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Abstract

Ecosystems are interactive systems involving communities of species and their abiotic environment. Tumors are ecosystems in which cancer cells act as invasive species interacting with native host cell species in an established microenvironment within the larger host biosphere. At its heart, to study ecology is to study interconnectedness. In ecologic science, an ecologic network is a representation of the biotic interactions in an ecosystem in which species (nodes) are connected by pairwise interactions (links). Ecologic networks and signaling network models have been used to describe and compare the structures of ecosystems. It has been shown that disruption of ecologic networks through the loss of species or disruption of interactions between them can lead to the destruction of the ecosystem. Often, the destruction of a single node or link is not enough to disrupt the entire ecosystem. The more complex the network and its interactions, the more difficult it is to cause the extinction of a species, especially without leveraging other aspects of the ecosystem. Similarly, successful treatment of cancer with a single agent is rarely enough to cure a patient without strategically modifying the support systems conducive to survival of cancer. Cancer cells and the ecologic systems they reside in can be viewed as a series of nested networks. The most effective new paradigms for treatment will be developed through application of scaled network disruption.

Introduction

"Cancer is no more of a disease of cells than a traffic jam is a disease of cars. A lifetime of study of the internal combustion engine would not help anyone to understand our traffic problems. The causes of congestion can be many. A traffic jam is due to failure of the normal relationship between driven cars and their environment and can occur whether they themselves are running normally or not."

D.W. Smithers, Lancet, March 1962 (1)

An ecologic approach to understanding cancer

Cancer remains the second leading cause of death in the United States, with a projected 1.6 million new cases and 577,000 deaths in 2012 (2). The global burden of cancer continues to grow, from more than 12.7 million new cases in 2008 to an estimated 15 million by 2020 (3). The last decade has seen an explosion in the understanding of cancer as a systemic disease. Hanahan and Weinberg, in their landmark 2000 and 2011 papers, described 8 hallmarks of cancer as an organizing principle to help explain the complexity of tumorigenesis (4, 5). These hallmarks include sustaining proliferative signaling, evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, enabling replicative immortality, inducing angiogenesis, activating invasion and metastasis, avoiding immune destruction, and deregulating cellular energetics. These cancer cell properties are facilitated, at least in part, by genetic instability that results in tumor cell heterogeneity and are enabled by the proinflammatory components of the tumor microenvironment (1, 5–8). As Smithers so eloquently pointed out 50 years ago, cancer is about more than just the cancer cells.

Ecology provides a framework for understanding cancer cells and their surroundings in a human host. An ecosystem encompasses all of the organisms in a given area and their interactions with the physical environment (Table 1; ref. 9). Cancer cells exist within a complex ecosystem populated by host cells including fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and leukocytes, supported by a scaffold of extracellular matrix (10–13). Designing new therapies for cancer based on an ecologic framework (ecotherapy) allows for the application of precision medicine principles (e.g., the use of integrative sequencing results to identify actionable targets from the mutational landscape of cancer cells) while adding in therapies that attack host cell support systems within the tumor ecosystem (10–14). Ecotherapy can be applied to the primary tumor as a single population or to metastatic cancer. Ecologic science defines a metapopulation as a group of spatially separated...
Cancer cells can be viewed as a species as part of an ecosystem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Cancer</th>
<th>Table 1. Cancer cells can be viewed as a species as part of an ecosystem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Man</td>
<td>Cancer cells,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>host cells</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotope</td>
<td>Extracellular</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>matrix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecosystem</td>
<td>Microenvironment,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>organ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metacommunity</td>
<td>Metastases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biosphere</td>
<td>Patient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Translational Relevance**

Although the last decade has seen an explosion in the understanding of cancer as a systemic disease, cancer remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality. Tumor growth and metastasis are consequences of genetic instability resulting in tumor cell heterogeneity and are further enabled by the proinflammatory components of the tumor microenvironment. An ecosystem is defined as the organisms in a given area interacting with their environment. Cancer cells exist within a complex ecosystem populated by host cells including fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and leukocytes within a scaffold of extracellular matrix. Designing new therapies for cancer based on an ecologic framework (ecotherapy) allows for the application of precision medicine principles (e.g., the use of integrative sequencing results to identify actionable targets from the mutational landscape of cancer cells) while implementing more comprehensive therapeutic regimens that attack host cell support systems within the tumor ecosystem.

The scaled networks of cancer

Cancer is best understood as a complex system of interacting scaled networks influenced by local and distant factors (Table 2; refs. 25, 27). At each network level, the interacting components can be defined in terms of ecologic network nodes and links. In the nucleus, the nodes are the DNA, RNA, and protein molecules linked by the machinery and structural and biochemical pathways that allow assembly from DNA to protein. At the cellular level, nodes consist of dormancy (26). Consideration of both node and link targeting in reference to the scaled networks of cancer will offer insights to the cancer community for the design of cancer treatment strategies (27).
Disrupting the Networks of Cancer

The networks present in the cell have classically been targeted by cell structure-disrupting agents such as microtubule inhibiting vinca alkaloids and taxanes (Fig. 2). The last decade has seen an explosion in “targeted therapy” to disrupt cell signaling with antibodies and small molecules directed at inhibiting receptors that activate downstream pathways and/or kinases (Fig. 3; refs. 37–40). Deregulation of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK) has been shown in a wide variety of cancers. Multiple RTKs have been targeted through inhibition of their upstream receptors through blocking antibodies or small molecules (37–40). A second effective strategy has been to block signaling of RTK catalytic activity with small molecule inhibitors. Examples of low molecular weight TK inhibitors include imatinib, targeting tumors with mutant c-Kit, and erlotinib, targeting cancers with mutant epidermal growth factor receptor. RTK inhibition is now being combined with multiple traditional chemotherapeutics as well as newer targeted agents for network disruption at the nuclear and cellular levels.

In addition, therapies are being developed that disrupt cell metabolism such as the proteasome as well as chaperone molecules (41, 42). The ubiquitin–proteasome pathway is the major intracellular mechanism for controlled protein degradation and is an attractive target for disrupting intracellular cancer networks because the majority of cell-cycle regulators and modulators of apoptosis are degraded via this pathway. The first agent to be approved to target the proteasome is bortezomib in the treatment of multiple myeloma (41, 42). This agent is now being combined with other therapeutics to improve therapeutic outcomes (43).

The Tumor Microenvironment Ecosystem Network

Within the context of ecology and Darwinian evolution, the most efficient way to kill a species is to alter its ecosystem. For instance, it is easier to drain a swamp than to try to...(43).
kill all of the mosquitoes with pesticide. This approach, however, also kills all of the other species living in the swamp. The challenge in patients with cancer is identifying and eliminating nonessential elements of the tumor environment that are promoting the growth of cancer cells. These nonessential elements may be host cells elements of the biotope that are promoting cancer growth (10). The extracellular matrix, acting as a node in the microenvironment network, has been shown to regulate cell functions, cell metabolism, and cell–cell interactions (44–46). For example, matrix-associated resistance to therapy via upregulation of cellular survival programs in response to PI3K/mTOR inhibition was recently shown, further magnifying the apparent need for therapeutics targeting the extracellular matrix (47).

The targeting of nonessential tumor microenvironment components has already been applied to cancer treatment with success. The use of bisphosphonates (targeting the biotope of bone by binding to the bone matrix) has been shown to reduce skeletal-related events in multiple cancers including breast, prostate, and myeloma (48). Thus, the host cells act as nodes that can be targeted through direct inhibitors or strategies that interrupt the communications between them and the supporting ecosystem. At any given time, a cancer cell is interacting with more than 20 different species of host cells, providing a multitude of potential nodal and link targets (10). Examples of targeting nodes directly include inhibiting host endothelial cells through RTK antiangiogenic therapy or modulating T-cell responses to the presence of cancer (49, 50). Strategies to inhibit network links are also being used, but further development is needed. Bevacizumab is used to bind the cancer cell produced soluble signal VEGF, inhibiting the signal and subsequent growth of the host endothelial cells (49, 51). Strategies that inhibit other nonessential host cells such as tumor-associated macrophages and cancer-associated fibroblasts are being studied (52, 53).

The Metastases Metacommunity Network

It has now been established in multiple experimental systems that cancer cells traffic between tumor sites within the host (19–24). In addition, multiple host cells, including hematopoietic stem cells, endothelial progenitors, cancer-associated fibroblasts, and inflammatory mononuclear cells (T-, B-, and monocytes) seem to traffic freely between tumor sites (19–24). This is analogous to cities connected by highways with traffic moving between them. The most direct application of disrupting the metastasis metacommunity is the treatment of solitary metastases or minimal metastatic disease, resulting in decreased tumor burden and increased life for the patient. Although rarely curative, resection of metastases in colon, renal, GIST, breast, and lung cancers seem to have palliative benefits in many patients (54, 55).
Cancer is an endocrine organ that affects the host at a systemic level. The traits that a cancer acquires to successfully grow and metastasize to distant sites produce multiple factors that result in different clinical syndromes that are lethal for the patient (56). The reasons patients succumb to cancer can be roughly divided into 2 categories: death due to specific organ involvement with subsequent organ function failure (e.g., metastases to the brain), or death due to a variety of clinical syndromes that have a common theme of cytokine overproduction. We have previously shown that multiple cytokines, including interleukin (IL)-6, IL-1, IL-11, CCL2, CXCL12, TGF-β, and TNF-α, are commonly produced by the majority of metastatic cancers and are important mediators of the lethal phenotype. These cytokines represent an ecologic network link between the metacommunities of cancer cells and are important mediators of the lethal phenotype. These cytokines lead to morbidity and mortality by causing cancer-related syndromes, including cachexia, thrombosis, and dyspnea. Interventions that disrupt these links have the potential not only to decrease the morbidity of cancer, but also to increase the lifespan of patients suffering from cancer (Table 3).

Conclusions
Despite many advances, cure rates for advanced cancer remain low. There is an imperative need to identify new targets to treat metastatic cancer using novel and existing agents. The current state of treatment based on "personalized oncology" faces the challenge that it defines cancer cell aberrations that occur only in an individual’s tumor without taking into account how these aberrations are related to the tumor microenvironment and how they relate to the host patient in which the malignancy resides. The targets identified through these advances will need to be used in context of the different biologic networks in which those mutations reside. This requires an expansion in thinking from targeting cancer cells solely at the nuclear and signal transduction levels to targeting multiple network levels by disrupting the nodes and links at every level of the cancer ecosystem, that is, scaled network disruption.
Moving theory to practice begs the question of how to take the concepts of ecotherapy and scaled network disruption to make them actionable. Virtually all therapeutic advances require the incremental addition of a single agent to a current regimen, within the jurisdiction of few scaled networks. This stepwise progression in treatment affects one additional link or node on whose dependence the cancer cell is more easily attenuated than if a larger-scaled therapeutic approach were employed. Thus, incremental therapeutic evolution has proven to be a slow process and may never identify additive or synergistic effects of combining multiple agents across the network scales.

Data mining through bioinformatics and computational medicine applications applied to freely accessible cohorts of patients could be of major help to the community. For example, multiple studies have suggested, but never definitively, that patients on anticoagulation therapy may survive longer (57, 58). One can speculate that

### Table 3. Examples of chemokines, cytokines, and other factors that play a role in the scaled networks of cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Role in cancer networks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemokines</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL2/CCR2</td>
<td>Facilitates invasion and metastasis, promotes cancer cell growth by autocrine regulation, contributes to regulation of angiogenesis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL12/CXCR4</td>
<td>Regulates stem cell homing and plays a crucial role in facilitating those tumors which metastasize to bone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL16/CXCR6</td>
<td>Induces chemotaxis of T(_{\text{reg}}) T cells and promotes angiogenesis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cytokines</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1</td>
<td>Contributes to ability to metastasize, implicated as a tumor cell growth factor, stimulates angiogenic factors, implicated in thrombosis, cachexia, and bone metastases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>Activates T(_{\text{reg}}) cells, modulates the inflammatory environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>Promotes cancer growth, implicated as a tumor cell growth factor, stimulates angiogenic factors, implicated in thrombosis, cachexia, and bone metastases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-κB</td>
<td>Key mediator and regulator of the inflammatory process, participates in feedback loop of proinflammatory cytokines, suppresses apoptosis, promotes tumor invasion and metastasis, contributes to tumor proliferation by activating the expression of growth factor genes, contributes to genomic instability of the cancer cells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>Induces DNA damage and inhibits DNA repair, promotes tumor growth, induces angiogenic factors, key in initiation of inflammatory cascade, regulates chemokines, contributes to ability for invasion, contributes to cachexia syndrome, implicated in thrombosis, contributes to bone metastases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β</td>
<td>Contributes to angiogenesis, implicated in thrombosis, contributes to bone metastases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>Induces tumor angiogenesis in solid tumors and promotes tumor growth and metastasis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adipokines</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mediate inflammation, immunity, metabolism, and lipogenesis, contributing to tumor growth, metastasis, and cachexia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proteases</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMPs</td>
<td>Enzyme involved in degradation of extracellular matrix and is upregulated in most cancers, allowing tumor cell invasion and metastasis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uPA</td>
<td>uPA levels in both resected tumor tissue and plasma are of independent prognostic significance for patient survival in several types of human cancer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathepsins</td>
<td>Cysteine proteases degrade collagen in bone and extracellular matrices in other organs. Contribute to angiogenesis and metastasis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coagulation cascade</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombin</td>
<td>Thrombin generation is crucial for metastasis through fibrin and platelet deposition. Thrombin receptor upregulation has been reported in a variety of malignant tissues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue factor</td>
<td>Advanced cancer is associated with a hypercoagulable state that is triggered by tissue factor (TF). TF significantly participates in tumor-associated angiogenesis, and its expression levels have been correlated with the metastatic potential.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hormones</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex hormones</td>
<td>Contribute to bone mass, muscle mass, energy, and metabolism across multiple cell types.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Adapted from Ref. 56.

Abbreviations: CCL2/CCR2, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 and its receptor; CXCL12/CXCR4, stromal derived factor-1 and its receptor; MMPs, matrix metalloproteinases; uPA, uroplasminogen activator.
this may be due to direct cytotoxic effects (cell network disruption), effects on cell trafficking (metacommunity network disruption), or due to decreased thrombogenic events (host biosphere network disruption). Recent reviews of large databases by Akh and colleagues suggest that patients on parental anticoagulation therapy, but not oral therapy, have a survival benefit at 24 months (57, 58). The creation of a queriable national database of the anonymized results of the trials registered on clinicaltrials.gov would allow the virtual collection of how numerous agents may be interacting—essentially enabling electronic trials. For example, one can envision extracting the data on patients of many different cancer types who had been treated with antimetabolite chemotherapy (nuclear network) plus an antimiticotin (cytoplasmic network) plus a bisphosphonate (ecosystem network) plus heparin (biosphere network). This would provide a framework to design a trial with real patients and novel combinations in nonincremental fashion.

The one thing a cancer cell cannot run from is its ecosystem. Although cancer cells may mutate and develop resistance, the host cells of the local microenvironment and greater patient biosphere do not, providing stable targets (nodes and links) for multitargeted cancer therapy.
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