Abstract

Purpose: Previous studies have shown that ischemia alters gene expression in normal and malignant tissues. There are no studies that evaluated effects of ischemia in renal tumors. This study examines the impact of ischemia and tissue procurement conditions on RNA integrity and gene expression in renal cell carcinoma.

Experimental Design: Ten renal tumors were resected without renal hilar clamping from 10 patients with renal clear cell carcinoma. Immediately after tumor resection, a piece of tumor was snap frozen. Remaining tumor samples were stored at 4°C, 22°C, and 37°C and frozen at 5, 30, 60, 120, and 240 minutes. Histopathologic evaluation was conducted on all tissue samples, and only those with greater than 80% tumor were selected for further analysis. RNA integrity was confirmed by electropherograms and quantitated using RNA integrity number index. Altered gene expression was assessed by paired, two-sample t test between the zero time point and aliquots from various conditions obtained from the same tumor.

Results: One hundred and forty microarrays were conducted. Some RNA degradation was observed 240 minutes after resection at 37°C. The expression of more than 4,000 genes was significantly altered by ischemia times or storage conditions. The greatest gene expression changes were observed with longer ischemia time and warmer tissue procurement conditions.

Conclusion: RNA from kidney cancer remains intact for up to 4 hours post surgical resection regardless of storage conditions. Despite excellent RNA preservation, time after resection and procurement conditions significantly influence gene expression profiles. Meticulous attention to preacquisition variables is of paramount importance for accurate tumor profiling.

Introduction

High-throughput technologies such as gene expression microarrays have been used to obtain prognostic and predictive signatures that often outperform any combination of clinical or pathologic variables currently available (1–2). In oncology, these technologies play an increasingly important clinical role in providing patients with individualized information about their disease, thereby guiding therapy. As gene expression studies become clinically applicable, the accuracy and relevance of findings need to be addressed.

Gene expression microarray data is well known to be subject to interplatform variation, laboratory processing variation, and variation from statistical analysis (3–4). An area that has not been thoroughly explored is the effect of tissue procurement conditions and preacquisition variables on tumor gene expression profiles. Most published gene expression studies indicate that surgical specimens were “snap frozen” after surgery but few specify how long and under what conditions the specimen were kept before “snap-freezing.” Furthermore, with the advent of laparoscopic and robotic surgery, the acquisition variables before tissue processing have become even more complex, as these specimens are subjected to prolonged intervals of ischemia at body temperature.

This study seeks to investigate the effect of ischemia and tissue procurement conditions on gene expression profiling in renal cell carcinoma (RCC).

Materials and Methods

Study design and tissue procurement

Solid renal tumors were obtained from 10 patients with von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) undergoing open partial nephrectomy at the National Cancer Institute (NCI; Bethesda, MD) between 2007 and 2009. The tumors were selected for study only if the following inclusion criteria...
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were met: (i) preoperative evaluation with computed tomography (CT) and intraoperative evaluation with ultrasound confirmed presence of a solid homogeneous renal mass; (ii) the tumor was resected without clamping of the renal hilum (to minimize ischemia time); and (iii) immediate sectioning of tumor in the operating room confirmed gross tumor homogeneity.

To ensure adequate amount of available tissue for analysis and to minimize tumor heterogeneity, tumors with a diameter less than 2 cm or greater than 6 cm, evidence of cystic components, areas of necrosis, or hemorrhage on gross inspection were excluded. No patient received previous chemotherapy, targeted therapy, or radiotherapy. Resected tumors were evaluated and procured immediately in the operating room by a tissue procurement team consisting of a pathologist, a tissue banking technician, and an assistant. The tumor procurement process is shown in Fig. 1.

Briefly, immediately after surgical resection, a piece of tumor was embedded in an optimum cutting temperature (OCT)-filled cassette (Tissue-Tek, OCT Compound, Sakura Finetek) and snap-frozen in isopentane solution that was chilled by dry ice. This tissue was used as the zero time point reference sample. Remaining tumor samples were stored in PBS at 3 temperature conditions: (i) 4°C to simulate intracorporeal storage of resected tumors during laparoscopic or robotic surgery. At 5, 30, 60, 120, and 240 minutes after surgical resection, a piece of tissue from each temperature condition was “snap-frozen” in similar fashion as described above. Subsequently, all tissue samples were transferred to and stored in liquid nitrogen at −160°C until histopathologic evaluation and RNA extraction. Tissue acquisition, processing, and analysis were approved under an NCI Institutional Review Board-approved protocol.

Specimen handling and pathologic confirmation
After specimen retrieval from liquid nitrogen, the representative slides of the tumor aliquot were prepared using a cryostat microtome in the laboratory. The tissue obtained from the tumor aliquot was immediately used for RNA extraction. Histopathologic evaluation, including tumor content, stromal contribution, and absence of tumor necrosis, was conducted by a single pathologist (C. Bechert) on hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained frozen sections from the procured samples. To assure microscopic homogeneity of the tumor as well as presence of the tumor in the analyzed tissue fragment, 2 H&E slides, above and below the tissue used for RNA extraction, were prepared from each sample (Fig. 2). Microscopic evaluation confirmed that all H&E slides contained greater than 80% clear cell RCC.

RNA isolation
Fifteen 20-μm thick frozen sections from each tissue sample were homogenized in TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies). Total RNA was isolated using a standard chloroform extraction protocol followed by a cleanup process with Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen Inc.). RNA purity was assessed by the ratio of spectrophotometric absorbance at 260 and 280 nm (A260/280 nm) using NanoDrop ND-1000 (NanoDrop Inc.). RNA integrity was evaluated by using RNA 6000 Nano LabChips on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). All chips were prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA degradations were evaluated by reviewing the electropherograms and the RNA integrity number (RIN); only samples with preserved 18S and 28S peaks and RIN values greater than 7 were selected for gene expression analysis (5–6).

Microarray hybridization and image acquisition
Mesmer RNA expression levels were analyzed using the GeneChip HG-U133 plus 2.0 platform following the
manufacturer’s protocol (Affymetrix Inc.). Briefly, double-stranded cDNA was synthesized from 100 ng of total RNA from each tumor sample using GeneChip Two-Cycle cDNA Target Synthesis Kit (Affymetrix Inc.). After 2 rounds of amplifications, in vitro transcription was conducted using IVT Labeling Kit (Affymetrix Inc.) to synthesize biotin-labeled cRNA. An aliquot of 15 μg labeled cRNA was fragmented and subsequently hybridized for 16 hours at 45°C in a hybridization oven to a HG-U133 plus 2.0 oligonucleotide array. Each array was then washed, stained, and scanned by the Affymetrix GeneChip 3000 Scanner. Raw intensities for each probe were stored as .DAT and .CEL files by the GeneChip Operating Software v1.4 (Affymetrix Inc.).

Data analysis and statistical methods

Complete analyses of array data were carried out in BRB-Array Tools v3.7 (7). The expression intensities for all probe sets from Affymetrix .CEL files were normalized using the robust multiarray average (RMA) algorithm (8). To determine which genes were differentially expressed at each temperature and time point, class comparison analysis was conducted using a permutation paired, 2-sample t test (9). Next, a multivariate analysis was conducted using the temperature condition as a blocking variable. The null hypothesis tested in the multivariate analysis assumes there were no gene expression changes over time within a specific condition. Differential expression was considered significant at \( P < 0.001 \). The MIAME-compliant microarray data are available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/ under accession number GSE41137.

Microarray data validation with quantitative real-time PCR

Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was used to validate microarray results for selected genes with known prognostic significance. An aliquot of 500 ng of total RNA from snap frozen as well as samples frozen at 1 hour after surgical resection from 4°C, 22°C, and 37°C was reverse transcribed to synthesize cDNA using High Capacity cDNA Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems Inc.) according to manufacturer’s protocol. Primer sets specific for 3 selected genes and a reference gene, *Cyclophilin A*, were obtained from TaqMan Gene Expression Assay using UMapIt, an online microarray-to-TaqMan assays mapping tool (Applied Biosystems; ref. 10). Relative quantification of gene expression by qRT-PCR was conducted in triplicate using ABI PRISM 7000 Sequence Detection System according to manufacturer’s protocol (Applied Biosystems). The \( 2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct} \) method (11) was used to calculate the relative expression between snap frozen and samples with an hour of ischemic time from all 3 temperature conditions.

Results

Patient demographic and clinical characteristics

The demographic characteristics of the 10 patients in this study are listed in Table 1. Median patient age was 42 years. Median tumor size (in largest diameter) was 3.25 cm, and 70% of the specimens were taken from the left kidneys. The pathologic grade for all tumor samples was Furhman grade 2.

RNA integrity of tumor samples from various storage conditions

Electropherograms of various tissue procurement conditions are shown in Fig. 3. No signs of RNA degradation were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient ID</th>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Specimen site</th>
<th>Fuhrman grade</th>
<th>Tumor size (largest diameter in cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>ccRCC</td>
<td>Left kidney</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>ccRCC</td>
<td>Right kidney</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>ccRCC</td>
<td>Left kidney</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>ccRCC</td>
<td>Left kidney</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>ccRCC</td>
<td>Right kidney</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>ccRCC</td>
<td>Left kidney</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>ccRCC</td>
<td>Left kidney</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>ccRCC</td>
<td>Left kidney</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>ccRCC</td>
<td>Left kidney</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>ccRCC</td>
<td>Left kidney</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: ccRCC, clear cell RCCs.
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Gene expression changes associated with tissue procurement conditions

Table 2 shows the results from the class comparison analysis between snap-frozen tissue and tissue from each of the procurement conditions. The number of differentially expressed genes increased with longer ischemia time and warmer procurement conditions. Although all genes in Table 2 are statistically significant with \( P < 0.001 \), only those that are differentially expressed at longer ischemic time or warmer temperatures have false discovery rate (FDR) less than 0.20. Similar trends were observed on multivariate analysis, with the number of differentially expressed genes in the samples stored at 37°C significantly higher than in samples stored in 4°C and 22°C. Once again, the FDR decreased with warmer storage conditions.

Figure 4 shows the microarray gene expression summaries for 4 selected prognostic genes at various temperature conditions and ischemia time. The expression levels for all 4 genes remained relatively constant when the specimen was stored at 4°C. Greater expression changes were observed at warmer temperature and longer ischemia time.

Confimation with quantitative real-time PCR

Figure 5 shows the mean relative expression levels of both microarray and qRT-PCR for 3 known prognostic RCC genes (CD44, FOS, and HSPA1B) from 3 different procurement temperature conditions at 1 hour after surgical resection. For all 3 genes, the relative gene expression level increased with warmer tissue procurement conditions as shown by both qRT-PCR and microarray analysis. Similar to the above findings, the greatest overexpression was observed at 37°C, whereas minimal gene expression changes were seen for specimens stored at 4°C.

Table 2. Differentially expressed genes over 4 hours in 3 temperature conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time, min</th>
<th>Number of differentially expressed genes at each time point</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
<th>FDR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Univariate analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4°C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>240</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22°C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37°C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3945</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multivariate analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4°C</td>
<td>All time points</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22°C</td>
<td>All time points</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37°C</td>
<td>All time points</td>
<td>4797</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cancer tissue, De Cecco and colleagues showed that ischemia coupled with room temperature storage affected the expression profile of 461 genes with important prognostic information (14). In our study, for the first time, we aimed at addressing both the impact of ischemia time and the procurement conditions on RNA quality and gene expression of RCCs.

Our study is notable for 2 major findings. First, RNA integrity of renal tumors remained stable up to 4 hours after surgical extirpation. This finding is consistent with several studies on the effect of ischemia time on RNA stability (15–18). Micke and colleagues, for example, similarly showed that RNA extracted from normal tonsil and colon tissue remained stable up to 16 hours after surgical resection (15).
Our second finding, however, revealed that despite excellent RNA quality on electropherogram with high RIN numbers, there were significant gene expression changes not depicted on RNA quality assays. In addition to ischemia time, the storage temperature conditions also had a profound effect on the levels of changes in gene expression (Table 2). This finding was further supported by low FDR observed at higher temperature storage conditions. FDR is an important additional statistical method used to ensure that some genes discovered as significant are indeed significantly different in their expression (3). The FDR in Table 2 decreased with longer ischemia time and higher temperature conditions, confirming that the number of genes differentially expressed at 37°C were truly statistically significant (as reflected by smaller FDR values). In addition, the number of differentially expressed genes identified at 37°C vastly outnumbered that of 4°C and 22°C at each one of the respective time points. For instance, at 2 hours after surgical extirpation, 1,048 genes were differentially expressed at 37°C compared with only 29 and 469 genes at 4°C and 22°C, respectively. Notably, storage conditions mimicking both laparoscopy (37°C) and room temperature (22°C) resulted in significant alteration of tumor profiling.

The present study may have a profound clinical relevance because it shows that the gene expression pattern obtained from tumors procured under laparoscopic or robotic procedures, or subjected to prolonged storage at room temperature, may be a reflection of "periprocedural insult" rather than true tumor biology. As more procedures in surgical oncology are conducted using minimally invasive techniques, the methods of tissue acquisition must be carefully monitored and reviewed. Currently, there are no published data that specifically examine the effect of storage conditions or laparoscopic surgery on tumor gene expression. Dash and colleagues addressed the effect of warm ischemia time on radical prostatectomy specimens and found that 41 genes were overexpressed at 1 hour after surgical resection (19). Their study, however, was done at room temperature rather than under true laparoscopic conditions. With more oncologic surgeries being conducted using the minimally invasive approach, the validity of gene expression data obtained from specimen resected via laparoscopic or robotic approach must be addressed.

The emergence of high-throughput microarray technology has led to the discovery of a plethora of molecular prognosticating biomarkers for different cancer types. A recent literature review by Nogueira and Kim described at least 60 RCC biomarkers with prognostic implications discovered by gene and protein expression experiments (20). In this study, we found that at least 25 of these known biomarkers were differentially expressed at 37°C underscoring the ischemia-sensitive nature of these prognostic signatures. For example, our data showed that laparoscopic conditions altered the expression of several genes with known important prognostic implications in patients with RCCs (21–23). Vimentin (VIM), CD44, FOS, hypoxia-inducible factor 1-α (HIF-1α), and other genes have been used in prognostic nomograms or found to correlate with aggressiveness of RCCs (21–23). In this situation, upregulation of these genes may be interpreted as aggressive disease and poor clinical outcomes, whereas our data showed that the overexpression of these genes is secondary to the procurement conditions and not due to underlying tumor biology.

Therefore, the use of expression data from tumors obtained under suboptimal conditions to guide clinical judgment should be interpreted with caution. In addition, prior studies on prognostic biomarkers using microarrays may need to be carefully reevaluated as specimen procurement conditions and time from tumor resection to processing were rarely reported. Furthermore, tissue specimen in tumor banks collected years ago must be carefully assessed for use in expression profiling.

We chose to validate our microarray data using qRT-PCR for CD44, fos, and HSPA1B based on their expression pattern at 60 minutes after surgical extirpation as well as prognostic implications. CD44 is a transmembrane glycoprotein involved in tumor progression, and expression of this gene in RCCs is associated with adverse prognosis (24). The protooncogene c-fos plays an important role in the growth and differentiation of renal tissue, and its overexpression has been implicated in the formation of RCCs (25–26). HSPA1B is the gene that encodes HSP70, which is an important prognostic gene for many cancer types including, ovarian cancer, gastric cancer, esophageal cancer, and more recently, Wilm tumor (27–30). All 3 genes were significantly overexpressed at 37°C underscoring the profound influence of tissue procurement conditions on gene expression changes of surgical specimens. Interestingly, the gene expression level at 4°C for all 3 genes remained relatively stable compared with snap-frozen, suggesting that tumor expression profile may be preserved up to 1 hour after resection when stored on ice.

Thus far, our results showed that the methods of tissue acquisition clearly have a profound impact on renal tumor gene expression and may allow for guidance about tissue collection and handling practices for RCCs. Immediately after surgical resection, renal tumor should be removed and snap-frozen to preserve gene expression profile. If immediate snap freezing is not possible in the operating room, renal tumor should be placed on ice immediately after surgical extirpation and frozen within 1 hour after resection. In the setting of laparoscopic or robotic surgery, where immediate removal of specimen is not always feasible resulting in warm ischemic conditions for greater than 30 minutes, interpretation of molecular profiling should be done with great caution.

We should acknowledge a few potential drawbacks of our study. First, we did not use laser capture microdissection (LCM) to specifically extract tumor cells from surgical specimen. We chose not to use LCM because the laser dissection process requires warming the tissue block to room temperature for approximately 30 minutes, enough time to confound the effect of tissue procurement conditions on tumor expression profile. In addition, with increased understanding of tumor microenvironment, we
chose to preserve and analyze the "whole" tumor rather than tumoral cells alone. Instead, we have meticulously processed and confirmed that the analyzed aliquot consisted of tumor by preparing more than 300 H&E slides above and below each tissue block containing the aliquot. Second, we chose not to use core biopsies to be able to adequately assess the composition of the studied aliquot with H&E slides and ensure adequate amounts of tissue obtained for RNA studies. Third, we realize that despite our maximal efforts to procure from homogeneous mass, there is inherent heterogeneity in each tumor. This was addressed by obtaining as many as 16 aliquots from each tumor and conducting gene expression analysis on almost 90% of all aliquots (140 from 160). Fourth, as tumor samples were taken from patients with VHL, a hereditary disorder that is responsible for less than 4% of all RCCs (31), one may wonder about applicability of our data to the general population with sporadic RCCs. We should point out that the vast majority of sporadic clear cell RCCs harbor mutations in the VHL gene (32), suggesting that our findings on the effect of ischemia on clear cell tumors are valid. Furthermore, the pseudohypoxic mechanism for tumor formation in VHL tumors is similar to their sporadic clear RCC counterparts (33). In fact, we chose to carry out this experiment on VHL tumors because of their homogeneous nature, surgical accessibility, well-characterized molecular pathways, and similarity with the most common type sporadic clear cell RCCs (34). Finally, while our results may not be a true reflection of gene expression profiles in other types of RCCs or other tumor types, we have deliberately kept our tumor selection as pure as we possibly could.

Several strengths of this study should also be noted. First, this study used the most robust gene expression technology available to date with 140 microarrays studied. Second, all tumors were resected without the effect of renal hilar clamping or vascular ischemia and were processed immediately by a dedicated tissue procurement team in the operated room; therefore, we have maximally controlled for every confounding variable potentially affecting our results. Third, this study allows for identification of ischemia-sensitive and procurement-sensitive genes as well as those genes that are resilient to procurement conditions. Such genes from various tumor types will hopefully become available to the scientific community, eventually influencing patient care. Creation of such libraries may become of paramount importance in the future. Finally, our study shows that simple tissue handling, such as placing tumor specimen on ice immediately after surgical resection may maximally preserve tumor biologic profile for an extended period of time.

In summary, the present study is the first attempt to address the influence of both ischemia time and different storage conditions on gene expression profiles in RCCs. We show that despite excellent RNA preservation, preacquisition variables significantly influence tumor profiles. This work underscores the importance of meticulous documentation of tumor handling and processing and minimizing warm ischemic conditions.

Conclusion

Our data show that RNA from renal cell cancer remains intact for up to 4 hours after surgical resection when stored on ice. Despite RNA preservation sufficient for gene expression analysis, prolonged warm ischemia is associated with significant changes in gene expression profiles. Placing tumor on ice immediately after surgical extirpation may allow for preservation of molecular profile. In the setting of laparoscopic or robotic surgery, where immediate removal of specimen is not always feasible resulting in warm ischemic conditions for greater than 30 minutes, interpretation of molecular profiling should be done with great caution.
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