Desmoplasia in Primary Tumors and Metastatic Lesions of Pancreatic Cancer
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Abstract

Purpose: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is characterized by high levels of fibrosis, termed desmoplasia, which is thought to hamper the efficacy of therapeutics treating PDAC. Our primary focus was to evaluate differences in the extent of desmoplasia in primary tumors and metastatic lesions. As metastatic burden is a primary cause for mortality in PDAC, the extent of desmoplasia in metastases may help to determine whether desmoplasia targeting therapeutics will benefit patients with late-stage, metastatic disease.

Experimental Design: We sought to assess desmoplasia in metastatic lesions of PDAC and compare it with that of primary tumors. Fifty-three patients’ primaries and 57 patients’ metastases were stained using IHC staining techniques.

Results: We observed a significant negative correlation between patient survival and extracellular matrix deposition in primary tumors. Kaplan–Meier curves for collagen I showed median survival of 14.6 months in low collagen patients, and 6.4 months in high-level patients (log rank, P < 0.05). Low-level hyaluronan patients displayed median survival times of 24.3 months as compared with 9.3 months in high-level patients (log rank, P < 0.05). Our analysis also indicated that extracellular matrix components, such as collagen and hyaluronan, are found in high levels in both primary tumors and metastatic lesions. The difference in the level of desmoplasia between primary tumors and metastatic lesions was not statistically significant.

Conclusions: Our results suggest that both primary tumors and metastases of PDAC have highly fibrotic stroma. Thus, stromal targeting agents have the potential to benefit PDAC patients, even those with metastatic disease. Clin Cancer Res. 21(15). 3561–8. ©2015 AACR.

See related commentary by Olive, p. 3366

Introduction

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death in the United States. With the 5-year survival rate at approximately 6%, novel therapeutic strategies are desperately needed to enhance patient outcomes (1). PDAC is characterized by the development of extensive fibrosis at primary tumor sites (2). This fibrosis is termed desmoplasia. In normal tissues, mechanisms common to desmoplasia may serve to aid in inflammation and resolution of granulation tissue in the wound-healing process. However, in tumor tissues such as in PDAC, desmoplasia promotes tumor development and inhibits drug penetration and uptake (3, 4). Metastatic disease burden, on the other hand, is one of the primary causes of death in patients with PDAC. Metastatic lesions disrupt organ function, leading to hepatic failure, for example, when pancreatic metastases colonize the liver (5, 6).

Recent reports have suggested that targeting components of the desmoplastic reaction may enhance the activity of therapeutics targeting tumor cells (7–11). The concern remains, however, of whether desmoplasia-targeting therapeutics will offer clinical benefit for patients with metastatic disease. To this end, we sought to determine the impact of desmoplasia on patient outcomes. In this report, we also sought to determine whether metastatic lesions of PDAC are desmoplastic. To assess the extent of desmoplasia in these tissues, we looked at the expression of multiple extracellular matrix components and proteins, as well as cellular morphology in tissues taken from a diversity of patients. To our knowledge, this is the first report on the desmoplastic nature of pancreatic metastases to various sites.

In desmoplasia, the pancreatic stellate cell (PSC) transforms from a quiescent, lipid droplet-storing cell to that of an activated, smooth muscle actin (SMA) expressing cell via TGFβ and platelet-derived growth factor-mediated transcriptional programs, eliciting ECM synthesis and deposition (12, 13). TGFβ plays a key role in this activation through both autocrine and paracrine signaling mechanisms (14). Reports have suggested that PSC activation and deposition of ECMs lead to increases in both tissue solid stress and vascular compression and dysfunction (8, 15). Expansion of the stromal compartment and ECM deposition is thought to result in the poor drug penetration observed in PDAC. Indeed, Olive and colleagues observed marked decreases in the delivery of doxorubicin to tumor tissues in a genetically engineered mouse model.
Pancreatic desmoplasia is thought to impede proper drug delivery in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma therapeutic interventions. Although desmoplasia in primary tumors has been studied, the presence or absence of desmoplasia at metastatic sites has not been investigated. The present study examines extracellular matrix deposition in sections from clinical samples of both primary pancreatic tumors and from various sites of metastasis. On the basis of Collagen I levels, our findings indicate that primary tumors and metastatic lesions show comparable levels of desmoplasia. Our findings also indicate a negative correlation between patient survival and extracellular matrix (Collagen I or hyaluronan) deposition. As stromal targeting has gained traction as a potential approach to enhancing chemotherapeutic interventions, our analysis provides further support for use of the approach, even in patients with late-stage, metastatic disease.

Previous reports suggest that malignant cells facilitate colonization of new tissues in the palliative and the neoadjuvant settings. It is not altogether obvious that desmoplasia inhibits drug uptake in primary tumors—of significance to patients with metastatic disease. These findings indicate that primary tumors and metastatic lesions in patients with late-stage, metastatic disease. These findings indicate that primary tumors and metastatic lesions show comparable levels of desmoplasia. Our findings also indicate a negative correlation between patient survival and extracellular matrix (Collagen I or hyaluronan) deposition. As stromal targeting has gained traction as a potential approach to enhancing chemotherapeutic interventions, our analysis provides further support for use of the approach, even in patients with late-stage, metastatic disease.

Materials and Methods

Case selection

PDAC tumor samples were obtained from formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue blocks collected for clinical purposes between the years 1999 and 2009 at Scottsdale Healthcare. Tumor data regarding histologic subtype, grade, and TNM classification were obtained from deidentified pathology reports. Demographic information, such as age at diagnosis, gender, histology, stage of disease, smoking history, and overall survival (OS), was also noted from deidentified, retained records at Scottsdale Healthcare. The PDAC diagnosis, histologic subtype, and TNM staging were determined by a board-certified staff pathologist at Scottsdale Healthcare. In addition, histomorphologic confirmation was made by a second board-certified pathologist at TGen (G. Hostetter). Additional patient-matched primary and metastasis samples were obtained from Yonsei University, Cancer Metastasis Research Center (Seoul, South Korea) for use in this report. The PDAC diagnosis was confirmed on these samples at Yonsei University by a staff pathologist before use in study.

Tissue microarray construction

Tissue microarrays (TMA) were constructed with 1 mm diameter cores punched from two to three distinct regions of each FFPE tumor block, compiled from both primary tumors and metastatic lesions (43 samples are represented with 3 cores, and 7 samples are represented with 2 cores). Tumor regions to be sampled were reviewed by study pathologist (G. Hostetter) and TMA construction was performed using a Veridiam Semi-Automated Tissue Arrayer (VTA-100).

IHC staining and assessment

TMA blocks were sectioned at 5 µm and attached to Fisherbrand Superfrost plus slides (Fisher Scientific) by water flotation and overnight drying. Slides were subsequently deparaffinized with xylene, rehydrated through a series of graded ethanol baths, and antigen retrieved on-line using a BondMax autostainer (Leica Microsystems). All antibodies used in this study were obtained from Abcam. Slides were visualized using either the Bond Polymer Refine Detection kit (Leica) using 3,3’-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride chromogen as substrate or 4plus goat anti-mouse IgG biotinylated secondary antibody (Biocare Medical) using 3,3’-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride chromogen as substrate. Percent area scoring was performed using Adobe Photoshop software (Adobe Systems). Slides were digitally scanned using an Aperio Scanscope (Leica Microsystems) and imported into Photoshop. Images were then converted to a CYMK color format, and the yellow channel was assessed for its intensity in monochrome grayscale. Assessment of the yellow channel allowed for quantitation of the development of brown chromogen on our slides, without also inadvertently measuring blue or red coloring. A threshold was selected and used which identified positive pixels on our slides. Percent area was calculated as a percentage of positive pixels relative to the total number of pixels in view. Staining intensity was assessed independently by two of the authors (C.J. Whatcott and H. Han) upon review of multiple random snapshots taken from the digitally scanned slides. Hyaluronan (HA) and Collagen I (Col I) staining was assessed by use of a combination score, where percent area was multiplied by the intensity of the stain, for a maximum possible score of 300. This combination score was used as a surrogate readout of the extent of...
fibrosis, where indicated by HA and Col I positivity. Patients with “low HA” were defined where tissues exhibited a total HA histochemical score of 112 or less. “High HA” patient samples were defined where total HA histochemical staining was 113 or greater. Patients with “high Col I” had a total 185 or greater immunohistochemical score, based on multiple images taken from FFPE slides. “Low Col I” was defined as patients with a total 184 or lower IHC score. Total combination cutoff scores for both HA and Col I were selected following ROC curve analysis (20). Total scores yielding the highest AUC with ROC analysis were used as the cutoff for the survival curves. ROC analysis in our cohort yielded AUC for HA and Col I of 0.75 and 0.70, respectively. Total scores used to separate low and high groups for α-SMA, Col III, and Col IV, were 77, 128, and 53, respectively. ROC analysis yielded AUC for α-SMA, Col III, and Col IV of 0.59, 0.79, and 0.68, respectively.

Pentachrome staining was achieved by following the manufacturer’s protocol (American Master Tech). Briefly, slides were first deparaffinized with xylene, rehydrated through a series of graded ethanol baths, and stained with Verhoeff’s Elastic Stain. Following rinsing and differentiation steps, slides were placed in glacial acetic acid, and then in a 1% Alcian Blue solution. Following similar rinsing procedures, slides were also exposed to Crocein Scarlet – Acid Fuchsin, 5% Phosphotungstic Acid, and an Alcoholic Saffron Solution.

Hyaluronan staining was achieved using the hyaluronan binding protein (HABP) as has been described previously (21). Briefly, sections were deparaffinized, followed by rehydration. Slides were first incubated with an avidin and biotin solution and then placed in a 0.3% hydrogen peroxide, diluted in methanol. Slides were then incubated with 1% BSA as a blocking solution and detected using biotinylated HABP (2 µg/mL) on our autostainer. After washing with PBS, HRP-streptavidin was applied at room temperature. Slides were then visualized using diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride treatment. Hyaluronan-specific staining was confirmed using recombinant human hyaluronidase (Halozyme Therapeutics) pretreatment before the above protocol on control slides.

Statistical analysis
Survival and IHC staining data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software). Survival data are presented in Kaplan–Meier curves, in which the statistical significance of the median survival times was assessed using a log-rank test. Statistical significance in our IHC data was analyzed with a standard, unpaired t test. Cox regression analyses were performed using R, version 3.0.1, on clinical data to assess significance of the correlation between pathologic parameters and patient survival. Univariate analysis was followed by multivariate analysis where we assessed the statistical significance of our observations, adjusting for the confounding influence of these clinicopathologic factors on tissue staining, by first selecting parameters that had met a threshold P value of less than 0.2. Parameters that had met this threshold were analyzed using multivariate Cox regression analysis. P values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Results
Components of the extracellular matrix and their correlation with survival in patients with pancreatic cancer
Considering recent reports on the impact of HA in the chemoresistance of tumors in KPC mice, we evaluated HA and other ECMs in a cohort of human patient samples (8, 22). We sought to determine whether any correlation existed between ECM deposition and patient survival. A total of 50 patients with pathologic diagnoses of PDAC and with survival data were identified and included in this study. Median age of the patients included was 69 years, with male and female individuals comprising 52% and 48% of the cohort, respectively. Patients included were predominantly of Caucasian race. These and other demographic data are summarized online (Supplementary Table S1). TNM staging and OS ranges are shown as well (Supplementary Table S2). Median survival for all patients was 13.3 months. Individual patient follow-up was maintained for over 100 months. In analyses of correlation between survival and other clinicopathological parameters by Cox regression analysis, statistical significance was identified with lymph node status and combined AJCC staging status (Col I and Col IV), as well as age and combined AJCC staging status (HA). No statistically significant correlation was observed between survival and gender, smoking status, or histologic grading (Supplementary Table S3). It should be noted that significant correlations have been observed in prior studies with lymph node status and patient survival (23). Furthermore, full treatment history was not available for analysis in this context. Multivariate analyses were also performed on IHC staining and other clinicopathological parameters versus survival. As shown in Supplementary Table S4, Col I, Col IV, and HA retain a significant association with survival (P = 0.015, 0.034, and 0.033, respectively).

To quantify the tissue staining of HA and Collagen I in the primary tumors of patients, we showed tissue segments according to percent area staining as well as staining intensity (24). The survival curves of the high and low HA also demonstrated a statistically significant difference (log rank, P = 0.037) with a calculated HR of 2.6. The difference in median survival between the high and low HA curves was 15.0 months. Curves were statistically compared using a log-rank test, demonstrating that the curves for high and low Col I (P = 0.013) were statistically different, with a calculated HR of 2.3, for higher levels of Col I. The difference in median survival between the high and low Col I curves was 8.2 months. Kaplan–Meier curves displaying OS based on the expression of HA and Col I and are shown in Fig. 1. For comparison, survival analysis for α-SMA (a marker for myofibroblasts), Col III, or Col IV is also shown in Supplementary Fig. S1. Patients whose tumors have high α-SMA or Col III did not exhibit statistically significant correlations toward shorter survival (P = 0.97 and 0.09 for α-SMA and Col III, respectively; Supplementary Fig. S1). A statistically significant difference between high and low Col IV patient groups was observed (P < 0.05). Because of the negative correlations that we observed, we decided to expand our analysis and subsequently evaluated markers of desmoplasia histochemically in both primary tumors and metastatic lesions.

Desmoplastic features in primary tumors and metastatic lesions
The desmoplasia and dense extracellular matrix of PDAC primary tumors have been well documented (2). To compare the desmoplastic features between primary and metastatic lesions, we first stained the tissues with Movat’s pentachrome stain. Movat’s pentachrome staining, which was originally developed to stain connective tissue, indicates the presence of collagens in yellow. As can be seen in Fig. 2, except for small areas of interlobular staining, the yellow collagen staining was largely
absent in adjacent normal tissues (Fig. 2, pentachrome stain). On the contrary, intense collagen staining was observed throughout primary tumor tissues. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining shows acinar microarchitecture loss accompanied by basement membrane degradation and escape of neoplastic cells from ductal compartments in the primary tumor. Individual tumor glands are surrounded by dense stromal cells, which correspond to intense and diffuse collagen expression in the pentachrome staining, consistent with the notion that stromal cells are responsible for the production of collagens. Intriguingly, the liver metastasis also showed significant quantities of stromal cells (Fig. 2, H&E, metastasis). This stromal cell population also corresponded to increased collagen expression, as indicated in pentachrome-stained section. This observation indicates that PDAC metastases are also desmoplastic, and appear to be very similar in extent to that of the primary tumor. To further examine desmoplasia in PDAC metastases and primary tumors, we next evaluated additional markers of desmoplasia.

Levels of extracellular matrix components in both primary tumors and metastatic lesions
Activated stellate cells (or myofibroblasts) are the primary source of ECMs in pancreatic desmoplasia (25). We stained tissue sections with α-SMA and cytokeratin (an epithelial cell marker) to distinguish cell types. As shown in Fig. 2, acinar and epithelial cells stained strongly for cytokeratins in all three tissue locations, adjacent normal, primary tumor, and liver metastases. PSCs and other stromal cells did not stain for cytokeratin. α-SMA staining was not observed in acinar or epithelial cells, but was observed in a majority of the stromal cells of primary tumors and metastases (Fig. 2, α-SMA). Similar to that seen in H&E staining, the tumor glandular structures are interwoven with α-SMA–positive stromal cells. Next, we sought to examine the expression levels of individual major ECM components. We stained a tumor TMA containing 107 evaluable and unique patient primary tumors and metastases sections, with antibodies specific to collagen I, III, IV, and HA. Figure 3 shows the representative images of the ECM proteins.

Figure 1.
Kaplan–Meier survival curves for patients with high and low levels of hyaluronan (HA) and Collagen I (Col I) in their primary tumors. Average staining positivity for HA in patient tissues was plotted in Kaplan–Meier curves which allowed us to compare “low” and “high” HA level groups. Median survival in the “high” HA group was 9.3 months, as opposed to 24.3 months for the “low” HA group. This difference amounted to a separation of 15.0 months in median survival between the “low” HA and “high” HA groups. Median survival in the “high” Col I group was 6.4 months, as opposed to 14.6 months for the “low” Col I group. The median difference was 8.2 months.

Figure 2.
Histochemical staining for stromal content of adjacent normal, primary tumor, and metastatic lesions. Representative images are shown of patient tissue sections stained by both standard H&E and Movat’s pentachrome staining techniques. Representative images of cytokeratin and α-SMA staining are also shown. Significant desmoplasia is seen in both tumor and liver metastases, but not in adjacent normal tissues. (scale bar = 100 μm).
in PDAC tissues. For collagen I, we observed significantly stronger staining in the stromal compartment of both tumor and metastases (Fig. 3, Collagen I). Adjacent normal tissues appeared to express collagen I in the peri-acinar cell space. For collagen III, we observed similar staining patterns in tumor and liver tissues to that seen with collagen I. However, staining in adjacent normal tissues appeared to be limited to the peri-lobular space. The staining patterns and intensity of collagen IV and HA were similar to those of collagen I.

To assess what differences, if any, exist in the desmoplastic reaction between primary tumors and metastatic lesions, we first sought to compare collagen I expression in patient matched samples from both sites (representative images shown in Fig. 4). In a small set of 7 patients, we saw comparable levels of collagen I expressed in both primary tumors and in tissues excised from the corresponding metastases. For further analysis, we next sought to measure Collagen I expression in our TMA datasets. For quantitation, we assessed Collagen I staining by percentage area where positive staining occurred. Using unmatched primary tumors and metastatic lesions from our TMA, we measured the percentage area in which Collagen I stained positively in a given snapshot of a tumor section. All metastatic sites were pooled, and compared with the percentage positive staining observed in pooled primary tumors. The percentage area was assessed from multiple images of each patient tumor by two independent observers. Figure 4 (right) shows the distribution of the percentage area of positive Col I staining in all primary and metastasis cases. The average percentage area for the primary tumor cases is 74.0 and the average percentage area for the metastasis cases is 68.0. There is no statistically significant difference in percentage area between the two groups. Col III, Col IV, HA, and α-SMA staining was also assessed in a similar fashion (Supplementary Fig. S2). Like Col I, Col III levels were not different in the metastatic lesions when compared with primary tumors (64.5 vs. 58.9, n.s.). Col IV, HA, and α-SMA, however, were found to be present at comparable, though statistically different, levels in primary tumors versus...
metastatic lesions (42.4 vs. 33.9, 78.2 vs. 69.5, and 42.9 vs. 49.8, respectively). These results indicate that in PDAC, the metastatic lesions have similar levels of the desmoplastic reaction to those of primary tumors.

**Discussion**

In our efforts to understand the role of the stroma in cancer, we have endeavored to determine whether stromal targeting therapy has the potential to increase patient survival in pancreatic cancer. However, one lingering concern has been a limited understanding of desmoplasia in metastatic lesions. Indeed, "will stroma targeting therapy have any effect in late-stage, metastatic disease if metastatic lesions are not also desmoplastic?" To begin to address these concerns, in this study, we sought to answer the question, "what role, if any, does desmoplasia play in the outcomes of patients with pancreatic cancer?" Our results demonstrate that ECMs such as hyaluronan and collagen, surrogates for desmoplastic activity, correlate negatively with patient survival. Also, our findings show that there is no significant difference between the extent of desmoplasia in primary tumors and metastatic lesions.

To the question, "does the presence of desmoplastic ECMs correlate with poor survival in pancreatic cancer," our data demonstrated that survival correlated negatively with the amount of hyaluronan in tumors. Hyaluronan is a linear polysaccharide that plays an important role in a range of cellular processes. Hyaluronan-related signaling pathways (including CD44 mediated) are thought to be involved in biologic functions including cell proliferation, tissue hydration, cell mobility, inflammation, angiogenesis, and malignancy. Increases in hyaluronan levels have been observed in many different cancer types, including pancreatic, breast, gastric, and bladder cancer (26–29). As hyaluronan can act as a molecular sieve, enhancing residence time and reducing penetration of macromolecules, we hypothesized that the levels of ECMs like hyaluronan correlate with survival in pancreatic cancer. HARP interrogation of hyaluronan levels yielded histochernical images of rather uniform staining intensities. We assessed patient tissues using positive staining area in a given image as a measure of the synthesis of hyaluronan. Here also, hyaluronan staining served in large part as a surrogate stain for the extent of desmoplasia in our tissue samples. Because of its increased levels in cancer, however, hyaluronan has already been proposed as a suitable drug target (30). Indeed, interventions are under current investigation for targeting stromal components like hyaluronan (clinicaltrials.gov, NCT01839487).

In addition to hyaluronan, Collagens I, III, and IV are expressed at high levels in pancreatic cancer. Receptor signaling mechanisms mediated by integrin subunits have been shown to be dysregulated. Though the subunit expression trends are not altogether clear, integrin receptor combinations such as αvβ3, αvβ6, and α6β4, have been shown to enhance proliferation and tumorigenesis (31–33). However, as with hyaluronan, collagen levels are thought to negatively correlate with penetration of macromolecules into tumor tissues as well. We hypothesized and interrogated collagen I for correlations with patient survival. In a prior report, Erkan and colleagues found a positive correlation with collagen levels and patient survival (24). One possible explanation for this discrepancy between our findings may be found in the different staining methods that were used for collagen. Erkan and colleagues used the amphoteric dye, aniline blue, whereas we used collagen I-specific immunostaining. Nonspecificity for the collagen subtypes, their data suggest, taken together with our findings, that additional alterations in other collagen subtypes may be occurring. Indeed, it may suggest significant loss of other collagen subtypes to account for the overall loss Erkan and colleagues observed in association with the poorly surviving cohort. An additional explanation for the discrepancy might also be found in prior treatments the patients received, resulting in overall changes in collagen deposition. Further investigation will be needed to make this determination.

To the question, “are metastatic lesions also desmoplastic,” our findings suggest that primary tumors and metastatic lesions both show significant levels of desmoplasia (Fig. 4). Our data suggest that metastatic lesions of multiple sites, including liver, lung, and the peritoneal cavity, display significant and comparable levels of desmoplasia, including high levels of collagens I, III, and IV, to that found in primary pancreatic tumors (Fig. 4, right). Although expanded cohorts of each metastatic site are needed to confirm the organ site-specific consequences of fibrosis, we have observed that together, the metastatic lesions are statistically indistinguishable in their percent area of fibrosis, as measured by Collagen I, from primary pancreatic tumors regardless of metastatic site (Table 1). Indeed, our small sample of matched pairs of patient tumors supports these findings (Fig. 4, Table 2). Because we do not have detailed treatment history from our patient cohort, it is possible that prior treatment could potentially bias the results as reported. Nonetheless, our data suggest that ECM retention in the context of chemotherapeutic treatment correlates negatively with patient survival for HA, Col I, and Col IV. Together with studies showing negative correlation between collagen levels and macromolecule penetration, these findings suggest that patients with metastatic disease may potentially benefit from stroma targeting therapies (34). The origin of desmosplasia-inducing myofibroblasts in metastatic lesions is debated, but appears to originate from the primary tumor in some models (19). In the liver, where pancreatic cancer cells commonly metastasize, desmosplasia is also recognized as a physiologic response to tumors arising in situ. Whether myofibroblasts are brought to the site of metastasis, or whether they are resident myofibroblasts of the liver, significant desmoplasia in metastatic lesions suggests that metastasizing tumors maintain preestablished intercellular signaling mechanisms with stromal cells postmetastasis.

It is clear from prior studies that PDAC patients may die from metastatic disease burden and subsequent organ failure (6, 17, 35). Are stromal targeted therapies expected to have a therapeutic benefit in metastatic disease? Or, would they instead only be expected to be effective where the primary tumor is known to be desmoplastic? Recent studies have pointed to the tumor microenvironment as a potential area by which to achieve greater patient outcomes via chemotherapeutics. By targeting tumor-stromal interactions, the hope has been to enhance current regimens, or to identify new potential strategies. It is clear that stromal interactions promote primary tumor growth and pathogenesis. The current study demonstrates that metastatic lesions, too, are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site of metastasis</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>% Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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desmoplastic, suggesting that patients with metastatic disease may also benefit from stromal targeted therapies.
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Table 2. Comparison of Col I staining in matched samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Site</th>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>% Area Col I</th>
<th>Intensity</th>
<th>Total score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>Ductal adenocarcinoma, moderately differentiated</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>114.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>Ductal adenocarcinoma, well differentiated</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>70.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node</td>
<td>Metastatic adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>Ductal adenocarcinoma, well differentiated</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>52.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Metastatic adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>54.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>Ductal adenocarcinoma, moderately differentiated</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>139.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesentry</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>161.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>Ductal adenocarcinoma, moderately differentiated</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>94.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node</td>
<td>Metastatic adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>80.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>Ductal adenocarcinoma, moderately differentiated</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>204.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>Metastatic adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>162.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma, unspecified</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>105.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Metastatic adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>132.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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