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Abstract

Purpose: We evaluated the antitumoral properties of the multikinase inhibitor sorafenib in mantle cell lymphoma (MCL), an aggressive B lymphoma for which current therapies have shown limited efficacy.

Experimental Design: Sensitivity to sorafenib was analyzed in MCL cell lines and primary samples in the context of BCR and microenvironment simulation. Sorafenib signaling was characterized by quantitative PCR, Western blotting, immunofluorescence, and protein immunoprecipitation. Migration analysis included flow cytometric counting, actin polymerization assays, and siRNA-mediated knockdown of focal adhesion kinase (FAK). In vivo antitumor effect of sorafenib and bortezomib was analyzed in an MCL xenograft mouse model.

Results: Sorafenib rapidly dephosphorylates the BCR-associated kinases, Syk and Lyn, as well as FAK, an Src target involved in focal adhesion. In this line, sorafenib displays strong synergy with the Syk inhibitor, R406. Sorafenib also blocks Mcl-1 and cyclin D1 translation, which promotes an imbalance between pro- and antiapoptotic proteins and facilitates Bax release from cyclin D1, leading to the induction of mitochondrial apoptosis and caspase-dependent and -independent mechanisms. Moreover, sorafenib inhibits MCL cell migration and CXCL12-induced actin polymerization. FAK knockdown partially prevents this inhibitory effect, indicating that FAK is a relevant target of sorafenib. Furthermore, sorafenib enhances the antitumoral activity of bortezomib in an MCL xenograft mouse model as well as overcomes stroma-mediated bortezomib resistance in MCL cells.

Conclusion: We show for the first time that sorafenib interferes with BCR signaling, protein translation and modulates the microenvironment prosurvival signals in MCL, suggesting that sorafenib, alone or in combination with bortezomib, may represent a promising approach to treat patients with MCL.

Introduction

Mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) is an incurable B-cell neoplasm harboring the t(11;14)(q13;q32) translocation, which leads to the overexpression of cyclin D1 with the consequent cell-cycle deregulation (1). Typically, MCL is characterized by relatively short survival and brief responses to conventional chemotherapy (2). Thus, new preclinical studies on innovative therapeutic strategies are warranted. In this context, the constitutive activation of several signaling pathways regulated by kinases has been described in MCL cells (3), opening up a new horizon in the treatment of this entity. Specifically, new targeted agents that interfere with B-cell receptor (BCR) signaling, such as Syk, Btk, and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) inhibitors, are entering clinical trials. Although the number of patients with MCLs treated with these agents is still very low, preliminary data seem to indicate that the responses to the Btk inhibitor PCI-32765 and the PI3K inhibitor CAL-101 are very favorable (4, 5). In B lymphocytes, activation of the BCR by antigen engagement induces the phosphorylation of Src family kinases, for instance, Lyn, leading to the recruitment of Syk. Once phosphorylated, Lyn and Syk propagate the BCR signal by activating downstream kinases, such as Btk, resulting in the activation of multiple downstream signaling pathways. Recently, it has been reported that MCL cells have constitutive activation of the BCR signal transduction proteins Syk and PKCβII (6, 7), as well as high expression of the phosphorylated forms of these and other BCR-associated kinases (8).
Translational Relevance

Mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) is a B lymphoid neoplasm with short survival and brief responses to current therapies, thus novel therapeutic strategies are needed. The constitutive activation of several signaling pathways regulated by kinases has been described in MCL cells, in particular those related with B-cell receptor (BCR) signaling. Sorafenib is an approved multikinase inhibitor currently in clinical trials for several hematologic malignancies. In this work, we show for first time the antitumoral properties of sorafenib in MCL. Our results provide a novel mechanism of action of this compound in MCL cells, establishing that sorafenib interferes with BCR signaling, protein translation and modulates the migratory and microenvironmental prosurvival signals in MCL. These results suggest that sorafenib alone or in combination with bortezomib-based therapies may represent a promising approach to treat patients with MCL and hopefully meet a medical need.

On the other hand, the crosstalk between tumor MCL cells and stroma in tissue microenvironments, such as bone marrow and secondary lymphoid tissues, has also been found to play an important role in the biology of the disease. In vitro studies showed that MCL cells interact with bone marrow stromal cells, becoming resistant to conventional cytotoxic agents (9). New therapeutic strategies directed to disrupt these interactions include targeting signaling kinases (such as Lyn, Syk, Btk, and PI3K) as well as adhesion molecules and chemokine receptors, which have also been found to be highly expressed in MCL (10). BCR activation also regulates the signaling of these receptors modulating B-cell trafficking and tissue homing. Accordingly, targets downstream Src activation include focal adhesion kinase (FAK) that promotes invasiveness and may act as a link between BCR signaling and migration/adhesion through the actin cytoskeleton (11, 12).

Sorafenib (BAY 43-9006) is a multikinase inhibitor that has been approved for the treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma (13) and hepatocellular carcinoma (14). Currently, there are several clinical trials in hematologic malignancies, first in chronic myeloid leukemia and acute myeloid leukemia, where sorafenib targets BCR-ABL (15) and FLT3 (16) oncogenic kinases, and more recently in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL; Identifier: NCT01510756). Further in vitro studies have shown that leukemic cells are susceptible to this agent (17, 18), its cytotoxic effect being related to the translational inhibition of the antiapoptotic protein Mcl-1 (17, 19). Recently, we have proposed that in CLL cells, sorafenib overcomes microenvironmental signals and abrogates BCR-derived responses (20).

The antitumor activity and the molecular mechanism of action of sorafenib in MCL have not been yet elucidated; therefore, the aim of this study was to characterize the molecular mechanisms underlying sorafenib-induced apoptosis in MCL cells, with particular emphasis on BCR signaling and protein translation. We further investigated the efficacy of sorafenib in modulating migratory and microenvironmental prosurvival signals in MCL, both alone and in combination with bortezomib.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines

Nine human MCL cell lines (GRANTA-519, HBL-2, REC-1, JEKO-1, MINO, JVM-2, Z-138, UPN-1, and MAVER-1), which were genetically characterized previously (21), were used (Table 1). Cell lines were grown in RPMI-1640 or Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% to 20% heat-inactivated FBS, 2 mmol/L glutamine, 50 μg/mL penicillin–streptomycin (Life Technologies) and maintained in a humidified atmosphere at 37°C with 5% carbon dioxide. Mycoplasma absence was routinely tested by PCR, and the genetic identity of all cell lines was verified by using AmpFlSTR identifier kit (Life Technologies).

Isolation and culture of primary cells

Tumor cells from 17 patients diagnosed of MCL, according to the World Health Organization classification criteria (22), were used. The Ethical Committee of the Hospital Clinic (Barcelona, Spain) authorized the study, and all patients signed an informed consent according to the Declaration of Helsinki. The characteristics of these cases are listed in Table 1. Tumor cells from peripheral blood were isolated by density gradient centrifugation using Ficoll-Paque (GE Healthcare), whereas counterparts from tumor tissue were obtained after squirting with RPMI using a fine needle. Samples were cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen in the presence of 10% dimethyl sulfoxide (Sigma), 60% FBS, and 30% RPMI and conserved within the Hematopathology collection of our institution (IDIBAPS-Hospital Clinic Biobank). Freezing/thawing manipulations did not influence cell response (23). Cells were cultured in supplemented RPMI medium likewise cell lines. The immunoglobulin heavy chain variable (IGHV) gene mutational status was done according to European Research Initiative on CLL (ERIC) guidelines (24). Cytogenetic alterations were assessed by FISH. In cases with 17p deletions, the mutational analysis of the second allele was done by direct sequencing according to the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) TP53 consortium (http://p53.iarc.fr).

Drugs and assessment of apoptotic features by flow cytometry

MCL samples were treated as indicated with sorafenib (Bayer), R406 (Selleck Chemicals), and/or bortezomib (Millennium Pharmaceuticals). When specified, cells were either preincubated for 1 hour with 10 μmol/L of the pancaspase inhibitor Q-VD-OPh (Calbiochem) or exposed to
100 μmol/L of the translation inhibitor cycloheximide (Sigma) for 1 hour after 4 hours of sorafenib. Apoptosis was quantified by double labeling of phosphatidylserine (PS) exposure with Annexin V–fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and nuclei with propidium iodide (PI; Bender Medsystems). Loss of mitochondrial membrane potential (Δψm), caspase-3 activation, and Bax and Bak conformational changes were determined as previously described (25). Lethal dose 50 (LD50) was defined as the concentration of drug required to reduce cell viability by 50%. For drug combination studies, combination indexes (CI) were calculated with the CalcuSyn software version 2.0 (Biosoft) according to the Chou and Talalay algorithm. The interaction between 2 drugs was considered synergistic when CI < 1.

**BCR stimulation**

Primary MCL cells were washed twice and starved for 1.5 hours in FBS-free RPMI (10^7 cells/mL). Then, cells were reacted for 30 minutes at 4°C with 25 μg/mL of anti-IgM (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories) and subsequently transferred to 37°C for 30 additional minutes. When indicated, cells were preincubated with sorafenib for 1.5 hours before anti-IgM addition.

### Table 1. MCL cell lines and primary samples characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MCL cell line</th>
<th>Sorafenib LD₅₀ 24 h, μmol/L</th>
<th>Sorafenib LD₅₀ 48 h, μmol/L</th>
<th>p53ᵃ</th>
<th>ATM</th>
<th>P16</th>
<th>% IGHV homologyᵇ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GRANTA-519</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>del/wt</td>
<td>del/mut</td>
<td>hom del</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBL-2</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>del/mut</td>
<td>upd⁻ᶜ</td>
<td>hom del</td>
<td>97.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC-1</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>wt/mut</td>
<td>wt⁻ᶜ</td>
<td>hom del</td>
<td>98.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEKO-1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>del/mut</td>
<td>ampl⁻ᶜ</td>
<td>del⁻ᶜ</td>
<td>99.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINO</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>upd/mut</td>
<td>wt⁻ᶜ</td>
<td>upd⁻ᶜ</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JVM-2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>wt</td>
<td>wt⁻ᶜ</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-138</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>wt</td>
<td>del⁻ᶜ</td>
<td>hom del</td>
<td>98.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPN-1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>del/mut</td>
<td>wt/polimorf</td>
<td>del⁻ᶜ</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAVER-1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>del/mut</td>
<td>del⁻ᶜ</td>
<td>hom del</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MCL patient</th>
<th>Sorafenib LD₅₀ 24 h, μmol/L</th>
<th>Sorafenib LD₅₀ 48 h, μmol/L</th>
<th>Disease status</th>
<th>Cell source</th>
<th>% of tumor cellsᵈ</th>
<th>p53ᵃ</th>
<th>% IGHV homologyᵇ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCL#1</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>96 del/wt</td>
<td>93.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL#2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>97 wt</td>
<td>92.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL#3</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>83 wt</td>
<td>97.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL#4</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>86 wt</td>
<td>99.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL#5</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>85 wt</td>
<td>98.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL#6</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>92 wt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL#7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>94 wt</td>
<td>96.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL#8</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Relapse</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>95 del/mut</td>
<td>98.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL#9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>84 wt</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL#10</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>79 del/wt</td>
<td>94.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL#11</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>96 del/mut</td>
<td>97.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL#12</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>83 wt</td>
<td>93.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL#13</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>77 wt</td>
<td>96.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL#14</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>71 wt</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL#14</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>LN</td>
<td>89 wt</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL#15</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>LN</td>
<td>78 wt</td>
<td>99.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL#16</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>Relapse</td>
<td>Orbit</td>
<td>88 ND</td>
<td>95.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL#17</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>LN</td>
<td>82 wt</td>
<td>96.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** ampl, amplification; del, deletion; hom del, homozygous deletion; ND, not determined; NR, not reached; LN, lymph node; mut, mutation; PB, peripheral blood; upd, uniparental disomy; wt, wild-type.

ᵃp53 mutational status detected by FISH and direct sequencing.
ᵇIGHV gene mutational status was done according to standarized protocols (24).
ᶜMutations not analyzed.
ᵈCD19⁺ tumor cells quantified by flow cytometry.
**Immunoprecipitation, subcellular fractionation, and Western blot analysis**

Whole protein extraction and Western blot analysis were done as described previously (26). For cyclin D1 immunoprecipitation, cells were lysed in CHAPS buffer (1% CHAPS, 100 mmol/L NaCl, 5 mmol/L Na₂HPO₄, and 2.5 mmol/L EDTA) supplemented as follows: 1 mmol/L phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 2 mmol/L sodium pyrophosphate decyl ether, 2 mmol/L sodium β-glycerophosphate, 1 mmol/L Na₃VO₄, 10 μg/mL aprotinin, and 10 μg/mL leupeptin (Sigma). Extracts were precleared with A-protein agarose beads (Calbiochem) for 30 minutes, incubated overnight at 4°C with cyclin D1 (DCS6; Cell Signaling Technology) antibody, and immunoprecipitated for 1 hour with A-protein beads. Supernatant (unbound fraction) was recovered by centrifugation, and beads (bound fraction) were washed 3 times with lysis buffer. For subcellular fractionation, cytosolic and mitochondrial fractions were obtained with the ApoAlert Cell Fractionation Kit (Clontech) according to manufacturer’s instructions. The following primary antibodies were used: pSyk (Tyr352), plyn (Tyr396), pFAK (Tyr937), cyclin D1 (DCS6), pellF4E (Ser209) from Cell Signaling Technology; AIF from Sigma; and Mcl-1 and Bax (N-20) from Santa Cruz. Equal protein loading was confirmed by probing membranes with β-actin and β-tubulin antibodies (Sigma). Densitometric quantification was done by using Image Gauge software (Fujifilm).

**mRNA quantification by real-time PCR**

Total RNA isolation and retrotranscription to cDNA were done as previously reported (25). CYCLIN D1 and MCL-1 expression was analyzed in duplicate with predesigned Assay-On-Demand probes (Life Technologies) on a StepOne device (Life Technologies) by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). The relative expression of each gene was quantified by the comparative cycle threshold (Ct) method (ΔΔCt) by using β-glucuronidase (GUSB; Life Technologies) as endogenous control. Expression levels are given in arbitrary units, taking as a reference the control sample (untreated cells).

**Immunofluorescence**

One million of primary cells were attached on poly-1-lysine-coated glass coverslips, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, and permeabilized with 0.1% saponin and 10% FBS in PBS. Samples were then incubated with anti-AIF antibody (Sigma) followed by anti-rabbit-FITC secondary antibody (Sigma). Coverslips were mounted on glass slides with Fluoroshield with DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) medium (Sigma) and visualized on an Eclipse 50i microscope (Nikon) by means of a 100×/1.30 numerical aperture (NA) objective (Nikon) using the Isis Imaging System v5.3 software (MetaSystems GmbH).

**Chemotaxis assay**

MCL cells (10⁷ cells/mL) were washed twice and serum-starved for 1.5 hours in FBS-free RPMI. Sorafenib or the CXCR4 antagonist AMD3100 (40 μmol/L; Sigma) were added for 1.5 additional hours, and cells were diluted to 0.7 × 10⁶ or 2 × 10⁶ cells/mL in RPMI with 0.5% BSA for cell lines and primary cells respectively. Thereafter, samples were stimulated with 200 ng/mL of CXCL12 and at the indicated time points, 400 μL of the cell suspension was collected and added to 100 μL of the staining solution (2.5 or 12.5 ng/mL of phalloidin-Tetramethyl Rhodamine Isothiocyanate (TRITC) for primary cells and cell lines, respectively, 0.5 μg/mL of 1-α-lysophosphatidylcholine (Sigma) and 5% paraformaldehyde (Anam) for 20 minutes at 37°C. Red fluorescence was acquired on an Attune acoustic cytometer (Life Technologies), and results were plotted relative to the mean fluorescence of the sample before the addition of CXCL12.

**Stroma coculture**

Human follicular dendritic cell-like HK (kindly provided by Dr. Y.S. Choi; ref. 27) and human bone marrow–derived stroma cell line HS-5 (American Type Culture Collection) were cultured in Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium (IMDM) and DMEM, respectively, and supplemented as above. Before setting up the experiment, HK (1 × 10⁶ cells/mL) and HS-5 cells (2 × 10⁶ cells/mL) were plated overnight, and after confirming the confluence of the stroma layer, medium was replaced with 5 × 10⁵ MCL primary cells in RPMI, and cells were incubated at 37°C for 2 hours before adding the drugs. Sorafenib was preincubated for 1 hour before bortezomib addition. Afterward, MCL cells were collected by carefully rinsing the wells without disturbing the stroma monolayer.
Xenograft mouse model

Six-week-old CB17–severe combined immunodeficient female mice (SCID; Charles River) were inoculated subcutaneously into the right flank with 1:1 of 10^7 JEKO-1 cells in PBS and Matrigel basement membrane matrix (Becton Dickinson), according to a protocol approved by the animal testing ethical committee of the University of Barcelona (Barcelona, Spain). When tumors were palpable, mice were randomly assigned into 4 cohorts of 4 each. Sorafenib (80 mg/kg) or vehicle were administered by oral gavage 5 d/wk, formulated in 50% cremophor EL (Sigma) and 50% of ethanol 95% (Panreac), which was diluted 1:4 with distilled water right before administration. Bortezomib (0.3 mg/kg) or PBS vehicle were given twice a week through intraperitoneal injection. Tumor volumes were calculated according to the formula: (the shortest diameter)^2 \times (the longest diameter)^0.5.

Statistical analysis

The data are depicted as the mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments or the mean ± SEM of 4 or more cases. All statistical analyses were done by using GraphPad Prism 4.0 software (GraphPad Software). Comparison of means between 2 groups of samples was evaluated by nonparametric Mann–Whitney test or paired t test. Results were considered statistically significant when P < 0.05 (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01).

Results

Sorafenib induces apoptosis in MCL cells

The cytotoxicity of the multikinase inhibitor sorafenib was measured after 24 and 48 hours by Annexin V labeling in 9 MCL cell lines, incubated at doses ranging from 2.5 to 20 μmol/L. The LD_{50} for these MCL cell lines are listed in Table 1. Sorafenib induced apoptosis in all of them, with a mean LD_{50} of 11.5 ± 5.0 μmol/L at 24 hours, whereas at 48 hours decreased to 7.1 ± 2.7 μmol/L. No association was observed between sorafenib sensitivity and any of the most frequent genetic alterations in MCL, nor the mutational status of IGHV. For subsequent analysis, JEKO-1 and Z-138 cell lines were selected as representative cell lines, with LD_{50} of 13.5 and 6.8 μmol/L at 24 hours, respectively.

Primary cells from 17 patients diagnosed of MCL were also incubated with increasing doses of sorafenib for 24 and 48 hours. The characteristics of these patients are summarized in Table 1. Despite the high variability among cases, the mean LD_{50} was 13.0 ± 3.6 μmol/L at 24 hours, whereas at 48 hours it notably decreased to 9.4 ± 3.4 μmol/L, thus resembling the data obtained for cell lines. Noteworthy, sorafenib was as effective in tumor cells from peripheral blood (PB) as in those derived from tumor tissue (at 24 hours mean LD_{50} PB: 11.8 ± 4.0 μmol/L; mean LD_{50} tumor tissue: 15.7 ± 0.7 μmol/L; Table 1). Similar to MCL cell lines, sensitivity to sorafenib was independent of mutation status of P53 and IGHV. Importantly, LD_{50} values were physiologically achievable and nontoxic for healthy B lymphocytes (20). Collectively, these data indicate that sorafenib exerts a time- and dose-dependent cytotoxic effect in MCL cells.

Figure 1. Sorafenib inhibition of BCR kinases and FAK. A, Z-138 and JEKO-1 cells were exposed to 10 μmol/L of sorafenib for the indicated times. Phosphorylation levels of Syk, Lyn, and FAK was assessed by Western blotting. B, cells from 2 representative patients with MCL were preincubated with 10 μmol/L of sorafenib before anti-IgM (25 μg/mL) reaction. Phosphorylation of Syk, Lyn, and FAK was assessed by Western blotting. C, Z-138 and JEKO-1 cells were simultaneously exposed to sorafenib and R406 at the indicated doses, and cell viability was determined at 48 hours by Annexin V/PI staining. Bars represent the mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. CI value is indicated for each combination. D, primary MCL cells from 7 patients were simultaneously exposed to sorafenib and R406 at the indicated doses for 48 hours, and cell viability was determined as above. Bars represent the mean ± SEM of all the samples analyzed. CI value is indicated for each combination.
Sorafenib targets BCR kinases and FAK in MCL cells

We have recently shown that sorafenib abrogates BCR-derived responses including FAK activation in CLL (20). To explore if this effect was also applicable to MCL cells, we monitored the activation of BCR-related kinases, Syk, Lyn, and FAK, by Western blotting. In Z-138 and JEKO-1 cell lines, we found that 10 μmol/L sorafenib induced a rapid and sustained dephosphorylation of Syk, Lyn, and FAK, detectable after 30 minutes of treatment (Fig. 1A). To further confirm the effect of sorafenib on BCR signaling, primary MCL cells from 2 representative cases were stimulated with anti-IgM in the presence of sorafenib. As shown in Fig. 1B, sorafenib inhibited very efficiently the phosphorylation of Syk, Lyn, and FAK, both constitutive and induced after BCR engagement. In parallel, we investigated whether the sensitivity of MCL cells to a pharmacologic BCR inhibitor, the Syk inhibitor R406, could be enhanced by sorafenib. JEKO-1 and Z-138 cells were cotreated with low doses of sorafenib (5 μmol/L) and R406 (2.5 and 5 μmol/L) for 48 hours and cell viability was analyzed. The combination was found to be considerably more potent than each agent alone in both cell lines, with CI values of 0.594 and 0.560 for the combination of 5 μmol/L sorafenib with 2.5 and 5 μmol/L R406, respectively, for Z-138, and 0.551 and 0.586 for JEKO-1 (Fig. 1C). Importantly, this effect was also found in primary MCL cells, where combination of sorafenib (7.5 and 10 μmol/L) with R406 (2.5 and 5 μmol/L) revealed a strong synergy in all the samples studied (n = 7), with a mean CI of 0.681 and 0.648 for the combination of 7.5 μmol/L sorafenib with 2.5 and 5 μmol/L R406, respectively, and 0.635 and 0.611 with 10 μmol/L sorafenib (Fig. 1D). Taken together, these results suggest that sorafenib targets both constitutive and induced BCR signaling in MCL cells and enhances the activity of the Syk inhibitor R406.

Sorafenib inhibits translation of cyclin D1 and Mcl-1 in MCL cells

As oncogenic activation of cyclin D1 is a typical hallmark of MCL, we investigated whether sorafenib was downregulating its levels. A rapid and remarkable decrease of cyclin D1 protein levels was observed after 30 minutes of exposure to sorafenib (10 μmol/L) in Z-138, JEKO-1 and a representative primary MCL case (Fig. 2A). In parallel, we also found a marked downregulation of the antiapoptotic protein Mcl-1 (Fig. 2A), whose inhibition by sorafenib has been related to the blockade of protein translation (17). Consequently,
and as translation of cyclin D1 is regulated by the activity of the translation initiator factor eIF4E (28), we examined the levels of phosphorylation of this kinase. We found that, after 30 minutes of incubation, sorafenib (10 μmol/L) induced a substantial decrease of phosphorylated eIF4E, both in cell lines (Z-138 and JEKO-1) and in primary cells (MCL#7; Fig. 2A).

To confirm that sorafenib was interfering with protein translation, we then checked whether this downregulation was due to transcriptional mechanisms or degradation. First, we monitored MCL-1 and CYCLIN D1 mRNA levels by qRT-PCR and no substantial changes either in MCL-1 or in CYCLIN D1 transcripts were detected after incubation of cells with 10 μmol/L sorafenib (Fig. 2B). Next, we preincubated Z-138 and JEKO-1 cells for 4 hours with sorafenib before adding the protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide (100 μmol/L) for 1 additional hour. We found that cycloheximide addition did not enhance the decrease of cyclin D1 and Mcl-1 protein levels upon sorafenib exposure, indicating that when translation is blocked, their elimination is not increasing and therefore their stability did not diminish, even it was slightly enhanced by sorafenib (Fig. 2C).

Recently, it has been reported that cyclin D1 interacts with and sequesters the proapoptotic protein Bax in MCL cells (29). In this context, we analyzed by cyclin D1 immunoprecipitation whether Bax/cyclin D1 interaction was modulated after 6 hours of sorafenib (10 μmol/L) treatment in MCL cells. In the 2 representative cell lines (Z-138 and JEKO-1), we detected that although immunoprecipitated cyclin D1 decreased with sorafenib, the proportion of Bax interacting with cyclin D1 diminished even more, leading to Bax release from cyclin D1 (Fig. 2D).

Collectively, all these findings indicate that sorafenib inhibits translation of cyclin D1 and Mcl-1 as well as releases Bax from cyclin D1 sequesters in MCL cells, suggesting that both facilitate apoptosis induction.

**Sorafenib-induced apoptosis is mediated by caspase-dependent and -independent mechanisms**

To further characterize the cell death mechanism of sorafenib-induced cytotoxicity, we analyzed several markers of the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway in MCL cells. As displayed in Fig. 3A, sorafenib induced Bax and Bak conformational changes, loss of Δψm and caspase-3 processing along with PS exposure, both in JEKO-1 and in a representative primary MCL (MCL#7). Next, to assess the contribution of caspases to this process, JEKO-1 and 4 primary MCL cases were preincubated for 1 hour with the pan-caspase inhibitor Q-VD-OPh (10 μmol/L) before sorafenib addition. Q-VD-OPh did not completely abrogate PS exposure, either in JEKO-1 or in primary cells (Fig. 3B). In consequence, sorafenib-induced apoptosis was significantly reduced (P < 0.05) in the presence of Q-VD-OPh, but significant cell death was still detectable (P < 0.05), indicating that caspase-independent mechanisms were also involved in its effect. On the basis of these results, we checked the cytosolic release of the caspase-independent apoptogenic factor AIF after sorafenib exposure. Z-138 and JEKO-1 cells were exposed to sorafenib (10 and 15 μmol/L, respectively) for 24 hours, and pellets were processed to isolate mitochondria from cytosol. Western blot analysis in Fig. 3C showed that sorafenib
stimulated an increase of AIF in the cytosolic fraction. Consistently, immunofluorescence staining of a representative primary MCL incubated with sorafenib (10 μmol/L) for 24 hours showed a diffuse pattern of AIF (arrows; Fig. 3D), indicative of the cytosolic release. In contrast, untreated cells showed a punctuated pattern due to its mitochondrial localization, supporting a role of AIF in sorafenib-induced apoptotic signaling.

Together, all these data indicate that sorafenib-induced mitochondrial apoptosis is mediated by caspase-dependent and -independent mechanisms.

**Sorafenib blocks CXCL12-induced MCL migration and actin polymerization through FAK modulation**

Given that FAK was rapidly inhibited by sorafenib, we next evaluated the effects of this compound on the migratory capacity of MCL cells induced by the chemokine CXCL12. As shown in Fig. 4A, sorafenib significantly reduced JEKO-1 cell migration induced by CXCL12 (P < 0.05). To validate these results in primary samples, cells from 8 representative MCL cases were also assayed for migration as above. In all samples, sorafenib significantly blocked CXCL12-induced migration (P < 0.05; Fig. 4A).

![Figure 4. Chemotaxis and actin polymerization blockade by sorafenib. A, JEKO-1 and primary MCL cells were assayed for chemotaxis toward CXCL12 (200 ng/mL) after 1.5 hours of sorafenib (10 or 15 μmol/L for primary cells and JEKO-1, respectively) preincubation. Bars represent the chemotaxis relative to untreated cells without CXCL12 (mean ± SD of 3 experiments in JEKO-1, left; mean ± SEM of 8 primary cases, right). *P < 0.05. B, Western blot analysis of phosphorylated FAK in JEKO-1 cells after preincubation with sorafenib (15 μmol/L) for 1 hour followed by CXCL12 stimulation (30 minutes, 200 ng/mL). C, FAK was silenced with an siRNA-mediated approach in JEKO-1 cells before they were assayed for chemotaxis toward CXCL12 (200 ng/mL). Bars represent mean ± SD cell migration toward CXCL12 with sorafenib and are relative to untreated controls (100%). **P < 0.01. D, JEKO-1 and primary MCL cells were exposed to sorafenib (15 or 10 μmol/L, respectively) or AMD3100 (40 μmol/L) for 1.5 hours. F-Actin content was determined as described in Materials and Methods at the indicated time points after CXCL12 (200 ng/mL) addition. Results are displayed relative to samples before chemokine stimulation (100%).]
Accordingly, Western blot analysis revealed that sorafenib was able to abrogate CXCL12-induced phosphorylation of FAK in JEKO-1 (Fig. 4B).

To determine whether the disruption of FAK activation was functionally relevant for the antimigratory effect of sorafenib, we used an siRNA-mediated approach to knockdown FAK in JEKO-1 cells. Transfection with siRNA oligonucleotides directed against FAK gene reduced its mRNA expression by 20% (data not shown) and significantly impaired the inhibitory effect of sorafenib on cell migration ($P < 0.01$; Fig. 4C). The percentage of migrating cells toward CXCL12 in the presence of sorafenib was about 19% with scramble siRNA, whereas this percentage increased to 40% with FAK siRNA (Fig. 4C). These data show that the modulation of FAK plays a pivotal role in the antimigratory activity of sorafenib in MCL cells.

One of the earliest events in the MCL migratory response to CXCL12 is the reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton (10). In this context, we evaluated whether this response could be blocked by sorafenib. CXCL12 induced a notable...
increase in F-actin polymerization that peaks at 15 seconds of stimulation, both in JEKO-1 (Fig. 4D) and in a representative MCL primary case (Fig. 4D). Interestingly, pre-incubation of MCL cells for 1.5 hours with sorafenib strongly inhibited the actin polymerization induced by CXCL12 at all time points analyzed (Fig. 4D), sorafenib being as effective as the CXCR4 antagonist AMD3100, used as a positive control of inhibition.

**Stroma-induced bortezomib resistance is overcome by sorafenib**

We have recently reported that sorafenib is able to overcome the stroma-mediated resistance to common cytotoxic drugs in CLL cells (20). Therefore, we evaluated whether sorafenib could also resensitize MCL cells to bortezomib-induced apoptosis in stroma cocultures. The follicular dendritic cell–like line HK and the bone marrow–derived stromal cell line HS-5 were used to simulate the lymphoma microenvironment. Figure 5A displayed the cytotoxic effect of bortezomib (4 nmol/L) at 48 hours with or without pretreatment with sorafenib (7.5 and 10 μmol/L) for 1 hour, in a set of 5 primary MCL cells cocultured with HS-5 and HK cells. The presence of both HS-5 and HK completely abrogated bortezomib cytotoxicity in MCL cells (\*; HK: \(P < 0.01\); HS-5: \(P < 0.01\)). Noteworthy, the relative viability of primary MCL cells exposed to bortezomib in combination with sorafenib (7.5 and 10 μmol/L) resulted in no significant changes with and without stroma, both in HK and in HS-5 cocultures (Fig. 5A). On the basis of these results, we further analyzed the molecular mechanisms underlying this effect. We observed that in the presence of stroma, primary MCL cells exposed to bortezomib upregulated Mcl-1 protein levels (Fig. 5B). Interestingly, 10 μmol/L sorafenib was able to revert almost completely this effect, neutralizing bortezomib-induced Mcl-1 accumulation in the presence of the stroma. Next, to validate the combination of sorafenib and bortezomib in vivo, we inoculated JEKO-1 cells subcutaneously to CB17-SCID mice and examined the tumor growth. On day 19, when the tumor size reached 50 mm³ in volume, mice were randomly assigned into 4 groups and treated as reported in the methods section. As shown in Fig. 5C, the combination of sorafenib and bortezomib achieved substantial MCL tumor regression and was significantly (\*, \(P < 0.05\)) more effective in altering tumor growth than each drug alone. At 35 days after inoculation, animals were killed according to animal care guidelines. Tumors isolated from control and drug-treated MCL-bearing mice showed more than a 50% reduction in tumor burden in the combo-receiving group (Fig. 5C).

**Discussion**

Targeting deregulated kinases has emerged as a promising strategy for the treatment of B lymphoid neoplasms. Here, we show for the first time that the multikinase inhibitor sorafenib induces dose- and time-dependent apoptosis in MCL cell lines as well as in primary cells, at the same micromolar range as described for CLL cells, which has been shown to be selective for tumor cells and physiologically achievable in vivo (20).

In MCL cells, BCR-associated kinases are constitutively activated and highly expressed (6–8). In this context, we show that sorafenib induces a fast, sustained, and concomitant dephosphorylation of Syk, Lyn, and FAK, even in BCR-stimulated cells, indicating that BCR kinases are early and important targets of sorafenib in MCL, as described for CLL cells (20). In this line, we also show a strong synergism between sorafenib and the Syk inhibitor R406. The first clinical trials with this Syk inhibitor have only shown modest clinical responses in MCL (30), indicating that Syk blockage alone could not be effective enough. In this context, sorafenib might represent an advantage in front of Syk inhibitors due to its capacity of simultaneous targeting of several BCR-related kinases.

In B-lymphoma cells, Syk inhibition results in a decrease in mTOR activity, implicated in protein synthesis (31). At early incubation times, sorafenib induced a fast and remarkable decrease of cyclin D1 and the antiapoptotic Mcl-1. Our results suggest that the downregulation of both proteins is neither transcriptional nor through enhanced degradation but via translation blockade. This observation was previously described for Mcl-1 in other tumors (17, 19, 32), however, not for cyclin D1, which is highly overexpressed in MCL as a consequence of the t(11;14) translocation. One might consider this effect as a consequence of Syk inhibition, although a direct dephosphorylation of any of the mTOR pathway kinases by sorafenib cannot be ruled out.

The role of Mcl-1 as an antiapoptotic protein is well known (33). Accordingly, sorafenib-induced Mcl-1 downregulation may be directly impairing antiapoptotic signals, therefore committing cells to die. Less known is the involvement of cyclin D1 in apoptosis. Recently, it has been shown that cyclin D1 interacts with and sequestrates the proapoptotic protein Bax in MCL cells (29). Our results indicate that sorafenib, besides decreasing cyclin D1 levels, is also disrupting this interaction and releases Bax from cyclin D1. Consequently, downregulation of both Mcl-1 and cyclin D1 as well as Bax liberation from cyclin D1 sequestering may participate in sorafenib-induced apoptosis. Furthermore, we show for the first time that sorafenib causes apoptosis in MCL through activation of the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway, leading to the activation of caspase-dependent and -independent mechanisms. As reported for other tumors (19, 34), we observed a release of AIF after sorafenib treatment pointing out the relevance of this apoptogenic factor in the cytotoxic activity of sorafenib and other anti-tumor agents in B lymphoid neoplasms (35, 36).

In addition to apoptosis induction, sorafenib is also modulating the microenvironmental interactions of MCL cells. Here, we provide the first evidence that sorafenib blocks CXCL12-induced migration and actin polymerization in both primary MCL cells and cell lines. We postulate that sorafenib, via FAK inhibition, is preventing this effect. As we reported for CLL (20), FAK is also activated after BCR engagement in MCL cells and sorafenib reverted this effect. Our data provide conclusive evidences about the role of FAK
in modulating tumor B-cell migration, as we show that FAK knockdown in MCL cells has a functional effect on the antiangiogenic properties of sorafenib. The tyrosine kinase activity of FAK may be both directly inhibited by sorafenib and through an Src-dependent mechanism, given that sorafenib is inhibiting BCR kinases. The resulting Src/FAK complex affects multiple proteins, such as actin cytoskeletal proteins (12). Collectively, we show a substantial blockade of cytoskeletal reorganization by sorafenib in CXCL12-stimulated MCL cells. As FAK may play a key role in MCL tissue microenvironments regulating the early dissemination of the tumor, sorafenib could be highly effective in overcoming this effect.

Emphasizing the role that sorafenib has in overcoming microenvironmental interactions (37), we show for the first time that the compound blocks stroma-mediated chemoresistance in MCL. Despite the approval of bortezomib in the treatment of patients with MCL, more than 50% of the patients are resistant to this therapy or show early relapses (2). One of the contributory mechanisms to bortezomib resistance is the crosstalk between BCL cells andstromalcells in tissue microenvironments (9). In this context, we have showed that in the presence of the stroma, MCL cells become resistant to bortezomib and that sorafenib overcomes this resistance. Supporting this combination, we show that in the in vivo setting, sorafenib plus bortezomib is more effective in reducing tumor growth than each drug alone.

Collectively, our results provide a novel mechanism of action of the multikinase inhibitor sorafenib in MCL cells, establishing that sorafenib interferes with BCR signaling, protein translation and modulates the migratory and microenvironmental prosurvival signals in MCL. These results suggest that sorafenib alone or in combination with bortezomib-based therapies may represent a promising approach to treat patients with MCL.
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