Genetic Modification of T Cells Redirected toward CS1 Enhances Eradication of Myeloma Cells
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Abstract

**Purpose:** Our goal is to test whether CS1 could be targeted by chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells to treat multiple myeloma (MM).

**Experimental Design:** We generated a retroviral construct of a CS1-specific CAR and engineered primary human T cells expressing the CAR. We then tested the capacity of CS1–CAR T cells to eradicating human MM tumor cells in vitro, ex vivo, and in vivo using orthotopic MM xenograft mouse models.

**Results:** In vitro, compared with mock-transduced T cells, upon recognizing CS1-positive MM cells, CS1–CAR-transduced T cells secreted more IFN-γ as well as interleukin (IL)-2, expressed higher levels of the activation marker CD69, showed higher capacity for degranulation, and displayed enhanced cytotoxicity. Ectopically forced expression of CS1 in MM cells with low CS1 expression enhanced recognition and killing by CAR T cells. Ex vivo, CS1–CAR T cells also showed similarly enhanced activities when responding to primary MM cells. More importantly, in orthotopic MM xenograft mouse models, adoptive transfer of human primary T cells expressing CS1–CAR efficiently suppressed the growth of human MM.1S and IM9 myeloma cells and significantly prolonged mouse survival.

**Conclusions:** CS1 is a promising antigen that can be targeted by CAR-expressing T cells for treatment of MM. *Clin Cancer Res;* 20(15); 3989–4000. ©2014 AACR.

Introduction

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a B-cell malignancy characterized by the aberrant clonal expansion of plasma cells within the bone marrow. In 2013, it has been estimated that 22,350 individuals will be newly diagnosed with MM in the United States and 10,710 people will die from it, accounting for 20% of the deaths from all hematologic malignancies (1, 2). MM remains incurable despite the application of therapies, including proteasome inhibitors, immunomodulatory agents, and stem cell transplantation (3, 4). Therefore, development of novel and effective interventions is urgently needed.

Adoptive transfer of T cells engineered to express chimeric antigen receptors (CAR) can specifically recognize tumor-associated antigens (TAA), combining the advantages of non–MHC-restricted recognition with efficient T-cell activation and expansion (5–8). CARs generally incorporate an antigen recognition domain from the single-chain variable fragments (scFv) of a monoclonal antibody (mAb) with transmembrane signaling motifs involved in lymphocyte activation (9). T cells harboring first-generation CARs with the CD3ζ intracellular signaling domain alone often fail to persist or become anergic due to suboptimal activation, and thus only exhibit low efficiency (10–13). To address this limitation, new generations of CARs incorporating the intracellular domains of costimulatory molecules such as CD28, 4-1BB, and OX40 to provide additional activating signals have been developed, and T cells grafted with these CARs have demonstrated improved expansion, activation, persistence, and tumor-eradicating efficiency independent of costimulatory receptor/ligand interaction (13, 14). Pilot clinical trials using T cells equipped with CD19-specific second-generation CARs, incorporating either CD28 or 4-1BB costimulatory signal, to treat patients suffering from relapsed B-cell malignancies have yielded exciting results (15–20). CD19-directed CAR T cells cannot be applied to...
Translational Relevance

Multiple myeloma (MM) remains incurable despite the application of multiple current therapies, and, thus, development of novel and effective interventions is urgently needed. CD19 chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells have been shown to be effective for treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia and acute lymphoblastic leukemia. However, MM cells do not express CD19, while universally expressing CS1, which has restricted expression on normal cells. In this study, we engineered T cells with a second-generation CD28–CD3ζ CS1–CAR construct that we generated. Our results showed that these modified T cells efficiently destroyed human MM cells in vitro, ex vivo, and in vivo. Our preclinical study suggests that adoptive transfer of T cells expressing a CAR-targeting CS1 presents a promising therapeutic strategy to treat MM. Future clinical trials on this novel strategy are warranted.

T cells efficiently eradicated human myeloma cells and significantly prolonged mouse survival. Together, our data suggest that adoptive therapy with T cells armed with a CS1-specific CAR represents a promising strategy against relapsed MM.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

Please see Supplementary Information. All cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection and have been authenticated with DNA profiling.

Mice

Six- to 8-week-old male NOD–scid IL-2Rgamma (NSG) mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory. Mice were monitored frequently for MM disease progression, and sacrificed when they became moribund with the symptoms of hind limb paralysis, lethargy, or obvious weight loss. All animal work was approved by The Ohio State University Animal Care and Use Committee.

Generation of the CS1-specific CAR retroviral construct

The anti-CS1 scFv was derived from a hybridoma cell line producing anti-CS1 mAb. The coding domain sequences for variable regions of heavy (\(V_H\)) and light (\(V_L\)) chains were amplified separately and recombined using a linker by overlapping PCR reaction. The \(V_L\)-linker-\(V_H\) fragment was incorporated in frame with the CD28–CD3ζ portion. The entire anti–CS1-scFv-CD28-CD3ζ fragment was then ligated into a retroviral vector designated Pinco (28, 29) to generate a Pinco–CS1–CAR construct.

Retroviral transduction of T lymphocytes

The retroviral transfection and infection protocol was modified from our previous report (28, 29) and has been detailed in Supplementary Information.

Flow cytometry analysis

For detection of CS1–CAR expression on the cell surface, transduced T cells were washed with PBS containing 4% bovine serum albumin, and incubated with biotin-labeled goat anti-mouse (Fab)_2 polyclonal antibody or normal polyclonal goat immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch) as an isotype control. Then cells were stained with allophycocyanin (APC)-conjugated streptavidin (Jackson ImmunoResearch) and anti-CD3 antibody conjugated to V450 (BD Biosciences). To determine the expression of CS1 on the surface of myeloma cells, the cells were stained with phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated mouse anti-CS1 mAb (eBiosciences) and APC-conjugated mouse anti-CD138 mAb (Miltenyi Biotec). Antibody staining was monitored with a BD LSRII flow cytometer. Data analysis was carried out using FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc.).

Immunoblotting

Cells were lysed in laemmli buffer. Lysates were separated by SDS–PAGE gel and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Millipore). The membrane was probed with mouse
anti-human CD3ζ mAb (BD Pharmingen) and then with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG antibody. Antibody binding was revealed by using an enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (GE Healthcare Biosciences).

**Generation of RPMI-8226 cells stably expressing CS1**

The lentiviral transfection and infection protocol was modified from our previous report (28, 29) and has been detailed in Supplementary Information.

**Cytotoxicity assay**

A standard 4-hour ⁵¹Cr release assay was performed as described previously (30). Briefly, target cells were labeled with ⁵¹Cr and cocultured with T cells at various effector/target ratios (E/T) in the wells of 96-well V-bottom plate at 37°C for 4 hours. Supernatants were harvested and transferred into scintillation vials containing a liquid scintillation cocktail (Fisher Scientific), and the release of ⁵¹Cr was measured on TopCount counter (Canberra Packard). Target cells incubated in complete medium or 1% SDS were used to determine spontaneous or maximal ⁵¹Cr release. The percentage of specific lysis was calculated using the standard formula: 100 × (cpm experimental release – cpm spontaneous release)/(cpm maximal release – cpm spontaneous release).

**Cytokine release assays**

Target cells were cocultured with an equal number of effector cells in 96-well V-bottom plates at 37°C for 24 hours. Cell-free supernatants were harvested and assessed for IFN-γ and interleukin (IL)-2 secretion by ELISA using the corresponding ELISA kits from R&D system according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**CD107a degranulation assay**

CD107a assay was performed as described previously with some modification (31). Briefly, MM target cells (2.5 × 10⁵) were cocultured with an equal number of effector cells in 0.2 mL per well in 96-well V-bottom plates. Control cells are either mock- or CS1–CAR-transduced T cells incubated without target cells. Anti-CD3ζ or IgG1 isotype antibody conjugated to APC (BD Biosciences) together with 1 μL monensin (BD Biosciences) was added and incubated at 37°C for 4 hours. Cells were further stained with PE-conjugated CD69 and V450-conjugated CD3 antibodies, and analyzed using a LSRII flow cytometer (BD Biosciences).

**Intracellular staining of granzyme B and perforin**

Mock- or CS1–CAR-transduced T cells were washed and stained with V450-conjugated anti-human CD3 mAB. Subsequently, cells were fixed and permeabilized using the Cytofix/Cytoperm Kit (BD Biosciences), labeled with APC-conjugated anti-granzyme B (Invitrogen), APC-conjugated anti-perforin antibody (eBiosciences) or a mouse APC-conjugated isotype antibody, and then analyzed on a BD LSRII flow cytometer (BD Biosciences).

**In vivo treatment of MM-bearing mice and bioluminescence imaging**

MM.1S and IM9 myeloma cells were retrovirally transduced with Pinco-pGL3-luc/GFP virus expressing firefly luciferase, and GFP-positive cells were sorted using the aforementioned method, yielding MM.1S-GL3 and IM9-GL3 cells, respectively. Male NSG mice were intravenously injected with 8 × 10⁶ MM.1S-GL3 cells or 5 × 10⁵ IM9-GL3 cells in 400 μL of PBS via tail vein on day 0 to establish a xenograft orthotopic MM model. On days 7 and 14 (MM.1S) or 21 (IM-9), the mice were intravenously administered with 10 × 10⁶ effector cells, CS1–CAR-transduced T cells or mock-transduced control cells, in 400 μL of PBS via tail vein injection. Five weeks after inoculation with MM cells, the mice were intraperitoneally infused with D-luciferin (150 mg/kg body weight; Gold Biotechnology), anesthetized with isoflurane, and imaged using the In Vivo Imaging System (IVIS) with Living Image software (PerkinElmer).

**Statistical analysis**

The unpaired Student t test was used to compare two independent groups for continuous endpoints if normally distributed. One-way ANOVA was used when three or more independent groups were compared. For survival data, Kaplan–Meier curves were plotted and compared using a log-rank test. All tests were two-sided. P values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni method. A P value of less than 0.05 is considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Generation of primary T cells expressing CS1-specific CAR**

We constructed a Pinco retroviral vector encoding a second-generation CS1-specific CAR (Pinco–CS1–CAR), which consisted of anti-CS1 scFv, the hinge and transmembrane regions of the CD8 molecule, the CD28 costimulatory signaling moiety, and the cytoplasmic component of CD3ζ molecule (Fig. 1A). Anti-CD3ζ/CD28 antibody-activated primary T cells from a healthy donor were transduced with retroviral particles encoding CS1–CAR or empty vector (mock) and sorted for expression of GFP, which was encoded by the retroviral construct. To determine whether CS1–CAR was successfully transferred, the sorted cells were lysed and subjected to immunoblotting with an anti-CD3ζ mAb. As shown in Fig. 1B, in contrast with the mock-transduced T cells, which only expressed endogenous CD3ζ protein, CS1–CAR-transduced T cells obviously expressed the chimeric CS1–scFv–CD28–CD3ζ fusion protein at the predicted size in addition to native CD3ζ. Expression of CS1–CAR on the cell surface was demonstrated by staining transduced T cells with a goat anti-mouse Fab antibody that recognized the scFv portion of anti-CS1, which detected expression of the scFv on 70.3% of CS1–CAR-transduced T cells, whereas expression remained almost undetectable on mock-transduced T cells (Fig. 1C).
Recognition of CS1+ myeloma cell lines by CS1-specific CAR T cells
We evaluated the surface expression of CS1 in four commonly used myeloma cell lines NCI-H929, IM9, MM.1S, and RPMI-8226 by flow cytometry, and revealed that CS1 protein was variably expressed in these cell lines with much higher expression in NCI-H929, IM9, and MM.1S cells than RPMI-8226 cells with minimal CS1 expression (Fig. 2A). As a negative control, the transformed human kidney cell line, 293T, did not express CS1 on its surface (Supplementary Fig. S1A). To determine the capacity of CS1–CAR T cells for recognition of myeloma cells that endogenously express CS1, IFN-γ and IL-2 secretion was measured via ELISA in supernatants from mock-transduced T cells or CS1–CAR-transduced T cells in the presence or absence of each myeloma cell line. Mock-transduced T cells and CS1–CAR-transduced T cells each alone produced negligible levels of IFN-γ and IL-2 (Fig. 2B and C); however, after exposure to NCI-H929 and IM9 cells expressing high levels of CS1, significantly greater amounts of IFN-γ and IL-2 proteins were secreted by CS1–CAR T cells but not by mock T cells. In response to MM.1S cells with high levels of CS1 expression, CS1–CAR-transduced T cells also produced a higher amount of IFN-γ than mock-transduced T cells (Fig. 2B) whereas, for unknown reasons, CS1–CAR-transduced T cells could not be triggered by this cell line to secrete higher levels of IL-2 than mock-transduced T cells (Fig. 2C). In addition, compared with corresponding mock-transduced subsets of T cells, both CD4+ (CD8−) and CD8+ CS1–CAR T cells displayed increased IFN-γ secretion in response to
NCI-H929 or MM.1S cells (Supplementary Fig. S2A). For RPMI-8226 cells with very low levels of CS1 expression, both mock-transduced T cells and CS1–CAR-transduced T cells produced low levels of IFN-γ and IL-2 that were comparable with background (Fig. 2B and C). These findings suggest that, compared with mock-transduced T cells, CS1–CAR-transduced T cells can more specifically recognize MM cells with high levels of endogenous CS1 expression, and become more activated after the recognition of these MM cells.

In vitro cytolytic potency against myeloma cells triggered by CS1-specific CAR

To determine whether enhanced recognition of CS1⁺ myeloma cells by CS1–CAR T cells could lead to more efficient tumor cell lysis, a standard 4-hour chromium-51 release assay was performed. NCI-H929, IM9, and MM.1S cells, which express high levels of CS1, were resistant to mock-transduced T-cell–mediated killing, even at E/T ratios as high as 20:1; however, these cells were efficiently lysed by CS1–CAR T cells at all E/T ratios tested (Fig. 3A, left three). However, compared with mock-transduced T cells, CS1–CAR-transduced T cells could only show slightly augmented cytolytic activity against RPMI-8226 cells that express low levels of CS1 (Fig. 3A, right one). We further characterized degranulation and activation of T cells by assessing expression of CD107a and CD69 in mock-transduced T cells and CS1–CAR-transduced T cells following incubation with or without NCI-H929 myeloma cells which, as mentioned above, triggered a strong response in CS1–CAR T cells with respect to cytokine release and cytolytic activity. Consistent with the aforementioned data about cytokine release and cytolytic activity, degranulation and activation occurred to a greater extent in CS1–CAR T cells than in mock T cells in response to NCI-H929 cells, as evidenced by upregulation of surface coexpression of mobilized CD107a and the activation marker, CD69 (Fig. 3B). Moreover, compared with corresponding mock-transduced subsets of T cells, we observed that both CD4⁺ (CD8⁻) and CD8⁺ CS1–CAR T cells exhibited increased levels of degranulation when stimulated by NCI-H929 or MM.1S cells (Supplementary Fig. S2B). In addition, using an intracellular staining approach, we demonstrated that, compared with mock-transduced T cells, CS1–CAR-transduced T cells expressed significantly higher levels of granzyme B, but not perforin, even in the absence of target cells (Fig. 3C and D), suggesting that...
Figure 3. CS1-redirected T cells preferentially eradicate myeloma cells obviously expressing CS1 protein. A, 51Cr-labeled NCI-H929, IM9, MM.1S, and RPMI-8226 myeloma cells (5 × 10^3) were cocultured with mock- or CS1–CAR-transduced T cells at the indicated E/T ratios for 4 hours, and target lysis (51Cr release) was measured. **, P < 0.01. B, expression of the degranulation marker CD107a and the T-cell activation marker CD69 on mock- or CS1–CAR-transduced T cells were evaluated by flow cytometry following 4 hours coculture with NCI-H929 cells. Compared with mock-transduced T cells, CS1–CAR-transduced T cells displayed superior degranulation and higher T-cell activation in response to CS1-expressing NCI-H929 cells. C, Mock- and CS1–CAR-transduced T cells were permeabilized for intracellular staining with mAb specific for granzyme B and perforin, and analyzed by flow cytometry.
granzyme B may be predominantly involved in mediating the cytolytic activity of CS1-redirected T cells. This finding is in line with a previous report showing T cells grafted with a carcinoembryonic antigen–specific CAR incorporating a combined CD28–CD3ζ signaling moiety harbored elevated levels of granzyme B compared with unmodified T cells (32).

**Forced overexpression of CS1 in target cells enhances recognition and killing by CS1-specific CAR T cells**

The considerably stronger response in CS1–CAR T cells in terms of cytokine release and cytotoxicity when stimulated by myeloma cells expressing high levels of CS1 prompted us to investigate whether ectopic expression of CS1 in myeloma cells with endogenously low levels of CS1 expression could elicit an increase in cytokine release and cytolyis. To this end, RPMI-8226 myeloma cells with low levels of endogenous CS1 expression were transduced with lentiviruses encoding human CS1 or with a PCDH empty vector as a mock-transduced control. The transduction efficiency was monitored by detection of GFP protein encoded by the lentiviruses, and the percentage of GFP-positive cells was more than 90% by flow cytometric analysis (data not shown). Overexpression of CS1 was confirmed by staining the surface of the transduced cells with a PE-conjugated anti-CS1 antibody (Fig. 4A). Chromium-51 release assay indicated that forced CS1 expression resulted in a discernible increase in the susceptibility of RPMI-8226 cells to lysis by CS1–CAR-transduced T cells as opposed to mock-transduced T cells (Fig. 4B). Then, we assessed IFN-γ and IL-2 production via ELISA and observed that, compared with mock-transduced T cells, CS1–CAR-transduced T cells produced significantly higher amounts of IFN-γ and IL-2 in response to RPMI-8226 cells overexpressing CS1; meanwhile, there was only a moderate increase in IFN-γ secretion and no change in IL-2 secretion when CS1–CAR T cells were cocultured with empty vector–modified RPMI-8226 cells (Fig. 4C and D). Likewise, overexpression of CS1 in CS1–293T, a transformed cell line, also triggered enhanced cytokine release and cytolyis by CS1–CAR T cells (Supplementary Fig. S1B–S1D). This was consistent with other previous reports on CAR T cells targeting other tumor antigens (33, 34). These findings corroborated that increased recognition and killing of target cells by CS1–CAR T cells occurred in a CS1-dependent manner.

**Improved recognition and killing of primary myeloma cells by autologous CS1-specific CAR T cells**

To study the effects of CS1-specific CAR T cells in a more clinically relevant context, we investigated whether
CS1–CAR-transduced autologous T cells could efficiently recognize and kill tumor cells freshly isolated from patients with myeloma. Like T cells from healthy donors, T cells from patients with relapsed myeloma were successfully expanded and manipulated to express CS1–CAR by retroviral infection, as manifested by 60.7% of T cells staining positively with both anti-mouse Fab and anti-human CD3 antibodies determined by flow cytometry (Fig. 5A). Primary CD138+ myeloma cells from patients were isolated using positive magnetic selection, and primary myeloma cells were observed to be uniformly positive for surface expression of CS1 using flow cytometry (Fig. 5B). By chromium-51 release assay, we observed that myeloma cells from patients were highly resistant to lysis by autologous mock-transduced T cells, but became susceptible to autologous CS1–CAR-transduced T cells even at a low (2.5:1) (E/T) ratio (Fig. 5C). In agreement with these cytotoxicity results, autologous CS1–CAR T cells produced significantly higher amounts of IFN-γ in response to myeloma cells compared with autologous mock-transduced T cells (Fig. 5D). These findings demonstrate that CS1–CAR-equipped T cells can efficiently recognize and eradicate myeloma cells in the autologous setting ex vivo.

**CS1-directed T cells suppress in vivo tumor growth and prolong survival of tumor-bearing mice in orthotopic xenograft myeloma models**

The therapeutic potential of CS1–CAR T cells was evaluated in an MM.1S-grafted NSG mouse model. Intravenous injection of MM.1S cells has been widely used to establish a mouse xenograft model of MM, because this can lead to the engraftment in bone marrow and bone, as well as consistent establishment of multifocal bone lesions, which closely recapitulates human MM (35, 36). To facilitate monitoring of tumor growth, we engineered MM.1S cells to express both GFP and firefly luciferase by retroviral infection, and GFP+ cells were sorted and intravenously grafted into NSG mice to initiate tumor growth. These mice were then intravenously infused with mock-transduced T cells, CS1–CAR-transduced T cells or PBS. In agreement with the previous reports (35, 36), bioluminescence imaging using IVIS showed that MM.1S-bearing NSG mice in the PBS-treated group developed disseminated tumor lesions in skulls, vertebrae, pelvis, and femurs (Fig. 6A), and the majority of the mice displayed hind leg paralyses 5 weeks after inoculation of tumor cells (data not shown). Infusion of CS1–CAR T cells remarkably reduced tumor burden as determined by bioluminescence imaging as well as prolonged the overall survival of MM.1S-bearing NSG mice, whereas infusion of mock-infected T cells failed to result in efficient tumor eradication and improved survival of mice (Fig. 6A and B).

To further validate the in vivo anti-MM capacity of CS1–CAR T cells, we evaluated the impact of CS1–CAR T cells using an IM9-grafted NSG mouse model. Similar data to those shown using MM.1S were observed. Bioluminescence imaging indicated that infusion of CS1–CAR-transduced T cells could efficiently eradicate tumors established in IM9-bearing mice, whereas infusion of mock-transduced T cells failed to reduce tumor burden (Supplementary Fig. S3A). Forty-four days after the initial treatment, a 100% survival rate was observed for IM9-bearing mice receiving CS1–CAR T-cell infusion, whereas the survival rate was only 28.6% and 16.7% for control mice receiving mock T cells and PBS, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S3B).

**Discussion**

After being researched for over a decade, CD19 CAR T cells have been successfully applied to the clinic for treatment of patients with refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), although normal B cells also express CD19 (15–18). These encouraging studies have fostered a great deal of interest in the application of CAR-based immunotherapy for other types of cancer. Unfortunately, tumor cells from most types of cancer, including MM, do not express CD19, suggesting that the identification and characterization of TAAs specific to certain types of cancer will be critical. In this study, we tested our hypothesis that CS1 is an excellent TAA to be targeted by CAR T cells for treatment of MM. CS1 is highly and uniformly expressed on MM cells from almost all patients, while having a restricted pattern of expression on normal cells and tissues (22). CS1 expression is maintained on MM cells from patients, even after disease relapse (22). Importantly, another immunotherapeutic approach for targeting CS1 using an antibody has been proven safe, and is under phase III clinical trial studies (25). We, therefore, reasoned that CS1 would be a unique antigen to be targeted by CAR T cells for the treatment of MM. Compared with mock T cells, CS1–CAR T cells show markedly enhanced cytotoxicity against CS1-expressing MM cell lines and primary tumor cells freshly isolated from patients with MM. When cocultured with MM cells, CS1–CAR T cells become more activated compared with the vector-transduced control cells and both CD4+ and CD8+ CS1–CAR T cells exhibit more efficient activation. Here, we present strong evidence that the effects of CS1–CAR T cells are CS1-dependent, as these cells do not respond well to RPMI-8266 cells, which only express low levels of CS1. Moreover, ectopic overexpression of CS1 in the RPMI-8266 target cells significantly enhances the response of CS1–CAR T cells. Importantly, CS1–CAR T cells prolong the survival of the mice bearing orthotopic MM.1S and IM9 tumors. All this evidence supports CS1 as a promising target for development of CAR T cells to treat MM.

In recent years, both antibody-mediated therapy and adoptive transfer of CAR T cells have emerged as attractive immunotherapeutic approaches against hematologic malignancies. Compared with antibody-mediated therapy, CAR T cells bear the advantage in that they have the potential to replicate in vivo, and, thus, may suppress tumor growth as well as prevent relapse for a prolonged period of time (15). In agreement with this, we found that CS1-CAR T cells persisted and proliferated 13 days after being injected into NSG mice bearing orthotopic MM.1S tumors (Fig. S4). Moreover, CAR T cells may persist with a memory phenotype, which enables them to respond more promptly and
Figure 5. CS1–CAR T cells specifically recognize and eliminate CS1-expressing human primary myeloma cells ex vivo. A, PBMCs from patients with MM were activated with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 beads and transduced with the Pinco–CS1–CAR or Pinco construct (mock) as described in Materials and Methods. Cells were stained with anti-mouse Fab and anti-human CD3 antibodies. Results from 1 of 4 patients with similar data are shown. B, flow cytometric staining for CS1 protein in CD138⁺ myeloma cells freshly isolated from patients with MM. Results from 3 of 10 patients with similar data are shown. C, CD138⁺ myeloma cells in (B) were cocultured with autologous mock- or CS1–CAR-transduced T cells in (A) at indicated E/T ratios for 4 hours, and specific lysis was determined using a standard ⁵¹Cr release assay. D, cells were treated as in (C) except that the E/T ratio was 1:1 and the incubation time was extended to 24 hours, and IFN-γ secretion was determined via ELISA.
on a larger scale upon a second exposure to tumor cells (18). In addition, considering that either anti-CS1 mAb treatment or CS1-specific CAR T cells can efficiently eradicate myeloma cells both in vitro and in vivo, it will be intriguing to unravel whether combinational treatment can lead to a synergistic anti-MM effect. A combination of CAR T cells with anti–PD-1 antibody has also been recently demonstrated as a good synergistic approach (37, 38). In addition, it has been speculated that chemotherapy may potentiate the effect of CAR T cells in multiple ways, including enhancing their engraftment and migration toward tumor cells, as well as potentiating the capability of CAR T cells to eradicate stressed tumor cells that would otherwise survive the chemotherapy (15, 39, 40). We, therefore, believe that infusion of CAR T cells after chemotherapy would be an effective approach to prevent MM relapse.

There are not many appropriate options for targeting TAAs with CAR T cells in the treatment of MM. Except for CS1, B-cell maturation antigen (BCMA) and CD38 were identified as targets for development of CAR T cells against MM (41, 42). BCMA seems to be an excellent MM-associated antigen to be targeted (42), whereas CD38 has widespread and abundant expression on hematopoietic and nonhematopoietic tissues (43), as well as on common myeloid progenitors, increasing the potential for broad myelotoxicity (44), despite the relative safety of naked CD38 antibodies in phase 1 trials in relapsed myeloma (45). Compared with CD38, CS1 seems to have more restricted expression, considering it only has low levels of expression on NK and some T-cell subsets (22). Of note, CS1–CAR T cells displayed much lower in vitro cytotoxicity against normal NK and T cells than against MM cells (Supplementary Fig. S5A and S5B, an effect that is comparable with that of an anti-CS1 mAb that is being used in the clinic (22). On the other hand, neither normal NK cells nor T cells could trigger apparent IFN-γ production (Fig. S5C) and activation-induced cell death (AICD) (Fig. S6) of CS1-CAR T cells. As recently reported for CD44V6 CAR-T cells (46), future in vivo studies that incorporate the suicide gene iCaspase-9 will mitigate on-target but off-tumor potential cytotoxicity against normal T and NK cells by CS1–CAR T cells. In addition, because CS1 is not expressed on hematopoietic stem cells (22), we postulate that hematopoietic stem cells can continuously generate new NK and T cells to

Figure 6. CS1-directed T cells inhibit tumor growth and prolong mouse survival in an orthotopic MM.1S xenograft mouse model. A, dorsal and ventral bioluminescence imaging of five representative mice bearing MM.1S tumors from each indicated group. NSG mice were intravenously inoculated with 8 × 10⁶ MM.1S cells expressing luciferase (day 0). On days 7 and 14 after inoculation, each mouse received PBS (placebo control group), 10 × 10⁶ mock T cells (mock control group) or CS1–CAR T cells (CAR treatment group). B, Kaplan–Meier survival curve of MM.1S-bearing mice treated with PBS, mock T cells, or CS1–CAR T cells.
compensate for the decrease in T cells caused by CS1–CAR T cells.

We believe a parallel comparison of the safety and anti-MM efficiency of CAR T cells targeting each of these above antigens (CS1, CD38 and BCMA) would be ideal. Moreover, in a recent report addressing the application of CD19-specific CAR T cells in the treatment of patients with ALL, it has been demonstrated that 1 patient with ALL relapsed with the emergence of CD19-negative blast cells after receiving infusion of the CAR T cells (16). This suggests that tumor cells may develop the ability to evade the immune system through downregulation or loss of target proteins after treatment with CAR T cells. One possible strategy to resolve this problem is to apply CAR T cells targeting an additional tumor antigen (16). Therefore, in a setting where patients with MM treated with CD38- or BCMA–CAR T cells relapse with CD38-negative or BCMA-negative tumor cells, CS1–CAR T cells could provide an opportunity to further treat these patients. However, the safety of this proposed strategy remains to be addressed.

One limitation of T-cell–based immunotherapy to treat MM is that the infusion of MHC-mismatched allogeneic T cells may cause graft-versus-host disease (GVHD). Therefore, current immunotherapy for MM focuses on modulation of autologous immune cells. Unfortunately, in patients with MM, immune cells, including T cells, are anergic due to immunosuppression (47), which is evidenced by our data showing that patient MM cells are resistant to killing by mock-transduced autologous T cells (Fig. 5C). For the same reason, allogeneic stem cell transplantation has been applied to treat MM, and has proven effective in a small subset of patients (48). These observations imply that allogeneic CAR T cells may have higher anti-MM activity than autologous CAR T cells, as the former can be from third-party healthy donors without immunosuppression. Interestingly, allogeneic CAR T cells have recently been shown to attenuate GVHD in an animal model, although this finding awaits further confirmation (49). Another approach to overcome the problem of autologous T cells is to use allogeneic CAR NK cells, as NK cells have been shown to suppress GVHD in a mouse model (50), although expansion of primary human NK cells is still challenging.

In summary, we demonstrate that CS1 is a promising antigen for targeting by CAR T cells in the treatment of MM. Our efforts to use CS1–CAR T cells for treatment of patients with refractory MM are ongoing.
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