Significance of Circulating Tumor Cells in Metastatic Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Patients within a Randomized, Phase II Trial: TBCRC 019
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Abstract

Purpose: Circulating tumor cells (CTC) are prognostic in metastatic breast cancer (MBC). We tested whether EpCAM-based capture system (CellSearch) is effective in patients with triple-negative (TN) MBC, and whether CTC apoptosis and clustering enhances the prognostic role of CTC.

Experimental Design: CTC enumeration and apoptosis were determined using the CXC CellSearch kit at baseline and days 15 and 29 in blood drawn from TN MBC patients who participated in a prospective randomized phase II trial of nanoparticle albumin-bound paclitaxel (nab-paclitaxel) with or without tigatuzumab. Association between levels of CTC and patient outcomes was assessed using logistic regression, Kaplan–Meier curves, and Cox proportional hazards modeling.

Results: Nineteen of 52 (36.5%), 14 of 52 (26.9%), and 13 of 49 (26.5%) patients who were evaluable had elevated CTC (≥5 CTC/7.5 mL whole blood) at baseline and at days 15 and 29, respectively. Patients with elevated versus not elevated CTC at each time point had worse progression-free survival (PFS; \( P = 0.005, 0.0003, 0.0002 \), respectively). The odds of clinical benefit response for those who had elevated versus low CTC at baseline and days 15 and 29 were 0.25 (95% CI: 0.08–0.84; \( P = 0.024 \)), 0.19 (95% CI: 0.05–0.17; \( P = 0.014 \)), and 0.06 (95% CI: 0.01–0.33; \( P = 0.001 \)), respectively. There was no apparent prognostic effect comparing CTC apoptosis versus non-apoptosis. Presence of CTC cluster at day 15 and day 29 was associated with shorter PFS.

Conclusions: CTC were detected using CellSearch assay in approximately one-third of TN MBC patients. Elevated CTC at baseline and days 15 and 29 were prognostic, and reductions in CTC levels reflected response. *Clin Cancer Res*; 21(12); 2771–9. ©2015 AACR. See related article by Forero-Torres et al., p. 2722.
Translational Relevance
Circulating tumor cells (CTC) are associated with worse prognosis in metastatic breast cancer (MBC) patients. However, the role of CTC is unclear in triple-negative (TN) breast cancer due to low expression of epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) compared with other subtypes of breast cancers. Using CellSearch, we have demonstrated that CTC are prognostic in the subgroup of patients with TN breast cancer, further substantiating the clinical role of monitoring CTC. Exploratory analyses suggest that evaluation of CTC clusters may provide further clinical and biologic insights into the mechanisms of the metastatic process.

with triple-negative breast cancer, as these cancers tend to fall into the ‘basal’ intrinsic subtype that appears to express lower levels of EpCAM than luminal or HER2-positive breast cancers (7).

In addition to enumeration, CTC genotyping and phenotyping might provide additional clinical and biologic information. In this regard, early apoptosis, as might be induced by tigatuzumab, can be detected with the monoclonal antibody M-30, which is directed against a neoepitope of cytokeratin 18 disclosed by caspase cleavage (8). We have previously reported detection and semiquantification of apoptotic CTC in patients with MBC using the CellSearch platform (9, 10).

We investigated whether CTC are elevated in patients with TN MBC and hypothesized that CTC enumeration, CTC apoptosis, and CTC clusters might be prognostic, predict response to tigatuzumab, or provide a surrogate indication of response to either nab-paclitaxel alone or the combination of nab-paclitaxel and tigatuzumab. Therefore, we studied CTC in patients with TN MBC who participated in the TBCRC randomized phase II trial (overall results reported in a related article by Forero-Torres et al. on p. 2722).

Materials and Methods
Study design and objectives
This study was a correlative study of an open label, randomized 2:1 phase II trial of nab-paclitaxel, with or without tigatuzumab in patients with measurable TN MBC (overall results reported separately in the companion manuscript by Forero-Torres et al. on p. 2722).

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of each participating center, and all the enrolled subjects provided written consent prior to entry. Eligibility was limited to patients with TN MBC who were either chemotherapy naive or who had progressed on prior chemotherapy. Patients were stratified for randomization by three categories: no prior chemotherapy for MBC, prior taxane therapy in the metastatic setting, or no prior taxane therapy in the metastatic setting. Sixty-four patients were accrued into the clinical trial and 60 patients received at least one cycle of therapy.

The primary objective of this correlative study was to determine the feasibility of detecting CTC before initiating therapy (baseline) and their prognostic role in TN MBC. Secondary objectives were designed to investigate the prognostic, predictive, and monitoring roles of CTC levels, CTC apoptosis, and CTC clusters at baseline, day 15, and day 29 after initiation of therapy.

Patient staging and follow-up
Details of eligibility, accrual, and conduct of the clinical trial are reported in the companion manuscript (Forero-Torres et al., p. 2722). Relevant to this report, before being enrolled into the study, all patients had measurable disease at baseline, and the primary endpoint of the trial was response as determined according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumor (RECIST version 1.1). Throughout the manuscript, the term clinical response refers either to overall response rate (ORR) defined as complete response (CR) and partial response (PR) or clinical benefit rate (CBR) defined as stable disease (SD), PR, and CR disease for > 4 cycles. Assessment of tumor response was performed every two cycles (every 8 weeks).

Blood draw, CTC enumeration, and characterization
Blood draws for CTC enumeration and characterization were scheduled at baseline, day 15, and first follow-up at day 29. At each time point, approximately 10 mL of WB was collected into a 10 cc vacutainer tube that contained a cellular fixative (CellSave Preservative Tubes, Janssen Diagnostics, LLC). Blood specimens were maintained and shipped at room temperature, and processed within a maximum of 96 hours after blood drawing. Once the specimens were received and blinded at the processing laboratory, they were resuspended into 7.5 mL aliquots. CTC enumeration and M-30 (apoptosis) determination was performed using CellSearch CXC kits (Janssen Diagnostics, LLC) as previously described (9).

Enumeration of CTC was determined after staining with DAPI (double stranded DNA), and fluoresceinated anti-cytokeratin and anti-CD45 antibodies, using criteria previously described for CellSearch. The fourth “empty” channel of CellSearch was used to measure M-30 expression using monoclonal antibody M-30 (Peviva) conjugated to phycoerythin (PE). CTC apoptosis was further determined by visual inspection for nucleic condensation and/or fragmentation, as well as granular cytokeratin. As previously reported (9), as a positive control for the apoptosis marker for each run, cultured human breast cancer apoptotic MCF-7 cells, were generated by culturing for 7 days in RPMI1640 media and therefore inducing overgrowth and apoptosis. The supernatant containing floating and loosely adherent cells was then fixed in 0.3% paraformaldehyde and spiked into 7.5 mL human WB from healthy donors, processed and analyzed with CellSearch. MCF-7 cell line was purchased through ATCC.

CTC apoptosis was defined as any M-30 staining and/or visual evidence of apoptosis (Supplementary Table S1). A specimen was considered to be positive for CTC apoptosis if 25% or more of the CTC met these criteria.

CTC clusters were defined as a group of CTC containing three or more distinct nuclei, and with contiguous cytoplasmic membranes, as previously described (Supplementary Fig. S1, ref. 11)

CTC enumeration, CTC clusters, and CTC-M-30 were determined by two reviewers (C. Paoletti and M.C. Muniz). CTC visual apoptosis was determined independently by two reviewers (C. Paoletti and K. Aung). The results generated by each operator were then compared, and discordant results were reconciled by joint readings.
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Healthy donors and sample collection
Blood for CTC apoptosis–positive controls was drawn from healthy volunteers who gave their informed consent approved by the University of Michigan IRB.

Statistical analysis
As per the convention used by Smerage and colleagues (4), we designated 3 patient subgroups according to baseline and subsequent CTC level: group A = patients with <5 CTC/7.5 mL WB at baseline; group B day 15 (B15) and group B day 29 (B29) = patients with ≥5 CTC/7.5 mL WB at baseline, which were reduced to <5 CTC/7.5 mL WB at day 15 (B15) or day 29 (B29), respectively; group C day 15 (B15) and group C day 29 (C29) = patients with ≥5 CTC/7.5 mL at baseline, which persisted as ≥5 CTC/7.5 mL at day 15 (C15) or day 29 (C29), respectively. The analysis primarily consisted of comparing group A to groups B and C. CTC enumeration was tabulated for all patients and by treatment arm at baseline, day 15, and day 29. Comparison of the proportion of those in group A versus groups B and C between treatment arms was assessed using χ² tests of independence or Fisher’s exact tests. Associations between levels of CTC, CTC apoptosis, and CTC clusters at baseline, day 15, and day 29 and ORR and CBR were assessed using χ² or Fisher’s exact tests. Odds ratios (OR) were computed with 95% confidence intervals (CI) and corresponding P values for ORR and CBR. Associations between level of CTC, CTC apoptosis, and CTC clusters at baseline, day 15 and day 29 and progression-free survival (PFS) were assessed with Kaplan–Meier curves and log-rank tests. PFS was defined from the time of

Figure 1.
REMARK diagram for patient enrollment and distribution. Group D, patients with no blood drawn at baseline (BL); group A, <5 CTC/7.5 mL WB at baseline; group B/C, ≥5 CTC/7.5 mL WB at baseline; B15 and B29, patients who cleared their CTC from ≥5 CTC/7.5 mL at baseline (group B/C) to <5 CTC at day 15 (B15) and 29 (B29), respectively; C15 and C29, patients who maintained ≥5 CTC/7.5 mL from baseline (group B/C) to day 15 (C15) and 29 (C29), respectively.
first treatment to the time of disease recurrence or the last follow-up. Patients who had no recurrence were considered as right censored. For associations at day 29, benchmark analysis was used for the patients who had not yet progressed by that time and had CTC information. Hazard ratios (HR), 95% CIs, and the corresponding P values were computed with Cox proportional hazards models. No covariates were entered into any of the models due to the sample size. Multiple comparisons were not explicitly controlled for due to the small sample size and exploratory nature of the analysis.

We report this study according to the REMARK guidelines (ref. 12; see Fig. 1).

Results

Patient enrollment

Only 60 of the 64 enrolled patients received any treatment. Of these 60, blood specimens were not drawn in one patient, and thus 59 patients were enrolled in this correlative study and had at least one blood draw within the trial (Fig. 1). Overall, demographic details are provided in a separate report of the main therapeutic trial results (see related article by Forero-Torres et al. on p. 2722). However, demographics are briefly outlined as follows: the median age was 51 (range, 32–72), and 51 (range, 34–75) years, 33% and 32% had no prior chemotherapy in the metastatic setting, median number of prior therapy regimens was 2 (range, 0–5) and 1 (range, 0–4), respectively.

CTC enumeration

Incidence. Other investigators have suggested that basal-like, TN breast cancer cells do not express sufficient EpCAM to be captured and enumerated with anti-EpCAM–based systems, such as CellSearch (7). In this trial that specifically addressed TN MBC, CTC were drawn in 52, 52, and 49 patients at baseline, day 15, and day 29, respectively. Seven patients did not have a blood draw at baseline (Fig. 1, group D). However, they had blood drawn at least at one other time point. At baseline, CTC were ≥5 CTC/7.5 mL WB in 19 (36.5%) patients (Table 1A; Fig. 1). Thus, 63.5% of patients did not have elevated CTC by the criteria of the study at baseline (group A), while 36.5% had ≥5 CTC/7.5 mL (Fig. 1; group B/C).

A cross-sectional analysis at each time point was performed. For each separate time point, all patients who had a blood draw were included, regardless of whether they had prior blood draws. At day 15 and day 29, 14 of 52 (26.9%) and 13 of 49 (26.5%) patients had elevated (≥5 CTC/7.5 mL WB), respectively. Note that while these patient groups overlap considerably, they are not identical, as 5 patients who had blood draws at baseline did not have them at day 15, while a separate 5 patients who did not have baseline specimens, did have them drawn at day 15. Likewise, 5 patients who had blood drawn at baseline, and 3 patients who did not have blood drawn at baseline did not have specimens drawn at day 29. Furthermore, 3 patients who had blood drawn at day 29 and baseline did not have blood drawn at day 15 and 2 patients who did not have blood drawn at baseline did have blood drawn at day 29.

The patients that had ≤5 CTC at baseline (group A) were scheduled to have further blood draws at day 15 and day 29. In particular, at baseline, 33 patients had low CTC level (≤5 CTC). At day 15, 3 of these patients did not have blood draw. The remaining 30 patients continued to have low CTC level at day 15. Two of the three patients that did not have blood drawn at day 15 had blood drawn at day 29 with low CTC levels (≤5 CTC). Only one patient converted CTC levels from low (≤5 CTC) at baseline to high (≥5 CTC) at day 29. The rest of the patients who had blood drawn at subsequent time points continued to have low CTC level (≤5) throughout (Fig. 1).

For serial analyses for CTC response,” 19 patients had elevated CTC (group B/C) at baseline. At day 15, blood specimens were not drawn on two patients. Of the remaining 17 patients, CTC levels declined to ≤5 CTC/7.5 mL in 5 (29%) (B15), while CTC remained...
elevated in the other 12 (C15) (Supplementary Fig. S2). At day 29, blood was not obtained from 4 patients who had elevated CTC at baseline. Of the remaining 15 patients who had elevated CTC at baseline and had a blood specimen at day 29, 10 (67%) continued to have elevated CTC (C29; Supplementary Fig. S2). Thus, approximately one-third of patients experienced a "CTC response" to therapy.

The incidence of elevated CTC (≥5 CTC/7.5 mL WB) at baseline was similar for patients in the nab-paclitaxel and nab-paclitaxel + tigatuzumab arms (31.6% vs. 39.4%, P = 0.57). There was no significant difference in CTC enumeration between the arms at day 15 or day 29 (Table 1).

**Prognosis based on CTC enumeration.** In the overall clinical trial, tigatuzumab had no beneficial effect (see related article by Forero-Torres et al. on p. 2722). However, 5 patients in the combination arm had long PFS (11.1, 15.3, 22.4, 26.0, and 34.2 months). All of these patients began the trial with <5 CTC and their CTC levels remained low through day 15 and 29. Additionally, there was one patient with long-term PFS in the control arm (1,004 days) who also maintained <5 CTC throughout the first 29 days. An exploratory analysis failed to demonstrate any difference in outcomes in the tigatuzumab-treated patients versus the control group according to baseline CTC levels (Supplementary Fig. S3). Thus, for the remaining analyses, all patients were considered regardless of the arm to which they were assigned.

Numerous reports have demonstrated that CTC, as enumerated by CellSearch, are prognostic in MBC (3, 4, 6). However, none of these has prospectively addressed the specific prognostic role of CTC in patients with TN MBC. At baseline, patients with elevated CTC (≥5 CTC/7.5 mL WB) had a significantly worse PFS than patients with <5 CTC/7.5 mL WB. Median PFS for patients with elevated vs. not elevated CTC at baseline = 3.6 vs. 1.9 months (P = 0.005; Fig. 2A).

Likewise, failure to clear CTC by day 15 and by day 29 was also associated with worse outcomes: median PFS elevated versus not elevated = 3.6 versus 1.9 months at day 15 and 3.7 versus 1.9 months at day 29 (P = 0.0003 and P = 0.0002, respectively; Fig. 2B and 2C). The HRs (95% CIs) for progression for elevated (≥5 CTC/7.5 mL WB) compared with nonelevated CTC at baseline, day 15, and day 29 were 2.3 (1.3–4.4; P = 0.007), 3.2 (1.6–6.4; P = 0.0007), and 3.5 (1.7–7.2; P = 0.0005), respectively (Fig. 2A–C).

**Response rate according to CTC enumeration.** Overall, the response rate in the clinical trial was 26%, and the CBR was 45%, with no apparent difference in the assigned arms (see related article by Forero-Torres et al. on p. 2722). We correlated CTC levels with RECIST ORR and CBR (Fig. 3). Of the 19 patients with ≥5 CTC at baseline, only 4 (21.1%) had a CR or PR, whereas 12 of the 33 (36.4%) who did not have elevated CTC experienced a response (P = 0.25). Likewise, at days 15 and 29, 3 of 14 (21.4%), and 1 of 13 (7.7%) patients who had elevated CTC had CR or PR, compared with 14 of 38 (36.8%), and 14 of 36 (38.9%) of patients without elevated CTC who had objective responses (P = 0.34, 0.043, respectively). Although not statistically different, the odds of overall response for those who had elevated versus low CTC at baseline and days 15 and 29 were 0.47 (95% CI, 0.13–1.73; P = 0.25), 0.47 (95% CI, 0.11–1.97; P = 0.30), and 0.13 (95% CI, 0.02–1.12; P = 0.064).

As most TN MBCs are rapidly growing, one would expect stable disease to be a function of therapeutic benefit rather than indolent disease. To increase the power of our exploratory analysis, we correlated CTC response with CBR (Fig. 3). Of the patients with elevated CTC at baseline, only 7 of 19 (36.8%) had clinical benefit, while 23 of 33 (69.7%) who did not have elevated CTC experienced clinical benefit. Likewise, at day 15, clinical benefit was observed in 4 of 14 (28.6%) compared with 26 of...
and days 15 and 29 were 0.25 (95% CI, 0.08–0.84) for those who had elevated versus low CTC at baseline (elevated vs. non-elevated CTC). The odds of clinical benefit were similar for 38 (68.4%) patients without elevated CTC. The results at day 29 were similar [clinical benefit = 2/13 (15.4%) vs. 27/36 (75.0%) for elevated vs. non-elevated CTC]. The odds of clinical benefit response for those who had elevated versus low CTC at baseline and days 15 and 29 were 0.25 (95% CI, 0.08–0.84; P = 0.024), 0.19 (95% CI, 0.05–0.17; P = 0.014), and 0.06 (95% CI, 0.01–0.33; P = 0.001), respectively. These results were statistically significant.

**Correlation of CTC response with clinical response.** For those patients with elevated CTC at baseline (Supplementary Fig. S2), we have designated reduction of CTC to <5/7.5 mL WB as a "CTC response." We performed an exploratory analysis to determine whether CTC response correlated with ORR and CBR (Supplementary Fig. S4). Of the 19 patients in groups B/C at baseline, 5 had a decline to <5 CTC/7.5 mL WB (B15), whereas 12 did not (C15; blood was not obtained in 2 patients) compared with 17% (2/12 patients) in C15 (Supplementary Fig. S2). The ORR at day 15 in B15 was 40% (2/5 patients) compared with 17% (2/12 patients) in C15 (Supplementary Fig. S4; P = 0.54). CBR was 80% (4/5 patients) for group B, but only 25% (3/12 patients) in group C (P = 0.10). Power was quite limited to effectively determine whether this difference in response rates between those in groups B and C was statistically significant.

Taken together, these data regarding CTC and ORR and CBR suggest that patients with elevated CTC at baseline or early follow-up are less likely to respond to chemotherapy.

**CTC apoptosis.**

**Incidence of CTC apoptosis.** At baseline, 5 of the 19 (26.3%) patients who had ≥5 CTC/7.5 mL WB were considered to be positive for CTC apoptosis (defined as having ≥25% of the CTC positive for apoptosis; Table 1B). At day 15 and 29, 7 of 14 (50.0%) and 8 of 13 (61.5%) patients were considered to have CTC apoptosis.

**Prognostic role of CTC apoptosis.** Median PFS was not significantly different for those with or without CTC apoptosis at baseline (1.9 vs. 2.1 months, respectively). Although power was limited, there was no suggestion that the presence or absence of CTC apoptosis at any time point predicted PFS (Table 2).

**CTC apoptosis and response.** The ORR and CBR were explored according to CTC apoptosis. ORR was 20% (1/5 patients), 14% (1/7 patients), and 13% (1/8 patients) at baseline, day 15, and day 29, respectively for patients who had CTC apoptosis compared with 21% (3/14 patients), 29% (2/7 patients), and 0% (0/5 patients) for those who had CTC but did not meet the criteria for CTC apoptosis (Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. S5).

Likewise, CBR was 20% (1/5), 29% (2/7), and 13% (1/8) for patients with CTC apoptosis at baseline, day 15, and day 29, respectively, compared with 43% (6/14), 29% (2/7), and 20% (1/5) for patients who had elevated CTC but did not have CTC apoptosis.

**CTC clusters.**

**Incidence of CTC clusters.** We hypothesized that CTC in a cluster might have prognostic implications that differ from a single cell, even though both are counted only as one CTC by the CellSearch clinical algorithm. At baseline, 8 (25.0%) of the 32 patients with any CTC had one or more clusters (Table 1B). Of the 19 patients who had ≥5 CTC/7.5 mL WB, 7 (36.8%) had CTC clusters. In contrast of the 13 patients with 1–4 CTC as per CellSearch criteria, 1 (7.7%) had CTC clusters (P = 0.10).

**Prognostic role of CTC clusters.** At baseline, median PFS was around 2.0 months for those with or without CTC clusters...
NOTE: Values in parentheses are numbers expressed in %. PFS is median time to progression in months.

Yes 1/13 (7.7) 2/13 (15.4) 1.9 1/8 (12.5) 1/8 (12.5) 2.0 0/7 (0) 0/7 (0) 1.8
No 14/38 (36.8) 0.34 26/38 (68.4) 0.010 3.6 0.0003 2/7 (28.6) 1.0 2/7 (28.6) 1.0 1.8 0.11 5/18 (27.8) 0.54 7/18 (38.9) 0.26 2.5 0.028

Yes 4/19 (21.1) 7/19 (36.8) 1.9 1/5 (20.0) 1/5 (20.0) 2.1 1/8 (12.5) 3/8 (37.5) 2.0

Cytokeratin Cell Search (CellSearch) is a quantitative assay that identifies and enumerates CTCs and their specific markers. The assay is based on the presence of Epithelial Cell Adhesion Molecule (EpCAM) and other epithelial markers, which are expressed on the surface of CTCs. The assay is standardized and validated for use in clinical trials and for monitoring the response to therapy in patients with metastatic breast cancer. The CellSearch system is sensitive, specific, and reproducible, and it has been shown to be a reliable predictor of clinical outcomes in patients with metastatic breast cancer.

Discussion

In this correlative study to TBCRC study 019, we have confirmed that the performance of a CTC assay system based on anti-EpCAM immunocapture (CellSearch) is feasible in patients with TN MBC. Approximately one-third of such patients enrolled in this clinical trial had >5 CTC/7.5 mL WB at baseline. Furthermore, baseline elevated CTC were associated with a worse prognosis (PFS), and failure to reduce CTC to <5/7.5 mL WB by first follow-up was highly suggestive of resistance to chemotherapy, in this case nab-paclitaxel.

These data are similar to those previously reported for patients with MBC regardless of biologic, or intrinsic, subtype (3, 4, 6). In this regard, a recently published pooled analysis of CTC results from 54 European centers demonstrated that 44% of 746 patients with TN MBC had >5 CTC/7.5 mL WB (3). The prospective study further confirms that the performance characteristics of the CellSearch assay are similar in patients with triple-negative MBC to those in patients with hormone receptor or HER2-positive breast cancer. Taken together, although the sensitivity of CellSearch may be slightly lower in TN versus non-TN MBC, these data refute the claim of other authors who have suggested that an EpCAM-based assay might not be applicable to patients with TN or “basal”-like breast cancers due to low expression of this marker (7).

Our results are also consistent with those of a prospective, randomized clinical trial conducted by SWOG (S0500), which demonstrated that patients who have elevated CTC at baseline before starting a new, first-line chemotherapy for MBC, and who fail to reduce them to <5/7.5 mL WB by first follow-up (group C), appear to be relatively, if not absolutely, resistant to the chemotherapy regimen they received. Furthermore, in S0500, the very short overall survival of patients in group C (median OS 13 months) suggested that their cancers are more likely to be resistant to most other types of chemotherapy that might be applied subsequently (4). In TBCRC 019, all patients had TN MBC and were stratified to first or later line of chemotherapy. Therefore, their prognosis is even worse than the more general population who enrolled in SWOG S0500 or those in the pooled analysis. Indeed, PFS for those with elevated CTC at baseline, or at 2 or 4 weeks after starting therapy, was <2 months. Overall survival was not a measured endpoint in this trial, and is unavailable.

In addition, the secondary objectives were to determine the prognostic, predictive, and monitoring roles of CTC levels, CTC apoptosis, and CTC clusters at baseline, day 15, and day 29 after initiation of therapy. In particular, we investigated whether CTC apoptosis was predictive of benefit of the addition of the antideath receptor agent, tigatuzumab. Unfortunately, in the parent trial, there was no discernable difference in any endpoint between those who did or did not receive tigatuzumab. Although CTC levels were prognostic overall, there was no evidence in an

Table 2. Association of CTC enumeration, apoptosis, and clusters with outcomes

| CTC clusters | Baseline | CTC enumeration* | ORR | P | CBR | P | PFS | P | Day 15 | CTC enumeration* | ORR | P | CBR | P | PFS | P | Day 29 | CTC enumeration* | ORR | P | CBR | P | PFS | P |
|--------------|----------|-----------------|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|--------|-----------------|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|--------|-----------------|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|--------|-----------------|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|
| No           | 12/33 (36.4) 0.25 | 25/33 (75.0) 0.002 | 1.9 | 0/5 (0) | 0/5 (0) | 0.0003 | 9/15 (60.0) 0.001 | 1/8 (12.5) 0.001 | 1/8 (12.5) 0.001 | 1/7 (14.3) 0.001 | 1/8 (12.5) 0.001 | 1/8 (12.5) 0.001 | 1/7 (14.3) 0.001 |
| Yes          | 1/13 (7.7) 1.0 | 7/13 (53.8) 1.0 | 3.6 | 1/5 (20.0) 1.0 | 1/5 (20.0) 1.0 | 0.0003 | 9/15 (60.0) 0.001 | 1/8 (12.5) 0.001 | 1/8 (12.5) 0.001 | 1/7 (14.3) 0.001 | 1/8 (12.5) 0.001 | 1/8 (12.5) 0.001 | 1/7 (14.3) 0.001 |

*For CTC enumeration: No, >5 CTC/7.5 mL WB; Yes, <5 CTC/7.5 mL.
*For CTC apoptosis: No, >5 CTC/7.5 mL with <25% apoptotic; Yes, <5 CTC/7.5 mL with >25% apoptotic.
*CTC clusters: No, >1 CTC/7.5 mL with no cluster; Yes, >1 CTC with at least 1 cluster.
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absence of CTC apoptosis at any time point predicted PFS, ORR, or CBR, either in association with tigatuzumab or overall.

Published data regarding CTC apoptosis are conflicting. Hou and colleagues (13) reported that CTC apoptosis (assigned by nuclear morphology) at baseline in patients with metastatic SCLC was associated with worse PFS an OS compared with their nuclear morphology) at baseline in patients with metastatic SCLC and colleagues (13) reported that CTC apoptosis (assigned by nuclear morphology) at baseline in patients with metastatic SCLC was associated with worse PFS an OS compared with their nuclear morphology) at baseline in patients with metastatic SCLC.

As tigatuzumab appears to function by activation of the death receptor, we had hypothesized that the early appearance of CTC apoptosis might serve as an early indication of tigatuzumab activity. In this study, we failed to show that the presence or absence of CTC apoptosis at any time point predicted PFS, ORR, or CBR, either in association with tigatuzumab or overall.

In summary, the results of this correlative trial validate that CTC are indeed elevated and are prognostic in TN MBC patients receiving chemotherapy. CTC apoptosis needs further investigation with a larger sample size. In addition, quantification of CTC clusters might add additional prognostic information to simple CTC enumeration.
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