Spinophilin Loss Correlates with Poor Patient Prognosis in Advanced Stages of Colon Carcinoma


Abstract

**Purpose:** The genomic region 17q21 is frequently associated with microsatellite instability and LOH in cancer, including gastric and colorectal carcinomas. This region contains several putative tumor suppressor genes, including *Brcal*, *NM23*, *prohibitin*, and *spinophilin* (*Spn*, *PPP1R9B*, *neurabin II*). The scaffold protein Spn is one of the regulatory subunits of phosphatase-1 (PP1) that targets PP1 to distinct subcellular locations and couples PP1 to its target. Thus, Spn may alter cell-cycle progression via the regulation of the phosphorylation status of the retinoblastoma protein, a direct target of PP1. Therefore, we analyzed whether Spn levels were reduced in colorectal carcinomas and whether Spn levels correlated with prognosis or response to therapy.

**Experimental Design:** By means of immunohistochemistry or quantitative PCR, we studied the levels of Spn in stages II, III, and IV colorectal carcinoma tumors and correlated to other clinicopathologic features as well as prognosis or response to therapy.

**Results:** Spn was lost in a percentage of human gastric, small intestine, and colorectal carcinomas. In patients with colorectal carcinoma, tumoral Spn downregulation correlated with a more aggressive histologic phenotype (poorer tumor differentiation and higher proliferative Ki67 index). Consistent with this observation, lower Spn protein expression levels were associated with faster relapse and poorer survival in patients with stage III colorectal carcinoma, particularly among those receiving adjuvant fluoropyrimidine therapy. We validated this result in an independent cohort of patients with metastatic colorectal carcinoma treated with standard chemotherapy. Although patients that achieved an objective tumor response exhibited Spn levels similar to nontumoral tissue, nonresponding patients showed a significant reduction in *Spn* mRNA levels.

**Conclusions:** Our data suggest that Spn downregulation contributes to a more aggressive biologic behavior, induces chemoresistance, and is associated with a poorer survival in patients with advanced stages of colorectal carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res;* 19(14): 3925–35. ©2013 AACR.

Introduction

Colorectal carcinoma is one of the most common malignant tumors worldwide (1). Despite advances in early detection, approximately one third of patients present with metastatic disease at diagnosis, and approximately 40% of patients with early-stage tumors eventually relapse over the course of their disease (2). Systemic therapy is the mainstay of care for patients with metastatic colorectal carcinoma (mCRC). Several combination regimens, including fluoropyrimidines and oxaliplatin and/or irinotecan, with or without monoclonal antibodies targeting VEGF or EGF receptor, have been successfully developed and are associated with response rates of 40% to 60% and a median survival of 20 to 24 months (3–5). Despite the undeniable progress, a considerable proportion of patients do not respond to therapy, and reliable tools are needed to prospectively identify those patients who are more likely to benefit.

Colon carcinogenesis represents a stepwise progression from benign polyps to invasive adenocarcinoma that is believed to be the consequence of multiple sequential genetic and epigenetic alterations (6). Approximately, 15 driver mutations have been identified to be relevant in colorectal carcinoma carcinogenesis. These mutations affect cell proliferation, migration, differentiation, adhesion, cell death,
Spn is located in the 17q21 region. 17q21 is frequently associated with microsatellite instability and LOH in cancer, and therefore our work might uncover the relevance of Spn as relevant gene inside this locus and also in other tumors.

Translational Relevance

Our data are the first report to show that Spn down-regulation contributes to a more aggressive biologic behavior, induces chemoresistance, and is associated with a poorer survival in patients with advanced stages of cancer, in this case colorectal carcinoma. Therefore, the identification of the levels of Spn in advanced stages of colorectal tumor biopsies might contribute to select patients who could or could not benefit from current chemotherapy. Spn, also known as PPP1R9B, is a regulator of PP1α, a phosphatase regulating many crucial aspects such as cell-cycle G₁ transition through the regulation of retinoblastoma phosphorylated status. Spn is located in the 17q21 region. 17q21 is frequently associated with microsatellite instability and LOH in cancer, and therefore our work might uncover the relevance of Spn as relevant gene inside this locus and also in other tumors.

and DNA stability and repair (7). The most commonly involved pathways include the Wnt/β-catenin, TGF-β/BMP, TP53, receptor tyrosine kinases, K-RAS, and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling pathways (8).

Furthermore, a number of chromosomal regions are altered in colorectal carcinomas (9). Among them, 17q21 is lost in a high percentage of colorectal and gastric carcinomas (10–13). This is a region frequently associated with microsatellite instability and LOH. This region contains several putative tumor suppressor genes, including Brca1, NM23, prohibitin, and spinophilin. We have previously found that Spn mRNA is lost in a percentage of renal carcinomas and lung adenocarcinomas (14, 15). Interestingly, analysis of normal versus tumoral central nervous system samples showed a clear downregulation of Spn in tumoral samples (14). Furthermore, lower levels of Spn mRNA correlated with a higher grade in ovarian carcinoma and chronic myelogenous leukemia (14).

Spinophilin (also known as neurabin II and PPP1R9B) was isolated independently by two laboratories and has been shown to interact with protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) and F-actin (16, 17). Genetic and biochemical analyses have revealed a number of distinct modular domains in Spn that govern protein–protein interactions (17–21). Spn may contribute to tumorigenesis through PP1/pRB regulation (22). Accordingly, the loss of Spn maintains higher levels of phosphorylated retinoblastoma (Rb). This effect contributes to an increase in p53 activity through an increase in the ADP ribosylation factor (ARF) protein. However, in the absence of p53, reduced levels of Spn increase the tumorigenic properties of cells. In vivo, Spn knockout mice have a reduced lifespan, an increased number of tumors, and increased cellular proliferation (23). In addition, the combined loss of Spn and p53 activity leads to an increase in mammary carcinomas, confirming the functional relationship between p53 and Spn.

Taking into consideration the relationship of Spn with cell-cycle regulation, the effect of Spn loss in knockout models and its previously suggested role as a tumor suppressor in other tumors, the objective of the present study was to explore the role of Spn in colorectal carcinoma. With this purpose, we assessed the functional consequences of Spn loss in colorectal carcinoma tumors and its impact in the clinical outcome of patients with stage II–IV colorectal carcinoma.

Materials and Methods

Patient population and tumor samples

In the present work, we analyzed two different cohorts of patients, whose main characteristics are summarized in Supplementary Tables S1 (cohort 1) and S2 (cohort 2). Cohort 1 included patients with stages II and III colorectal carcinoma, whereas cohort 2 comprised patients with metastatic stage IV colorectal carcinoma.

**Cohort 1.** Cohort 1 comprised 218 patients with surgically resected stage II and III colorectal carcinoma. High-risk stage II and all stage III patients received adjuvant fluoropyrimidine chemotherapy following surgery, unless medically contraindicated. Tissue arrays were generated with formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded surgical primary tumor specimens for immunohistochemical staining.

**Cohort 2.** Cohort 2 was used to study Spn mRNA levels. This cohort comprised 86 tumor samples and 33 nontumor control samples from patients with mCRC (stage IV). Following surgical resection, tumor tissue samples were collected from all patients and immediately snap-frozen at −80°C until further use. All patients were treated with fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy plus oxaliplatin or irinotecan until disease progression. Patients were classified according to best response to chemotherapy in two groups: responders (N = 46) or those that achieved an objective response (complete or partial response) and nonresponders (N = 40) or those whose best response to therapy was either stable or progressive disease. Tumor response was evaluated by conventional methods according to the standard Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) 1.0 criteria: a complete response (CR) was defined as the disappearance of all measurable and evaluable evidence of disease; a partial response (PR) was defined as a more than 30% decrease in the sum of the longest diameters of target lesions; stable disease was considered if the tumor burden decreased less than 30% or increased less than 20%; and progressive disease (PD) was indicated by a more than 20% increase in the sum of the longest diameters of target lesions or the appearance of any new lesion. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board and Ethical Committee of participating institutions. All patients provided informed consent.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were conducted using the SPSS statistical package (16.0 for Windows). The potential association between continuous or categorical variables was assessed by the Pearson correlation coefficient or by the
χ² or Fisher exact test, respectively. The distribution of quantitative variables among different study groups was assessed using parametric (Student t test) or nonparametric (Kruskal–Wallis or Mann–Whitney) tests, as appropriate. Disease-free interval (DFI) and overall survival (OS) of patients were calculated using the Kaplan–Meier method, and differences among subgroups were assessed by the log-rank test. The Cox proportional hazards method was used for multivariable analysis to assess the influence of Spn expression on DFI and OS, while adjusting for other potential confounding factors such as age, tumor–node–metastasis (TNM) stage, tumor grade, or adjuvant chemotherapy. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

**Histopathology and immunohistochemistry**

Tissue or tumor samples were dissected and fixed in 10% buffered formalin. Formalin-fixed tissues were embedded in paraffin and a tissue microarray (TMA) was generated. For histopathologic analysis, TMAs were sectioned (2.5 μm) and stained with hematoxylin and eosin stain. The slides were baked overnight at 56°C, deparaffinized in xylene, rehydrated, and washed with PBS. Epitope retrieval was conducted using sodium citrate (pH 6.5). Endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched with 1.5% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 10 minutes. Incubation (40 minutes) was carried out with anti-spinophilin, which was purchased from Chemicon (AB5669). The secondary antibodies used were as follows: horseradish peroxidase goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG; Dako) diluted 1:50; horseradish peroxidase goat anti-mouse IgG (Jackson Immunoresearch) diluted 1:50; and horseradish peroxidase rabbit anti-goat IgG (Dako) diluted 1:50. After incubation, immunodetection was carried out with the peroxidase-based PAP system (Dako) using diaminobenzidine as substrate. Incubations omitting the specific antibody were used as a negative control. Spn protein tissue expression intensity was assessed twice by an investigator blinded to the clinical information. A discrete value was assigned to each observation ranging from 0 (no Spn expression) to 3 (high expression, similar to normal colon tissue), and the average value calculated for each tumor. Score was obtained by multiplying the intensity by the percentage of positive cells.

**RNA isolation from samples**

Total RNA, containing small RNA, was extracted from tumor and normal tissue control samples by the mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit (Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The total RNA yield was determined using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Tech).

**Spn quantitative RT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted using TRI-Reagent (Sigma) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. After RNA isolation, DNase I (Roche) treatment was carried out using 40 U of enzyme in the presence of 40 U of RNase inhibitor (Roche) per sample at 37°C for 1 hour. Following phenol-chloroform purification, the RNA was quantified using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer and quality-checked using a MOPS-1,2% agarose gel. cDNA synthesis was carried out using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems) with 1 μg of total RNA as the starting material for each sample. The PCR reactions were carried out in 96-well optical plates (Applied Biosystems) with an ABI PRISM 7900HT sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems). The reaction mix was prepared using 1× SYBRGreen Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). 50 nM/L of each primer [Spn: 5’ GCCCACCTAATTCAGCGACAC 3’ (forward), 5’ GGAGCTCCCTTTGACCTGTC 3’ (reverse); glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH): 5’ GAGTCAACGGA-TTGGTCTGT 3’ (forward), 5’ TTGATTTGGAGGGAAGTCTCG 3’ (reverse)], and 100 ng of cDNA in a final volume of 50 μL. The cycling conditions were set to 10 minutes at 95°C followed by 40 cycles of 15 seconds at 95°C and 1 minute at 60°C. Finally, a dissociation curve was generated to check the amplification specificity. The results were analyzed and the relative mRNA quantity was calculated using the qBasePlus software. Cyclophilin (ref. 4326316E), an endogenous control, was used to normalize variations in cDNA quantities from different samples. Each reaction was carried out in triplicate with cDNA from tumor tissues from each patient.

**Methylation-specific PCR**

Genomic DNA was isolated from fresh tissue using QIAamp DNA Mini Extraction Kit (Qiagen Inc.) and DNA concentration was measured by 260-nm absorbance. Total genomic DNA (1 μg) was treated with sodium bisulfite reagent using the EZ DNA Methylation-Gold Kit (Zymo Research) following the manufacturer’s protocol. In each methylation-specific PCR (MSP) reaction, 40 ng of final product was used in a 20 μL volume containing 10 μL 2× Zymo Taq DNA polimerase master mix (Zymo Research), 0.25 mM/L of deoxynucleotide triphosphate and 0.3 μmol/L of each primer in a G-Storm Thermal Cycler (Gene Technologies). For human Spn, one pair of methylated (M) primers and one pair of unmethylated (U) primers covering the same region were used to analyze DNA methylation at the 5’ proximal region within the CpG island identified by UCSC Genome Bioinformatics (http: genome.ucsc.edu). The primers used in MSP are: MSP-M, forward, 5’-TTGGTTAGTCGTTTGTGAACCG-3’, reverse, 5’- TAAATACCTCTCTCTCCTTT-3’, and MSP-U, forward, 5’- TTGGTTTAGGTGTGTTGCAATGTCG -3’, reverse, 5’- AAAAAACCCCGT TCAAATACCCCATCAT-3’. Each reaction was heated at 95°C for 10 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of 30 seconds at 95°C, 30 seconds at 62°C (primers specific for methylated C) or 30 seconds at 57°C (primers specific for unmethylated C), and 30 seconds at 72°C, followed by a final 10-minute extension at 72°C. Ten microliters of each PCR reaction were loaded directly in 2% agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized under UV illumination.

**Cell culture, retroviral vectors, and gene transfer**

The cells were grown and processed following previously described (24).
Colony formation

Briefly, colorectal carcinoma cells were transfected with the indicated construct. After 16 hours, a glycerol shock was carried out, and the cells were cultured at 37°C. Forty-eight hours after transfection, the cells were selected with 0.5 μg/mL of puromycin. After 12 days, the plates were fixed and the colonies were stained with crystal violet.

Growth in soft agar

To measure anchorage-independent growth and foci formation, we followed a previously described protocol. Colonies were scored 3 weeks after seeding; all values were determined in triplicate.

Results

Loss of Spn in gastrointestinal tract tumors

To first study whether Spn is downregulated in human colorectal carcinoma, we explored whether RNA or protein levels were decreased in tumor samples. To broadly analyze whether Spn RNA levels showed variations in colorectal carcinoma samples, we first determined Spn mRNA expression levels using quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) in several paired normal/tumoral tissue samples from the same patient (cohort 2). Approximately, 25% of colorectal carcinoma tumors showed a greater than 50% decrease in Spn mRNA levels compared with normal colonic tissue (Fig. 1A). Interestingly, a percentage of samples from gastric (35% approx) and intestinal (15%) carcinomas also showed partial or complete loss of Spn mRNA expression (Fig. 1A).

To confirm this result by specifically exploring the presence of Spn protein, we generated a tissue array of 218 human colorectal carcinoma, 20 gastric, and 20 small intestinal tumors at different stages and with different histopathologic grades and compared them with normal tissue (cohort 1). We found that 70% of colorectal carcinoma tumors displayed high Spn levels (mean values 2.5–3), similar to the values observed in normal colonic tissue, 20% showed intermediate Spn levels and approximately 10% of tumors showed no expression of Spn (Fig. 1B and 1C).

Figure 1. Loss of Spn in human colorectal carcinoma tumors. A, the percentage of human colon, gastric, and intestinal tumors showing low or no levels of Spn mRNA is shown. The results were obtained using qRT-PCR for Spn. Then, the ratio of Spn levels in tumor/normal samples was calculated. Only the tumors with a ratio of less than 0.5 were considered positive. B, the percentage of human colon, gastric, and intestinal tumors showing high, medium, or low levels of Spn protein is shown. C, representative pictures of normal crypt and different colorectal carcinoma tumors with different Spn levels are shown. The visual score is indicated in parentheses. D, the cells used in this study express Spn. The cells were grown to 80% confluence and the mRNA was extracted. The figure indicates the RT-PCR results for Spn as indicated in the Materials and Methods section. E and F, the effects of Spn overexpression were determined. Colon cancer cell lines were infected with a retrovirus carrying Spn cDNA (gray bars) or empty vector (black bars). After selection, the cells were seeded at low density to measure clonogenicity (E) or the ability to grow in soft agar (F) as indicated in the Materials and Methods section. The graph shows the average of 3 independent experiments; the bars indicate the standard deviation.
C). Similar data were obtained with other gastrointestinal tumors. Small intestine tumor samples showed Spn protein levels similar to those of the colorectal carcinoma samples. However, a significantly greater proportion of gastric carcinomas showed a complete loss of Spn expression, as 30% of tumors had very low or null levels of Spn (Fig. 1B).

Because of the relevance of colorectal carcinoma and the availability of larger number of tumor samples, we focused on this malignancy to validate the role of Spn as a prognostic and/or predictive factor.

A similar proportion of cases showed reduced Spn levels independent of whether the analysis was conducted on mRNA or protein, suggesting that Spn downregulation occurs in most cases through the regulation of mRNA levels. To further explore this result, we analyzed Spn promoter methylation in 32 mCRC samples and found that this gene was not methylated in any of the tumor samples analyzed (Supplementary Fig. S1).

**Causal effect of Spn loss in colon and stomach tumor cell lines**

To evaluate the potential of Spn to act as tumor suppressor, we analyzed the effect of altering Spn levels by ectopically overexpressing Spn cDNA in colon tumor cell lines. Because of the functional relationship between Spn and p53 loss (22), we selected tumor cell lines with mutant or WT p53. To that end, we used the colon carcinoma cell lines COLO205 (with wild-type p53) and HT29 and SW480 (with mutant p53). These cells express normal Spn mRNA (Fig. 1D). To evaluate the effect of Spn overexpression, we transfected the cells with Spn cDNA under constitutively expressing promoters. Overexpression of Spn significantly reduced the number of colonies that were able to grow in all cell lines independently of p53 status (Fig. 1E). Next, we selected a population of cells expressing the Spn gene and seeded them in soft agar to evaluate their ability to grow with contact inhibition. We observed that cells that overexpressed Spn had reduced growth in soft agar, forming fewer colonies (Fig. 1F) that were smaller in size (data not shown). On the other hand, the reduction of Spn levels by means of overexpression of Spn shRNA increased the number of colonies growing at low density and in the presence of contact inhibition (Supplementary Fig. S2).

Therefore, our data suggest that Spn loss in colorectal carcinoma tumors might contribute to tumor cell malignancy and that the growth inhibitory effect induced by Spn overexpression is independent of the presence or absence of mutated p53 in colon tumor cell lines.

**Correlation of Spn loss with other clinical and pathologic features in colon carcinoma samples**

To evaluate whether Spn levels were associated with other clinical parameters, we correlated immunohistochemical staining results or mRNA levels with tumor stage, gender, age, tumor primary location, or differentiation. We found no correlation between Spn levels (either protein or mRNA) and other clinical parameters used in this study except for tumor grade of differentiation. We observed that Spn loss was significantly correlated with a less differentiated phenotype (Fig. 2) in metastatic tumors ($P < 0.05$), and a similar trend was observed in earlier stages of disease (data not shown).

Furthermore, as Spn loss might increase cell-cycle progression by maintaining high levels of phosphorylated Rb protein and increasing the replicative capacity of cells, we assessed the correlation between Ki67 proliferative index
in tumors and Spn expression levels. We found that Spn loss correlated with an increased percentage of cells showing nuclear Ki67 staining only in stage III tumors (stage IV tumors were not analyzed), and high levels of Spn in general correlated with lower Ki67 staining (Fig. 3). Although a large variability could be observed among samples, this correlation was significant (Pearson correlation, \( r = 0.049 \)). A, the graphs indicate a lack of correlation between loss of Spn and Ki67-positive cells in stage II samples (\( r = 0.049, P = 0.577 \)) and a correlation for stage III tumors (\( r = -0.251, P = 0.022 \)). B, examples of pictures showing the Spn/Ki67 correlation in the same patient sample. Three tumors are shown (P1, P2, and P3) stained either for Spn or Ki67. Spn levels are as follows: low, \(<100\); medium, \(100 < x < 200\); high, \(x > 200\).

### Decreased Spn levels predicted a poor outcome in colorectal carcinoma patients with advanced stages of disease

To evaluate whether Spn levels were associated with clinical outcome, we correlated Spn immunohistochemical staining with patient DFI and OS. Decreased Spn levels were associated with a poorer DFI (\( P = 0.106 \)) and OS (\( P = 0.022 \)) in patients with stage III colorectal carcinoma tumors, but not in those with stage II (Fig. 4). This negative effect of low Spn expression was particularly remarkable in stage III patients that had received adjuvant fluoropyrimidine chemotherapy following surgical resection (Fig. 5), suggesting Spn downregulation may also induce some degree of chemoresistance in tumor cells. However, multivariate analysis showed that a low Spn protein expression in tumors was associated with a shorter DFI (\( P = 0.068 \)) and OS (\( P = 0.019 \)), independent of other potentially confounding factors such as age or adjuvant chemotherapy (Table 1).

To further assess this hypothesis, we evaluated the association of Spn mRNA levels with tumor response to chemotherapy in an independent cohort of 86 patients with metastatic stage IV colorectal carcinoma tumors. The relative expression levels in responders versus nonresponders to chemotherapy are represented in Fig. 6A. As shown, the transcription levels of Spn mRNA in colon tumor tissue samples of nonresponder patients were significantly lower than those observed in tumors of patients that achieved an objective response to chemotherapy (\( P = 0.017 \)), and also lower than those detected in normal colon tissue samples (\( P = 0.079 \)).

These data suggest a potential role of Spn in modulating response to cytotoxic chemotherapy in advanced colorectal carcinoma. As a control, we measured BRCA1, a neighboring gene at the 17q21 location that is commonly related to the response to chemotherapy, and found that there was no variation in the BRCA1 mRNA levels between responders and nonresponders (Fig. 6B), highlighting the relevance of Spn as a predictive factor in mCRC. Consequently, low levels of Spn mRNA were also associated with a shorter progression-free interval (PFI) and OS in this series of patients with mCRC (Fig. 6C and D).

### Discussion

We have investigated new genes whose alteration might contribute to pRb checkpoint deregulation and, therefore, to tumorigenesis. We explored the contribution of one of these genes, which is located in 17q21, to colon cancer tumorigenesis and therapy.

Spn is lost in a percentage of gastric, intestinal, and colorectal carcinomas. Spn downregulation in colorectal carcinomas is correlated with dedifferentiation and high Ki67 expression reflecting increased proliferation. The analysis of Spn downregulation and clinical outcome of patients...
with colorectal carcinoma showed that patients with stage III colorectal carcinoma with low levels of Spn exhibited lower survival and faster relapse, particularly in those receiving adjuvant therapy. Moreover, in this subset of patients, low Spn expression significantly predicted a poorer survival independent of other relevant prognostic factors such as age or having received adjuvant chemotherapy. We validated this result in an independent cohort of patients with mCRC. Although patients achieving an objective radiologic response to chemotherapy had Spn levels similar to those of nontumoral tissue, nonresponder patients showed a significant reduction of Spn mRNA levels. In this independent cohort of patients with metastatic disease, our study also revealed that low Spn expression levels were associated with a shorter progression-free survival and a higher risk of death.

The Spn gene is located in chromosome 17 at position 17q21.33. This genomic region contains a relatively high density of tumor suppressor genes including the known (BRCA1, NME1), the putative (JUP, prohibitin), and unidentified candidates distal to the BRCA1 locus. This area is frequently associated with microsatellite instability and LOH. The fraction of tumor samples in the cohort analyzed in our work with low (less than 0.5 in tumor/normal) or no Spn expression is approximately of 25%, which is in good agreement with previous reports assessing the allelic imbalance of this region (10–13).

Most of the studies on the 17q21 locus have focused on BRCA1, which exhibits a variable frequency in LOH (25–27). The relevant data regarding spinophilin LOH came from a study on primary lung carcinoma (28). Extensive LOH mapping in human lung cancers involved 15 highly polymorphic markers, seven of which span the 17q11-24 region. The higher LOH value appeared with the D17S588 marker, which showed a 53% loss. The D17S588 marker locates exactly within the spinophilin locus at 45.57 Mb (28). This association seems to be specific because closer tumor suppressor genes, such as BRCA1, were not seriously affected.
Furthermore, several genetic linkage studies have suggested the existence of a tumor suppressor gene distal to BRCA1 (29, 30), indicating the importance of this locus in cancer pathology. LOH in chromosome 17q21.3, not linked to BRCA1, has been observed in different tumors, including colorectal, gastric, and lung carcinomas (14). The analysis of BRCA1 in our samples indicates that this gene does not influence the response to treatment in patients with mCRC, highlighting the relevance of Spn gene in 17q21 LOH.

However, in addition to Spn, the NME1 gene, which has also been proposed as a tumor suppressor candidate, maps to this location. After its isolation, NME1 was described as a putative metastasis-suppressor gene on the basis of its reduced expression in murine melanoma cell lines with high metastatic potential. However, the implications of NME1 in cancer are still not clear and may have distinct, if not opposite roles, in different tumors.

As published in previous works (22), loss of Spn induces a molecular response very similar to that described in oncogen-induced senescence. This effect seems to be due to the inactivation of pRb which lead to E2F1 activation, p14ARF transcription, and consequently p53 activation. In this context, inactivation of p53 allows cells with lower levels of Spn to bypass senescence. This has been shown in mice (23) and in human lung tumors (15). We have data that this functional relationship between Spn loss and p53 mutations also exists in other tumors such as stomach tumors (data not shown). However, this functional correlation was not observed in stage II/III colorectal carcinomas. In colorectal carcinomas K-ras and B-Raf mutations, which occur in around 45% and 5% to 10% of tumors, are relative early events in the tumorigenic process (8, 31). Because oncogenic Ras or B-Raf also induce a senescence response, it is plausible that Kras- or B-Raf-mutated tumors need to bypass senescence
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To progress, and that this might occur by genetic alterations other than p53 mutations (i.e., p14ARF methylation or INK4 locus loss). Because Spn loss seems to be a late event, when this happens the senescence barrier is bypassed with no need for further p53 mutations to occur. This hypothesis therefore suggests that the correlation between Spn loss and mutations in p53 is dependent on the molecular context.

The literature relating loss-of-function of tumor suppressors and apparition of chemoresistance is large. Either by inactivating mutations such as the case of p53 or PTEN, allelic loss (i.e., PTEN or the INK4a locus), by posttranslational modifications leading to misslocalization (i.e., Foxo3A or p27kip1), or by promoter hypermethylation (i.e., p73, MLH1, or Apaf1), the loss-of-function of many tumor suppressors has been consistently related to decreased survival or tumor response in the presence of chemotherapeutic drugs in vitro and in vivo. The mechanisms through which they induce resistance have also been unraveled in many cases (32, 33). Recently, the down-regulation of microRNAs have been also described playing a role in chemoresistance. For example, loss of miR-181a and miR-630 expressions might inhibit cisplatin-induced cancer cell death in non–small cell lung carcinoma (34).

Table 1. Multivariate analysis for stage III tumors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DFI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj-CT</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>1.676 (0.691–4.063)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPN</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.594 (0.339–1.040)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.985 (0.954–1.017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj-CT</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>4.722 (1.793–12.433)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPN</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.453 (0.234–0.877)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>1.001 (0.961–1.043)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Statistics were assessed with COX regression model. Abbreviations: Adj-CT, adjuvant chemotherapy; CI: confidence interval.

Figure 6. The correlation of gene expression of Spn (A) or Brca1 (B) with response in mCRC. PFI (C) and OS (D) according to Spn mRNA levels. Spn expression levels were normalized to GAPDH in tumor tissue of nonresponders and responders to chemotherapy and in normal colon tissue located near the tumor. The differences between the groups were tested using the t test. R, responders; non-R, nonresponders.

A large number of antineoplastic agents rely on DNA damage as the main mechanism of action for cytotoxicity. Indeed, such is the case for the 3 commonly used agents in colorectal carcinoma, 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), irinotecan, and oxaliplatin (35). Mutations in the DNA mismatch repair genes MLH1 and MSH2 are associated to resistance to DNA-damaging agents (36). Cisplatin resistance has been...
attributed to defects in the mismatch repair mechanism due to hypermethylation of the MLH1 promoter (37). Interestingly, cell lines resistant to cisplatin remain sensitive to oxaliplatin (38), which is attributed to the different DNA-adducts formed by the two drugs (39). P53 is a sensor of DNA-damage launching the appropriate cellular response, arrest, apoptosis, or senescence.

The tumor suppressor p53 is mutated in approximately 50% of human cancers and its function is compromised by different mechanisms in most tumors with wild-type p53 (40). Lack of functional p53 contributes to drug resistance likely due to the inability to undergo apoptosis. For example, loss of p53 function correlated to decreased sensitivity to 5-FU and oxaliplatin but not to irinotecan- nor paclitaxel-based therapies (41). Furthermore, data from clinical trials suggest that doxorubicin is more active in p53-wild-type cancers. Spn could contribute to oxaliplatin and 5-FU resistance by regulating p53 phosphorylation and activity which is regulated by PP1 (42). However more work is necessary to confirm this hypothesis. Disruption of apoptosis downstream p53 may also contribute to chemoresistance (40, 41). However, while p53 mutations contribute to resistance to chemo- and radiotherapy, BRCA1/2 dysfunction leads to increased sensitivity to DNA-damaging agents in breast and ovarian tumors (43, 44). Other p53 family members, p73 and p63, have been related to chemoresistance by different mechanisms (45).

Also, activation of AKT, which may occur by activation of tyrosine kinase receptors, PI3Ks or Ras, or inactivation of the tumor suppressor PTEN or proapoptotic proteins also has been related in many cases to chemoresistance in solid tumors. Some of these targets are regulated by PP1, such as PTEN, AKT, Bad, Bax, or BRCA1 (42, 46) and therefore, Spn may contribute to resistance by indirectly targeting some of these targets to the phosphatase. More work is warranted to confirm the mechanism of resistance involved.

In summary, our data show for the first time that the protein spinophilin, Spn, has prognostic and predictive value for advanced stages of colorectal carcinoma. Together with other reports on Spn (17–20, 22), our data suggest that the scaffolding protein Spn is important for the regulation of PP1a and p7b and that its absence may contribute to tumorigenesis in colorectal carcinomas. Mechanistically, the loss of Spn may induce a proliferative response by increasing p7b phosphorylation, which may influence the malignant phenotype and contribute to the failure of therapy in advanced stages of colorectal carcinoma.
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