Constitutive AP-1 Activity and EBV Infection Induce PD-L1 in Hodgkin Lymphomas and Posttransplant Lymphoproliferative Disorders: Implications for Targeted Therapy
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Abstract

Purpose: Programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1) is a molecule expressed on antigen-presenting cells that engages the PD-1 receptor on T cells and inhibits T-cell receptor signaling. The PD-1 axis can be exploited by tumor cells to dampen host antitumor immune responses and foster tumor cell survival. PD-1 blockade has shown promise in multiple malignancies but should be directed toward patients in whom it will be most effective. In recent studies, we found that the chromosome 9p24.1 amplification increased the gene dosage of PD-L1 and its induction by JAK2 in a subset of patients with classical Hodgkin lymphoma (cHL). However, CHLs with normal 9p24.1 copy numbers also expressed detectable PD-L1, prompting analyses of additional PD-L1 regulatory mechanisms.

Experimental Design: Herein, we utilized immunohistochemical, genomic, and functional analyses to define alternative mechanisms of PD-L1 activation in cHL and additional EBV+ lymphoproliferative disorders.

Results: We identified an AP-1–responsive enhancer in the PD-L1 gene. In cHL Reed–Sternberg cells, which exhibit constitutive AP-1 activity, the PD-L1 enhancer binds AP-1 components and increases PD-L1 promoter activity. In addition, we defined Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) infection as an alternative mechanism for PD-L1 induction in cHLs with diploid 9p24.1. PD-L1 was also expressed by EBV-transformed lymphoblastoid cell lines as a result of latent membrane protein 1–mediated, JAK/STAT-dependent promoter and AP-1–associated enhancer activity. In addition, more than 70% of EBV+ posttransplant lymphoproliferative disorders expressed detectable PD-L1.

Conclusions: AP-1 signaling and EBV infection represent alternative mechanisms of PD-L1 induction and extend the spectrum of tumors in which to consider PD-1 blockade. Clin Cancer Res; 18(6); 1611–8. ©2012 AACR.

Introduction

Classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma (cHL) is a tumor of crippled germinal center B (GCB) cells that occurs frequently in Western countries and often affects young adults (1). The 2 most common subtypes of cHL, nodular sclerosis (NSHL) and mixed cellularity (MCHL), make up 90% of cases. Primary CHLs include a small number of malignant Reed–Sternberg (RS) cells within a profuse, but ultimately ineffective, inflammatory infiltrate. This suggests that the RS cells possess one or more mechanisms to escape or suppress antitumor immunity. Understanding the cellular mechanisms that promote tumor immune escape is important for identifying patients who may benefit from immunomodulatory therapy.

Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) has been implicated in approximately 40% of cHLs (1) and in additional B-cell disorders such as posttransplant lymphoproliferative disorders (PTLD) that occur in the setting of host immunosuppression (2). Expression of the EBV latent membrane proteins, latent membrane protein 1 (LMP1) or LMP2a, in normal GCB cells is sufficient to mimic a HL RS cell–like phenotype (3, 4), highlighting the shared features of certain EBV-driven malignancies. Recent data indicate that EBV-associated malignancies, such as PTLD and cHL, also share certain
Translational Relevance

Tumor immune escape is an emerging hallmark of cancer that is becoming increasingly targetable with neutralizing antibodies. However, in order for these therapies to be effective, they must be directed toward tumors that are amenable to targeted immunomodulation. We recently found that in classical Hodgkin lymphoma (cHL), 9p24.1 amplification increases the expression of the immune evasion molecule, programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1), by 2 complementary mechanisms. Here, we show that cHLs with normal 9p24.1 copy numbers also express detectable PD-L1. In these tumors, PD-L1 expression is supported by an AP-1–responsive enhancer element in the PD-L1 gene in cHLs which have constitutive AP-1 activity. Furthermore, we found that Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) induces PD-L1 expression via AP-1 and JAK/STAT pathways and EBV+ cHLs with diploid 9p24.1 and the majority of EBV+ posttransplant lymphoproliferative disorders (PTLD) express detectable PD-L1. Therefore, AP-1 and EBV–driven malignancies with normal 9p24.1 copy numbers, including cHLs and most PTLDs, may also be amenable to PD-1 blockade.

mechanisms of immune evasion (5). For example, both cHL RS cells and EBV–driven PTLDs express the immunoregulatory glycan-binding protein, galectin-1, which promotes Th2/T regulatory cell skewing in the tumor microenvironment (5–7).

In addition to galectin-1, we and others have identified the immunomodulatory programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1/B7-H1/CD274) as a contributor to the immunosuppressive microenvironment of cHL (8, 9). The natural function of PD-1 signaling is to limit certain T–cell–mediated immune responses (10). Normal antigen-presenting cells express PD-1 ligands that trigger PD-1 receptors on activated T cells (10). PD-1 engagement triggers Src homology 2 domain-containing protein tyrosine phosphatase–2–mediated dephosphorylation of proximal T-cell receptor (TCR) signaling molecules and attenuates the TCR signal (10). PD-L1 also blocks CD28 costimulation by competitively binding to the CD28 ligand, CD80 (B7-1; ref. 11) and regulates the function of PD-1+ regulatory T cells (12). As a consequence, PD-1 signaling results in “T–cell exhaustion,” a functional phenotype that can be reversed by PD-1 blockade (10).

Recent studies indicate that viruses and certain tumors also use the PD-1 signaling pathway to avoid immune detection (13–18). In addition, PD-1 ligand expression has adverse prognostic significance in multiple human tumors including melanoma, and pancreatic, hepatocellular, and ovarian carcinoma (19–24).

We recently integrated high-resolution copy number data with transcriptional profiles and identified the PD-1 ligands, PD-L1 and PD-L2, as key targets of the recurrent 9p24.1 amplification in NSHL and the related lymphoid malignancy, primary mediastinal large cell lymphoma (MLBL; ref. 9). In these tumors, the extended 9p24.1 region of amplification also included the Janus kinase 2 (Jak2) locus (9). Jak2 amplification increased JAK2 expression and augmented JAK/STAT signaling and associated PD-L1 promoter activity and transcription (9). These studies defined the 9p24.1 amplicon as a structural alteration that increased both the gene dosage of PD-1 ligands and their induction by Jak2 (9). These findings also highlighted the potential therapeutic benefit of PD-1 blockade in cHL patients with 9p24.1 amplification.

However, we also noted that cHL cell lines with only low-level 9p24.1 amplification expressed detectable cell surface PD-L1, as did primary cHLs with diploid 9p24.1 (9). These observations suggested that the PD-1 pathway might be targetable in a larger group of cHL patients and prompted analyses of other mechanisms of PD-L1 induction in cHL and additional EBV–associated lymphoproliferative disorders.

Materials and Methods

Primary tumor specimens and immunohistochemistry

Primary tumor samples included 22 cHLs (7 MCHL and 15 NSHL) with known 9p24.1 copy numbers (9) and 26 EBV– PTLDs (5). PD-L1 immunostaining was done on slides with 4-μm thick formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections which were deparaffinized in xylene, passed through graded alcohols, and subsequently put in distilled water. All further steps were carried out at room temperature in a hydrated chamber. Slides were pretreated with Peroxidase Block (Dako) for 5 minutes to quench endogenous peroxidase activity, and then washed in 50 mmol/L Tris-Cl, pH 7.4. Slides were blocked using an Avidin/Biotin Blocking Kit (Vector) as per manufacturer’s instructions. For PD-L1, monoclonal mouse anti-human PD-L1 antibody (clone 29E.2A3, catalog no. 329702; BioLegend) was applied at 1:1,000 (final concentration of 500 ng/mL) in Dako diluent for 1 hour. For the isotype control, monoclonal IgG2b,κ from murine myeloma (Sigma-Aldrich) was applied at 1:400 (final concentration of 500 ng/mL) in Dako diluent for 1 hour. Slides were washed in Tris buffer and treated with labeled Polymer–HRP (horseradish peroxidase) Anti-Mouse EnVision+ (Dako) for 30 minutes. After another wash, slides were treated with a Tyramide Kit (PerkinElmer) at 1:250 for 10 minutes. Slides were then thoroughly washed and treated with LSB2 Streptavidin–HRP (Dako) as per manufacturer’s instruction. After further washing, immunoperoxidase staining was developed using a 3,3′diaminobenzidine (DAB) chromogen (Dako) for 5 minutes. Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin, dehydrated in a series of alcohols and xylene, and mounted and coverslipped. PD-L1 protein expression was quantified in individual RS cells using a previously described protocol (9) and Aperio ScanScope XT (Aperio Technologies Inc.) ImageScope Analysis software (Aperio Technologies), and an Aperio Color Deconvolution v9 optimized algorithm. The final qHIC score per RS cell consists of the average
optical density of DAB staining within the annotated area after color deconvolution. Photomicrographs were taken with an Olympus BX41 microscope, 100×/1.30 Olympus UPlanFL objective lens (final magnification 1,000×). Olympus QColor5 digital camera, and QCapture Pro 6.0 (QImaging) and Adobe Photoshop 6.0 software (Adobe).

In cHLs and PTLDs, EBV status was assessed by EBV-encoded RNA in situ hybridization (EBER-ISH), as previously described (25). Images were acquired with an Olympus QColor5 and analyzed with QCapture Pro 6.0 software (QImaging) and Adobe Photoshop 6.0 (Adobe).

Cell lines
The HL lines L428 and L540 were originally obtained from the DMSZ Cell Line Bank and characterized by short tandem repeat DNA typing to confirm identity (26). The HL lines and the EBV-transformed lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCL), NOR-LCL, RIC-LCL, STA-LCL, FW-LCL, SC-LCL, and VS-LCL were maintained as described (5). In certain experiments, the NOR-LCL was treated for 48 hours with 250 or 1,250 nmol/L IAK3 inhibitor VI (Calbiochem) or the inactive control (JAK3 inhibitor control; Calbiochem).

Regulatory element analysis and AP-1 element validation
The region within the first intron of PD-L1 was assessed for potential regulatory elements with the UCSC Genome Browser (http://www.genome.ucsc.edu) the publicly available MatInspector module of the Genomatix suite (http://www.genomatix.de), and the open-source TOUCAN software (27) as described (9). Binding of cJUN to predicted AP-1 sites was assessed using publicly available chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) sequencing data for cJUN (Supplementary Information). In addition, ChIP was done for cJUN and JUNB in the L428 and L540 HL cell lines and the SUDHL4 diffuse large B-cell lymphomas (DLBCL) cell line, as previously described (5). Immunoprecipitation of the predicted AP-1–responsive element was performed followed by 25 cycles of PCR amplification (Primer sequences: TGC CTA GCA CAG AAG AGG TG and TAA CAG TCG GGA GTC GGA AG) and the band was visualized by agarose gel electrophoresis. Activity of the predicted enhancer element was assessed using pGL3 basic luciferase constructs (Promega) containing the PD-L1 promoter element (9) upstream of the luciferase gene (PDL1-P), the promoter element with the additional wild-type sequence of the predicted enhancer element (chr9:5445267-5445817) downstream of the luciferase gene (PDL1-P+), or the promoter element with the predicted enhancer element with a deletion of the most highly conserved AP-1–binding site (chr9:5445434-5445455; PDL1P-Emnt). Luciferase assays were carried out as described using L428 and L540 HL cell lines which have only low-level PD-L1 expression in situ (QImaging) and Adobe Photoshop 6.0 (Adobe).

Lymphoblastoid cell lines and flow cytometry
PD-L1 expression was evaluated on EBV-transformed LCLs (5) by flow cytometry using phycoerythrin-conjugated anti–PD-L1 (Clone 29E.A3; BioLegend), as described (9). STAT activity in these cell lines was assessed by intracellular phospho-specific flow cytometry using anti-phospho (Tyr701)-STAT1, anti-phospho(Ser727)-STAT3, anti-phospho(Tyr641)-STAT1, and anti-phospho(Tyr611)-STAT6 (all from BD Biosciences), as previously described (9), with reference to fixed and permeabilized unstained cells.

PD-L1 promoter induction by LMP1
The effect of LMP1 on PD-L1 promoter activity was measured using a previously described LMP1 expression vector (5) cotransfected into 293T cells with either PDLI-P or empty pGL3 vector with Fugene 6 (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Following 48-hour incubation at 37°C, cells were harvested for luciferase assays as described above.

Western blots
LMP1 expression and cJUN activity were interrogated in empty vector and LMP1-transfected 293T cells by Western blot using the LMP-1 monoclonal antibody, S12 (29) and cJUN–specific antibodies described above. PhosphoAK3, total JAK3, and PD-L1 expression were evaluated in NOR-LCLs treated with the JAK3 inhibitor VI or control compound by Western blot using the anti-phospho(Tyr980/981)-JAK3 and anti-JAK3 antibodies (Cell Signaling) and anti PD-L1 antibody (BioLegend).

Results
cHLs with normal 9p24.1 copy numbers express PD-L1
We previously found that expression of PD-L1 on cHL RS cells was increased by 9p24.1 amplification. However, there was also detectable expression of PD-L1 on tumors with diploid 9p24.1 (9). In an extended series of primary cHLs with diploid 9p24.1, all of the tumors (9 of 9 NSHL and 7 of 7 MCHL) had detectable PD-L1 expression on RS cells (Fig. 1). In a publicly available gene expression data set,
PD-L1 transcripts were more abundant in almost all cHLs in comparison with DLBCLs or normal B cells (ref. 30; Supplementary Fig. S1). These data, which suggested that cHL RS cells with diploid 9p24.1 might have additional mechanisms of increasing PD-L1 expression, prompted us to further characterize PD-L1 regulatory elements.

**Constitutive AP-1 activity supports basal PD-L1 expression in cHL**

We previously described a PD-L1 promoter element containing an ISRE/IRF1 module that is responsive to JAK/STAT signaling (9). Further analyses of the PD-L1 gene revealed a highly conserved candidate enhancer element in intron 1, approximately 5 kb downstream from the transcription start site (Fig. 2A). Of note, this sequence contained tandem candidate AP-1–binding sites (Fig. 2A). A similar AP-1–containing element was not found in the neighboring PD-1 ligand gene, PD-L2 (Supplementary Fig. S2A). We confirmed binding of cJUN to the predicted PD-L1 AP-1–responsive region in nonlymphoid cells using public ChIP-seq data (Supplementary Fig. S2B). Thereafter, we used ChIP-coupled PCR to show cJUN and JUNB binding to the candidate PD-L1 enhancer region in 2 HL cell lines (L428 and L540) but not in a DLBCL cell line (SUDHL4; Fig. 2B).

To assess the activity of the predicted AP-1 enhancer element in HL cells, we used pGL3 luciferase constructs containing either the PD-L1 promoter alone (PDL1-P), the promoter plus the candidate wild-type enhancer (PDL1-P+E), or the promoter plus an enhancer with deleted AP-1 sites (PDL1-P+Emt; Fig. 2C). The luciferase assays were
carried out in the L428 and L540 HL cell lines because these lines have the lowest levels of 9p24.1 amplification (9). In both of these HL cell lines, the AP-1–containing enhancer element increased PD-L1 promoter–driven luciferase activity in an AP-1–dependent manner (Fig. 2C and Supplementary Fig. S2C). In addition, overexpression of a dominant-negative mutant form of cJUN (cJUNDN) in the L428 and L540 HL cell lines significantly decreased PD-L1 expression (Fig. 2D). In contrast, cJUNDN had no effect on the expression of PD-L2, which lacks the AP-1–responsive enhancer element (Supplementary Fig. S2D).

**EBV promotes PD-L1 expression**

Given the detectable PD-L1 expression in primary cHLs with diploid 9p24.1 and previous evidence that viral infection can induce PD-L1 (31–33), we assessed a potential role for EBV in cHL PD-L1 expression. For the initial analysis, we used a series of primary cHL tumors with known PD-L1 copy numbers (determined with a qPCR assay of laser capture-microdissected RS cells; ref. 9). We determined EBV status by EBER-ISH and found that 23% of the cHLs were EBV+ (2 of 6 MCHL, 3 of 16 NSHL; Fig. 3A). 9p24.1 amplification and EBV infection were mutually exclusive in this series of primary cHLs (Fig. 3A), suggesting that EBV infection might represent as an alternative mechanism of inducing PD-L1. Consistent with this hypothesis, EBV-transformed LCLs were found to express high levels of cell surface PD-L1 (Fig. 3B and Supplementary Fig. S3A).

To characterize potential mechanisms of EBV induction of PD-L1, we carried out luciferase assays with the above-mentioned PD-L1 constructs in the NOR-LCL line. Both the PD-L1 promoter and enhancer were active in this EBV-positive LCL, and the enhancer was AP-1 dependent (Fig. 3C). These observations suggested that AP-1 activity may also enhance PD-L1 expression in the context of EBV infection. In this regard, we and others found that expression of the EBV-encoded antigen, LMP1, was sufficient to increase activity of the AP-1 component, cJUN (Fig. 3D; ref. 34). Notably, LMP1-transduced normal human GCB cells also had more abundant PD-L1 transcripts than control GCB cells in a publicly available gene expression data set (Supplementary Fig. S3B; ref. 4).

**EBV latent membrane protein 1 increases PD-L1 promoter activity**

Both the PD-L1 AP-1–dependent enhancer and the PD-L1 promoter element augmented luciferase activity in the NOR-LCL. (Fig. 3C). After confirming that the PD-L1 enhancer was bound by cJUN and JUNB in the NOR-LCL (Supplementary Fig. S3C) and that LMP1 increased the phosphorylation of AP-1 heterodimer component, c-Jun (Fig. 3D), we asked whether LMP1 also modulated PD-L1 promoter activity. When LMP1 and PD-L1 luciferase constructs were cotransfected into 293T cells, LMP1 increased PD-L1 promoter activity by approximately 2-fold (Fig. 4A).

Previously, we identified a STAT–responsive element within the PD-L1 promoter region that was responsive to JAK2/STAT1 signaling in cHLs with chromosome 9p24.1 amplification (9). In our panel of EBV-transformed LCLs, we observed only low-level STAT1 activity (pSTAT1

**Figure 3.** EBV increases PD-L1 expression. A, the frequency of EBV infection and 9p24.1 amplification in a cohort of primary cHL tumors of nodular sclerosis (NSHL) and mixed cellularity (MCHL) subtypes. For each category of primary cHL (NSHL or MCHL, EBV⁺, EBV⁻ or total), the numbers and percentage of 9p24⁺/all tumors (%) are indicated. EBV infection is mutually exclusive of 9p24.1 amplification. B, flow cytometric analysis of cell-surface PD-L1 expression in EBV-transformed LCLs. Data are representative of 3 independent experiments. C, PD-L1 promoter– and enhancer-driven luciferase activity in EBV-transformed NOR LCL. D, expression of cJUN and phospho-cJUN following LMP1 transfection of 293T cells. Glycerinaldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), loading control. Data are representative of 3 independent experiments.
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expression); however, these cell lines expressed higher levels of active JAK3-associated STAT proteins, pSTAT3 and pSTAT5 (Fig. 4B and Supplementary Fig. S3D). In addition, enforced LMP1 expression increased STAT5 activity (intracellular pSTAT5 levels; Fig. 4C). Because LMP1 was previously shown to bind JAK3 and activate STAT signaling (35), we asked whether LMP1 also modulated PD-L1 expression via a JAK3-dependent mechanism. We treated the NOR-LCL with a specific JAK3 inhibitor (JAK3 inhibitor VI) and subsequently assessed PD-L1 expression by Western blot. In these assays, chemical inhibition of JAK3 decreased PD-L1 abundance (Fig. 4D), indicating that EBV LMP1 likely augments PD-L1 promoter activity via JAK3.

**PD-L1 is frequently expressed on EBV-positive PTLD**

Given the PD-L1 expression in EBV+ cHLs and the EBV LMP1-associated increase in PD-L1 promoter and enhancer activity, we next asked whether additional EBV-associated lymphoproliferative disorders such as PTLDs expressed PD-L1. In a series of primary EBV+ PTLDs (5), evaluated by immunohistochemical staining, 73% (19 of 26 cases) expressed PD-L1 (Fig. 5). These observations extend the spectrum of EBV-associated tumors in which PD-1 signaling may promote tumor immune escape.

**Discussion**

Tumor immune evasion is an emerging hallmark of cancer (36). However, the diverse cellular mechanisms for promoting expression of immune evasion molecules in cancers are largely undefined. In cHL, the immnosuppressive microenvironment surrounding the malignant RS cells is suggestive of multiple immune evasion mechanisms (6, 9, 37, 38). Further characterization of the cellular bases for immune evasion will allow emerging targeted immunomodulatory therapies to be directed toward those individuals who may benefit the most. Furthermore, observations made in cHL may be translated to other diseases with shared molecular etiology, such as has been the case for AP-1–driven galectin-1 expression in cHL and EBV-positive PTLD (5–7).

Here, we observed detectable PD-L1 expression in 100% of primary cHLs with diploid 9p24.1, prompting us to define additional mechanisms of PD-L1 induction in this disease. Our comprehensive PD-L1 regulatory element analysis revealed a candidate enhancer element with tandem AP-1–binding sites. These results were of particular interest because AP-1 is constitutively active in cHL and RS cells express high levels of both cJUN and JUNB (7, 39). We found that the AP-1 components cJUN and JUNB bound the predicted PD-L1 AP-1–responsive enhancer element and the enhancer augmented PD-L1 promoter–driven luciferase activity in an AP-1–dependent manner. Furthermore, specific inhibition of cJUN activity with a dominant-negative construct decreased PD-L1 expression. Together, these results define an additional AP-1–dependent mechanism for PD-L1 expression in cHL. Recent studies also indicate that PD-L1 is infrequently altered by genetic translocation (38).

We observed a mutually exclusive relationship between EBV infection and 9p24.1 amplification in this series of primary cHLs, suggesting that these may represent alternative mechanisms of PD-L1 induction. In support of this hypothesis, we found strong expression of PD-L1 on EBV-transformed B-cells (LCLs) and activity of both the PD-L1 promoter and enhancer in these cells. Furthermore, the EBV-encoded antigen LMP1 increased PD-L1 promoter–driven luciferase expression and more than 70% of primary EBV–driven PTLDs expressed PD-L1 on the malignant B cells. These findings are of additional interest given...
the recently reported expression of the PD-1 receptor on EBV-specific CD8+ T cells (40). In previous array comparative genomic hybridization analyses, 9p24.1 amplification was not identified in EBV+ PTLDs (41, 42), further supporting the notion that EBV infection and 9p24.1 copy gain represent alternative mechanisms of PD-L1 induction.

We previously found that the 9p24.1 amplicon increased both the gene dosage of PD-1 ligands and their induction by JAK2 (9). Given the known role of JAK/STAT signaling in the induction of PD-L1, we asked whether EBV LMP1 modulated JAK/STAT activity and PD-L1 expression in EBV-transformed LCLs. Of interest, EBV-LCLs expressed higher levels of the JAK3–associated STAT proteins, and chemical JAK3 inhibition decreased PD-L1 expression in LCLs. These findings highlight alternative mechanisms of JAK/STAT-mediated PD-L1 induction that may be operative in EBV+ CHLs. Of note, additional molecular bases of JAK activation have been described in CHL, including mutations of SOCS-1 (43), downregulation of miR-135a (44), and rare rearrangements of JAK2 (45).

The additional mechanisms of PD-L1 induction, AP-1 activation, and EBV infection expand the group of CHLs that may be amenable to PD-1 blockade and identify EBV-PTLD as another lymphoid tumor in which to consider this treatment strategy. The data also provide a likely mechanism for the observed expression of PD-L1 in other malignancies with constitutive AP-1 activity such as anaplastic large cell lymphoma (7, 46, 47) and melanoma (48) and bases for further analyses of the PD-1 pathway in additional EBV-associated tumors (2, 49).

Furthermore, our studies show that AP-1 activation and EBV infection induce the expression of 2 immunoregulatory molecules, galectin-1 and PD-L1, which limit antitumor T-cell responses by different and potentially complementary mechanisms (5–7). These data highlight the existence of synergistic AP-1–dependent mechanisms of tumor immune evasion and suggest additional approaches to combined targeted immunotherapy.
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