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In this issue of Clinical Cancer Research (CCR), studies by Ercan and colleagues and by Niederst and colleagues identify and characterize three mutations in the EGFR gene that confer resistance to third-generation EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) in preclinical models (1, 2). Patients with EGFR-mutant lung tumors harboring either an exon 19 deletion or L858R point mutation typically respond to first-generation, reversible TKIs (i.e., erlotinib or gefitinib), but these tumors are notorious for developing resistance on average 1 year after the beginning of treatment. In half of the resistant cases, a secondary EGFR mutation, EGFR<sup>T790M</sup>, emerges that confers resistance to these drugs (3). Given its high frequency, strategies to inhibit EGFR<sup>T790M</sup> have been at the forefront of drug discovery efforts. For instance, the second-generation quinazoline irreversible EGFR TKI, afatinib, also approved for first-line treatment of EGFR-mutant lung cancer demonstrates inhibitory capacities against EGFR<sup>T790M</sup>; however, the high concentrations required to inhibit T790M limit its use clinically for this purpose.

Third-generation mutant-specific EGFR inhibitors, such as AZD9291, rociletinib (CO-1686), and the tool compound WZ4002, have emerged as new strategies to overcome resistance mediated by EGFR<sup>T790M</sup> (4–6). In addition to their heightened potency against EGFR<sup>T790M</sup>, these irreversible covalent pyrimidine-based compounds also inhibit TKI-sensitive mutants (6). Furthermore, they selectively inhibit mutant over wild-type EGFR, and thus have significantly lower toxicities compared with other EGFR TKIs. Two recent studies reported the results of the early phase clinical trials of AZD9291 and rociletinib in patients with TKI-resistant EGFR-mutant tumors (7, 8). In tumors harboring the T790M mutation, AZD9291 and rociletinib elicited response rates of 61% and 59%, respectively. In T790M-negative tumors, modest response rates of 21% (with AZD9291) and 29% (with rociletinib) were observed.

Despite the impressive results with third-generation EGFR inhibitors, emerging clinical data reveal that acquired resistance to these compounds develops. Very little is known clinically about the mechanisms of resistance to these new agents. Moreover, it is still early to know how closely findings in the clinic will mirror the preclinical studies presented in these articles. To date, in a small series of patients, a tertiary mutation in EGFR, the C797S mutation, has been found in approximately 40% of AZD9291-resistant T790M-positive tumors (9). This mutation has not been reported in rociletinib-resistant tumors (10), highlighting potential differences between the two drugs.

To identify mechanisms of resistance to third-generation EGFR inhibitors, Ercan and colleagues performed an N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea (ENU) mutagenesis screen in Ba/F3 cells expressing EGFR-sensitizing mutations with and without the T790M mutation. They identified three tertiary mutations, namely EGFR L718Q, L844V, and C797S, that conferred resistance to WZ4002. Interestingly, regardless of the genomic context, these mutations conferred resistance to WZ4002 and rociletinib. However, the sensitivity of cells harboring the tertiary mutations to AZD9291 and afatinib was found to depend on three factors: the nature of the original sensitizing EGFR mutation, the presence or absence of EGFR<sup>T790M</sup>, and the identity of the tertiary mutation (Fig. 1). For example, AZD9291 retained activity against L844V (irrespective of the presence of EGFR<sup>T790M</sup>) but only in certain contexts against L718Q and not against C797S, raising the possibility that it may be useful if patients are found to develop the L844V mutation (and possibly L718Q) following rociletinib treatment (1). Remarkably, in most cases, cells with an EGFR TKI sensitizing mutation, without EGFR<sup>T790M</sup>, and with one of these tertiary mutations retain sensitivity to first/second-generation inhibitors, suggesting that these may be useful for treatment of tumors with these genotypes. Cells containing the T790M mutation and the tertiary C797S mutation were the most resistant to known EGFR TKIs. To explore alternative approaches for targeting EGFR, the authors tested the sensitivity of cells with triple mutations to the EGFR antibody, cetuximab, and found that L858R/T790M/C797S-positive cells exhibited partial sensitivity to this drug.
Niederst and colleagues used a different approach and cultured patient-derived erlotinib-resistant T790M-positive tumor cells with increasing concentrations of WZ4002 until resistance emerged. Comparison of sequencing data from resistant clones to the parental TKI-sensitive counterparts revealed the presence of the C797S mutation. These cells were resistant to all generations of EGFR TKIs and were found to harbor T790M and C797S in cis.

Interestingly, transfection experiments determined that when these mutations are in trans, cells are sensitive to a combination of a first- and third-generation TKI (Fig. 1). Finally, consistent with the findings by Ercan and colleagues, when C797S occurs in the absence of T790M, resistance to first-generation inhibitors remains (2). In fact, it has been shown that erlotinib can suppress EGFR bearing the C797 mutation (11).

Prior experience with resistance to first-generation EGFR TKIs has taught us that understanding resistance mechanisms can be critical to determining ways in which to overcome it, and we are now starting to see that the same may be true with resistance to the third-generation inhibitors. The studies presented in this edition of CCR reveal that whether the EGFR tertiary mutations are found in the presence or absence of the T790M resistance mutation, and even more specifically on the same or different allele, may impact which (if any) EGFR inhibitors are likely to be effective. These data also suggest that in certain cases, combination therapies, including a first-generation plus a third-generation EGFR inhibitor, or an EGFR TKI plus the EGFR antibody cetuximab, may be more effective depending on the molecular findings at resistance. This is remarkably powerful information that may impact clinical decision-making if these mutations are identified and the drug sensitivities of the mutations are confirmed in patients.

Many studies have now clearly demonstrated the importance of repeat biopsies at the time of resistance to EGFR inhibitors. Over the last few years, the detection of the T790M mutation after the development of resistance to first-generation TKIs has led many patients to clinical trials of third-generation inhibitors, which have shown significant efficacy. Whether the same is true after resistance to third-generation inhibitors remains to be seen; however, it is an area that is worth pursuing.

The findings in these articles raise questions regarding appropriate treatments and mechanisms of resistance in EGFR-mutant NSCLC. First, how will we sequence these agents in the clinic? We now have several EGFR TKIs approved for use in this patient population, and there may be more in the future. Trials are currently ongoing to compare first-generation with third-generation EGFR inhibitors in TKI-naive patients, and it will be critical to...
not only determine the clinical efficacy but also the mechanisms of resistance to these drugs when used in this setting. Second, will combination strategies surpass single-agent treatments in this patient population? The studies by Ercan and Niederst lend weight to combining multiple generations of EGFR TKIs as well as EGFR TKIs plus EGFR antibodies in certain situations, yet it is still not evident clinically when this may be useful. We have seen in many illnesses, including cancers and infectious diseases, that multitarget combinations can lead to cures more than sequential use of single agents. Clinical trials to test the value of such approaches in this disease and that incorporate pre- and post-treatment biopsies will be required to further improve the treatment of EGFR-mutant lung cancer.
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