An Open-Label Phase Ib Dose-Escalation Study of TRC105 (Anti-Endoglin Antibody) with Bevacizumab in Patients with Advanced Cancer


Abstract

Purpose: Endoglin, an endothelial cell membrane receptor expressed on angiogenic tumor vessels, is essential for angiogenesis and upregulated in the setting of VEGF inhibition. TRC105 is an anti-endoglin IgG1 monoclonal antibody that potentiates VEGF inhibitors in preclinical models. This study assessed safety, pharmacokinetics, and antitumor activity of TRC105 in combination with bevacizumab.

Experimental Design: Patients (n = 38) with advanced solid tumors, Eastern Cooperative Group performance status 0–1, and normal organ function were treated with escalating doses of TRC105 plus bevacizumab until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity using a standard 3 + 3 phase I design.

Results: TRC105 and bevacizumab were well tolerated at their recommended single-agent doses (10 mg/kg) when the initial dose of TRC105 was delayed by one week and divided over 2 days to limit the frequency of headache. The concurrent administration of bevacizumab and TRC105 did not otherwise potentiate known toxicities of TRC105 or bevacizumab. Hypertension and proteinuria were observed, though not at rates expected for single-agent bevacizumab. Several patients who had previously progressed on bevacizumab or VEGF receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor (VEGFR TKI) treatment experienced reductions in tumor volume, including two partial responses by RECIST, and 6 remained without progression for longer periods than during their prior VEGF inhibitor therapy.

Conclusions: TRC105 was well tolerated with bevacizumab and clinical activity was observed in a VEGF inhibitor–refractory population. Ongoing clinical trials are testing TRC105 in combination with bevacizumab in glioblastoma and with VEGFR TKIs in renal cell carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, and soft tissue sarcoma. Clin Cancer Res; 20(23); 5918–26. ©2014 AACR.

Introduction

Angiogenesis is a complex process that is regulated by multiple pathways (1). Approved antiangiogenic drugs, including bevacizumab, sorafenib, sunitinib, and pazopanib, primarily target the VEGF signaling pathway and are associated with modest survival advantages in select indications (2–7). Inhibition of complementary, non-VEGF angiogenic pathways is a strategy that may improve antitumor activity and address resistance to anti-VEGF therapies.

Endoglin (CD105) is a homodimeric TGFβ coreceptor expressed on proliferating vascular endothelium in solid tumors (8, 9). Endoglin is selectively expressed at high density on proliferating endothelial cells and is upregulated by hypoxia through the induction of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (HIF1α; refs. 8, 10). Endoglin expression is also upregulated on tumor endothelial cells in response to inhibitors of the VEGF pathway and allows continued tumor growth (11, 12). Loss of endoglin expression reverses resistance to large and small-molecule inhibitors of the VEGF pathway (13).

Endoglin is essential for normal vascular development, (14) and loss of endoglin expression is associated with the Osler–Weber–Rendu syndrome, a disease characterized by ectatic blood vessel formation that is associated with improved cancer survival, suggesting that targeting endoglin may have beneficial clinical effects (15, 16). In patients...
advanced refractory solid tumors. Concurrent treatment with bevacizumab to adult patients with solid tumors, high tumor microvessel density as assessed by endoglin immunohistochemistry has been correlated with poor prognosis (17–30).

TRC105 (TRACON Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) is a chimeric IgG1 antibody that binds human endoglin with high avidity, induces antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity, and apoptosis of human vascular endothelial cells and endoglin-positive tumor cells (8), and inhibits angiogenesis in response to VEGF and fibroblast growth factor (31). TRC105 potentiates bevacizumab in preclinical models of angiogenesis and was well tolerated at 10 mg/kg every week and 15 mg/kg every 2 weeks as a single agent in a phase I trial, with a safety profile that was distinct from that of VEGF inhibitors, that included the distinct lack of hypertension and proteinuria (32).

Here, we report the results of an open-label phase I clinical study that assessed the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and antitumor activity of TRC105 when given concurrently with bevacizumab to adult patients with advanced refractory solid tumors.

Patients and Methods

Patient eligibility

Patients with histologically proven advanced or metastatic solid cancer for which curative therapy was unavailable were eligible for this trial. Further inclusion criteria were an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0 or 1 and adequate organ function as demonstrated by an absolute neutrophil count ≥ 1,500 cells/μL, hemoglobin ≥ 9 g/dL, platelets ≥ 100,000/μL, prothrombin time or international normalized ratio within normal limits, creatinine ≤ 1.5 times the upper limit of normal (ULN), bilirubin ≤ 1.5 mg/dL, and aspartate and alanine transaminases ≤ 2.5 times the ULN (or ≤ 5 times the ULN in patients with liver metastases). Exclusion criteria included a known history of central nervous system metastases, lung cancer with a central chest lesion, thromboembolic disease, clinically significant ascites or pleural effusions, uncontrolled hypertension, required anticoagulation, and cancer therapy within 4 weeks before study entry. Additional exclusion criteria were a history of hemorrhage or unhealed surgical wounds within 30 days of study entry or were pregnant or lactating. All patients signed an institutional review board–approved informed consent form before undertaking study-related procedures. The study was conducted in accordance with the International Conference on Harmonization Good Clinical Practice (ICH GCP) guidelines and all applicable local regulatory requirements and laws.

Study design and treatments

This was a multicenter, open-label, nonrandomized, phase Ib, dose-finding study of TRC105 in combination with bevacizumab in patients with advanced or metastatic solid cancer for whom curative therapy was unavailable (NCT01332721). TRC105 dose was escalated in serial cohorts of patients with a fixed dose of bevacizumab using a standard 3+3 design. Dose-limiting toxicity was defined as any grade ≥ 3 hematologic or nonhematologic adverse event (AE) related to TRC105. Intrapatient dose escalation was not permitted. Patients were allowed to reduce dose and continue treatment for AEs that resolved to grade 1 or baseline and were allowed to discontinue bevacizumab and interrupt TRC105 dosing for up to 6 weeks, after the dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) evaluation period. Dose expansion was planned at the top dose level.

Escalating doses of intravenous TRC105 were administered weekly beginning with dose level 1 in combination with bevacizumab (Table 1). Patients received bevacizumab 15 mg/kg every 3 weeks in combination with TRC105 weekly in cohorts 1 and 2. Patients received bevacizumab 10 mg/kg on days 1 and 15 of each 28-day cycle and received TRC105 weekly starting on day 8 in cohorts 3 and 4. Patients received bevacizumab 10 mg/kg on days 1 and 15 of each 28-day cycle and received TRC105 on days 8 (3 mg/kg), 11 (balance of the weekly dose), 15 (initial full weekly dose), and weekly thereafter in cohorts 5 and 6.

TRC105 was manufactured in Chinese hamster ovary cells and supplied as a PBS solution in single-use glass vials for intravenous administration. Before infusion, the agent was diluted in normal saline and infused using an inline 0.2-μm low protein binding filter. Premedication regimen included acetaminophen 650 mg, diphenhydramine 50 mg (or similar H1 receptor antagonist), famotidine 20 mg (or similar H2 receptor antagonist), and dexamethasone 20 mg. The dexamethasone dose was tapered and discontinued as tolerated.

Safety assessments

Safety was evaluated at baseline, at regular intervals during treatment, and for 28 days after completing study therapy. Safety assessments included vital signs before,
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Pharmacokinetics and immunogenicity
Peak (sampled within 5 minutes before the completion of TRC105 infusion) and trough (sampled immediately before subsequent dosing with TRC105) serum concentrations were collected on the first day of dosing (including both dosing days when the initial dose of TRC105 was administered in divided doses on cycle 1 day 8 and cycle 1 day 11), on the third week (cycle 1 day 15), in cycle 2 (cycle 2 day 1), at end of study, and
approximately 4 weeks after the last dose of TRC105.

Evaluation of tumor response
Tumor responses were evaluated using CT or MRI as per Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors 1.1 (RECIST 1.1; ref. 34) and Choi criteria (35). Evaluations were performed at 2-month intervals or earlier if disease progression was suspected. Serum tumor markers as appropriate for the tumor type were assessed at baseline and monthly thereafter.

Statistical analysis
The safety population included all patients who received at least a portion of the initial TRC105 infusion. The evaluable population for determination of response included all patients with a baseline and a follow-up radiographic assessment for response at designated time points (e.g., 2 and 4 months). Descriptive statistics (means, medians, SDs, and ranges for continuous data and percentages for categorical data) were used to summarize patient characteristics, treatment administration, safety, efficacy, and pharmacokinetic parameters.

Results

Maximum tolerated dose, DLT, and disposition
Between May 5, 2011 and May 8, 2013, 38 patients with advanced or metastatic solid tumors were enrolled at four sites in the United States and treated with escalating doses of TRC105 at 3, 6, 8, or 10 mg/kg. TRC105 and bevacizumab were studied over 6 cohorts (Table 1). During dose escalation, the initial starting dose and schedule of bevacizumab of 15 mg/kg every 3 weeks was modified to 10 mg/kg every 2 weeks and the schedule of TRC105 was modified to divide the initial TRC105 dose over 2 days beginning 1 week after initial bevacizumab dosing, to reduce the frequency of headache. Headache was the only DLT reported and was significantly mitigated by administering the first dose of TRC105 one week after the initial bevacizumab 10 mg/kg dose and dividing the initial TRC105 dose over 2 days. Headaches were not associated with hypertension, focal neurologic signs, or radiographic abnormalities in those patients in whom imaging was performed. Demographics of enrolled patients are presented in Table 2.

Nineteen patients received treatment at the recommended single-agent doses of both drugs after dose level expansion, which was the recommended phase II dose of the combination of TRC105 and bevacizumab, and consisted of 10 mg/kg bevacizumab every 2 weeks beginning on cycle 1 day 1 and TRC105 10 mg/kg weekly beginning on cycle 1 day 8 with the first TRC105 dose divided such that 3 mg/kg was given on cycle 1 day 8 and 7 mg/kg was given on cycle 1 day 11. Twelve patients progressed on the basis of radiographic or clinical criteria. One patient discontinued treatment for grade 3 pulmonary embolism detected on CT scan and considered related to bevacizumab, and one patient discontinued treatment for MRSA sepsis considered unrelated to study treatment. Two patients withdrew

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>TRC105</th>
<th>Bevacizumab</th>
<th>Cycle duration</th>
<th>DLT (G3 headache)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 mg/kg (weekly)</td>
<td>15 mg/kg (every 3 weeks)</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>0/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6 mg/kg (weekly)</td>
<td>15 mg/kg (every 3 weeks)</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>2/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6 mg/kg (weekly starting on day 8)</td>
<td>10 mg/kg (every 2 weeks)</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>0/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8 mg/kg (weekly starting on day 8)</td>
<td>10 mg/kg (every 2 weeks)</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8 mg/kg (weekly starting on day 8 with the initial TRC105 dose divided over two 2 days)</td>
<td>10 mg/kg (every 2 weeks)</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>0/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10 mg/kg (weekly starting on day 8 with the initial TRC105 dose divided over two 2 days)</td>
<td>10 mg/kg (every 2 weeks)</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>1/19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Secondary to fatigue. Three patients demonstrated clinical benefit throughout the study (including two patients with partial responses by RECIST) and continued treatment on a separate continuation protocol.

Overall, all doses of both drugs were administered as planned to 34 patients (90%) for at least 1 cycle, 28 patients (74%) for at least 2 cycles, 18 patients (47%) for at least 3 cycles, 14 patients (37%) for at least 4 cycles, 10 patients (26%) for at least 5 cycles, 7 patients (18%) for at least 6 cycles, 3 patients (8%) for at least 7 cycles, and 2 patients for more than 19 cycles. The median and mean number of doses of TRC105 received across all dose levels were 9 and 14, respectively. The median and mean number of doses of bevacizumab received at the 15 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg dose levels were 3 and 4.2 and 5.5 and 8.4, respectively.

Safety and tolerability

A total of 38 patients received TRC105 and bevacizumab on study across six cohorts and four dose levels. The incidence of headache was mitigated by adjusting the dosing schedule of TRC105 and dose reduction of bevacizumab to 10 mg/kg. At the 10 mg/kg dosing of both agents, the combination of TRC105 and bevacizumab was well tolerated (Table 3, related AEs). Most AEs were graded as 1 or 2 and grade 4 and 5 suspected adverse reactions were not observed. Grade 3 suspected adverse reactions included anemia (the DLT of TRC105 established as a single agent; 9 patients), headache (4 patients; 3 of which occurred before adjusting the schedule of TRC105), and fatigue (2 patients). Headache was the most common suspected adverse reaction and was treated with 5-hydroxytryptophan antagonists and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Headaches generally started the evening after initial dosing of both agents and tended to reduce in frequency and intensity with continued treatment.

Two patients experienced serious AEs considered related to TRC105. One grade 3 headache (in a patient dosed at 8 mg/kg before dividing the initial TRC105 dose over 2 days) resulted in hospitalization for analgesia and patient discontinuation. One patient with colorectal cancer dosed at 10 mg/kg of TRC105 experienced a grade 3 brain abscess requiring open drainage. Serious AEs, considered unrelated to TRC105 treatment, included grade 3 pneumonia and subsequent grade 4 MRSA sepsis that was complicated by a non-Q wave myocardial infarction during a period of hemodynamic instability; grade 3 ileus at the time of symptomatic disease progression; death from disease progression; grade 3 left foot cellulitis; grade 3 recurrent pneumothorax; grade 3 small bowel obstruction; and grade 4 urosepsis.

Other than headache, AEs characteristic of each individual drug were not increased in frequency or severity when the two drugs were administered together. Of note, the concurrent administration of bevacizumab and TRC105 did not potentiate known bevacizumab toxicities including hypertension, hemorrhage (including tumor-associated hemorrhage and pulmonary hemorrhage or hemoptysis), or proteinuria. Reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome, congestive heart failure, fistulae, gastrointestinal perforation impaired wound healing, and arterial thromboembolic events were not observed.

Notably, hypertension and proteinuria, known adverse events of bevacizumab, were rarely observed when bevacizumab was given with TRC105. Mild and transient hypertension was observed in 5 patients (13%; grade 3 in one case before TRC105 study drug treatment and grade 2 in 4 cases) and mild transient proteinuria was observed in two patients (5%; both grade 2).

At least one sign of the triad of epistaxis, gingival bleeding, and telangiectasia, reflecting vascular ectasia characteristic of the Osler–Weber–Rendu syndrome, was observed frequently. At least one of these signs or symptoms (of grade 1 or 2 severity) was noted in one of 3 patients treated at 3 mg/kg, 4 of 8 patients treated at 6 mg/kg, 4 of 8 patients treated at 8 mg/kg, and in all 19 patients treated at 10 mg/kg of TRC105, generally with onset within the first month of dosing. These reversible signs and symptoms are expected pharmacologic effects of TRC105 binding to the endoglin receptor and resemble characteristics of the Osler–Weber–Rendu syndrome. They were also observed routinely within the first month of dosing of 10 mg/kg weekly in the single agent TRC105 dose-escalation study (36).

Consistent with the dose-escalation trial of TRC105 as a single agent (36), infusion reactions were more notable at lower doses, and were rare at the MTD of TRC105 of 10 mg/kg, when TRC105 serum concentrations were maintained continuously. Two of 19 patients (10%) dosed with 10 mg/kg of TRC105 each experienced a single grade 2 infusion reaction, both with the initial dose of TRC105, that required a brief interruption of the infusion before completion of the scheduled dose. At all dose levels, including

Table 2. Baseline patient characteristics (N = 38)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Median: 63; range: 42–82</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Female: 23; Male: 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline ECOG performance status</td>
<td>ECOG PS 0: 14; ECOG PS 1: 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of prior regimens</td>
<td>Median: 5; Range: 0–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior anti-VEGF therapy</td>
<td>Yes: 30; No: 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer type</td>
<td>Colorectal: 17; Ovarian: 11; Hepatocellular: 2; Renal: 2; Lung: 2; Cervical: 1; Endometrial: 1; Esthesioneuroblastoma: 1; Peritoneal: 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the maximum tolerated dose level of 10 mg/kg, patients were permitted to taper the infusion duration from 4 hours to 1 hour and then taper steroid premedication, such that steroids could be permanently discontinued by cycle 2. Dexamethasone was discontinued in all but one of 16 patients dosed with 10 mg/kg TRC105 who completed 2 cycles of treatment.

Clinically significant hypoproliferative anemia, the DLT of TRC105 given as a single agent, was reported in 3 of 7 patients (43%; all grade 3) dosed with 8 mg/kg of TRC105, and was observed in 9 of 19 (47%; three of grade 2 and 6 of grade 3 severity) patients dosed with 10 mg/kg of TRC105. Anemia prompted transfusion of packed red blood cells in 10 patients and growth factors (i.e., erythropoietin) were used in 5 patients. TRC105 dose reductions due to anemia occurred in 5 patients.

**Pharmacokinetics and immunogenicity**

TRC105 was measurable above the target concentration that saturates endoglin receptors (0.200 μg/mL) in all patients immediately after dosing. The mean concentration immediately after dosing across all doses in a cohort (for which peak concentration data were available) was proportional to dose: 43 μg/mL (3 mg/kg), 59 μg/mL (6 mg/kg cohorts), 134 μg/mL (8 mg/kg cohorts, including only peak concentrations following dosing of undivided 8 mg/kg doses), and 214 μg/mL (10 mg/kg cohort, including only peak concentrations following dosing of undivided 10 mg/kg doses). Trough concentrations were not detected above the level of detection of 0.078 μg/mL in the 3 mg/kg cohort. Trough concentrations above the target concentration of 0.200 μg/mL were detected in 5 of 6 patients dosed with 6 mg/kg of TRC105 for whom trough concentration data were available (and were undetectable in one patient who developed an infusion reaction when dosed in the absence of steroid premedication). Trough concentrations above the target concentration of 0.200 μg/mL were detected in 4 of 6 patients dosed with 8 mg/kg of TRC105 for whom trough concentration data were available (and were undetectable in 2 patients who were unable to discontinue steroid premedication). Trough concentrations were detected in all 18 patients dosed with 10 mg/kg for whom trough concentrations were available, and were above the target concentration of 0.200 μg/mL in 17 of 18 patients. Of the 18 patients with trough data, the trough concentration of one patient was 77 μg/mL and was excluded as a statistical outlier. The mean trough concentration after 10 mg/kg doses was 0.54 μg/mL. (Fig. 1). HAMA and HACA were detected in 2 patients (dosed at 6 and at 8 mg/kg, respectively), within 4 weeks after dosing with TRC105 and were absent in the one patient for whom serum was available for testing at the end of study assessment.

**Table 3. Most common (n > 1) and all grade 3 and above TRC105 drug-related adverse events**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse event</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 5</th>
<th>Total N = 38 n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32 (84.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epistaxis</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25 (65.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telangiectasia</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19 (50.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingival bleeding</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12 (31.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11 (28.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infusion reaction</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11 (28.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10 (26.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flushing</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8 (21.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased appetite</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (10.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial edema</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (10.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingival pain</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (10.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal congestion</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (7.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (7.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral pain</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (7.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rash</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (7.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (5.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothyroidism</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (5.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periorbital edema</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (5.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (5.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain abscess</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Percents are computed by using the number of patients in the safety population as the denominator. AEs are coded by using MedDRA dictionary version 14.1. If more than 1 event is recorded for a patient, the patient is only counted once at the highest grade.
Antitumor activity

The combination of TRC105 and bevacizumab was active, including among patients with advanced refractory cancer who had progressed on prior bevacizumab or other VEGF inhibitor treatment. Of 38 patients enrolled, the majority had colorectal cancer (45%) or ovarian cancer (29%), as detailed in Fig. 2. Thirty-three patients had either measurable (31 patients) or evaluable disease (2 patients) at baseline and received at least one follow-up scan and were therefore evaluable for the primary outcome of overall response rate by RECIST 1.1. Eighteen patients with measurable disease (58%) had a best response of stable disease (n = 16) or partial response (n = 2), including 15 of 30 patients previously treated with inhibitors of the VEGF pathway. Time to progression ranged from 0 to 437+ days. Two patients (6%), both of whom had been treated with bevacizumab and chemotherapy before study entry, had RECIST 1.1-defined partial responses, including one patient with colorectal cancer who continues on treatment after 22 cycles and another patient with ovarian cancer who was progression free for 8 cycles. A total of 14 patients (45%) had decreases in overall tumor burden, of whom 10 had received and progressed on prior VEGF inhibitor treatment (usually bevacizumab with chemotherapy; Fig. 2). Reductions in tumor markers ranging from 5% to 85% were observed in 15 of 28 (54%) patients with relevant tumor markers.

Notably, the duration of treatment with TRC105 and bevacizumab in 6 patients with reductions in measurable disease (representing 19% of those patients with measurable disease) exceeded the duration of treatment of the most recent treatment regimen containing a VEGF inhibitor (i.e., VEGFR TKI or bevacizumab), received before study entry. These 6 patients had decreases in tumor burden and were responders by Choi criteria or RECIST 1.1 (ref. 37; Table 4). One of these patients, with colorectal cancer metastatic to multiple locations in the liver with radiographic progression following 5-fluorouracil, irinotecan, leucovorin, and bevacizumab, remains on treatment with bevacizumab and TRC105 at cycle 23 with a partial response by RECIST 1.1 (33% reduction in tumor burden) and normalization of serum carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA). An additional patient, with a primary central nervous system tumor (esthesioneuroblastoma) who was treatment naïve, remains on treatment with bevacizumab and TRC105 at cycle 19 with stable disease by RECIST 1.1.

Discussion

The trial of TRC105 with bevacizumab in solid tumors was remarkable for the fact that both drugs could be...
administered at their recommended single-agent doses (10 mg/kg each) by modifying the schedule of TRC105 to administer the initial dose 1 week after the initial bevacizumab dose and dividing the initial TRC105 dose over 2 days, to nearly eliminate the frequency of significant headache. Other AEs characteristic of the individual agents were not observed more frequently when the two drugs were given concurrently (32). The lack of hypertension and proteinuria was noteworthy, and will be assessed in additional studies of TRC105 with other VEGF inhibitors. Notably, patients who were refractory to bevacizumab or VEGF TKI treatment experienced reductions in tumor volume including partial responses by RECIST 1.1 and Choi criteria, and remained without progression for longer than the duration of prior VEGF inhibitor therapy.

Since its approval in 2004, bevacizumab has been shown to be active when added to chemotherapy in many cancer types. However, bevacizumab has not been developed successfully as a single agent in colorectal cancer and multiple other tumor types. Overcoming resistance to bevacizumab and other inhibitors of the VEGF pathway represents an unmet medical need that has not been satisfied despite multiple attempts to combine it with targeted agents, including those addressing placental growth factor, angiopoietins, and the EGF pathways (38). Unlike these targets, endoglin expression is required for angiogenesis, as deletion of the gene in utero is lethal as a result of absent vascular development (14). Generally, it is hypothesized that resistance to antiangiogenic agents occurs through the emergence of escape pathways rather than by acquiring mutations to the VEGF receptor or its ligand (39). Activity of the combination of TRC105 and bevacizumab in a refractory clinical setting are consistent with preclinical observations that endoglin is an endothelial receptor that mediates VEGF resistance. Endoglin-expressing vessels resist treatment with antibody targeting the VEGFR, allowing continued growth of human tumor xenografts (12). The endoglin ligand TGFβ is the most highly upregulated angiogenic factor in spontaneous pancreatic tumors from RIP-Tag2 mice treated with antibody that binds VEGF (40). Tumors in these mice deficient in one copy of the endoglin gene become resensitized to large and small-molecule inhibitors of the VEGF pathway. Likewise, endoglin conditional knockout mice carrying subcutaneous lung tumors present with dramatically reduced lung metastases after treatment with a VEGFR TKI (41). Impressively, the tendency of agents targeting the VEGF or endoglin pathways individually to increase local invasion and distant metastasis (42) is reversed with concurrent therapies targeting of the VEGF and endoglin pathways.

Defining populations responsive to the combination of TRC105 and VEGF inhibitors is an area of active research. An assessment of TRC105 expression on sarcoma cells will be incorporated into a phase Ib dose-escalation study with pazopanib, to facilitate an enrichment strategy for sarcoma subtypes enrolled in a phase II trial. Melanoma and leukemia also represent indications where stratification of patients based on endoglin expression on malignant tissue could be employed. Enrichment for epithelial tumors may be possible based on CT characteristics of metastatic deposits. An exploratory analysis, applying novel quantitative textural analysis measures of standard spiral CT scans,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient demographic</th>
<th>Primary site of disease</th>
<th>Prior VEGF inhibitor–containing therapy</th>
<th>Duration of most recent VEGF inhibitor containing treatment (days)</th>
<th>Duration of TRC105 + bevacizumab treatment (days)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>56-year-old female</td>
<td>Ovarian</td>
<td>Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin + bevacizumab</td>
<td>126 (RPD)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>9% tumor reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-year-old female</td>
<td>Ovarian</td>
<td>Investigational VEGFR TKI</td>
<td>141 (RPD)</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>18% tumor reduction and 51% reduction in CA-125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-year-old female</td>
<td>Colorectal</td>
<td>Cetuximab + bevacizumab</td>
<td>31 (RPD)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>18% tumor reduction and 49% tumor reduction and 80% reduction in CA-125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81-year-old female</td>
<td>Ovarian</td>
<td>Topotecan + bevacizumab</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>49% tumor reduction and 80% reduction in CA-125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53-year-old male</td>
<td>Colorectal</td>
<td>5-Fluorouracil + irinotecan + leucovorin + bevacizumab</td>
<td>33 (RPD)</td>
<td>532+</td>
<td>30% tumor reduction and 82% reduction in CEA to normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-year-old male</td>
<td>Colorectal</td>
<td>FOLFIRI + bevacizumab</td>
<td>146 (CPD)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>12% tumor reduction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: RPD, radiographic progression documented; CPD, clinical progression documented.

Table 4. Duration of treatment in patients with response by RECIST or Choi criteria.
indicates markers of tumor heterogeneity and hypoxia at baseline correlate with individual lesion responses to TRC105 and bevacizumab, and are worthy of prospective evaluation as predictive imaging biomarkers (37). Soluble biomarker expression is also being assessed in ongoing TRC105 trials in an effort to identify a responsive profile. Marked elevations of TGFβ and VEGF-A levels at baseline were observed in a patient with castrate-resistant prostate cancer with an ongoing long-term complete PSA response after TRC105 monotherapy (32). These biomarkers are part of a panel of more than 30 soluble markers that will be evaluated in patients who participated in this trial of TRC105 and bevacizumab.

Although activity in refractory cancers is notable, it may be easier to prevent bevacizumab resistance than to treat patients after the development of bevacizumab resistance. The combination of TRC105 and bevacizumab is being studied in randomized trials of bevacizumab-naïve patients with glioblastoma and renal cell cancer. Studies evaluating the combinations of TRC105 with small-molecule inhibitors of the VEGF pathway (i.e., sorafenib, axitinib, and pazopanib) are also under way to plan randomized trials testing VEGF inhibitors with TRC105 in patients who have not been treated previously with VEGF inhibitors.
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