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Abstract

Purpose: Despite aggressive conventional therapy, glioblastoma (GBM) remains uniformly lethal. Immunotherapy, in which the immune system is harnessed to specifically attack malignant cells, offers a treatment option with less toxicity. The expression of cytomegalovirus (CMV) antigens in GBM presents a unique opportunity to target these viral proteins for tumor immunotherapy. Although the presence of CMV within malignant gliomas has been confirmed by several laboratories, its relevance as an immunologic target in GBM has yet to be established. The objective of this study was to explore whether T cells stimulated by CMV pp65 RNA-transfected dendritic cells (DC) target and eliminate autologous GBM tumor cells in an antigen-specific manner.

Experimental Design: T cells from patients with GBM were stimulated with autologous DCs pulsed with CMV pp65 RNA, and the function of the effector CMV pp65-specific T cells was measured.

Results: In this study, we demonstrate the ability to elicit CMV pp65-specific immune responses in vitro using RNA-pulsed autologous DCs generated from patients with newly diagnosed GBM. Importantly, CMV pp65-specific T cells lyse autologous, primary GBM tumor cells in an antigen-specific manner. Moreover, T cells expanded in vitro using DCs pulsed with total tumor RNA demonstrated a 10- to 20-fold expansion of CMV pp65-specific T cells as assessed by tetramer analysis and recognition and killing of CMV pp65-expressing target cells.

Conclusion: These data collectively demonstrate that CMV-specific T cells can effectively target glioblastoma tumor cells for immunologic killing and support the rationale for the development of CMV-directed immunotherapy in patients with GBM. Clin Cancer Res; 20(10); 2684–94. ©2014 AACR.

Introduction

Despite aggressive surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy, treatment for patients with GBM is rarely curative and conventional therapies are inherently nonspecific and damaging to surrounding normal tissues (1, 2). Immunotherapy provides a promising alternative due to the intrinsic specificity and potentially long-lasting effects of immune activation. Substantial evidence suggests that T cells can eradicate large, well-established tumors in mice and humans with exquisite precision even when tumors reside within the “immunologically privileged” central nervous system (CNS; refs. 3–5). The barriers that prevent most humoral immune components from entering the brain are not an impediment to T-cell–mediated therapy as activated T cells have been shown to have access to the CNS and tumor infiltration of CD8+ T cells in newly diagnosed GBM has been reported in some studies to be associated with long-term survival (4, 6, 7). The advancement of immunotherapy for patients with GBM is hampered significantly by the lack of identification of tumor rejection antigens that are consistently expressed in the majority of patients. This has prompted the frequent use of unfractionated tumor antigens in the form of lysates, peptides, or total tumor RNA in immunotherapeutic approaches to GBM. Although these methods have shown promise in early-phase clinical studies, they are limited by requirement of sufficient tumor tissue for vaccine preparation. The capacity to safely target GBM tumors with an immunogenic and conserved tumor-associated antigen would significantly accelerate the developmental pathway for an effective brain tumor immunotherapy.

Authors' Affiliation: Department of Surgery, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Clinical Cancer Research Online (http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/).

Current address for D.A. Mitchell and G. De Leon: Preston A. Wells, Jr. Center for Brain Tumor Therapy, Department of Neurosurgery, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32605; and current address for L.A. Johnson, Abramson Family Cancer Research Institute, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104.

Corresponding Author: Smita K. Nair, Duke University Medical Center, Box 103035, Durham, NC 27710. Phone: 919-681-2180; Fax: 919-684-6492; E-mail: smita.nair@duke.edu

doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-3268
©2014 American Association for Cancer Research.

2684 Clin Cancer Res; 20(10) May 15, 2014

American Association for Cancer Research

Downloaded from clincancerres.aacrjournals.org on April 14, 2017. © 2014 American Association for Cancer Research.
Translational Relevance

Although detection of low levels of human cytomegalovirus (CMV) expression in glioblastoma (GBM) has been reported, the physiologic relevance of this expression remains unclear. Because CMV can serve as a novel immunologic target and CMV-directed immunotherapy against primary CMV infection has been shown to be safe and efficacious, we explored the utility of the immunodominant CMV antigen pp65 as a tumor rejection antigen in primary human GBM tumor cells. Despite deficits in cellular immunity in patients with GBM, CMV pp65-specific T cells could be reliably activated and expanded in vitro using CMV pp65 RNA-pulsed autologous dendritic cells. Activated CMV-specific T cells were capable of recognizing and killing of autologous GBM tumor cells expressing endogenous levels of CMV antigens. These studies are the first to demonstrate the relevance of CMV-specific immunity in mediating the killing of glial tumor cells and strongly support the rationale for CMV-targeted immunotherapy in patients with GBM.

To our knowledge, this study is the first to demonstrate that CMV-specific T cells are effective in recognition and killing of autologous GBM tumor cells expressing endogenous levels of antigen, and provides compelling support for the development of CMV-directed immunotherapy in patients with malignant gliomas.

Materials and Methods

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells and tumor cells

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were obtained by leukapheresis of patients with newly diagnosed GBM after surgical resection and before treatment with radiation or chemotherapy. Cells were obtained from human subjects following written informed consent using protocols approved by the Duke University Institutional Review Board. Patient tumors were rinsed 2 to 3 times with PBS to remove blood and necrotic tissue and then minced into small fragments. Minced tumor tissue was transferred to a sterile container in Earle’s balanced salt solution. Each gram of tumor was digested with 100 U papain (Worthington) and 1,000 U DNase I (Worthington) with continuous low-speed stirring at 37°C for 20 minutes. The mixture was then triturated for 10 minutes as described by the manufacturer. Cells were harvested and filtered through a 70 μm screen into 50 mL tubes and centrifuged at 500 x g for 5 minutes. Digested tumor pellets were resuspended in 1 mL Neurobasal medium (Gibco) with DNase (200 U/mL). After 5-minute incubation, cells were diluted with PBS and viable cells were harvested over a Ficoll gradient (Sigma). Viable tumor cells at the interface were harvested, washed with PBS, and resuspended in human AB serum with 10% DMSO at 5 to 10 x 10⁶ cells/mL. For use as tumor targets, the cells were thawed and cultured in Richter Zinc Option media with 10% FBS for 7 to 14 days.

RNA

Generation of pSP73-Sph/A64 was done by adding oligonucleotides containing 64 A-T bp followed by an Spel restriction site placed between the EcoRI and Nael sites of pGEM4Z (Promega) to create the plasmid pGEM4Z/A64. The HindIII–Ndel fragment of pGEM4Z/A64 was cloned into pSP73 (Promega) digested with HindIII and Ndel to create pSP73/A64. The plasmid pSP73-Sph was created by digesting pSP73/A64 with Sphi, filling in the ends with T4 DNA polymerase and religating. pSP73-Sph/A64/Not contains a Nhel restriction site adjacent to the Spel site. The cDNA encoding CMV pp65 in the pBlueScript vector (generously provided by Dr. T. Clay, GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals) was excised and cloned into the BamHI and SalI sites of pSP73-Sph/A64 (pSP73-Sph/A64/CMVpp65). The cDNA for GFP was derived from pEGFP-N1 (Clontech) and inserted into pGEM4Z/A64 (pGEM4Z/A64/CMVpp65). The gene encoding the full-length Flu M1 matrix protein (generously provided by Dr. A. Steinkasserer, University Hospital Erlangen, Erlangen, Germany) was inserted into the pSP73-Sph/ A64 (pSP73-Sph/A64/Flu1). The gene encoding full-length survivin was cloned by isolating total RNA from human tumor cells followed by reverse transcription using oligo dT
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primes. Survivin cDNA was amplified from the first strand using the forward primer 5’- TATATAAGCTTGGCCACCAT- GGGTGCCCAGGCGTGG-3’ and the reverse primer 5’- TATATAGGAATTCATCCCCGCGCCGACG-3’. The resulting fragment was cloned into the HindIII and BamHI sites of pSP73-Sph/A64. All plasmids were digested with Sphi for use as a template for in vitro transcription reactions using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE T7 kit (Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. mRNA was purified with the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen).

**Isolation of total cellular RNA from tumor cells**

Total RNA was isolated from the autologous tumor cells of patients and autologous PBMCs using RNeasy RNA isolation kits (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Generation and pulsing of dendritic cells**

Cells were thawed, washed in PBS, and resuspended at 2 × 10⁶ cells in 30 mL AIM-V media (Invitrogen) in T-150 tissue culture flasks. Cells were incubated for 1 hour at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in a humidified incubator. Nonadherent cells were harvested by rocking the flask from side-to-side to dislodge them. Adherent cells were replated with 30 mL AIM-V supplemented with 800 U/mL human granulocyte macrophage-colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and 500 U/mL human IL-4, then incubated at 37°C. DCs were harvested on day 6, by collecting all nonadherent cells, followed by a cold PBS wash. Cells that were still adherent were dissociated with cell dissociation buffer (Invitrogen). 37°C for 20 minutes. DCs were washed, counted, and maintained on ice until use. DCs were pulsed in 2 mm cuvettes (200 μL) at 300 V for 500 μs using an Electro Square Porator (ECM 830, BTX). Antigen mRNA was used at 3 to 10 μg/10⁶ DCs. RNA-pulsed DCs were matured for 8 to 10 hours in AIM-V media containing GM-CSF (800 U/mL), IL-4 (500 U/mL), and the maturation cytokine cocktail of TNF-α (10 ng/mL), IL-β (10 ng/mL), IL-6 (1,000 U/mL), and PGE₂ (1 μg/mL). All cytokines were obtained from R&D Systems. PGE₂ was purchased from Sigma.

**DC phenotype analysis**

PE-labeled anti-CD25, anti-CD80, anti-CD86 (BD Biosciences), anti-CD14, anti-CD197 (eBioscience), anti-CD40, and anti-CD83 (Immunotech) antibodies were used. DCs were incubated with 20 UL Fc-block (eBioscience) on ice for 20 minutes. Labeled antibodies were added and cells were stained for 20 minutes at 4°C. Cells were washed and resuspended in PBS with 1% formaldehyde before being acquired on a FACSCaliber flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson). Data was analyzed using CellQuest (BD Biosciences) or FlowJo (Tree Star, Inc.).

**In vitro stimulation of T cells with RNA-transfected DCs**

PBMCs were thawed and resuspended in PBS and treated with DNase I (Sigma) at 200 U/mL for 20 minutes at 37°C. DNase I-treated PBMCs were incubated for 1 hour at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in a humidified incubator. Nonadherent cells (2 × 10⁶ cells/mL) were harvested and stimulated with RNA-transfected, matured DCs at a responder to stimulator ratio of 10:1 in the presence of 25 ng/mL IL-7. All stimulations were done in RPMI-1640 with 10% FBS, 2 mmol/L l-glutamine, 20 mmol/L HEPES, 1 mmol/L sodium pyruvate, 0.1 mmol/L MEM nonessential amino acids, 100 IU/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 5 × 10⁻⁵ mol/L β-mercaptoethanol (CTL stimulation medium). IL-2 was added at 100 U/mL on day 3 and every 4 to 5 days for 12 to 14 days. T cells were maintained at 1 to 2 × 10⁶ cells/mL in CTL stimulation medium. T cells were harvested on day 12 to 14, counted, and used as effector T cells in a europium-release CTL assay. Autologous DCs transfected with tumor antigen-encoding mRNA were used as targets.

**Antibody and tetramer staining of T cells**

The following tetramers and fluorochrome-labeled antibodies were used: PE-labeled tetramers (Beckman Coulter) loaded with HLA-A*0201 CMV pp65 (amino acids 495–503, NLVPMAVTV), HLA-B*0702 CMV pp65 (amino acids 417–426, TPRTVGGGAM), HLA-B*3501 CMV pp65 (amino acids 123–132, IPSINVHHY), HLA-A*2402 CMV pp65 (amino acids 341–349, QYDPVAALFL), CD4-APC (BD Biosciences), CD8-FITC (eBioscience). B cell CD19-PE, natural killer (NK) cell CD56-PE, CD25-PE (BD Biosciences). T cells were stained with tetramer and antibodies for 30 minutes at room temperature. A total of 5 × 10⁵ in vitro stimulated T cells were incubated with 5 μL of tetramer in 100 μL fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) buffer (PBS with 4% FBS). The cells were washed once with FACS buffer and resuspended in 300 μL PBS with 1% formaldehyde for data acquisition. Isotype controls included the corresponding fluorochrome-conjugated or unconjugated mouse IgG1 or IgG2a. Data was acquired on a FACSCaliber flow cytometer and analyzed using CellQuest or FlowJo.

**Analysis of T-cell polyfunction**

Polyclonal CMV-specific CD4 and CD8 T-cell responses (IFN-γ, IL-2, TNF-α, and CD107) were evaluated using a polychromatic intracellular flow cytometry. PBMCs were thawed and rested overnight at 37°C/5% CO₂ in RPMI with 10% FBS (R10) for 12 to 20 hours. PBMCs (2 × 10⁶/well) were stimulated for 6 hours at 37°C/5% CO₂ with CMV pp65 PepMix (1 μg/mL, JPT Peptide Technologies), SEB (Staphylococcal enterotoxin B, 1 μg/mL, Sigma), or no antigen in the presence of costimulatory monoclonal antibodies (mAb), anti-CD28 and anti-CD49d (1 μg/mL of each, BD Biosciences), and protein transport inhibitors, monensin (1 μg/mL; Golgistop, BD Biosciences), and Brefeldin A (5 μg/mL, Sigma). After stimulation, cells were treated with EDTA for 15 minutes at room temperature (18–22°C). Cells were stained using manufacturer’s protocol for FACSlyzing solution and FASCPermeabilization Solution II (BD Biosciences) using the following mAbs: exclusion markers CD14-Pacific Blue (BD Biosciences), CD19-Pacific Blue (Invitrogen), violet LIVE/DEAD Fixable Dead Cell Stain Kit (Invitrogen); basic subset markers CD3-AmCyan,
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CD107 cytotoxicity assay across all test samples. Population of interest. Cytokine gates were then applied to improve purity and recovery of the functional or tweaking each of the basic, maturational, and functional positive signal and minimize the negative using back-gating, used at the level of the cytokine gates to maximize the were then gated for each respective maturational subset. The were gated off of the 3 basic subsets and functional markers were then gated for each respective maturational subset. The Gating scheme for polychromatic intracellular flow cytometric assay Basic subsets for CD4+ and CD8+ T cells were identified using a series of sequential gates starting with singlets (FSC-W versus FSC-H), viable CD3+ T cells (CD3 versus Exclusion), scatter lymphocytes (FSC-A versus SSC-A), and finally CD8 versus CD4 to identify the three basic subset gates: CD4+CD8– (CD4+ viable T lymphocytes), CD8+CD4– (CD8+ viable T lymphocytes), and double positives (CD4+CD8+). For each of the 3 basic subsets (total population), 4 cytokine gates were defined: IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2, and CD107+. In addition, maturational subsets were defined as CD45RO–CD27+ (naïve), CD45RO+CD27– (central memory), CD45RO–CD27– (effector memory), CD45RO+CD57+ (effector), CD45RO+CD57+ (terminal effector) were gated off of the 3 basic subsets and functional markers were then gated for each respective maturational subset. The positive and negative controls (SEB and unstimulated) were used at the level of the cytokine gates to maximize the positive signal and minimize the negative using back-gating, or tweaking each of the basic, maturational, and functional gates to improve purity and recovery of the functional population of interest. Cytokine gates were then applied across all test samples.

In vitro cytotoxicity assay Target cells were harvested, washed to remove all traces of media, and labeled with europium (Eu). The Eu-labeling buffer (1 mL/target) contains 1 mL HEPES buffer (50 mmol/L HEPES, 93 mmol/L NaCl, 5 mmol/L KCl, 2 mmol/L MgCl₂, pH 7.4), 10 µL Eu (10 mmol/L EuCl₃·6H₂O in 0.01 N HCl), 5 µL DTPA (100 mmol/L diethylenetriamine penta-acetate in HEPES buffer), and 4 µL DS (1% dextran-sulfate; ref. 8). Cells were resuspended in 1 mL of the europium-labeling buffer very gently and incubated on ice for 20 minutes. Thirty microliters of CaCl₂ solution (100 mmol/L) was then added to the labeled cells, mixed, and the cells were incubated for another 5 minutes on ice. Thirty milliliters of Repair buffer (HEPES buffer with 10 mmol/L glucose, 2 mmol/L CaCl₂) was added to the cells and the cells were centrifuged at 1,000 rpm for 10 minutes. Cells were counted and 5 × 10⁶ cells were washed 4 times with Repair buffer. After the final wash, the cells were resuspended in CTL stimulation RPMI-10% FBS medium with no penicillin–streptomycin at 10⁵ cells/mL. A total of 10⁴ Eu-labeled targets and serial dilutions of effector cells were cocultured in 200 µL at 37°C for 4 hours. Eu release in the supernatant (50 µL) was measured by time-resolved fluorescence (VICTOR3 Multilabel Counter, Perkin Elmer). % specific lysis = [(experimental release – spontaneous release)/(total release – spontaneous release)] × 100. Spontaneous release of the target cells was less than 25% of total release by detergent in all assays for the results of the assay to be valid. Spontaneous release of the target cells was determined by incubating the target cells in medium without T cells. All assays were done in triplicate.

Cytokine bead array analysis In addition to measuring cytotoxicity using the Eu-release cytotoxicity assay, an additional 50 µL of supernatant was harvested after 8 hours from the effector + target cocultures described above and used for analysis of cytokines. Supernatant (50 µL) harvested from effector and target cells cultured alone were used as additional controls. Samples were stored frozen at –20°C until the day of the assay. The BD CBA Human Th1/Th2 Cytokine Kit II (#551809) was used to measure the presence of the cytokines IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IL-10, TNF-α, and IFN-γ and assayed exactly as described in the kit.

Western blot analysis Western blot analysis was performed on deidentified samples of newly diagnosed high-grade glioma (WHO grade IV) from the Duke Medical Center tumor repository. Tumor cells were thawed and lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (Thermo Scientific), separated on a 12.5% PAGE-SDS gel (Bio-Rad), and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad) blocked in Protein-Free T20 TBS Blocking Buffer (Thermo Scientific). Blots were probed with monoclonal anti-CMV IE1 (Millipore #MAB810, 1:500 dilution) or monoclonal anti-CMV pp65 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, #sc-71229, 1:1,000 dilution) and probed with secondary goat anti-mouse IgG-HRP (Thermo Scientific) and imaged with Alpha InnoTech HD-2. Blots were stripped with Restore Western Blot Stripping Buffer (Thermo Scientific-Pierce) and reprobed with monoclonal anti-β-Actin (clone C4, Santa Cruz, 1:2,500 dilution) and developed with SuperSignal West Femto Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific) and imaged with Alpha InnoTech HD-2. Blots were stripped with Restore Western Blot Stripping Buffer (Thermo Scientific-Pierce) and reprobed with monoclonal anti-β-Actin (clone C4, Santa Cruz, 1:2,500 dilution) and developed with SuperSignal West Femto Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific). Controls include CMV-infected (Virusys, #CV001-1) and VZV-infected (Virusys, #AV043-1) cell extract.

Results Presence of CMV antigens in glioma tissue but not normal healthy brain tissue The presence of CMV in glioma tissue was first reported by Cobbs and colleagues in 2002 (9). Since this first
report, seven independent laboratories have confirmed the detection of CMV within the vast majority of malignant gliomas at the DNA, RNA, and protein level (10–16). Most reports to date examining CMV protein expression within GBM have relied on immunohistochemical detection using an optimized and amplified detection protocol. For confirmatory identification of CMV proteins within GBM tumors, we conducted Western blot analysis on primary GBM tumors for detection of CMV pp65 and IE1 (Fig. 1). Appropriately sized proteins were detected in GBM lysates and lysates from CMV-infected fibroblasts but not in lysates of Varicella Zoster virus-infected fibroblasts (VZV). In addition, CMV antigens were not detected in normal brain lysates from deceased patients (>50 years old) who died of non-brain tumor-related causes (data not shown).

**Generation of mature CMV pp65 RNA-pulsed DCs from patients with newly diagnosed GBM**

To evaluate the T-cell stimulation potential of DCs generated from patients with GBM, we harvested PBMCs via leukapheresis from CMV-seropositive patients with newly diagnosed GBM. DCs were generated as described in Materials and Methods and then pulsed with antigen-encoding mRNA. Pulsed DCs were matured in a cytokine cocktail containing GM-CSF, IL-4, TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and PGE2 after electroporation with CMV pp65 RNA (17). DC maturation is characterized phenotypically by high expression of membrane-bound costimulatory molecules, CD80 and CD86, as well as CD83 and MHC class II molecules. Mature DCs also upregulate chemokine receptors, notably CCR7, that enables effective migration to lymph nodes for antigen presentation to T cells (18). Phenotypic analysis of the pulsed, matured DCs revealed a significant increase in surface expression of CD80, CD83, CD25, and CCR7 as compared with pulsed immature DCs (Supplementary Fig. S1). Mature DCs also exhibited increase in expression of CD40, MHC class II, and CD86 although the increase in expression of these proteins was modest compared with CD80, CD83, CD25, and CCR7 (Supplementary Fig. S2). These results demonstrate that DCs can be consistently generated from the PBMCs of patients with GBM using standard protocols, electroporated with RNA and that maturation of these RNA pulsed-DCs using a cytokine cocktail containing TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and PGE2 results in phenotypically mature DCs.

**Activation of functional CMV pp65-specific T cells from patients with GBM using CMV pp65 RNA-pulsed autologous DCs**

To determine the capacity to induce CMV pp65-specific T cells in vitro, PBMCs from patients with GBM and from a healthy donor were cultured in the presence of autologous CMV pp65 RNA-pulsed mature DCs in CTL stimulation media (Materials and Methods) for 12 to 14 days. Patient characteristics are presented in Supplementary Table S1. As shown in Fig. 2, GBM patient-derived DCs pulsed with CMV pp65 RNA expanded high levels of CD8+ CMV pp65-specific cells, based on the increase of CMV tetramer+ CD8+ T cells (Fig. S1). Mature DCs also exhibited increase in expression of CD40, MHC class II, and CD86 although the increase in expression of these proteins was modest compared with CD80, CD83, CD25, and CCR7 (Supplementary Fig. S2). These results demonstrate that DCs can be consistently generated from the PBMCs of patients with GBM using standard protocols, electroporated with RNA and that maturation of these RNA pulsed-DCs using a cytokine cocktail containing TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and PGE2 results in phenotypically mature DCs.

**Figure 1. Detection of CMV proteins in primary GBM. Single-cell digests were prepared from deidentified newly diagnosed GBM specimens, washed with PBS, and lysates prepared in the presence of proteinase inhibitors. Western blot (WB) analysis was performed using CMV IE1-specific and pp65-specific mAbs on GBM lysates (20 μg per lane). CMV- and VZV-infected fibroblast lysates were used as positive and negative control samples respectively (1 μg per lane). Figure depicts 10 GBM specimens positive for CMV proteins IE1 and pp65. Normal brain lysates obtained from autopsy specimens were negative for detection of CMV proteins (0/5, data not shown).**
RNA-loaded DCs. Again, the expansion of activated IFN-γ-secreting T cells was comparable in patients with GBM and healthy donors.

Multiple studies have reported the importance of polyfunctional T cells, which are characterized as T cells with multiple functions that includes cytotoxicity (expression of CD107) and the secretion of IFN-γ, TNF-α, and IL-2 (19). Polyfunctional T cells are associated with protective immunity after vaccination (20–24), control of infections including CMV (25–28), and favorable outcomes in HIV/AIDS (29–32) and tumor regression (33–37).

We therefore evaluated whether CMV pp65-specific T cells generated from patients with GBM exhibited polyfunctional effector responses after in vitro coculture with CMV pp65 RNA-pulsed DCs. As shown in Fig. 3B and C, in vitro stimulated T cells demonstrated an increase in functional T cells as measured by expression of CD107 and secretion of IFN-γ, TNF-α, and IL-2 compared with unstimulated cells. 1.6% to 46.5% CD8 T cells and 0.15% to 9% activated CD4 T cells, respectively (Fig. 3C). Moreover, a majority of these T cells (78.5% CD8 and 62.8% CD4) exhibited more than one function (Fig. 3C), demonstrating multifunctional capacity.

Collectively, Figs. 2 and 3 demonstrate that the functions of the antigen-specific T cells derived from GBM patient PBMCs were comparable with those derived from a healthy donor, indicating the capacity to generate robust CMV pp65-specific T cells from patients with GBM using RNA-pulsed autologous DCs.

CMV pp65-specific T cells recognize and lyse autologous GBM tumors

Figures 2 and 3 demonstrate that CMV pp65-specific T cells can be efficiently induced in vitro using cells from patients with GBM. We subsequently tested the ability of CMV pp65-specific T cells to recognize and lyse autologous GBM tumors in vitro in an antigen-specific manner. GBM patient–derived T cells were stimulated by culturing them with autologous DCs pulsed with CMV pp65 RNA, and the cytotoxic reactivity of the effector CMV pp65-specific T cells was measured using a Eu-release CTL assay (38). To address efficacy, specificity, and off-target effects, autologous DCs electroporated with the following RNA transcripts were used as targets: (i) CMV pp65 RNA (specific target), (ii) survivin RNA (nonspecific target), (iii) Flu M1 RNA (nonspecific target), (iv) GBM tumor RNA (test surrogate target for autologous tumor), and (v) total cellular RNA (control target using autologous PBMC-derived total RNA or DC-derived total RNA). To address the most relevant question, namely the ability to immunologically target CMV pp65 as a tumor antigen in GBM tumors, we examined the cytotoxic activity of CMV pp65-specific T cells on autologous patient-derived, primary GBM tumor cells.

Figure 4A illustrates the CTL reactivity of T cells stimulated with CMV pp65 RNA-transfected DCs from 4 patients with GBM following a short coculture with the aforementioned target cells. All patients’ CMV pp65-specific T cells lysed DC target cells pulsed with pp65 RNA as well as the surrogate tumor target, DCs pulsed with GBM total tumor RNA (not tested in patient 5). Importantly, CMV-specific T cells recognized and killed autologous GBM tumor cells as well, indicating sufficient levels of target antigen expression within tumors for immunologic recognition and susceptibility of GBM tumor cells to T-cell–mediated killing. The antigen-specific recognition of these T cells was conclusively demonstrated by the fact that CMV pp65-specific T cells did not lyse the control targets of autologous DCs pulsed with survivin RNA, Flu M1 RNA, or total cellular RNA. Furthermore, Flu M1-specific effectors lysed only Flu M1-expressing targets. (Fig. 4B) but did not demonstrate reactivity against DCs pulsed with GBM total tumor RNA or autologous tumor cells. T cells cultured with DCs pulsed with total cellular RNA were incapable of inducing any appreciable level of CTL activity (Fig. 4C) further confirming the recognition of “non-self” antigens by the primed CTLs. Cytokine analysis conducted in parallel indicated that vast levels of IFN-γ and appreciable amounts of TNF-α and IL-6 were produced by the CMV pp65-specific T cells upon recognition of the autologous primary GBM tumor cells (Supplementary Fig. S3). Collectively, Fig. 4A–C show that in vitro generated CMV pp65-specific T cells are capable of specifically recognizing and lysing autologous GBM tumor cells. These data demonstrate, for the first time, the immunologic...
Figure 3. CMV pp65 RNA-pulsed mature dendritic cells induce CMV pp65-specific T-cell expansion and polyfunctionality. T cells were stimulated ex vivo with autologous CMV pp65 RNA-pulsed DCs. A, CD4 and CD8 T-cell populations were analyzed for coexpression of IFN-γ pre and poststimulation. PBMCs from 1 normal donor and 2 patients with GBM (Patient 1 and Patient 2) were used. B, GBM patient PBMC-derived CD4 and CD8 T cells were characterized for functionality by measuring IFN-γ, TNF-α, CD107, and IL-2 using flow cytometry as described in Materials and Methods. PBMCs from 1 GBM patient (Patient 3) were used. (Continued on the following page.)
relevance of CMV-specific immunity in the targeting of glial tumors.

**Total tumor RNA pulsed DCs expand CMV pp65-specific T cells**

To further explore the significance of targeting CMV in GBM, we used total tumor RNA-transfected DCs from patients with GBM to stimulate autologous T cells and examined the induction of CMV-specific T cells. Figure 5A depicts the results of the Eu-release CTL assay for two patients with GBM in which sufficient tumor RNA for ex vivo T-cell stimulation was obtained. T cells stimulated with DCs pulsed with total tumor RNA effectively recognized and lysed DC target cells pulsed with CMV pp65 RNA but not Flu M1 RNA, demonstrating the activation of CMV pp65-specific T cells using total tumor RNA-pulsed DCs. Moreover, one of these two patients, who was HLA-A2-positive, demonstrated the expansion of CMV pp65-specific tetramer-positive CD8+ T cells after stimulation with total tumor RNA-pulsed DCs but no expansion of CMV pp65-specific T cells when stimulated by influenza RNA-pulsed DCs, ruling out a global nonspecific expansion of CMV viral memory cells within the DC coculture (Fig. 5B). Taken together, this data provides evidence that CMV pp65 is a viable antigenic target in GBM tumors and supports the rationale for CMV-directed immunotherapy for the treatment of GBM.

**Discussion**

Cancer immunotherapy has made great strides in recent years. The ability to harness a patient’s immune system to generate powerful antitumor responses with minimal collateral damage to surrounding healthy tissue is essential in any therapeutic setting and is a cornerstone of immunotherapy. This is especially true in patients with malignant brain tumors, as immunologic cross reactivity with normal brain could lead to severe morbidity. In the present study, we explored the physiologic relevance of targeting human cytomegalovirus as a tumor rejection antigen in GBM. To date, DC targeting of malignant gliomas using unfractionated tumor antigens in the form of tumor lysates, total tumor RNA, and tumor peptides have shown safety, nized and lysed DC target cells pulsed with CMV pp65 RNA but not Flu M1 RNA, demonstrating the activation of CMV pp65-specific T cells using total tumor RNA-pulsed DCs. Moreover, one of these two patients, who was HLA-A2-positive, demonstrated the expansion of CMV pp65-specific tetramer-positive CD8+ T cells after stimulation with total tumor RNA-pulsed DCs but no expansion of CMV pp65-specific T cells when stimulated by influenza RNA-pulsed DCs, ruling out a global nonspecific expansion of CMV viral memory cells within the DC coculture (Fig. 5B). Taken together, this data provides evidence that CMV pp65 is a viable antigenic target in GBM tumors and supports the rationale for CMV-directed immunotherapy for the treatment of GBM.

**Discussion**

Cancer immunotherapy has made great strides in recent years. The ability to harness a patient’s immune system to generate powerful antitumor responses with minimal collateral damage to surrounding healthy tissue is essential in any therapeutic setting and is a cornerstone of immunotherapy. This is especially true in patients with malignant brain tumors, as immunologic cross reactivity with normal brain could lead to severe morbidity. In the present study, we explored the physiologic relevance of targeting human cytomegalovirus as a tumor rejection antigen in GBM. To date, DC targeting of malignant gliomas using unfractionated tumor antigens in the form of tumor lysates, total tumor RNA, and tumor peptides have shown safety,
feasibility, and capacity to elicit immune responses, promising efficacy (39–41). However, these approaches are limited by the requirement for acquisition of tumor tissue in a preparation suitable for vaccine preparation and the lack of identity of relevant tumor targets for immune monitoring. Conserved and truly tumor-specific antigens, such as EGFR-vIII, can serve as potent antigens for tumor rejection but are rare in example and often limited to only a subset of patients with tumor (42–44). The reported detection of CMV antigens in the majority of malignant glioma specimens, the specificity and immunogenicity of well-characterized viral antigens in CMV, and the history of safety in immunologic targeting of CMV antigens even in patients with disseminated CMV disease, potentially highlight CMV antigens as a novel and promising target for immunotherapy in the treatment of CMV-associated gliomas.

In this study, we importantly demonstrate that despite well-described deficits in cell-mediated immunity in patients with GBM, CMV pp65 RNA-pulsed DCs can be reliably generated from the peripheral blood of these patients (Figs. 2–5 and Supplementary Fig. S3; Supplementary Table S2) and stimulate CMV-specific CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ polyfunctional effector cells (Fig. 3B and C). Most significantly, these CMV pp65-specific T cells were capable of killing autologous tumor cells and autologous DCs pulsed with total tumor RNA (Fig. 4). The use of autologous DCs pulsed with RNA as surrogate tumor targets allowed for the exquisite specificity of this recognition to be evaluated in vitro. CMV pp65-specific T cells recognized total tumor antigen-expressing DCs and CMV pp65-expressing DCs, but did not lyse autologous DCs pulsed with a variety of antigen-specific controls, demonstrating a CMV pp65-restricted killing mechanism. While potent influenza-specific T cells could also be stimulated from patients with GBM, these T cells failed to recognize autologous tumor cells or DCs pulsed with total tumor RNA, further validating antigen-specific recognition of CMV antigens. Finally, as an additional demonstration of the relevance of endogenous CMV antigen expression, we observed that stimulation of lymphocytes with total tumor RNA-pulsed DCs led to a 10- to 20-fold expansion of CMV pp65-specific CD8⁺ T cells by tetramer analysis and the recognition and killing of CMV pp65-expressing targets in vitro (Fig. 5). Previous studies have demonstrated the capacity to induce immunologic responses to a variety of GBM-associated antigens, including capacity to expand CMV-specific T cells from patients with GBM (45, 46). However, these studies did not address whether endogenous low levels of CMV antigen expression within these tumors was sufficient to serve as a relevant target for immunotherapy. To our knowledge, this is the first study demonstrating the physiologic relevance of CMV as an immunotherapeutic target in GBM. This study, coupled with demonstrated feasibility for DC-based vaccination and use of ex vivo expanded T cells for adoptive cellular therapy, strongly support the evaluation of CMV-directed immunotherapy for patients with GBM. A potential limitation of this approach, however, is the well-established heterogeneity in gene expression within GBM tumors that would likely limit the potential efficacy of any antigen-directed therapeutic strategy. Prior studies have demonstrated the frequent detection of CMV antigens in GBM tumors, but the proportion of infected tumor cells has ranged from less than 10% to more

Figure 5. GBM tumor RNA-pulsed dendritic cells stimulate CMV pp65-specific T cells. T cells were stimulated with autologous DCs pulsed with GBM tumor RNA (total RNA isolated from autologous primary GBM tumor cells) and tested for CTL activity. DCs pulsed with either CMV pp65 RNA or Flu M1 RNA were used as target cells. A, GBM tumor-specific T cells specifically lyse CMV pp65-expressing DC targets but not Flu M1-expressing DC targets. Data using cells from Patient 4 and Patient 5 are depicted. B, T cells stimulated with GBM tumor RNA-pulsed DCs expand CMV pp65-specific CD8⁺ T cells. T cells from the same patient PBMCs stimulated with Flu M1 RNA-pulsed DCs were used as controls and do not demonstrate the presence of CMV pp65-specific CD8⁺ T cells. Figure represents data using cells from Patient 4.
than 80% (11, 47). Our assessment of the proportion of tumor cells expressing sufficient antigenic peptides to serve as targets in cytotoxicity assays revealed that 20% to 50% of tumor cells could be recognized and killed through immunologic targeting of CMV pp65 (Fig. 4).

Furthermore, while we have established the capacity to generate functional cytotxic T cells ex vivo, well-established mechanisms of immunosuppression in vivo, such as elevated proportion of regulatory T cells in patients with GBM, may limit potential therapeutic efficacy (48). These potential confounders can be readily addressed through combination antigen targeting approaches, as we and others have shown expression of other CMV antigen targets in GBM tumors, as well as depletion or inhibition of regulatory T cells using clinically available mAbs (14, 49, 50). Thus, there is considerable rationale for the clinical evaluation of CMV-directed immunotherapy in patients with GBM.
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