supports the interaction between c-MYC and NKX3.1 for prostate cancer progression and aggression. Most genomic-based predictors in prostate cancer to date have been based on tissues derived post hoc from radical prostatectomies (5, 30). Our study is clinically significant in that we used tumor DNA derived from a single biopsy from the putative index lesion. This is not dissimilar to the material and information acquired through diagnostic biopsies that undergo FFPE in many clinics today. Our data suggest that biopsy-derived DNA could be useful in stratifying clinically heterogeneous intermediate-risk cancers specifically planned for IGRT treatment into subcategories for improved patient management.
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be proven experimentally. We also focused on NKX3.1 as a key TIC mediator in prostate cancer relapse/progression possibly through altered DNA repair (16, 17). Recently, Bowen and colleagues used a NKX3.1–siRNA approach in LNCaP cells to show that NKX3.1 protein triggers recruitment of phosphorylated-ATM and γH2AX to sites of DNA damage in response to radiation (16). We speculate that NKX3.1 expression may regulate TICs through differential DDR and play a role in the response of prostate cancer to radiotherapy (21). Until explicit DDR and stem cell studies with models isogenic for NKX3.1 are used, this hypothesis remains unproven.

There are several caveats to our study. First, the cause of relapse postradiotherapy (local versus systemic) is not known in our cohort as we did not have access to postradiotherapy biopsies in all treated patients. Given the fact that failures within this genetic group of patients were early (e.g., starting at 6 months post IGRT); our failure data may, in part, be due to suboccult metastases at the time of treatment. Second, in the assessment of allelic loss of NKX3.1 allele, we do not know whether some patients are homozygous null for NKX3.1 [only a minority of tumor cells with biallelic loss of NKX3.1 were observed using FISH slides in 3 patients (see Fig. 1A)]. The observed heterogeneity could be due to the inherent limitation of the FISH technique, in that we could only assess part of the nuclear volume present within our 4μm sections. We have addressed this issue by counting at least 100 nuclei in each gland to avoid counting artifacts, but we can not be sure that in some cases, there is loss of the second allele of NKX3.1 and whether this has further impact on clinical outcome. However, in genetically engineered mice, NKX3.1 haploinsufficiency is sufficient to drive prostate cancer aggression (32, 33). A comprehensive FISH study on a large series of prostate biopsies needs to confirm relative significance of FISH-based allelic changes compared with aCGH-based allelic changes. Finally, the outcome analysis was based on only one biopsy taken using TRUS guidance to the index lesion prior to radiotherapy and has not addressed the known intraprostatic heterogeneity and biology of multifocal disease. We cannot rule out that other important genetic changes are present within the gland pre- and postradiotherapy; however, clinical data suggests that the dominant lesion is location for recurrence postradiotherapy in approximately 80% of local failures (34).

In conclusion, our study using genetic data on frozen biopsies in a modern era cohort is the first report to show that an allelic loss in a TIC-associated gene is prognostic for relapse following IGRT in prostate cancer. We speculate that the interrogation of TIC-related gene loci within biopsies could alter treatment if future preclinical and clinical studies support this endpoint as a surrogate for relative TIC aggression and resistance. NKX3.1 status and other genetic features may be valuable information in triaging intermediate-risk patients to subgroups of patients who may benefit from the use of molecular-targeted agents in combination with IGRT and surgery.
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