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Abstract

The anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) receptor tyrosine kinase was initially discovered as a component of the fusion protein nucleophosmin (NPM)–ALK in anaplastic large-cell lymphoma (ALCL). Genomic alterations in ALK, including rearrangements, point mutations, and genomic amplification, have now been identified in several malignancies, including lymphoma, non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), neuroblastoma, inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor, and others. Importantly, ALK serves as a validated therapeutic target in these diseases. Several ALK tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI), including crizotinib, ceritinib, and alectinib, have been developed, and some of them have already been approved for clinical use. These ALK inhibitors have all shown remarkable clinical outcomes in ALK-rearranged NSCLC. Unfortunately, as is the case for other kinase inhibitors in clinical use, sensitive tumors inevitably relapse due to acquired resistance. This review focuses on the discovery, function, and therapeutic targeting of ALK, with a particular focus on ALK-rearranged NSCLC.

Introduction

Anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) was initially discovered as the fusion gene nucleophosmin (NPM)–ALK in anaplastic large-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma (ALCL; ref. 1). More than a decade later, the echinoderm microtubule–associated protein-like 4 (EML4)–ALK fusion gene was first detected in lung cancer (2), and numerous other ALK fusions, differing by the N-terminal gene fusion partner, have since been identified in lung and other cancers. In addition, ALK point mutations have been detected in neuroblastoma and thyroid cancers (3–6). Although many ALK mutations have been deposited in the COSMIC database following the development of next-generation sequencing–based...
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Background: Function of ALK

ALK encodes a single transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinase that belongs to the insulin receptor superfamily. Although recent studies have identified pleiotrophin, midkine, and heparin as putative ALK ligands (7–9), a detailed understanding of ALK receptor activation and function remains to be determined. In mice, protein expression of ALK is observed in the thalamus, hypothalamus, midbrain, and dorsal root ganglia 11 days after colin, and ALK expression is scarcely detectable in the adult mouse. ALK knockout mice grow without obvious abnormalities and achieve normal life spans. However, comparisons between wild-type (WT) and ALK knockout mice implicate ALK in the function of the frontal cortex and hippocampus in the adult brain. Behavioral response to ethanol is reduced in ALK knockout mice (10). Thus, normal ALK function in adult humans remains unclear.

ALK Alterations in Cancer

ALK point mutations in neuroblastoma

Neuroblastoma is the most common pediatric extracranial solid tumor and can occur in infants and children. Point mutations in the ALK kinase domain have been detected in a subset of patients with both sporadic and hereditary neuroblastoma (Fig. 1A). Mutated ALK serves as a “driver oncogene” in these cases. A recent study of >1,500 neuroblastoma patients identified ALK tyrosine kinase domain mutations in 8% of patient samples and reported transformation potential, affinity to ATP, and sensitivity to the ALK inhibitor crizotinib for each ALK mutant (11). Importantly, ALK has been validated as a therapeutic target in neuroblastoma; however, only a minority of patients with neuroblastoma harboring ALK mutations actually respond to crizotinib (12). Because our understanding of the pathogenesis of ALK in neuroblastoma is growing but still limited, further studies are needed to develop the most effective therapies for these patients.

ALK fusions

NPM–ALK, as a result of the t(2,5) chromosome rearrangement, was first identified in ALCL (1). Approximately 55% of ALCL patients harbor ALK gene rearrangements (13). To date, various other ALK fusions, differing by the N-terminal gene fusion partner, have been discovered in ALCL, including TPM3–ALK, TPM4–ALK, TFG–ALK, and others (14–16). In 2007, the EML4–ALK fusion was discovered in NSCLC (2), and analogous to ALCL, several other ALK fusions were reported thereafter (Fig. 1B; refs. 2, 17–23). Common characteristics of ALK fusions include (i) conserved breakpoints in the ALK gene, which includes the entire ALK tyrosine kinase domain within each of the known ALK fusions; (ii) a promoter derived from

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identified mutation in ALK (neuroblastoma)</th>
<th>Identified ALK fusion gene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1060H (germline)</td>
<td>NPM–ALK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1151M</td>
<td>EML4–ALK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1166R</td>
<td>ALIC–ALK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1170N/S</td>
<td>C2orf44–ALK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1171N</td>
<td>CARS–ALK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1174C/I/L/S/V</td>
<td>CLTC–ALK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1183T (germline)</td>
<td>CLTC1L–ALK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1196M</td>
<td>FNI–ALK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1200V</td>
<td>HIP1–ALK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1204F (germline)</td>
<td>KIF5B–ALK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1240V</td>
<td>KCL1–ALK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1245C/I/L/V</td>
<td>LMAA–ALK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1270G (inactivating mutant)</td>
<td>MSN–ALK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1275L/Q (germline)</td>
<td>PFPBP1–ALK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1278S</td>
<td>PRKARIA–ALK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1286R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1243I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1.

Genetic alterations of ALK: A, ALK point mutations identified in neuroblastoma. B, schematic representations of ALK fusion proteins (top) and a list of various ALK fusion proteins described to date (bottom). The oligomerization domain, such as coiled-coil (CC) domain in EML4–ALK, is responsible for constitutive activation of ALK fusion. Note that this list is not comprehensive.

Figure 1.
the N-terminal fusion partner, which leads to constitutive expression of the ALK fusion protein; and (iii) an oligomerization domain in the N-terminal fusion partner protein. In the case of full-length ALK, ligand-mediated dimerization followed by transphosphorylation of the tyrosine kinase domain is believed to induce ALK activation (8). In the setting of ALK rearrangement, oligomerization of the ALK fusion protein mediated by the fusion partner's oligomerization domain induces constitutive activation of the kinase.

ALK, the first tumor type in which ALK fusions were detected, infrequently affects pediatric patients. Approximately 50% of ALCLs harbor ALK fusions, with NPM–ALK being the most common fusion detected (1, 24). ALK-rearranged ALCL is highly responsive to combination chemotherapy regimens (e.g., CHOP); hence, chemotherapy remains the standard of care. However, once the tumor becomes chemotherapy resistant, the ALK inhibitor crizotinib can result in dramatic and durable responses (12, 25, 26).

Approximately 3% to 7% of NSCLC cases harbor ALK rearrangements. Patients with ALK-rearranged NSCLC tend to be young, never or former light smokers with adenocarcinoma histology. The most common fusion variant detected in these patients is EML4–ALK, variant 1. The EML4 and ALK genes are both located on chromosome 2p, and the EML4–ALK fusion results from a chromosomal inversion at this genomic locus. Several different EML4–ALK fusions have been described, varying by the fusion breakpoint in the EML4 gene. The breakpoints in EML4 can occur at exons 2, 6, 13, 14, 15, 18, or 20, whereas the breakpoint in ALK is most commonly at exon 20. As described above, EML4 contains an oligomerization domain (in this case, a coiled-coil domain), which mediates oligomerization and constitutive activation of the ALK fusion protein. The oncogenic potential of EML4–ALK has been confirmed by tumor formation in NIH3T3 fibroblasts (2) and lung cancer development in an EML4–ALK transgenic mouse model (27). Kinase activity has been shown to be necessary for EML4–ALK tumor formation, as a mutant that abrogates ALK kinase activity (ALK K1150M) is no longer oncogenic.

Recently, a lung cancer mouse model was established by generating the EML4–ALK fusion gene using adenoviral CrispR-Cas9–mediated chromosomal inversion between the introns of the EML4 and ALK genes. This strategy was also used to generate other known oncogenic fusion kinases, including RET and ROS1 fusions in NSCLC (28, 29).

**Diagnostic Methods for Identifying ALK Fusions in Tumor Biopsy Samples**

Numerous methods are available whereby ALK can be detected in tumor biopsy samples. The method used depends, in part, on the tumor type. For example, ALK IHC is the standard method used to diagnose ALK-rearranged ALCL and inflammatory myofibroblastic tumors (IMT). However, the antibody used to determine ALK status in these tumor types did not appear to work well in ALK-rearranged NSCLC. ALK IHC can be used to diagnose ALK-rearranged NSCLC, but a high-affinity antibody is needed to detect expression of the ALK fusion (21). FISH using ALK break-apart probes has been the standard way to detect ALK in NSCLC. In addition, there are other diagnostic methods, including RT-PCR and next-generation sequencing (30, 31). For a comprehensive overview of the pros and cons of each diagnostic modality, we refer the reader to a recently published review (32).

**ALK Inhibitors**

**Crizotinib**

Crizotinib is an orally available ALK/MET/ROS1 tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI). Crizotinib was initially developed as a MET inhibitor, but during the dose-escalation portion of the phase I trial (PROFILE 1001) ALK rearrangements were discovered in NSCLC. On the basis of this discovery, screening for ALK rearrangement was undertaken, and patients with ALK-rearranged NSCLC were quickly enrolled in this study. In the latest update of the phase I trial, the overall response rate (ORR) was 60.8% and progression-free survival (PFS) period was 9.7 months. Commonly observed adverse events (AE) associated with crizotinib included mild visual and gastrointestinal tract disturbances and liver enzyme abnormalities. On the basis of high ORRs observed in both the phase I and phase II (PROFILE 1003) studies, crizotinib was granted accelerated approval by the FDA in 2011 for the treatment of advanced, ALK-rearranged NSCLC. Crizotinib was also approved in various countries, including Japan, based on these single-arm studies.

Two randomized phase III studies of crizotinib have now been completed. In the second-line PROFILE 1007 study, crizotinib was compared with single-agent chemotherapy (pemetrexed or docetaxel) in patients with ALK-rearranged NSCLC who had disease progression after first-line platinum-based chemotherapy. Crizotinib showed significantly longer PFS (7.7 vs. 3.0 months) and higher ORR (65% vs. 20%) compared with chemotherapy. There was no difference in OS between the groups (20.3 vs. 22.8 months, respectively), likely due to significant crossover of patients from the chemotherapy to the crizotinib group at the time of progression. In the recently published first-line study (PROFILE 1014), 343 patients with ALK-rearranged NSCLC who had not received prior systemic treatment were randomly assigned in a 1:1 ratio, to receive crizotinib or combination chemotherapy (pemetrexed plus either cisplatin or carboplatin). Similar to PROFILE 1007, PROFILE 1014 showed that PFS was significantly longer with crizotinib compared with chemotherapy (10.9 vs. 7.0 months, respectively) and that ORR was significantly higher (74% vs. 45%, respectively; ref. 33). The improvement in PFS seen with first-line crizotinib as compared with platinum-based chemotherapy in ALK-rearranged NSCLC is similar to that seen in multiple trials of first-line EGFR inhibitors in EGFR-mutant NSCLC. In both randomized studies, patient-reported outcomes also favored crizotinib (33, 34).

ALK fusions have been found in various cancers in addition to ALCL and NSCLC. Approximately 50% of IMTs, which are more common in children, are positive for ALK and ALCL. ALK IHC shows marked sensitivity to crizotinib (36). In addition, in neuroblastosomas, crizotinib showed some activity against the ALK mutation R1275Q, but no activity against the F1174L mutation (10). The latter observation is consistent with the finding that an acquired F1174L mutation can mediate crizotinib resistance in ALK-rearranged IMTs (37). Several ongoing studies are aimed at determining the efficacy of crizotinib in ALK-rearranged malignancies other than ALCL and NSCLC. In addition, one arm of the NCI-MATCH (Molecular Analysis for Therapy
Choice Program) trial will test the efficacy of crizotinib in ALK-rearranged cancers other than NSCLC.

Resistance to crizotinib

Although most patients with ALK-rearranged NSCLC respond to crizotinib, tumors inevitably relapse, often after only 1 to 2 years of treatment. This type of resistance is referred to as acquired resistance. The central nervous system (CNS) is a frequent site of relapse in treatment with crizotinib. However, failure in the CNS likely represents a pharmacokinetic issue rather than biologic resistance, because penetration of crizotinib into the CNS is limited. In one patient, the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) concentration of crizotinib was 0.26% of that in plasma (38). Despite its limited CNS penetration, crizotinib does have some intracranial activity, as shown in a recent retrospective analysis of patients who enrolled into PROFILE 1005 or 1007 with untreated brain metastases (39). The intracranial response rate among patients with measurable, untreated brain lesions was 18%, and the intracranial disease control rate was 56% at 12 weeks. Nevertheless, the brain was the most common site of relapse in patients with and without brain metastases at baseline. The limited CNS penetration of crizotinib may be due in part to ABCB1-mediated export of the drug (39–41). Consistent with this notion, an ABCB1 (abcb1a and abcb1b)-knockout mouse model demonstrated higher crizotinib concentrations in the CSF than WT mice (42).

A variety of different mechanisms mediating acquired resistance to crizotinib have been described. Mutations within the ALK kinase domain have been identified in approximately one third of crizotinib-resistant tumor specimens (43). As shown in Fig. 2, the most frequently observed mutations are L1196M and G1269A. The L1196M mutation is the gatekeeper mutation in the ALK kinase domain, analogous to the T790M EGFR–TKI-resistant mutation. Many small-molecule kinase inhibitors have exploited a conserved hydrophobic residue within the ATP-binding site for binding specificity. Because the gatekeeper controls access of the inhibitors to a hydrophobic pocket deep in the active site that is not contacted by ATP, the substitution of the gatekeeper residue with bulky side chains is a common mechanism of resistance to pharmacologic ATP-competitive kinase inhibitors (44). The G1269 residue resides next to the DFG motif within the kinase activation loop—a portion of the kinase domain necessary for kinase activity. Substitution of glycine at 1269, which has the smallest side chain, to alanine causes steric hindrance, resulting in decreased affinity for crizotinib (45, 46). In addition, multiple mutations in the ALK kinase domain, such as L1152R, 1151 T-insertions, C1156Y, L1171T, F1174L, G1202R, and S1206Y, are associated with crizotinib resistance, even though the frequencies of these mutations are low (37, 47–50). The G1202R mutation leads to a large basic residue that would be predicted to cause steric interference with ALK inhibitor binding (48).

Amplification of the ALK fusion gene is also observed in about 9% of crizotinib-resistant cases (43, 48). ALK amplification was first noted as a potential resistance mechanism in cell-line models of crizotinib resistance. The ALK-rearranged NSCLC cell line, H3122, was grown in increasing concentrations of crizotinib until resistant cells emerged. Cells grown in 600 nmol/L of crizotinib had intermediate levels of resistance, whereas those cells cultured in 1 μmol/L of crizotinib had high-level resistance. Those cells with intermediate resistance exhibited significant EML4–ALK gene amplification but no ALK mutation, whereas fully resistant cells harbored both ALK gene amplification and the L1196M gatekeeper mutation (51). In approximately 40% of patients with crizotinib-resistant tumors, alternative pathway-mediated survival signal activation, so-called “bypass pathway” activation, has been observed (Fig. 3). For example, in some patients and in H3122-derived crizotinib-resistant cells, EGFR activation was observed (without EGFR mutation or amplification on the genomic level), and EGFR inhibitor cotreatment resensitized the cells to crizotinib. In addition, cKIT gene amplification was identified in two cases (48). A concomitant EGFR-activating mutation (L858R) or KRAS mutation has also been reported in crizotinib-resistant patients (47, 49). Recently, activation of insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF1R) was identified as a crizotinib resistance mechanism in vitro and in vivo, and treatment with crizotinib and an
IGF1R inhibitor reensitized crizotinib-resistant cells (52). In ALK-rearranged ALCCL, IGF1R binds to and activates NPM-ALK, whereas IGF1R inhibition suppresses growth of ALK-rearranged ALCCL (53). Furthermore, the Y644F and Y664F mutations in NPM–ALK were found to inhibit binding with IGF1R, resulting in loss of oncogenicity of NPM–ALK (54). Recently, activation of Src was also found to confer resistance to crizotinib (and other ALK TKIs) in a cell line–derived model and resistant cancer cell lines derived from ALK TKI–resistant patients (55).

Next-generation ALK inhibitors

A number of new ALK inhibitors are currently under development. Among them, ceritinib is the most advanced in the clinic and was granted accelerated approval by the FDA in April 2014. Alectinib has also been approved in Japan, and both alectinib and AP26113 were granted FDA breakthrough therapy designation. These drugs have demonstrated robust clinical activity in patients who developed resistance to crizotinib, and are now being investigated in the first-line setting.

Ceritinib. Ceritinib is a highly potent oral ALK inhibitor. At the enzymatic level, the IC50 value of ceritinib is approximately 150 pmol/L, which is 20-fold lower than that of crizotinib (45). In addition to ALK, ceritinib potently inhibits several other kinases, including IGF1R (8 nmol/L), insulin receptor (7 nmol/L), and testis-specific kinase substrate (23 nmol/L; ref. 56). Moreover, ceritinib has documented efficacy against crizotinib-resistant ALK mutations, including L1196M, G1269A, I1171T, and S1206Y crizotinib-resistant mutations (45).

In a phase I clinical trial of 114 patients with ALK-rearranged NSCLC, the ORR among patients treated with ceritinib at doses of 400 to 750 mg daily was 58% and PFS was 7.0 months (57). In the subgroup of patients who had previously received crizotinib, the ORR was 56% (45/80) and the PFS was 6.9 months. Interestingly, approximately 20 patients underwent rebiopsy after relapsing on crizotinib and before starting on ceritinib. Responses were observed in patients with and without identifiable resistance mutations, suggesting that most crizotinib-resistant tumors remain ALK dependent. Importantly, ceritinib also has documented efficacy in patients with CNS disease, as intracranial responses were observed in patients with untreated brain metastases. The most common ceritinib–associated AEs were nausea (82%), diarrhea (75%), vomiting (65%), fatigue (47%), and elevated transaminase levels, all reversible and resolved by ceritinib discontinuation (57).

Although ceritinib was able to reinduce responses in the majority of crizotinib-resistant patients, acquired resistance to ceritinib ultimately developed. Four of 10 patients who initially received crizotinib and then were treated with ceritinib developed a secondary G1202R or F1174C/V mutation. In one case, after ceritinib treatment, an F1174V and G1202R mutation was found in malignant fluid collected from the left and right lung, respectively. The ability of these mutations to confer ceritinib resistance was confirmed in Ba/F3 cells (45). In addition, an MAP2K1 K57N–activating mutation was recently detected in a ceritinib-resistant tumor sample (55). Src activation may also mediate ceritinib resistance. Ongoing studies are directed at better understanding mechanisms of acquired resistance to ceritinib.

Alectinib. Alectinib (CH5424802/RO5424802) is a potent and selective ALK TKI (IC50, 2 nmol/L in vitro kinase assay). Analogous to ceritinib, alectinib can inhibit multiple crizotinib-resistant ALK mutations (e.g., L1196M, G1269A, C1156Y, and F1174L; refs. 46, 58). The efficacy of alectinib was first evaluated in ALK TKI–naïve patients in a multicenter Japanese phase I/II clinical trial (AF-001JP). In contrast with the crizotinib and
ceritinib studies, which used ALK FISH as the diagnostic test, AF-001IP required that tumors be positive for ALK rearrangement by both FISH and IHC. Of 46 patients receiving 300 mg of alectinib twice a day (the phase II recommended dose in Japan), the ORR was 93.5% and the median PFS exceeded 27 months (59). In a phase I/II clinical trial of U.S. patients previously treated with crizotinib (AF-002[Q]), the ORR was 54% at the time of data cutoff, and 5 of 9 patients with untreated CNS disease responded to alectinib (60). In a separate series, among 4 patients who had received prior crizotinib and ceritinib and then developed leptomeningeal disease, 3 achieved a significant partial response (PR), and the fourth experienced disease stabilization (61). Unlike crizotinib and ceritinib, alectinib is not transported by ABCB1 and thus can achieve high levels in the CNS (62). Recently, two randomized phase III trials [ALEX (NCT02075840) and J-ALEX] were launched, comparing alectinib versus crizotinib as first-line therapy for patients with advanced ALK-rearranged NSCLC.

The major AEs of alectinib therapy are dysgeusia, photosensitivity, decreased neutrophil count, elevated liver function test results, increased creatinine, and increased creatine phosphokinase, although almost all AEs have been mild (grade 1–2). Notably, gastrointestinal toxicity is observed at a relatively high frequency in crizotinib- and ceritinib-treated patients, but not in those receiving alectinib (60, 63).

Patients treated with alectinib invariably relapse, as they do on crizotinib and ceritinib. The V1180L ALK kinase domain mutation was identified in H3122 cells made resistant to alectinib in vitro. 1171T/NS mutations have subsequently been identified in patients treated with alectinib (23, 50, 64). The V1180L mutation is located sterically next to the L1196 gatekeeper residue. Both V1180L and 1171T confer resistance to both crizotinib and alectinib, but not ceritinib. Interestingly, in a patient with alectinib resistance due to an acquired 1171T mutation, the resistant tumor responded to ceritinib therapy (64). In addition, the G1202R mutation has also been identified in an alectinib-resistant specimen. The G1202R mutation appears to be a highly refractory mutation, conferring high-level resistance to crizotinib, alectinib, and ceritinib (50, 65). Finally, MET gene amplification (66) has been identified from a patient-derived sample as a potential mechanism of resistance to alectinib. This patient subsequently responded to crizotinib, which can effectively inhibit both ALK and MET.

AP26113. AP26113 is a potent ALK inhibitor (IC_{50} 0.62 nmol/L in vitro kinase assay). Like ceritinib and alectinib, AP26113 can inhibit multiple crizotinib-resistant ALK mutants, including the L1196M gatekeeper mutation (51). In addition to ALK, AP26113 has some activity against the T790M gatekeeper-mutated EGFR in vitro. An ongoing phase I/II clinical trial reported an ORR of 72% and median PFS of 56 weeks among 72 patients with advanced ALK-rearranged NSCLC at the time of data cutoff. Of 65 patients pretreated with crizotinib, the ORR was 69% with a median PFS of 47.3 weeks (67). Commonly observed AEs were nausea, diarrhea, and fatigue. Because serious early-onset pulmonary symptoms (such as dyspnea) have occurred in 10% of patients receiving AP26113, particularly within the first week, the design of the phase I/II study was modified so that patients would start at a low dose of 90 mg daily and step up to 180 mg daily after 1 week if no pulmonary symptoms arose.

X-396. X-396 is a potent ALK inhibitor with a similar chemical structure to that of crizotinib, but with a 10-fold higher potency. X-396 has documented efficacy against crizotinib-resistant mutations, such as L1196M and C1156Y (68). In an ongoing phase I clinical trial of X-396, 10 of 17 (59%) of ALK-rearranged NSCLC patients achieved a PR, and SD was observed in 2 (12%). In addition, of 13 patients pretreated with crizotinib, 7 responded to X-396. Major AEs were rash, nausea, vomiting, fatigue, and edema (69). The phase I trial of this agent is ongoing (NCT01625234).

Other Potential Therapeutic Strategies to Overcome ALK TKI Resistance

To date, most of the studies aimed at ALK TKI resistance have focused on next-generation ALK inhibitors, which have greater potency against ALK than crizotinib, and can overcome at least some of the known crizotinib resistance mutations within the ALK tyrosine kinase domain. However, in addition to the use of next-generation ALK inhibitors, several other potential strategies to combat ALK TKI resistance have been proposed and some are actively being investigated, including the following:

ALK TKI with other kinase inhibitor

Combination strategies that target both ALK and a second kinase may be needed to overcome any of a number of different ‘bypass pathways’ mediating ALK TKI resistance. Potential combinations include ALK TKI with EGFR inhibitor, cKIT inhibitor, MEK inhibitor, or SRC inhibitor. The selection of the appropriate combination strategy may need to be individualized on the basis of the resistance mechanism(s) identified in resistant tumor specimens.

ALK TKI with heat shock protein (HSP90) inhibitor

ALK fusion proteins bind to Hsp90 and are thought to depend on Hsp90 as a chaperone protein to form tertiary structure and stabilize the protein. Hsp90 inhibitor treatment induced degradation and reduction of ALK fusion proteins, regardless of ALK TKI-resistant mutations, and induced cell death in ALK-rearranged cancers (51, 73). Hsp90 inhibitors have shown clinical activity primarily in TKI-naive, ALK-rearranged lung cancer (74), although developing Hsp90 inhibitors for the treatment of ALK-rearranged cancer could be challenging because of the lower ORR and greater toxicity compared with ALK TKIs. Notably, different EML4–ALK fusion variants may have different sensitivities to Hsp90 inhibitor therapy, with the EML4–ALK (E6; A20; variant 3) being the least sensitive in vitro (75). The combination of ALK and Hsp90 inhibitors is currently being investigated in the clinic (73).
ALK TKI with immunotherapy

Checkpoint inhibitors, specifically PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors, have shown promising activity in advanced NSCLC with response rates ranging from 15% to 20% and remarkably prolonged durations of response among those patients with response. Interestingly, response rates seem to be lower among people who never smoked compared with smokers, raising the question of whether patients with targetable genetic alterations like ALK and EGFR may be less responsive to immunotherapies, at least when given as monotherapies. A recent report suggests that EGFR-mutant lung cancers inhibit antitumor immunity by activating the PD-1–PD-L1 pathway to suppress T-cell function (76, 77). This result, along with other recent findings, has generated tremendous interest in combining checkpoint inhibitors with TKIs in the treatment of oncogene-addicted NSCLC, including ALK-rearranged NSCLC. To date, one study combining ceritinib with nivolumab, a PD-1 antibody, is about to be launched (NCT02393625), and several others are being planned. The ceritinib–nivolumab combination study will enroll both TKI-sensitive and TKI-resistant patients. This ALK TKI with immunotherapy is also discussed in this CCR Focus (78).

ALK TKI with chemotherapy

Currently, pemetrexed with or without crizotinib is being studied in patients with advanced, ALK-rearranged NSCLC that has progressed after treatment with crizotinib. Retrospective analyses first suggested that patients with ALK-rearranged lung cancer might be particularly responsive to pemetrexed-based chemotherapy (79, 80). The randomized trials of crizotinib compared with chemotherapy (either first-line platinum/pemetrexed or second-line pemetrexed) also suggest a modest improvement in response and PFS in ALK patients compared with historical controls (33, 34). On the basis of these data, an ongoing study is evaluating pemetrexed with or without crizotinib for patients with advanced ALK-rearranged NSCLC that has progressed after treatment with crizotinib (NCT02134912).

Conclusions and Future Directions

ALK represents a validated therapeutic target in numerous malignancies, including NSCLC, ALCL, and IMT. Numerous ALK inhibitors are in clinical development, and these agents have already shown remarkable efficacy in cohorts of patients with ALK mutant tumors, particularly ALK-rearranged NSCLC. Despite these remarkable results, therapeutic resistance is common and represents a significant barrier to the successful treatment of patients with ALK-rearranged tumors. ALK inhibitor resistance has proven to be a complex and heterogeneous process, with multiple mechanisms at play, including ALK amplification, ALK kinase domain mutation, and activation of a variety of different bypass signaling pathways. Moreover, to add to the complexity of this disease, numerous resistance mechanisms coexisting within the same patient have been documented. To overcome resistance, multiple promising next-generation ALK kinase inhibitors and rational combinatorial strategies are under development. Because the spectrum of activity of each ALK inhibitor against different resistance mutations varies, repeat biopsy to identify the resistance mechanisms can be critical for therapeutic decision making, particularly after failure of a second ALK TKI.

Furthermore, because ALK alterations are only detected in small cohorts of patients, NCI and cooperative group studies, such as the NCI MATCH trial and the emerging NCI ALK Master Protocol, are being conceived to systematically study treatment responses in patients with tumors harboring ALK alterations. The NCI MATCH trial will include multiple phase II studies that will enroll patients with advanced solid tumors and lymphomas. After assessing tumors for the presence of targetable genetic abnormalities, patients will be assigned to one of the phase II studies based not on their type of cancer but on the identified genetic target. The NCI ALK Master protocol will test the potential role of multiple different next-generation ALK inhibitors in the first-line setting, as compared with crizotinib. This will be an important study to evaluate how best to sequence ALK inhibitors in managing patients with advanced, ALK-rearranged NSCLC. The current strategy is to use crizotinib as first-line therapy, based on PROFILE 1014, and to consider a next-generation ALK inhibitor at the time of relapse. As mentioned previously, the ALEX trials will compare alectinib with crizotinib as first-line therapy for ALK-rearranged NSCLC, with PFS as the primary endpoint. However, the trial design for the ALEX studies does not include crossover of patients at progression, precluding comparison of first-line alectinib with sequential crizotinib followed by alectinib. In contrast, the ALK Master protocol will incorporate crossover for each study arm at the time of progression, and this will enable evaluation of sequential therapy with crizotinib followed by a next-generation inhibitor, as well as a next-generation inhibitor followed by crizotinib.

Finally, as next-generation sequencing-based techniques increasingly become the standard of care in oncology practice, ALK alterations (amplification, mutations, and fusions) will be increasingly detected. Overall, an increased knowledge of the molecular biology of ALK as well as a more thorough study of ALK as a therapeutic target in the clinic have the potential to improve the treatment of many patients with cancer.
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