Kidney Cancer Is Characterized by Aberrant Methylation of Tissue-Specific Enhancers That Are Prognostic for Overall Survival
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Abstract

Purpose: Even though recent studies have shown that genetic changes at enhancers can influence carcinogenesis, most methylobic studies have focused on changes at promoters. We used renal cell carcinoma (RCC), an incurable malignancy associated with mutations in epigenetic regulators, as a model to study genome-wide patterns of DNA methylation at a high resolution.

Experimental Design: Analysis of cytosine methylation status of 1.3 million CpGs was determined by the HELP assay in RCC and healthy microdissected renal tubular controls.

Results: We observed that the RCC samples were characterized by widespread hypermethylation that preferentially affected gene bodies. Aberrant methylation was particularly enriched in kidney-specific enhancer regions associated with H3K4Me1 marks. Various important underexpressed genes, such as SMAD6, were associated with aberrantly methylated, intronic enhancers, and these changes were validated in an independent cohort. MOTIF analysis of aberrantly hypermethylated regions revealed enrichment for binding sites of AP2a, AHR, HAIRY, ARNT, and HIF1 transcription factors, reflecting contributions of dysregulated hypoxia signaling pathways in RCC. The functional importance of this aberrant hypermethylation was demonstrated by selective sensitivity of RCC cells to low levels of decitabine. Most importantly, methylation of enhancers was predictive of adverse prognosis in 405 cases of RCC in multivariate analysis. In addition, parallel copy-number analysis from MspI representations demonstrated novel copy-number variations that were validated in an independent cohort of patients.

Conclusions: Our study is the first high-resolution methylome analysis of RCC, demonstrates that many kidney-specific enhancers are targeted by aberrant hypermethylation, and reveals the prognostic importance of these epigenetic changes in an independent cohort.

Introduction

Patterns of DNA methylation are altered in carcinogenesis and play important roles in regulating gene transcription and genomic stability (1). Even though most of the previous studies focused on epigenetic changes at promoters, recent high-resolution studies have revealed that aberrant methylation can affect gene bodies (2). Intragenic methylation has been correlated with changes in gene transcription (3), but it has not been shown clearly whether aberrant intronic methylation affects any regulatory regions of the genome. Recent data have also revealed that enhancers play important roles in regulating gene transcription and their alterations can play roles in carcinogenesis (4–6). These data prompted us to examine the role of aberrant intragenic methylation in cancer using renal cancer as a model and to analyze whether it has any clinical implications in this incurable disease.

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) affects more than 200,000 individuals worldwide and is the ninth most common cancer in the United States with a rising incidence (7). The treatment for RCC confined to the parenchyma is primary surgical and has an overall survival (OS) of 60% to 70%. However, advanced RCC carries a very poor prognosis with limited therapeutic options (8). RCC comprises a
Translational Relevance

Even though high-resolution studies have shown that changes in DNA methylation can affect intragenic (gene body) regions of the genome, the clinical and biologic significance of these changes is not clearly defined. We demonstrate that kidney cancer is characterized by increased amounts of methylation that affects introns. These areas of increased methylation preferentially affect regulatory regions called enhancers. Importantly, increased methylation at enhancers is predictive of worse clinical outcomes in a large independent dataset in a multivariate analysis, demonstrating the importance of these epigenetic changes.

In addition to epigenetic alterations, RCC is also characterized by many cytogenetic abnormalities that may contribute to its pathogenesis. We have developed an integrated genomics and epigenomics platform and used it on RCC samples. Our studies showed that methylation changes could be seen in RCC and affect intronic enhancers. Epigenetic changes at enhancers were also highly prognostic in patients. Also, both novel and well-characterized genomic copy-number changes were also found in these RCC samples. In addition to demonstrating the involvement of novel intronic regulatory elements by aberrant methylation in our and other independent datasets, we also demonstrate the translational potential of DNA methyltransferase inhibitors in RCC.

Materials and Methods

Patient samples, microdissection, and nucleic acid extraction

In total, 13 RCC samples and 13 control samples were collected from tumor nephrectomies performed at the Montefiore Medical Center. Samples were collected under the Institutional Review Board protocol approved by the Albert Einstein College of Medicine (Bronx, NY). Kidney samples were obtained from the liver allotransplant donors, surgical nephrectomies, and left-over portions of diagnostic kidney biopsies. Nephrectomies were anonymized with the corresponding clinical information and were collected by an individual who was not involved in the research protocol. Tissue was placed into RNALater and manually microdissected at 4°C for the tubular compartment (as renal cell cancer originates from tubular epithelial cells; ref. 21). We used a Zeiss stereomicroscope under ×60 magnifications for the microdissection. Genomic DNA was isolated using the dialysis tubing method, as performed and described previously (1). RNA was extracted using Qiagen RNaseasy Mini Kits. An additional seven non-tumor and tumor pairs were used for qRT-PCR–based confirmation studies.

Genome-wide DNA methylation analysis using the HELP assay

The HELP assay was carried out as previously published (22). Intact DNA of high molecular weight was corroborated by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel in all cases. One microgram of genomic DNA was digested overnight with either HpaII or MspI (NEB). The following day the reactions were extracted once with phenol-chloroform and resuspended in 11 μL of 10 mmol/L Tris-HCl pH 8.0. The digested DNA was used to set up an overnight ligation of the HpaII adapter using T4 DNA ligase. The adapter-ligated DNA was used to carry out the PCR amplification of the HpaII and MspI-digested DNA as previously described (23). Both amplified fractions were submitted to Roche-NimbleGen, Inc. for labeling and hybridization onto a human hgl8 custom-designed oligonucleotide array (50 mers) covering 1.3 million HpaII amplifiable fragments (HAF). HELP microarray data have been submitted to the GEO database for public access (GEO accession: GSE49420; refs. 15, 22).
All microarray hybridizations were subjected to extensive quality control. Uniformity of hybridization was evaluated using a modified version of a previously published algorithm (16) adapted for the NimbleGen platform, and any hybridization with strong regional artifacts was discarded.

**Quantitative DNA methylation analysis by MassArray Epityping**

Validation of HELP microarray findings was carried out by MALDI–TOF (matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization–time-of-flight) mass spectrometry using EpiTyper by MassArray (Sequenom) on bisulphite-converted DNA as previously described (24, 25). MassArray primers were designed to cover the flanking HpaII sites for a given HAF, as well as any other HpaII sites found up to 2,000 bp upstream of the downstream site and up to 2,000 bp downstream of the upstream site, to cover all possible alternative sites of digestion.

**Gene copy-number analysis usingMspI representations from the HELP assay**

The MspI representation in a HELP assay is not affected by cytosine methylation but is instead dependent on the amount of DNA available, and, thus, was used to detect copy-number variation (CNV), as described previously (10, 22). VHL gene deletions are seen commonly in copy-number variation (CNV), as described previously. The data were normalized using GAPDH as housekeeping genes and the ΔΔCt method.

**qRT-PCR on genomic DNA for copy-number validations.**

gDNA from kidney samples were isolated using phenol chloroform extraction. qRT-PCR was performed using the SYBR Green method with gene-specific primers with the ABI 7900HT machine. The data were normalized using GAPDH as housekeeping gene and the ΔΔCt method.

**qRT-PCR for SMAD6**

cDNA from CAKI kidney cancer cells that were treated with 5-azacytidine (0.5 μmol/L) for 5 days was used for qRT-PCR using the SYBR Green method with gene-specific primers with the ABI 7900HT machine. The data were normalized using hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase as the housekeeping gene and the ΔΔCt method.

**Gene-expression profiling**

RNA integrity was corroborated with the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100. RNA (100 ng/μL; 3 μL) was submitted to the Genomics Facility, Albert Einstein College of Medicine for gene-expression studies using the Human Affymetrix U133 2.0 arrays. RNA was labeled using Affymetrix two-cycle labeling and amplification kit.

**HELP data processing and analysis**

Signal intensities at each HpaII amplifiable fragment were calculated as a robust (25% trimmed) mean of their component probe-level signal intensities. Any fragments found within the level of background MspI signal intensity, measured as 2.5 mean-absolute-differences above the median of random probe signals, were categorized as “failed.” These “failed” loci, therefore, represent the population of fragments that did not amplify by PCR, whatever the biologic (e.g., genomic deletions and other sequence errors) or experimental cause. On the other hand, “methylated” loci were so designated when the level of HpaII signal intensity was similarly indistinguishable from background. PCR-amplifying fragments (those not flagged as either “methylated” or “failed”) were normalized using an intra-array quantile approach, wherein HpaII/MspI ratios are aligned across density-dependent sliding windows of fragment size-sorted data. The log2(HpaII/MspI) was used as a representative for methylation and analyzed as a continuous variable. For most loci, each fragment was categorized as either methylated, if the centered log HpaII/MspI ratio was less than zero, or hypomethylated if on the other hand the log ratio was greater than zero. Data were deposited in NCBI’s GEO database (GSE49420).

**Microarray data analysis**

Unsupervised clustering of HELP data by hierarchical clustering (1-Pearson correlation distance and Ward agglomeration method) was performed using the statistical software R version 2.6.2. A two-sample t test was used for each gene to summarize methylation differences between groups. Genes were ranked on the basis of this test statistic and a set of top differentially methylated genes with an observed log fold change of >1 between group means was identified. Genes were further grouped according to the direction of the methylation change (hypomethylated vs. hypermethylated), and the relative frequencies of these changes were computed among the top candidates to explore global methylation patterns. Validations with MassArray showed good correlation with the data generated by the HELP assay. MassArray analysis validated significant quantitative differences in methylation for differentially methylated genes selected by our approach.

**Pathway analysis and transcription factor–binding site analysis**

Using the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software, we carried out an analysis of the biologic information retrieved by each of the individual platforms. Enrichment of genes associated with specific canonical pathways was determined relative to the Ingenuity knowledge database for each of the individual platforms and the integrated analysis at a significance level of P < 0.01. The list of hypermethylated genes was examined for enrichment of conserved gene-associated transcription factor–binding sites using the Cis-regulatory Element Annotation System (CEAS) program (26). The functional gene sets were obtained from the gene ontology (27).

**Genomic annotations**

Genomic coordinates were obtained from HG18 build of the human genome from the University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC) browser. Genomic regions 2 kb
upstream and downstream of the transcription start sites were annotated as promoters. Of note, 2-kb flanking regions around the edges of CpG islands were annotated as CpG shores.

**Cell lines.** Normal human kidney tubular epithelial cell line HK2 and renal cell cancer cell lines 786-O and 769-P were purchased from the ATCC. HKP-8 cells were kindly provided by Lorainne Racusen (Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD). Cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 2.5% fetal bovine serum, antibiotics, insulin, transferrin, and selenium.

**BrdUrd assay.** Cell proliferation was studied using the BrdUrd (bromodeoxyuridine)-based Colorimetric Cell Proliferation Assay Kit from Exalpha Biological Inc. following the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were incubated with 0.5 μmol/L after the seeding and incubated with BrdUrd for 48 hours before harvest. The data are normalized to the baseline (without decitabine) proliferation levels.

**Overlap with histone modification studies.** All the ChIP-seq data were downloaded from Roadmap database with GEO accession numbers specified below (NCBI Build GRCh37/UCSC Build hg19). The following data-sets were used: Adult Kidney BI.27 (GEO accession: GSM670025), Adult Kidney Input 27, AK H3K4me3 BI.27 (GEO accession: GSM621648), AK H3K9ac BI.27 (GEO accession: GSM772811), AK H3K36me3 BI.27 (GEO accession: GSM621634), and AK Input BI.27 (GEO accession: GSM621638).

**Integrated study of RCC DMR and histone marks.** All the ChIP-seq data were downloaded from Roadmap database with GEO Series accession number of GSE19465. The Genome assembly is NCBI Build GRCh37/UCSC Build hg19. The nine cell-line ChromHMM data were obtained from the UCSC genome browser (28). For adult kidney, ChromHMM annotation map was generated using ChromHMM2 (29).

**TCGA data analysis**

Data for RNA-seq for RCC samples were obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) portal. These included 405 RCC samples and 68 nontumor kidney controls (20). For methylation analysis, a mean methylation score for all nontumor controls was calculated. Difference in methylation for each locus for each tumor sample was calculated using the control mean. A methylation difference of more than 1.3 million loci across the genome. Thirteen cases of histologically verified CCRCC tumor samples were compared with 13 control microdissected proximal tubules from a nontumor part of nephrectomy samples (Supplementary Table S1 describing the clinical and pathologic characteristics of the tumors). Unsupervised hierarchial clustering showed that the controls formed a cluster that was distinct from CCRCC samples, demonstrating epigenetic dissimilarity between these groups (Fig. 1A). The methylation profiling of RCC was not influenced by patient demographics and epigenetic similarity between clusters of samples was independent of age, gender, history of diabetes mellitus and hypertension, or VHL mutational status. We next wanted to determine whether gene-expression patterns differed between RCC and controls. Unsupervised hierarchal clustering showed that the controls formed a cluster that was distinct from RCC samples, demonstrating global gene-expression dissimilarity between these groups (Fig. 1B). These data demonstrate that widespread differences are seen both at the level of the methylome and transcriptome in RCC.

**RCC is characterized by genome-wide hypermethylation that affects gene bodies**

Having demonstrated epigenetic dissimilarity between RCC and control samples, we next determined the qualitative epigenetic differences between these groups by performing a supervised analysis of the respective DNA methylation profiles. A volcano plot comparing the differences between mean methylation of individual loci in RCC versus control samples plotted against the significance [log (P value) based on T test] of the difference was used to represent these data in Fig. 2A. We observed that there were significantly increased numbers of hypermethylated loci in RCC (n = 3,378 hypermethylated vs. 43 hypomethylated loci, t test P value of <0.0003) when compared with controls (Fig. 2A). This preponderance of hypermethylation was seen with stringent cutoff of a P value of < 0.0003 with an FDR <0.1 that was used to determine differentially methylated loci. This striking genome-wide hypermethylation is in contrast with previous reports demonstrating global hypomethylation in other solid tumors (1, 30). The hypermethylation affected all parts of the genome (Fig. 2B–D) and a high proportion of gene bodies (by refseq annotation) were found to be affected by aberrant methylation (Fig. 2E). Interestingly, a greater proportion of CpG shores was also found to be affected by aberrant hypermethylation when compared CpG islands, consistent with other recent observations implicating these genomic regions as targets of aberrant methylation in cancer (18). Correlation with changes in gene expression showed that changes in DNA methylation in tumors were associated significantly with corresponding changes in gene expression in all of these regions, thus demonstrating the significance of these epigenetic changes (Fig. 2F). We also quantitatively estimated the aberrant methylation of selected loci by MALDI–TOF (MassArray; Sequenom) and observed a strong correlation with the findings of our HELP microarrays, demonstrating the validity of our findings (Supplementary Fig. S1).
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Differential methylation in RCC preferentially occurs at enhancer regions associated with H3K4Me1 marks

Cytosine methylation levels can regulate gene transcription by not only binding to promoter regions, but also by affecting transcription factor binding at enhancer regions in the genome. Because we found differential methylation at a notable proportion of intragenic sites, we analyzed their distribution within these regions and found differentially methylated regions (DMR) to preferentially involve introns (0.48% vs. 0.29%;
P < 0.00001; Fig. 3A). Because intronic regions can contain enhancers, we wanted to evaluate the relationship of DMRs to other epigenetic marks that localize to regulatory regions of the genome. We compared DMRs with a panel of kidney-specific histone modifiers (H3K4me1, H3K4me3, and H3K36me3) obtained from chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIPseq) analysis (31). We observed that DMRs associated with differentially expressed genes were preferentially localized to H3K4me1 marked regions of the genome (Fig. 3B) with a more than 3-fold enrichment (15.1% DMRs overlap vs. 4.2% for the array overlap with H3K4me1 marks with a more than 3-fold enrichment (15.1% DMRs over- 

differentially hypermethylated in RCC overlap with H3K4me1 marked regions of the genome (Fig. 3B) did not reveal increased numbers of non- 

clinical and biological contexts. We used the Wilcoxon rank sum test to compare differences in gene expression (GE) and methylation changes between all patients with RCC and controls. More than 75% of the significant DMRs (FDR < 0.1) were hypermethylated in RCC and controls is shown as box plots for hypermethylated and hypomethylated loci for all genomic locations. ***, P < 0.001; t test. F, hypermethylation is significantly associated with decrease in gene expression (P < 0.01).
the DNMT inhibitor led to significant increase in SMAD6 expression, demonstrating that it is epigenetically regulated (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, SMAD6 was also significantly underexpressed in RCC samples when compared with controls when examined by RNA-seq in the TCGA dataset (Fig. 4C; t test; \( P = 0.01 \)). Finally, the lower expression of SMAD6 was significantly associated with an adverse prognosis in multivariate analysis after adjusting for tumor stage (log-rank \( P = 0.016 \); Fig. 4D). In summary, these results indicate that the majority of DMRs in RCC are localized to gene body regions and a notable proportion are located on enhancer regions of the kidney genome, indicating that cytosine modification may act as a modifier of gene expression upon transcription factor binding.

**Differential methylation in RCC displays specific genomic characteristics**

Because we found that DMRs are enriched in gene regulatory elements, specifically enhancers, next, we wanted to determine whether the aberrantly methylated loci shared any common DNA elements. Because enhancers can bind transcription factors, we performed a search for transcription factor–binding sites enriched in these regions (CEAS program; ref. 26). Significant overrepresentation of binding sites for AP2α, AHR, HAIRY, and other transcription factors was seen in RCC (Supplementary Table S3). Interestingly, a number of transcription factors that are driven by hypoxia signaling (AHR, ARNT, and HIF1) were found to be enriched at these sites. The involvement of hypoxia signaling pathways reinforces the link to VHL–HIF pathway dysregulation that has been well described in RCC.

Next, we analyzed the gene pathways that were underexpressed and aberrantly hypermethylated in RCC and observed enrichment for cancer-associated genes (Supplementary Fig. S3 and Supplementary Table S4). Genes that were epigenetically silenced included novel candidates (SMAD7, SMAD6, HDAC9, and CSF1R) as well as those previously implicated (RB1 and TP53) in the pathogenesis of RCC.

We also analyzed the spatial distribution of hypermethylation in the genome and observed strikingly widespread involvement of all chromosomal regions (Fig. 6A) that the majority of DMRs in RCC preferentially occurs at enhancer regions associated with H3K4me1 marks. A, intragenic, DMRs were significantly located in intronic regions \((P < 0.05\) when compared with location of the whole array, test of proportions). B, overlap with adult kidney CHIP-seq data shows significant overlap of H3K4me1 marks with the DMRs \((P < 0.05\), test of proportions when compared with overlap with whole array). C, aberrantly methylated regions in the SMAD6 locus overlap with the kidney H3K4me1 peaks. Methylation values generated by the HELP assay [log (HpaII/MspI)] are shown as peaks (positive values corresponding with less methylation are shown in black and negative values corresponding to increased methylation are shown in gray). Histone modification peaks are shown for adult kidney (BI27). Chromatin occupancy for other tissue types is shown at bottom. Legend shows color coding for different regions with yellow regions representing enhancers. * \( P < 0.05\); test of proportions. GM12878, lymphoblastoid cell; H1-hESC, embryonic stem cells; K562, leukemic cells; HepG2, hepatic cells; HUVEC, umbilical vein endothelial cells; HMEC, mammary epithelial cells; HSMM, skeletal muscle myoblasts; NHEK, epidermal keratinocytes; NHLF, lung fibroblasts.
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Figure 3. Differential methylation in RCC preferentially occurs at enhancer regions associated with H3K4me1 marks. A, intragenic, DMRs were significantly located in intronic regions \((P < 0.05\) when compared with location of the whole array, test of proportions). B, overlap with adult kidney CHIP-seq data shows significant overlap of H3K4me1 marks with the DMRs \((P < 0.05\), test of proportions when compared with overlap with whole array). C, aberrantly methylated regions in the SMAD6 locus overlap with the kidney H3K4me1 peaks. Methylation values generated by the HELP assay [log (HpaII/MspI)] are shown as peaks (positive values corresponding with less methylation are shown in black and negative values corresponding to increased methylation are shown in gray). Histone modification peaks are shown for adult kidney (BI27). Chromatin occupancy for other tissue types is shown at bottom. Legend shows color coding for different regions with yellow regions representing enhancers. * \( P < 0.05\); test of proportions. GM12878, lymphoblastoid cell; H1-hESC, embryonic stem cells; K562, leukemic cells; HepG2, hepatic cells; HUVEC, umbilical vein endothelial cells; HMEC, mammary epithelial cells; HSMM, skeletal muscle myoblasts; NHEK, epidermal keratinocytes; NHLF, lung fibroblasts.
demonstrating the extent of aberrant hypermethylation in RCC.

**Aberrant enhancer hypermethylation is prognostic in a large independent cohort of patients**

To determine whether the aberrant hypermethylation seen in RCC has prognostic implications, we used the recently published TCGA dataset (20) comprising of 405 cases of RCC. Genomic locations of probes in the TCGA methylation dataset were matched to either promoters, gene bodies, and enhancers. A cumulative methylation score for each of these genomic locations was calculated for each patient when compared with normal reference obtained from 68 nontumor (NT) kidney controls. Correlation with OS (Kaplan–Meier analysis) demonstrated that increased amounts of methylation were predictive of adverse prognosis for all genomic locations in a univariate analysis (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Fig. S4; log-rank $P < 0.05$). Importantly, only methylation at enhancers was highly prognostic for survival in even a multivariate analysis that adjusted for tumor stage ($P = 0.02$), thus demonstrating the prognostic importance of enhancer associated epigenetic marks in RCC.

**RCC cells are sensitive to low doses of the DNMT inhibitor**

To further determine the functional relevance of the aberrant genome-wide hypermethylation observed in RCC, we tested the sensitivity of these cells to the DNMT inhibitor decitabine. RCC cell lines 786-O and 769-O and immortalized healthy renal tubular cells (HK-2 and HKP-8) were treated with low doses of decitabine. BrdUrd assay demonstrated that RCC cells were sensitive to growth inhibitory effects of a low dose of decitabine when compared with controls, thus demonstrating the therapeutic potential of targeting hypermethylation in RCC (Fig. 5C).

**Widespread recurrent novel copy-number alterations can also be visualized by the HELP assay in RCC and are validated in a large independent cohort**

Because MspI representations from the HELP array can yield copy-number data (22), we used these data to determine deletions and amplifications in RCC. Comparison of RCC and controls revealed a number of commonly deleted and amplified chromosomal regions in RCC. These included novel areas of deletion (chr13q12, 16q21, 14q13, and
others) and amplification (chr 17p13, 12p13, 16q24, and others) that have not been seen by previous studies (Fig. 6A). Interestingly, the chromosome 3p24-25 region that includes the VHL gene was found to be deleted in three samples (Supplementary Fig. S5; ref. 32), thus confirming the applicability of our findings to other patient cohorts.

Several important genes not previously implicated in RCC were found to be affected by deletions [large tumor suppressor (LATS), CDC25A, SMARCC1, and others; Supplementary Table S5] and amplifications [PDGFα (platelet-derived growth factor α), SOX18, and others; Supplementary Table S6], thus providing a high-resolution genomic map of CNVs in RCC. We validated some of these novel copy-number changes by genomic qPCR and observed that the deletion of LATS (Fig. 6B and E) and amplification of PDGFα (Fig. 6C and D) were observed and correlated strongly with the findings of the array. These changes were also validated in the independent TCGA dataset. LATS1 was found to be deleted in 121 of 405 (30%) cases and PDGFα was found to be amplified in 151 of 405 (37%) cases, providing independent validation of our findings. Furthermore, the expression of PDGFα was significantly increased in RCC samples when compared with normal tumor kidney controls (P = 0.01; t test).

Figure 5. Aberrant enhancer hypermethylation is prognostic in the large independent cohort of patients, and RCC is sensitive to low doses of DNMT inhibitors. Heatmap of the respective patients (horizontal order) and the top 500 enhancer loci (vertical order). Patients are ranked in descending order based on the methylation. A, Kaplan–Meier survival curves of OS of 405 patients with RCC were plotted. B, blue solid lines, OS of patients with a higher methylation (top 20 percentile) of kidney-specific enhancers, whereas red dotted lines represent OS of patients with a lower methylation (lower 20 percentile; log-rank P value of 0.03 for univariate and 0.03 for multivariate analysis). C, RCC cell lines (769-P and 786-O) and healthy kidney cells (HK-2 and HKC-8) were grown for 5 days in the presence and absence of 0.5 μmol/L decitabine, and BrdUrd incorporation was measured and normalized. Significant growth inhibition was seen in RCC cells (two-tailed t test, P value of < 0.05). * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01, t test.
whereas LATS expression was found to be significantly decreased in RCC (t test; $P = 0.01$; Fig. 6G).

**Discussion**

Advanced RCC is a malignancy that has a poor prognosis and limited treatment options. It is well known that there are a number of genetic abnormalities that result in the transformation of normal tissue to RCC. More recently, epigenetic methylation changes at promoters have been shown to turn off certain tumor-suppressor genes in RCC, suggesting a role of aberrant methylation in the pathobiology of this disease (33, 34). We used an unbiased, high-resolution, global assay to look for epigenomic disturbances in RCC. Our studies revealed widespread aberrations in DNA methylation that clearly distinguished RCC from normal controls. Furthermore, a large proportion of aberrant methylation affected kidney-specific enhancers. These findings demonstrate that gene body methylation seen by us and others can affect regulatory regions of the genome and has prognostic implications.

Our epigenetic studies were based on a high-resolution version of the HELP assay that examines cytosine methylation at 1.3 million CCGG (HpaII) sites and is not biased to areas of high CpG density (14). This assay allowed us to examine RCC for changes outside of promoters and CpG islands, areas that have been the focus of most cancer epigenetic studies previously. In fact, we found that the majority of common differentially hypermethylated cytosines in RCC samples were not located in promoters and CpG islands. Recent work has also shown that non-CpG island cytosine methylation can be
important in controlling gene transcription and can be involved in normal development and carcinogenesis (18, 25). We show that gene bodies and CpG shores are regions characterized by a higher proportion of aberrant hypermethylation in RCC.

A notable number of differentially methylated loci were found to occur in enhancer regions of the genome. A comparison of DMRs with genome-wide maps of H3K4me1 localizations from adult kidney tissues revealed that hypermethylation occurred at these intronic regions as illustrated for SMAD6 and other genes. Recent studies have started to show that DNA methylation can occur at regulatory regions of the genome and affects transcription factor binding, thereby regulating gene transcription. Our data show this phenomenon for the first time in renal cancer. Most importantly, we demonstrated that increased methylation of enhancers in an independent large TCGA dataset was a marker of adverse prognosis. The TCGA report had shown that increased methylation was seen in RCC and had correlated it with disease stage. We demonstrated that enhancer methylation was predictive of decreased survival even after adjusting for disease stage and was, therefore, an independent risk factor in RCC. Other recent studies have also correlated the presence of aberrant histone methylation with worse prognosis and support the role of epigenetic alterations in disease progression and response to treatment (35–37).

Furthermore, as enhancer regions are hotspots of transcription factor binding, we conducted an unbiased search of motif analysis of DMRs and demonstrated that the DMRs were enriched for binding sites for transcription factors involved in hypoxia pathways. Hypoxia pathways have been well studied in RCC and mutations in the VHL gene have been well described in this malignancy (38). Our findings demonstrate that transcription factors regulated by alterations in the VHL–HIF pathway may play a role in driving epigenetic changes that are seen in RCC and in turn may influence the expression of oncogenic pathways through this epigenetic modification. We also observed that aberrant methylation of intronic enhancers of the SMAD6 gene was associated with decreased expression of this gene in RCC. SMAD6 is an inhibitor of the TGFβ receptor I kinase and reduced expression of SMAD6 can lead to overactivation of the TGFβ pathway. Increased TGFβ signaling has been seen in renal cell cancer and is associated with worse prognosis (39). We also observed that lower expression of SMAD6 is associated with worse prognosis and SMAD6 underexpression provides a potential mechanism for increased TGFβ signaling seen in RCC.

Hypomethylating agents such as decitabine and 5-azacytidine are inhibitors of DNMTs and currently used in the treatment of myelodysplastic syndrome (40). These agents are rarely used in solid tumors, as most of these tumors are characterized by global hypomethylation (41). However, our study demonstrates significant global hypomethylation in RCC tumor samples and reveals that alterations in DNA methylation are also demonstrated, which raises the possibility that these tumors can be targeted by hypomethylating agents (42). The sensitivity of both VHL-mutant and -nonmutant RCC cell lines to the growth inhibitory effects of decitabine reinforces the therapeutic potential of these agents in RCC. Hypermethylation has been seen in other tumors such as acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndromes and some of these are associated with inactivating mutations in demethylating ten-eleven translocation (TET) enzymes. Even though mutations in TET and isoцитrate dehydrogenase enzymes are not seen in RCC, it is possible that these tumors have transcriptional dysregulation of members of the demethylation pathway that needs to be evaluated in future studies. In summary, we present a high-resolution epigenomic and genomic map of RCC and demonstrate that these high-throughput assays have the potential of increasing our understanding of renal cell tumorigenesis. The data generated under this project will be made publicly available for the wider community to further analyze and understand RCC development.
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