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It is conceivable that, in the near future, an assay that defines the likelihood of a patient with advanced cancer to respond to immunotherapy based on PD1/L1 blockade will be the initial decision point to select the treatment of patients with any cancer type. Clinic Cancer Res; 20(19); 4982-4. ©2014 AACR.

Therapies blocking PD1/L1 have shown unprecedented rates of durable tumor responses in a variety of cancer types (1–5). The identification and characterization of factors in the tumor microenvironment at baseline (immediately before starting treatment) that predict which patients are likely to respond to therapy have become a top priority challenge in cancer medicine. In this issue of Clinical Cancer Research, Taube and colleagues (6) report on the analysis of 68 tumor samples obtained from 41 patients with advanced lung, renal, colorectal, and prostate cancers as well as metastatic melanoma treated with the anti-PD1 antibody nivolumab. On the basis of the expression of PD1, PDL1, PDL2, and lymphocytic infiltration using immunohistochemistry (IHC) techniques, they found that PDL1 expression, as a single factor, showed the strongest association with response to anti-PD1 blockade. The results of this study advance our knowledge about factors in the baseline tumor microenvironment that may predict response to PD1 blockade therapy and serves as a stepping stone for further investigation. Additional work will be needed to understand if archival tissues (obtained up to 13 years before start of therapy in the current report, with a mean of 3 years for the whole series) perform similarly as samples obtained immediately before starting on anti-PD1 therapy, and if results are the same when using methodology-validated commercial grade PDL1 IHC assays.

The efficacy of PD1/L1–blocking antibodies is contingent on the presence of tumor-specific PD1

\^T cells being negatively regulated by PDL1-expressing cells in the tumor (7). In this situation, interrupting a functionally intact PD1–PDL1 axis with monoclonal antibodies enables T cells to mediate cancer cell killing. Key to this interaction is the detection of PDL1 expressed by the tumors as highlighted by the work by Taube and colleagues (6). However, the microenvironment where tumor cells with interferon-induced PDL1 expression interface with PD1-expressing T cells is different from that of cancer cells with constitutive PDL1 expression. Figure 1 provides several scenarios of the interaction between cells of the tumor, T cells, and PD1/L1. The expression of PDL1 can be induced by interferon-producing T cells, which was termed adaptive immune resistance by Pardoll (8). In this scenario, tumor antigen–specific T cells infiltrate metastatic lesions and specifically recognize tumor antigens through their T-cell receptor (TCR), which triggers the expression of PD1 and other activation-induced T-cell markers. These T cells also produce interferons, which in some cancer and tumor stromal cells induce the expression of PDL1 (9). If all components of the axis are intact, it is logical that therapies blocking PD1 or PDL1 have the potential to mediate tumor rejection. In some cases, PDL1 can be constitutively expressed through oncogenic processes that vary according to different cancer types (8). Evidence is scarce as to whether the constitutive oncogenic expression of PDL1, in the absence of adaptive PDL1 expression induced by T cells, is associated with antitumor activity of PD1 blockade therapy. In a third scenario, tumors may be associated with T cells that are dysfunctional; that is, they have no TCR specificity to tumor antigens, or they are not interferon-producing that lead to PDL1 and PD1 expression. The benefit of PD1/L1–blocking therapies in this scenario remains in question.

Hence, the expression of PDL1 in tumors is an important factor in determining the likelihood of a tumor regressing during PD1 blockade. However, its presence must be put within the context of additional variables that make up for a more complex equation. PDL1 expression in the absence of T cells is of unknown significance for response to PD1.
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blockade therapy, as is the presence of T cells in tumors without an adaptive expression of PD1 (Fig. 1). For PD1/L1 blockade therapy to work, preexisting PD1⁺ T cells with tumor antigen specificity that become disabled upon PD1 engagement is likely required.

Significant efforts by several research groups as well as by industry are actively taking place to provide sensitive and specific assays to detect and quantify PD1L expression in tumors. The current challenges surrounding the cross-reactivity, specificity, and sensitivity of PD1L IHC antibodies will likely be resolved with these efforts. However, a PD1L antibody for IHC or immunofluorescence that overcomes these challenges does not have, per se, the capacity to fully characterize the full biology of the PD1–PD1L interaction. The detection of the presence of T cells, in particular of cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cells, is a standard IHC protocol, but falls short of providing information about the T-cell tumor specificity. Indirect measures of tumor specificity of T cells are the expression of TCR activation markers, of which PD1 is one, as well as CD137 (4-1BB), OX40, or TIM3. A more direct measure is the clonality of TCRs, which can be analyzed by deep sequencing approaches (10). Specific TCR engagement by the recognition of tumor antigens should lead to the release of cytokines, such as interferons, which can be detected directly by laser capture microscopy and PCR or in situ hybridization methods (9), or indirectly by analysis of gene expression profiling looking for signatures of inflammatory or immune response in cancers (11).

In conclusion, PD1-blocking therapies have achieved unprecedented rates of durable clinical responses in several cancers. Therefore, it is envisioned that, in the near future, instead of relying on the status of estrogen receptor or Her2/neu in breast cancer, EGFR in lung cancer, or BRAF in melanoma to decide on the first-line therapy, oncologists will want to know if a patient is predisposed to respond to anti-PD1/L1 antibody therapy as the initial decision point to select oncologic therapy. Such a predictive assay will likely need to take into account PD1L expression as well as other variables that quantify tumor antigen–specific T-cell infiltration leading to a dominant role of PD1/L1-negative signaling in the cancer of a particular patient.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest
A. Ribas is a consultant/advisory board member for Amgen, Compugen, Daiichi-Sankyo, Flexus Biosciences, Genetech, GlaxoSmithKline, Kite Pharma, Merck, and Novartis. No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed by the other author.

Authors' Contributions
Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: A. Ribas, P.C. Tumeh

Grant Support
This work was funded by the NIH grants P01 CA168585, 2U54 CA151819, R01CA170689, and P01 CA132641, the Melanoma Research Foundation, the Dr. Robert Vigen Memorial Fund, the Ressler Family Foundation, the Wesley Coyle Memorial Fund (to A. Ribas), K08 AI091663, Kure It Research Grant, U11TR000124 (to P.C. Tumeh), and a Stand Up To Cancer–Cancer Research Institute Cancer Immunology Dream Team Translational Research Grant, SU2C-AACR-DT1012. Stand Up To Cancer is a program of the Entertainment Industry Foundation administered by the American Association for Cancer Research.

Received January 14, 2018. © 2014 American Association for Cancer Research.


The Future of Cancer Therapy: Selecting Patients Likely to Respond to PD1/L1 Blockade

Antoni Ribas and Paul C. Tumeh


Access the most recent version of this article at: doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-0933

This article cites 10 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at: http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/20/19/4982.full#ref-list-1

This article has been cited by 8 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at: http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/20/19/4982.full#related-urls

Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.

To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/20/19/4982. Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.