Model Matters: Differences in Orthotopic Rat Hepatocellular Carcinoma Physiology Determine Therapy Response to Sorafenib
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Abstract

Purpose: Preclinical model systems should faithfully reflect the complexity of the human pathology. In hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), the tumor vasculature is of particular interest in diagnosis and therapy. By comparing two commonly applied preclinical model systems, diethylnitrosamine induced (DEN) and orthotopically implanted (McA) rat HCC, we aimed to measure tumor biology noninvasively and identify differences between the models.

Experimental Design: DEN and McA tumor development was monitored by MRI and PET. A slice-based correlation of imaging and histopathology was performed. Array CGH analyses were applied to determine genetic heterogeneity. Therapy response to sorafenib was tested in DEN and McA tumors.

Results: Histologically and biochemically confirmed liver damage resulted in increased 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET uptake and perfusion in DEN animals only. DEN tumors exhibited G1–3 grading compared with uniform G3 grading of McA tumors. Array comparative genomic hybridization revealed a highly variable chromosomal aberration pattern in DEN tumors. Heterogeneity of DEN tumors was reflected in more variable imaging parameter values. DEN tumors exhibited lower mean growth rates and FDG uptake and higher diffusion and perfusion values compared with McA tumors. To test the significance of these differences, the multikinase inhibitor sorafenib was administered, resulting in reduced volume growth kinetics and perfusion in the DEN group only.

Conclusions: This work depicts the feasibility and importance of in depth preclinical tumor model characterization and suggests the DEN model as a promising model system of multifocal nodular HCC in future therapy studies. Clin Cancer Res; 21(19); 4440–50. ©2015 AACR.

See related commentary by Weber et al., p. 4254

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) presents the most common primary liver tumor and third most common cause of cancer-related death worldwide (1). Tumor angiogenesis is required for HCC development, enabling the distinction of dysplastic and tumor nodules by contrast enhanced imaging (2) and providing the rationale for antiangiogenic therapy of advanced-stage disease, for example, with the receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor sorafenib and transarterial chemoembolization (TACE; ref. 3). Unsatisfactory preclinical modeling of HCC and the lack of robust tools for the assessment of treatment response beyond the evaluation of tumor burden (4) have hampered progress in testing and validating new tumor therapies (5).

Preclinical HCC model systems, in particular genetically engineered mouse models (GEMMs), have been invaluable tools for the molecular dissection of human hepatocarcinogenesis to date (6). However, translational research requires model systems that recapitulate the human condition in its complexity. For example, lack of an underlying liver damage, a frequent accompanying condition in human HCC, presents an important shortcoming of several preclinical model systems (7). As a consequence preclinical therapy trials conducted on aberrantly, for example, subcutaneously located tumors, showing outstanding drug efficacy, failed to translate into clinical efficacy most likely due to a nonphysiologic simplicity of the model system with clonal origin of the tumor, lack of tumor matrix, an insufficient subcutaneous blood supply, and sometimes lack of a functioning immune response (8, 9). Considering the clinical significance of TACE treatment in advanced-stage HCC, model systems should furthermore provide the possibility for combinational treatment testing.
Differences in Rat HCC Models Determine Therapy Response

For example, testing of combinations of TACE and targeted therapies, which is technically difficult to achieve in mice models. The diethylnitrosamine (DEN)-induced rat HCC model system was first introduced in the early 1960s, exhibiting multifocal HCC in a chronically damaged liver background. In contrast, the multifocal orthotopic implantation model is a frequently used alternative, where tumors develop within a healthy liver after portal vein injection of tumor cells. Quantitative assessment of HCC physiology and therapy response is challenging, both in vivo and ex vivo. MRI and PET have been successfully applied for the noninvasive measurement of unifocally implanted orthotopic rat tumors and therapy response (10). The aim of this study was to further implement a multimodal multiparametric imaging platform in the more complex multifocal McA and DEN rat HCC model systems and to compare quantitative imaging, histologic, and genetic data for potential differences in liver and tumor physiology.

Materials and Methods

Animal models and imaging protocol
All animal experiments were approved and performed in accordance with the institutional animal care and use committee’s guidelines and the government of Bavaria, Germany. As depicted in Supplementary Fig. S1A, chemically induced tumors (DEN) were established in 24 seven-week-old male Wistar rats (Charles River Laboratories) by portal vein infusion of 10^7 syngeneic solved in drinking water for a period of 8 weeks. Orthotopically were established in 24 seven-week-old male Wistar rats (Charles in Supplementary Fig. S1A, chemically induced tumors (DEN) guidelines and the government of Bavaria, Germany. As depicted in accordance with the institutional animal care and use committee’s guidelines and the government of Bavaria, Germany.

Clinical Relevance
Preclinical model systems applied in translational research should faithfully represent the human pathology, and preclinical therapy studies should adhere to the same rigorous trial design applied in human. We performed multiparametric multimodal imaging to identify differences in two common rat HCC model systems, diethylnitrosamine induced (DEN) and orthotopically implanted (McA) rat HCC. In contrast with the McA model, DEN tumors exhibited a high level of inter- and intratumoral heterogeneity. In addition, major differences in tumor growth kinetics, tumor composition, and perfusion were identified and confirmed by quantitative histopathologic analyses. Interestingly, DEN tumors only showed response to sorafenib treatment. We believe these findings are of high translational relevance as they indicate important differences between model systems and in addition support the rat DEN model for the future testing of novel combinatorial therapy regimens.

Sorafenib therapy
In a second cohort, 23 DEN-induced and 7 orthotopically implanted animals bearing tumor nodules ≥ 5 mm were treated with the multikine inhibitor sorafenib (Nexavar, Bayer) or vehicle every other day (0.1 mg/kg redissolved in DMSO, i.p.). Tumor response was monitored longitudinally by T2w-, DCE- and DWI-MRI on day −1, 0, 1, 7, and 14 (Supplementary Fig. S1B). After final imaging, rats were kept under anesthesia and euthanized. Tumors were prepared for histologic analyses.

Data analysis and statistics
Tumor volume (V) was manually segmented and calculated from the T2w datasets (Osirix; http://www.osirix.com). The paired and unpaired t test and tumor growth kinetics estimation were performed using Prism GraphPad 4. DCE-MRI and DWI data were analyzed using in-house software written in IDL (ITT VIS) as previously described (10). DCE-MRI data were analyzed semiquantitatively by calculating tumor-to-muscle and liver-to-muscle ratios of the area under the Gd-DTPA concentration time curves of the first 60 seconds (AUGC60) after contrast agent injection. 3D volumes of interest (VOI) of fused PET–MRI images were analyzed using the absolute mean value of the tumor 3D VOI and normalized to two 2D spinal muscle ROIs to calculate the mean tumor-to-muscle and liver-to-muscle ratios.

Serum biochemistry
Before euthanization, blood samples were collected from the tail vein and centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 20 minutes at 4°C. After centrifugation, serum samples were separated and stored at −20°C until further use. The marker enzymes of liver damage, aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT) alanine aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and γ-glutamyltransferase (GGT) were analyzed in serum samples of all rats (Diasys) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Histopathology and immunohistochemistry
Immediately after final imaging animals were sacrificed under deep anesthesia. Perfusion fixation was performed using 20 mL PBS to flush out all blood from the vasculature, followed by manual infusion of 200 ml 1% PFA. Thereafter, the livers were removed and emerged for 48 hours in 4% PFA, briefly washed, transferred to 70% ethanol and embedded in paraffin before hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining. Immunohistochemistry of tumor and liver tissue was performed using the Ventana Discovery (Roche) autostainer. Antibodies with respective dilutions are listed in a separate table (Supplementary Table S1). Tumors were classified with respect to histotype and graded as per...
WHO criteria for human and rat HCC (13, 14). All lesions were
categorically graded and graded for arbitrary score 1 to 3. For
further H&E and CD31 analyses, all slides were scanned at \( \times 10 \)
objective magnification by an Olympus BX51 scanner with an \( \times \)20
objective magnification by a Mirax scanner with an \( \times \)20
deposition. After washing and scanning according to the manufacturer’s
protocol the resulting data text
(Enzo). The labeled DNA was
For each array, 250 ng of reference DNA was labeled with Cy5, and
was evaluated semiquantitatively by counting the numbers of positive
cells per low power field (light microscope Olympus BX53, objective \( \times \)20, field number 22). For human HCC tumor
vessel staining, CD34 antibody was used (clone QBEnd/10,
1:100) on seven formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded human HCC
specimens obtained from the archives of the Institute of Pathol-
ogy of the Technical University of Munich (Supplementary Table
S2). Calculation of vessel lumen area was performed as described
above. For necrosis quantification tumors were H&E stained and
analyzed using software (De
Intelligence Suite, Fa. De
Institute AG). For Ki-67 staining analysis,
both models showed 100% tumor penetrance (Supplementary
Table S3). Histopathologically and immunohistochemically, all
DEN tumors were characterized as HCC-expressing hepatocyte-
specific antigen (Hep Par-1, data not shown) with different
degrees of differentiation (G1 to G3 grading pattern; Fig. 2A)
and variable extracapsular penetration, closely resembling human
HCC. Most DEN tumors revealed prominent trabecular growth
consisting of large cells with intracytoplasmic fat droplets and
eosinophilic inclusions. In addition, dense G3, acinar-papillary
degree (G1 to G3 grading pattern; Fig. 2A) re-
gistered (light microscope PrimoStar, Fa. Carl Zeiss). The captured images
were analyzed using software (Axiol Vision Rel 4.8, Zeiss). Threshold for
all nuclei and Ki-67 positive nuclei was de-
all nuclei and Ki-67 positive nuclei was de-
determined manually for each
software (Axio Vision Rel 4.8, Zeiss). Threshold for
determination manually for each
slide was thus determined.

Array CGH sample preparation and hybridization
To perform array CGH analysis, histologic formalin-fixed par-
affin-embedded tissue sections from livers with HCCs mounted
on glass slides were macerated for enrichment of tumor cells
based on the assessment of an H&E stained reference slide. After
deparaffinisation with xylene, the tissue was scratched off the slide
into the lysis buffer provided by the Qiagen DNeasy FFPE Kit (Fa.
Qiagen). Genomic DNA was extracted and purified according to
the manufacturer’s protocol followed by quantification with the
Nanodrop spectrophotometer. The DNA from 4 to 5 strain-
specific healthy livers was pooled and used as reference DNA.
For each array, 250 ng of reference DNA was labeled with Cy5, and
the same amount of sample DNA was labeled with Cy3 using an
oligo array CGH labeling kit (Enzo). The labeled DNA was
purified using Microcon YM-50 columns (Millipore) and hybrid-
ized on custom designed whole genome rat CGH 8 \( \times \)60 k arrays
(Agilent) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Array CGH data analysis and visualization
After washing and scanning according to the manufacturer’s protocol the resulting data text files were subjected to preproces-
sing, normalization, and copy-number calling within the statis-
tical platform R (www.R-project.org). Spatial normalization was conducted using the Bioconductor package MANOR (15) and the
copy-number status of each array probe was called using the CGHcall package (16) followed by complexity reduction using the CGHregions package (17).

To visually assess the copy-number profiles, karyogram-like plots were generated along rat ideograms using an in-house written function. PCA plots based on the probe-wise probability values for normal copy numbers were generated using the gpipe-
plot package (https://github.com/vqv/ggbiplot) to visually assess
global differences copy-number profiles. The copy-number status of the Fli1 (Vegfr) and Vegfa genes were visualized using the level
plot function from the lattice package (http://cran.r-project.org/
web/packages/lattice/index.html).

Comparability of genomic copy-number changes detected in
our animal model with that occurring in human cryptogenic HCC
as published in a study by Schlaeger and colleagues (18), we
carried out a synteny analysis between rat and human as described
in Wolf and colleagues (19). The analysis was visualized using functions from the CRAN package RCircos. Array CGH data have
been deposited at the ArrayExpress repository (accession number:
E-MTAB-3507).

Results
Liver damage in the DEN model
Histopathologically nonmalignant liver tissue showed
increased fibrosis in DEN compared with McA animals (Fig. 1A
and B), indicative of tissue remodeling. Furthermore, bile duct
hyperplasia and increased numbers of mitotic figures were noted
as signs of chronic toxic tissue damage and hepatocellular regen-
eration in DEN compared with McA animals (Fig. 1C and D). In
addition, cytoplasmic vacuolization and fatty change of hepato-
cytes, multiple clear cell and basophilic foci of cellular alteration
(FCA) and intralobular tissue macrophage infiltration (Supple-
mentary Fig. S2A) reflected chronic liver damage of DEN-treated
animals. In contrast, the architecture of McA liver tissue was
unchanged and showed a normal sinusoidal structure without
matrix deposition (Fig. 1B and D). Liver function as determined by
serum enzyme levels was altered with aspartate aminotrans-
ferase (ASAT) and alanine aminotransferase (12) levels signi-
cantly elevated before tumor development, beginning at 4 weeks
and further increasing at 8 weeks of DEN treatment, indicating
hepatocellular dysfunction (Fig. 1E and F). Endpoint GGT serum
levels were not significantly changed (Fig. 1G). The cholinesterase
(Che), a marker of liver synthesis, was reduced in both models
(Fig. 1H).

The histopathologic changes in nonmalignant liver tissue resulted in higher perfusion and (Alt1C60 liver-to-muscle ratio: 2.38 \pm 0.10, P < 0.005) and glucose metabolism
(FDG liver-to-muscle ratio: 3.33 \pm 0.47 and 2.38 \pm 0.28; P < 0.001) in nonmalignant liver tissue of DEN compared with McA
animals.

Detection and longitudinal monitoring of tumor development in the DEN and McA model
Both models showed 100% tumor penetration (Supplementary
Table S3). Histopathologically and immunohistochemically, all
DEN tumors were characterized as HCC-expressing hepatocyte-
specific antigen (Hep Par-1, data not shown) with different
degrees of differentiation (G1 to G3 grading pattern; Fig. 2A)
and variable extracapsular penetration, closely resembling human
HCC. Most DEN tumors revealed prominent trabecular growth
consisting of large cells with intracytoplasmic fat droplets and
eosinophilic inclusions. In addition, dense G3, acinar-papillary
G2 tumors as well as pseudoglandular HCC with central or
scattered hemorrhagic degeneration were present underlying the
wide spectrum of DEN-induced rat HCC histology. In contrast, McA tumors revealed a uniformly dense G3 grading pattern with trabecular growth. A higher number of tumor-infiltrating CD3+ lymphocytes in DEN induced tumors reflect human HCC, whereas an increased peritumoral lymphocytic infiltration in McA tumors is a model-specific response (Supplementary Fig. S2B). In HCC of both models, only single intra- and peritumoral CD45RA+ lymphocytes and neutrophil granulocytes were observed (data not shown).

Tumors were reliably identified on T2w images by their hyperintense appearance compared with surrounding nontumorous liver tissue. Tumor signal intensity was again more heterogeneous in DEN tumors. The heterogeneous grading pattern was reflected in a more variable and often slower onset of tumor growth of DEN compared with McA detected by longitudinal T2w imaging (Fig. 2B). The mean doubling-time was 0.52 ± 0.20 days versus 2.0 ± 1.83 days in DEN and McA, respectively. Histologically, the observed differences in volume growth kinetics correlated well
with the Ki-67 staining index (24 ± 13 and 57 ± 7%) in DEN and McA tumors, respectively (Fig. 2C). In agreement with tumor grading and proliferation rate, DEN tumors exhibited lower FDG uptake compared with McA tumors (4.8 ± 1.5 and 9.7 ± 3.2, \( P < 0.0001 \); Fig. 2D). Tumor proliferation was also assessed by FLT-PET; however, uptake was overall low and no significant difference was detected between the two model systems (1.2 ± 0.2 and 1.3 ± 0.2).

Heterogeneity was further confirmed by array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) analyses. aCGH revealed chromosomal aberrations in all HCCs (Fig. 2E and F). Whereas orthotopically implanted (McA) rat HCCs were characterized by a large number of chromosomal aberrations, DEN-induced tumors displayed only a few aberrations. Moreover, for the McA tumors a recurrent aberration pattern could be found. This was supported by the principal component analysis (PCA) of aCGH data (Supplementary Fig. S3A), demonstrating more pronounced similarity within the group of McA tumors compared with the more heterogeneous group of DEN-induced HCCs. In addition, hierarchical cluster analysis revealed intrahuman heterogeneity, suggestive of multifocal tumor development in DEN animals (data not shown). Furthermore, we compared genomic copy-number alterations detected in our rat models with that from a human set of cryptogenic HCCs using synteny information and found good concordance (Supplementary Fig. S3B–S3E).

**Figure 3.**
Heterogeneity of DEN and McA tumor populations as determined by MRI analyses. A and B, normalized individual (thin) and mean (bold) ADC histographs of DEN and McA tumors show broader voxel distribution in DEN tumors, reflecting a higher level of tissue heterogeneity. Mean ADC value of DEN: 1.48 ± 0.37; mean ADC value of McA: 0.97 ± 0.15. C and D, individual (thin) and mean (bold) Gd-DTPA concentration time curves of DEN and McA tumors show steeper initial slopes, higher peak values, and more interindividual variability in DEN tumors.
Inter- and intraindividual tumor tissue heterogeneity

Quantitative diffusion weighted imaging revealed broader ADC histogram distribution (Fig. 3A and B) and higher mean ADC values of DEN compared with McA tumors (1.42 ± 0.15 and 0.89 ± 0.05, \( P = 0.0091 \)), reflecting the more heterogeneous tumor tissue composition with cystic and blood pool areas. Likewise, qualitative assessment of tumor perfusion revealed a more variable peak enhancement and shape of the Gd-DTPA concentration time curves (Fig. 3C and D) of DEN tumors compared with McA tumors. In addition, the DEN model displayed striking intertumoral heterogeneity within the same animal. This finding is exemplified in Fig. 4. Longitudinal T2w imaging (Fig. 4A) revealed no apparent differences in signal intensity or growth kinetics of the two nodules. In contrast, DWI and calculated ADC values (mean tumor 2 = 0.97 ± 0.16, tumor 5 = 1.11 ± 0.23; Fig. 4B), perfusion imaging and AUGC60 concentration time curves (Fig. 4C) and FDG PET imaging and uptake values (8.98 and 4.21; Fig. 4D), however, differed significantly. H&E and CD31 staining (Fig. 4E and F) classified tumor 2 (black line) as G3 and tumor 5 (gray line) as G2 HCC.

Response to antiangiogenic treatment

The detected differences in tumor perfusion were of particular interest because of its role in current treatment regimen based on antiangiogenic agents or TACE. AUGC60 ratios were higher in DEN animals compared with McA animals (5.07 ± 2.34 and 1.96 ± 0.92, \( P = 0.0047 \); Fig. 5A). This difference was also evident in quantitative histologic analyses of CD31 expression, which revealed a significantly larger mean vascular lumen area in DEN compared with McA tumors (0.13% ± 0.35 vs. 8.53% ± 5.01, \( P < 0.0001 \); Fig. 5B and C). The detected difference in vascularity was accompanied by less spontaneous necrosis in DEN compared with McA tumors (0.13% ± 0.35 vs. 8.53% ± 5.01, \( P < 0.0001 \); Fig. 5D). No differences were noted with regard to caspase-3 expression (data not shown). To further test the physiologic significance of this finding with regard to vascular targeting therapies, DEN (\( n = 12 \)) and McA (\( n = 4 \)) tumor-bearing animals were subjected to sorafenib treatment. Interestingly, DEN tumors only showed reduced AUGC60 ratios (Fig. 6A) and tumor volume growth kinetics in the treatment compared with the placebo group (Fig. 6C), whereas sorafenib treatment induced no change in McA tumors (Fig. 6B and D). The copy-number status of the Vegfr and
Vegfa genes showed amplifications of both genes in the McA model predominantly, independent of the treatment status (Fig. 6E).

Discussion

In this work, we compared transplanted (McA) and chemically induced (DEN) rat HCC by multimodal, multiparametric imaging, to identify differences in tumor biology and to analyze tumor response to the only clinically approved systemic antiangiogenic agent sorafenib. All analyzed imaging parameters, including volume growth kinetics, calculated ADC values, AUGC60 values and FDG uptake exhibited differences between the two model systems. Furthermore, greater inter- and intraindividual tumor heterogeneity was seen in the DEN model. Sorafenib treatment resulted in reduced AUGC60 values and volume growth kinetics and increased necrosis in the DEN model and had no apparent effect in the McA model.

Choice of model system

Animal models play a crucial role in research on HCC with a wide variety of model systems at hand. Most often, mouse models have been favored because of the wealth of species-specific molecular and genetic tools available. However, imaging and intervention (e.g., TACE procedures), of particular interest in translational studies on HCC, are difficult to realize in mice due to size restrictions (20, 7, 21). We chose the DEN model because of its genetic similarity to human HCC (22). Furthermore, previous reports described the development of HCC in DEN fed animals within a chronically damaged liver background, similar to the human scenario (23). On the other hand, orthotopically transplanted rat HCC is considered a valuable time- and cost-efficient model system, widely used in preclinical drug efficacy studies (24, 25). The differences in tumor physiology detected between the two models likely reflect a mixture of local (i.e., tumoral) and systemic (i.e., strain) physiology. A comparison of genomic copy-number alterations detected in the applied animal models with that occurring in a human array CGH dataset on cryptogenic HCCs (Supplementary Fig. S3B–S3E; ref. 18) by synteny analysis showed the majority of copy number alterations present in both datasets.

Liver damage

Biochemical liver function tests and histologic analyses confirm previous reports of a chronic toxic liver damage in the DEN model system. Tissue damage was classified as mild to moderate fibrosis rather than cirrhosis. Furthermore, increased FDG uptake, increased hepatocyte proliferation and the presence of inflammatory cells also indicated an earlier stage of chronic liver damage compared with previous reports. Increased liver to muscle AUGC60 values in addition suggest an proangiogenic response as recently reported in the CCl4 and bile duct ligation models (26). Similarly, an arterialization of the regular sinusoidal blood supply is described for progressive liver disease in precirrhotic human subjects (27). The confirmation of chronic liver damage in the DEN model has important implications with regard to
imaging as well as drug efficacy studies. First, sensitivity and specificity, and thus the value of a particular imaging technique depend on the tumor-to-background contrast that can be achieved with the particular technique. Especially in heterotopic model systems these are often artificially high (e.g., subcutaneous tumor implants). Second, a compromised liver function presents a major obstacle in clinical therapy trials, which are often jeopardized by increased drug toxicity (28).

Tumor heterogeneity

In our study, we noted a large amount of intra- and intertumoral variability in the DEN model. Tumor heterogeneity is a characteristic feature of several cancer types, including HCC (29, 30). It presents a significant barrier to effective therapy development, and thus is of particular importance in preclinical drug efficacy studies. Quantitative assessment of this heterogeneity in HCC physiology by noninvasive imaging has been proposed (31, 32), but presents a major challenge in clinical routine, due to motion artifacts and insufficient spatial resolution in abdominal imaging. Several studies are now beginning to address this issue with documented intra- and intersubject variability in several biomarkers, including FDG uptake, as well as DWI- and DCE-MRI parameters (33, 34). The DEN model, therefore, may present a valuable tool, representing the complexity of the human disease, enabling the validation of imaging markers that identify subtypes and their testing in future co-clinical trials.

Tumor perfusion and sorafenib response

AUGCC60 and CD31 staining revealed higher mean values and more variability in DEN compared with MCA tumors; preliminary histopathologic analyses of human HCC specimen (n = 7) showed CD31 staining and necrosis levels more similar to those found in the DEN model (lumen area 1.17% ± 0.15, P = 0.03; necrosis: 0.64% ± 0.42; Supplementary Fig. S4). These differences in perfusion related parameters are of particular importance for several reasons. A high level of tumor perfusion provides the rationale for antangiogenic and TACE treatment in human HCC, and thus should be reflected in a preclinical model system. Furthermore, variability in tumor perfusion has also been described for human HCC, where it correlates with tumor grading, thus presenting a potential biomarker of histopathologic grading (35). Considering the potential of metabolic imaging in tumor characterization and response monitoring, for example, by FDG-PET or hyperpolarized 13C metabolite magnetic resonance spectroscopy imaging, quantitative assessment of tumor perfusion may aid in the validation of such imaging markers.

We can only speculate with regard to the underlying cause of the differences in vasculature between DEN and MCA tumors. Mechanical stress from increased intratumoral pressure, resulting in vessel compression may in part explain the observed difference (36). Furthermore, lower perfusion values in MCA tumors may indicate a vascular supply similar to that of liver metastases rather than HCC (32). Also growth kinetics in MCA tumors on average, are higher compared with DEN tumors possibly related to a less mature vascular phenotype in MCA tumors.

We still have a limited understanding of the mechanism of action of sorafenib in advanced stage HCC. Sorafenib targets tyrosine kinases, including BRAF, the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR), and platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR), and thus inhibit multiple kinases that may be active in HCC. In the DEN model, 20% of the tumor nodules exhibit BRAF point mutations (37). Accordingly, the effects seen on tumor perfusion and growth kinetics in DEN tumors are not easily dissected and may be attributable to tumor cell toxicity and antangiogenic action.

Pikarsky’s group recently identified a VEGF-based paracrine activation loop between tumor cells and tumor macrophages (38). Despite Vegfa amplification in MCA tumors, blockage thereof failed to control tumor growth, possibly due to the lack of macrophage recruitment in this model system as shown immunohistochemically (Supplementary Fig. S2A).

Limitations

Different rat strains were used in the DEN and MCA model, presenting a potential limitation. However, differences in tumor perfusion were confirmed by histologic findings. Sorafenib treatment in the MCA model was limited to 1 week, and had to be discontinued due to rapid tumor progression. A longer treatment course or an earlier start may have resulted in therapy response in the MCA model as well. Furthermore, only a small number of human samples were analyzed for vascular staining and no correlation with perfusion values has been performed so far.

Our study quantifies differences in tumor physiology between DEN and MCA tumors, underlining the importance of preclinical model selection. Of particular interest are the significantly higher tumor perfusion values and tumor heterogeneity found in the DEN model. The detected heterogeneity in DEN tumors may provide an opportunity for further investigation of HCC subtypes and respective biomarkers.
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