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Abstract

Purpose: Results from the first-in-human phase I trial of the anti–programmed death-1 (PD-1) antibody BMS-936558 in patients with treatment-refractory solid tumors, including safety, tolerability, pharmacodynamics, and immunologic correlates, have been previously reported. Here, we provide long-term follow-up on three patients from that trial who sustained objective tumor regressions off therapy, and test the hypothesis that reinduction therapy for late tumor recurrence can be effective.

Experimental Design: Three patients with colorectal cancer, renal cell cancer, and melanoma achieved objective responses on an intermittent dosing regimen of BMS-936558. Following cessation of therapy, patients were followed for more than 3 years. A patient with melanoma who experienced a prolonged partial regression followed by tumor recurrence received reinduction therapy.

Results: A patient with colorectal cancer experienced a complete response, which is ongoing after 3 years. A patient with renal cell cancer experienced a partial response lasting 3 years off therapy, which converted to a complete response, which is ongoing at 12 months. A patient with melanoma achieved a partial response that was stable for 16 months off therapy; recurrent disease was successfully treated with reinduction anti-PD-1 therapy.

Conclusion: These data represent the most prolonged observation to date of patients with solid tumors responding to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy and the first report of successful reinduction therapy following delayed tumor progression. They underscore the potential for immune checkpoint blockade with anti-PD-1 to reset the equilibrium between tumor and the host immune system.

Introduction

The specific or selective expression of antigens by cancer cells creates an opportunity for endogenous cell-mediated and serologic immune attack and for immunotherapeutic interventions. The development of effective cancer immunotherapies depends on reversing inhibitory and tolerogenic signals in the tumor microenvironment, thereby enhancing the visibility of tumor cells to the immune system. In the last decade, it has become clear that the immune regulatory pathway composed of programmed death-1 (PD-1, CD279), a receptor expressed on activated T and B cells and its ligands PD-L1 (B7-H1, CD274) and PD-L2 (B7-DC, CD273), plays an integral role in the down-modulation of antitumor immunity. Inhibition of this pathway using blocking monoclonal antibodies (mAb) against PD-1 or PD-L1 is emerging as an effective method for reversing cancer immunosuppression and thereby causing tumor regression in patients with advanced disease (1).

Although such therapies are still in development, they have shown promising clinical results not only for tumors classically considered to be immunogenic such as melanoma and renal cell carcinoma (RCC), but also for common epithelial malignancies such as non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), historically resistant to immunotherapy.

BMS-936558 (MDX-1106/ONO-4538) is a blocking mAb specific for human PD-1. The first-in-human single-dose, dose-escalation trial of this agent reported by Brahmer and colleagues (2) enrolled 39 patients with treatment-refractory metastatic solid tumors including melanoma, RCC, colorectal cancer (CRC), NSCLC, and castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). A favorable safety profile and preliminary evidence of clinical activity in all histologies except CRPC led to the design of a multidose trial of
between tumor and host and provide durable tumor control. The late tumor progression may be effective. The observed durability of responses underscores the potential for immune-based therapies such as antibodies blocking the immunosuppressive PD-1/PD-L1 pathway to reset the equilibrium between tumor and the host immune system, distinguishing them from conventional chemotherapies as well as small-molecule inhibitors of oncogenic driver pathways. They represent the most prolonged observation of patients with solid tumors responding to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy to date, and the first evidence that reinduction therapy with this agent after late tumor recurrence may be effective.

BMS-936558 in 296 patients that was recently reported (3), confirming antitumor efficacy in melanoma, RCC, and NSCLC. The adaptive immune system has a vast capacity for specific antigen recognition and holds the potential to adjust to ongoing tumor evolution, including genetic and epigenetic changes that typically cause resistance to small-molecule inhibitors. This adaptability, coupled with an immune memory component, implies that immunotherapy can "reorient" endogenous antitumor immunity with durable effects even after the cessation of therapy. Here, we provide long-term follow-up on patients from the first-in-human trial of BMS-936558 who achieved objective tumor regressions. These findings of durable treatment effect underscore the potential for immune-based therapies such as antibodies blocking the immunosuppressive PD-1/PD-L1 pathway to reset the equilibrium between tumor and the host immune system, distinguishing them from conventional chemotherapies as well as small-molecule inhibitors of oncogenic driver pathways. They represent the most prolonged observation of patients with solid tumors responding to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy to date, and the first evidence that reinduction therapy with this agent after late tumor recurrence may be effective.

Materials and Methods

Treatments administered and response evaluation

BMS-936558, a fully human immunoglobulin G4 (IgG4) blocking mAb against PD-1, was administered in a multi-institutional, first-in-human, phase I dose-escalation study (2). The protocol was approved by local Institutional Review Boards (IRB), and all participating subjects signed informed consent. The antibody was given as a single intravenous infusion at 0.3 to 10 mg/kg per dose, followed by radiologic restaging at 8 and 12 weeks. Responses were evaluated using Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) 1.0. Patients who tolerated the drug well (no adverse events ≥ grade 3 and no development of human antihuman antibodies) and showed stable disease or any evidence of tumor regression were eligible for retreatment at weeks 12 and 16. Patients who achieved an objective response (PR or CR) discontinued dosing and were observed with periodic radiologic restaging.

A patient with melanoma who experienced a prolonged PR followed by tumor progression received reinduction therapy with BMS-936558 10 mg/kg on days 1, 29, and 86, repeated every 120 days, on a single patient use clinical trial following IRB approval and informed consent. In contrast to the original dosing schema, treatment on this trial may continue until the patient develops a confirmed CR, confirmed progressive disease, or unacceptable toxicity.

Tumor biopsies and immunohistochemistry

Histologic slides were reviewed to confirm the cancer diagnosis, and one representative formalin fixed, paraffin embedded (FFPE) block of tumor was chosen for immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis to characterize the tumor and its microenvironment. PD-L1 immunostaining was conducted with the mAb 5H1 as previously described (4). The method for PD-1 immunostaining parallels that reported for PD-L1 with minor modifications. The murine antihuman PD-1 mAb, clone M3, was used at a concentration of 1.0 μg/mL. Citrate buffer (pH 6.0) was used for antigen retrieval, and a CSA System (DAKO) was used for signal amplification, followed by development with diaminobenzidine chromagen that results in brown staining. In the heavily pigmented melanoma, a Giemsa counterstain was used for the PD-1 antibody. This results in green coloration of the melanin pigment and facilitates interpretation of the brown signal (5). CD3, CD4, CD8, CD68, and T-cell intracytoplasmic antigen-1 (TIA-1) immunostains were conducted according to routine automated methods.

Results

Among 39 patients with treatment–refractory solid tumors receiving BMS-936558 on an intermittent dosing regimen (2), 3 objective tumor regressions were observed. Following are the clinical and immunologic assessments of these patients during prolonged observation.

Durable complete response in colorectal cancer

A 71-year-old male with CRC underwent a right hemicolectomy in October 2003, revealing a moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma with metastases to 4 of 16 pericolonic lymph nodes and vascular and perineural invasion [G2, pT3N2; microsatellite instability (MSI)-high genotype]. He received adjuvant 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and...
leucovorin; however, a CT scan the following year revealed metastatic disease. Over the subsequent 3 years, the patient received multiple chemotherapeutic regimens with temporary response but then progression at multiple lymph node sites (gastrohepatic, portacaval, and peripancreatic); therapies included FOLFOX, irinotecan, bevacizumab, and cetuximab. Chemotherapy was last administered in April 2007. The patient began therapy with anti-PD-1 at 3 mg/kg per dose in July 2007 after documentation of disease progression, and received 5 doses over the next 9 months. CT scans conducted 8 and 12 weeks after a single dose of anti-PD-1 showed a partial response (Fig. 1A). A CR was achieved in January 2008, and periodic CT and PET scans have revealed no evidence of recurrence since then. The patient was most recently evaluated in April 2011, at which time he had not received any antineoplastic therapy for 3 years and had no evidence of disease recurrence.

IHC studies of the primary colon tumor, conducted on FFPE tissues archived 4 years before the initiation of anti-PD-1 therapy, revealed cell surface (“membranous”) expression of PD-L1 by infiltrating macrophages and lymphocytes and by rare tumor cells, associated with infiltrating PD-1+ and CD3+ T cells (Fig. 1B). We have previously reported preliminary evidence for a correlation between tumor cell membranous PD-L1 expression and the likelihood of response to anti-PD-1 therapy (2). Potential relationships between PD-L1 expression on nontumor cells and clinical outcomes require further study (4).

**Evolution of complete tumor regression off therapy in renal cell carcinoma**

A 76-year-old male underwent a right nephrectomy and vena caval thrombectomy in 2001, showing clear cell renal carcinoma. He developed bilateral pulmonary metastases in 2003 and received systemic therapies including the histone deacetylase inhibitor mocetinostat, sorafenib, and sunitinib, experiencing disease progression with new metastatic sites in mediastinal lymph nodes, bone, and paraspinal musculature. In January 2008, he began treatment with anti-PD-1 at 10 mg/kg per dose. CT scans conducted in March 2008, 8 weeks after a single dose of anti-PD-1, showed a mixed response: pulmonary, lymph node, and intramuscular metastases were regressing, but lesions were enlarging in the pancreas and bone. The patient also developed hypothyroidism, which was assessed as possibly treatment related. He received 2 more doses of anti-PD-1 in April 2008.
and May 2008; a CT scan in July 2008 showed a PR compared with baseline tumor measurements, including disappearance of the pancreatic lesion (Fig. 2A); the bone metastasis slowly resolved (2). PR status was maintained off therapy until February 2009, when a restaging brain CT and subsequent brain MRI showed a new enhancing 1.4-cm lesion in the inferior right frontal lobe, with intrallesional hemorrhage and surrounding edema, consistent with metastasis (Fig. 2B). Resection of this asymptomatic mass revealed fibrovascular tissue with macrophages and chronic inflammation.

Figure 2. Regression of metastatic RCC following anti-PD-1 therapy, with “immune-related” response characteristics. A, contrast-enhanced CT scans showing regression of a biopsy-proven paraspinal intramuscular metastasis (top row, arrows) and concomitant progression followed by regression of a pancreatic lesion (bottom row, arrows) in a patient who received 3 doses of anti-PD-1. B, newly appearing lesion radiologically compatible with brain metastasis, as shown on T1-weighted contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging, with intrallesional hemorrhage and surrounding edema (left). Pathologic evaluation of the resected lesion on H&E staining revealed fibrosis (asterisk), a chronic inflammatory cell infiltrate, and old hemorrhage consistent with a resolving process. There was no morphologic or immunohistochemical evidence of RCC (no expression of PAX-8, EMA, or AE1/AE3; data not shown). Immunostaining to characterize the inflammatory infiltrate shows a lymphocytic infiltrate with CD8 predominance when compared with CD4. The CD8 cells were also TIA-1 positive, supporting a cytotoxic phenotype (not shown). Staining for CD68 highlighted numerous hemosiderin-laden macrophages in the inflammatory infiltrate. Original magnification, ×200. C, continued regression of multiple metastatic sites over time, following cessation of anti-PD-1 therapy. Drug administration is indicated by arrows. The lesion marked with an asterisk became calcified on CT scan and showed no FDG activity on PET scan. LN, lymph node; IM, intramuscular.
inflammation but no evidence of tumor; this was consistent with a resolving lesion of undetermined origin. No further antineoplastic therapy was administered and metastatic lesions continued to regress off therapy (Fig. 2C). A CR was documented with CT and PET scans in August 2011. This patient remains in remission as of radiologic evaluation in August 2012, more than 4 years after discontinuation of anti-PD-1 therapy.

**Reinduction therapy for recurrent melanoma**

A 55-year-old female was diagnosed with metastatic melanoma following biopsy of a left axillary mass in 2006; the primary site of origin was undetermined. Further workup revealed liver metastases. Disease progression occurred despite therapy with high-dose interleukin-2 and temozolomide. Eight weeks after receiving one dose of anti-PD-1 at 10 mg/kg in October 2007, CT scans revealed a mixed picture: lesions in the liver and left axilla were stable or regressing, whereas a left subpectoral lymph node had enlarged (Fig. 3A). Two more doses of anti-PD-1 were administered in January and February 2008. CT scans conducted in April and May 2008 again showed a mixed response with interval development of central necrosis in some lesions. The patient continued to receive anti-PD-1 therapy through June 2009, and a PR compared with baseline measurements was documented in August 2009. Per protocol, treatment was discontinued. A stable PR persisted until December 2010, when a restaging PET/CT scan revealed newly enlarged, FDG-avid precardinal and subcarinal lymph nodes. A transbronchial biopsy of the subcarinal lesion confirmed a diagnosis of melanoma (Fig. 3B). Similar to prior IHC studies of an axillary lymph

![Response of metastatic melanoma to reinduction anti-PD-1 therapy. A, initial partial regression of liver and lymph node (LN) metastases in a melanoma patient treated with anti-PD-1. Drug administration is indicated by arrows. Recurrent disease in mediastinal lymph nodes while off therapy was successfully treated with reinduction therapy. The lesion marked with an asterisk developed peripheral calcification and showed minimal PET activity. B, membranous (cell surface) PD-L1 expression by metastatic melanoma cells in a transbronchial biopsy of a subcarinal lymph node mass, before reinduction therapy. Tumor infiltration by CD8+ and PD-1+ T cells is evident (arrows). Original magnification, ×200. C, PET scans before first treatment with anti-PD-1 (August 2007), before reinduction therapy (December 2010), and following reinduction (November 2011). Resolution of a left axillary lymph node metastasis that was present before anti-PD-1 therapy, and response of a new subcarinal lymph node metastasis to reinduction therapy, are shown (arrows). D, regression of new mediastinal lymph node metastasis following reinduction therapy with anti-PD-1, shown in contrast-enhanced CT scans.](clincancerres.aacrjournals.org)
node metastasis in this patient (2), there was intense membranous tumor cell expression of PD-L1 and infiltration by CD8+ and PD-1+ T cells. A patient-specific protocol providing for reinduction therapy with BMS-936558 was approved by the Johns Hopkins IRB (Baltimore, MD), and treatment was reinitiated in May 2011. After the patient received 2 doses of anti-PD-1, a PET/CT scan in November 2011 showed near complete resolution of the abnormal metabolic activity in the subcarinal lymph node and no new lesions (Fig. 3C). CT scan showed a 40% tumor regression of the new mediastinal adenopathy compared with reinduction baseline measurements (Fig. 3D). The patient continues on anti-PD-1 at the time of this report, with a sustained PR documented 16 months following initiation of reinduction therapy.

Discussion

The successful application of PD-1/PD-L1 pathway blockade in treating a diversity of solid tumors underscores the power of immunotherapy in combating not only cancers traditionally considered to be immunogenic, such as melanoma and RCC, but also epithelial malignancies such as NSCLC and CRC which are commonly viewed as refractory to immunotherapy. The activity of agents blocking this pathway is currently being explored in multiple new indications in oncology, including ovarian, breast, gastric, and pancreatic cancer. Preclinical evidence suggests that continuous antigen exposure of cytolytic T cells may induce a tolerant or "exhausted" state in which T-cell effector functions and transition to memory T cells are impaired. PD-1 pathway blockade may restore the functions of exhausted T cells with long-term clinical benefit generating powerful memory T cells that may provide an ongoing antitumor dynamic and keep tumors in check for months to years, even in the absence of continued therapy (6). This hypothesis is consistent with the results of the current study and is highlighted by the RCC case, in which partially responsive metastatic deposits continued to regress off therapy and eventuated in a durable CR. The new brain lesion resected from this patient in the interim, while not independently diagnostic, was consistent with a healing lesion such as might occur at a site of tumor regression. Similar pathologic features, including tumor necrosis in the presence of complex immune cell infiltrates, have been described in posttreatment tumor biopsies from patients receiving anti-CTLA-4 immunotherapy (7). These findings suggest the potential of PD-1 pathway blockade to reset the immune equilibrium between tumor and host (8). It follows that tumor recurrence after a response may reflect a disturbance in this equilibrium, as illustrated by the melanoma patient described herein. Repeat application of PD-1 blockade in this patient, whose recurrent tumor strongly expressed PD-L1 and contained PD-1+ infiltrating lymphocytes, reinduced a rapid partial tumor regression. Unlike tumors exposed to tyrosine kinase inhibitors that may rapidly acquire resistance and exhibit progression during continued drug exposure, due to the emergence of new genetic or epigenetic alterations (9), tumor progression in this patient occurred only after therapy was discontinued. These findings suggest a potential role for continued administration of anti-PD-1 on an intermittent "maintenance" schedule after achieving an objective tumor regression to preserve an immune equilibrium and protect the host from tumor regrowth.

The findings described herein also show the importance of establishing new immune-related RECIST (iRECIST) criteria to evaluate patients undergoing therapy with agents blocking immune checkpoints such as anti-PD-1. These agents are not directly tumoricidal but work indirectly by activating antitumor immunity and thus may be associated with delayed response kinetics (10). As exemplified by the patients with melanoma and RCC reported here, metastatic lesions may appear anew or enlarge before showing evidence of regression (11). "Mixed" responses characterized by the simultaneous growth of some lesions and regression of others have also been observed. These unconventional response patterns may provide survival benefits, which remain to be determined in future randomized trials of PD-1 blockade. Indeed, these principles are illustrated by randomized trials of immune checkpoint blockade with ipilimumab (anti-CTLA-4) in patients with advanced melanoma, where overall survival rates exceeded objective response rates (CR+PR; refs. 12, 13), suggesting the possibility of a new paradigm for evaluating clinical benefit that does not rely solely on traditional RECIST response criteria. Development of iRECIST criteria in combination with biomarkers that reliably predict clinical response will be vital as an increasing number of immunotherapies become commercially available (14).

Since the publication of the first-in-human phase I trial of BMS-936558 using an intermittent dosing regimen (2), this drug has been tested in a follow-up phase I trial with cohort expansion, using biweekly administration to patients with various treatment-refractory solid malignancies (3). Objective responses (PR or CR) were observed in 18% of patients with NSCLC, 28% with melanoma, and 27% with RCC. Grade 3 to 4 treatment-related adverse events occurred in 14% of patients, and there were 3 deaths from pulmonary toxicity. Similar to observations from the first-in-human trial, responses were durable: among 31 responding patients with 1 year or more of follow-up, 20 responses lasted 1 year or more. Data from this and trials of other agents blocking the PD-1 pathway (15–17) should inform future studies involving combinatorial immune-based approaches, which have shown preclinical evidence of synergistic antitumor activity (18, 19).

The colocalization of tumor-infiltrating T cells and PD-L1+ tumor and stromal cells seen in biopsies from the patients with CRC and melanoma reported here represents a more general phenomenon, and is consistent with observations suggesting that IFN-γ secretion by intratumoral lymphohistiocytic infiltrates fosters an immunosuppressive microenvironment by promoting PD-L1 expression ("adaptive immune resistance"; ref. 4). PD-1 pathway blockade may be particularly effective in these
cases, as illustrated by the recent report from Topalian and colleagues showing preliminary evidence for a correlation between tumor cell surface expression of PD-L1 in pretreatment tumor specimens and objective response to anti-PD-1 therapy [3]. PD-L1 expression as a predictive tumor marker of response to reinduction therapy with PD-1 pathway blockade, as shown in the patient with melanoma described in this report, remains to be explored in larger studies.
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