Abiraterone Treatment in Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer Selects for Progesterone Responsive Mutant Androgen Receptors
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Abstract

Purpose: The CYP17A1 inhibitor abiraterone markedly reduces androgen precursors and is thereby effective in castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). However, abiraterone increases progesterone, which can activate certain mutant androgen receptors (AR) identified previously in flutamide-resistant tumors. Therefore, we sought to determine if CYP17A1 inhibitor treatment selects for progesterone-activated mutant ARs.

Experimental Design: AR was examined by targeted sequencing in metastatic tumor biopsies from 18 patients with CRPC who were progressing on a CYP17A1 inhibitor (17 on abiraterone, 1 on ketoconazole), alone or in combination with dutasteride, and by whole-exome sequencing in residual tumor in one patient treated with neoadjuvant leuprolide plus abiraterone.

Results: The progesterone-activated T878A-mutant AR was present at high allele frequency in 3 of the 18 CRPC cases. It was also present in one focus of resistant tumor in the neoadjuvant-treated patient, but not in a second clonally related resistant focus that instead had lost one copy of PTEN and both copies of CHD1. The T878A mutation appeared to be less common in the subset of patients with CRPC treated with abiraterone plus dutasteride, and transfection studies showed that dutasteride was a more potent direct antagonist of the T878A versus the wild-type AR.

Conclusions: These findings indicate that selection for tumor cells expressing progesterone-activated mutant ARs is a mechanism of resistance to CYP17A1 inhibition. Clin Cancer Res; 21(6); 1273–80. ©2014 AACR.

See related commentary by Sharifi, p. 1240

Introduction

The standard treatment for metastatic prostate cancer is surgical or medical castration to abrogate testicular androgen synthesis and androgen receptor (AR) activity. This therapy may also include treatment with a direct AR antagonist such as bicalutamide or flutamide. However, most patients relapse within several years despite castrate androgen levels (castration-resistant prostate cancer, CRPC; ref. 1). One mechanism driving AR activity in CRPC is increased conversion of weak androgens produced by the adrenal glands (DHEA and androstenedione) into potent androgens (testosterone and dihydrotestosterone, DHT) by the tumor cells (2–3). Moreover, these tumors can also synthesize physiologically significant levels of androgen de novo from cholesterol (4–6). CYP17A1 is the critical enzyme that converts progesterone and related C21 steroids to DHEA and other C19 steroids. CYP17A1 inhibitors can thereby markedly further decrease the levels of testosterone and DHT, and the CYP17A1 inhibitor abiraterone has been approved by the FDA for treatment of CRPC (7, 8). Unfortunately, patients who respond to abiraterone still generally relapse within 1 to 2 years, and the molecular mechanisms responsible for these relapses remain to be established.

We showed previously that AR mutations are rare in patients with CRPC who relapse after standard medical or surgical castration, whereas long-term treatment with the AR antagonist flutamide could select for tumor cells expressing mutant ARs (mutations in codons 875 or 878, codon numbering based on the human reference genome Hg19) that are activated, rather than repressed by hydroxyflutamide (the active metabolite of flutamide; refs. 9–12). Treatment with bicalutamide may similarly select for AR with mutations in codon 742 that can be activated by bicalutamide (13, 14). Interestingly, a mutation in codon 877 that results in AR activation by the AR antagonist enzalutamide was also recently described (15–17). Importantly, ARs with mutations in codons 875 and 878 can also be strongly stimulated by progesterone, which is only a very weak agonist for the wild-type AR (9, 12). Indeed, we reported previously that the AR transcriptional activity in androgen-starved C4-2 prostate cancer cells, which are a CRPC subline of LNCaP cells that express a
T878A-mutant AR, was resistant to abiraterone and instead was driven by intratumoral synthesis of progesterone (5).

Significantly, as progesterone is an upstream substrate of CYP17A1, its levels are not decreased by CYP17A1 inhibitors and are generally instead increased in men treated with abiraterone (18). Therefore, we have speculated that CYP17A1 inhibitor therapy may select for tumor cells expressing progesterone-activated mutant ARs (5). To test this hypothesis, in this study, we examined the AR in tumors that were resistant to CYP17A1 inhibitors. Targeting this mechanism with AR antagonists or agents that suppress progesterone synthesis may be effective in a subset of abiraterone-resistant tumors.

Materials and Methods

Patients and clinical samples

All procedures were performed under protocols approved by the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center IRB and/or the Dana Farber/Harvard Cancer Center IRB. Tissue samples from radical prostatectomy specimens in the neoadjuvant leuprolide–abiraterone clinical trial were fixed in formalin and processed to paraffin. Needle biopsies of metastatic CRPC lesions in bone or soft tissue (liver or lymph node) were obtained under CT guidance, with up to 6 cores taken at a site. These metastatic CRPC biopsy specimens were immediately fixed in formalin or PaxGene (Qiagen) fixative and processed to paraffin, or frozen in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) medium (Sakura). Metastatic bone specimens frozen in OCT were cut on a −20°C cryostat at 8-μm thickness using a tungsten-carbide blade. Metastatic bone specimens embedded in paraffin were cut on a microtome at 8-μm thickness using a tungsten-carbide blade. The tungsten-carbide blade was cleaned with DNAZap (Ambion) between specimens. Soft tissue specimens embedded in paraffin were cut on a microtome at 6-μm thickness using a stainless steel blade. A new steel blade was used for each specimen. A reference slide was stained with hematoxylin and cosin every 100 μm to confirm the presence of tumor and to evaluate tumor content. All slides were examined by at least two pathologists.

DNA and RNA extraction

Metastatic specimens containing at least 10% tumor cell content were cut as 8-μm ribbons, and approximately 10 ribbons were isolated per biopsy core. Genomic DNA and total cellular RNA were simultaneously isolated from the same sample using the AllPrep DNA/RNA FFPE Kit (Qiagen) for formalin-fixed samples and the AllPrep DNA/RNA Micro Kit (Qiagen) for fresh-frozen samples. Before nucleic acid extraction from neoadjuvant samples, 6-μm sections were stained on glass slides with PIN-4 (Biocare) to identify residual tumor, and samples were cut onto polyethylene naphthalate metal frame slides (Molecular Machines & Industries) and lightly stained with Histogene Stain (Life Technologies). Tumor foci were captured from target areas using 20-μm infrared pulses and excised from the adjacent tissue using the ultraviolet laser on an ArcturusXT Nikon Eclipse Ti-E microdissection system, yielding approximately 50 to 100 ng of genomic DNA per sample. Genomic DNA and total cellular RNA were simultaneously isolated from the same sample using the AllPrep DNA/RNA FFPE Kit (Qiagen). Normal control tissue was microdissected from adjacent areas marked as benign.

Deep sequencing

RNA (10 ng) from metastatic biopsy cores was converted into cDNA using the Sensiscript Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen) with an AR-specific primer in the 3′ untranslated region (3′ UTR; 5′-AGAGTITAAACCCGCAA-3′). The AR ligand binding domain (exons 4–8) and a portion of the DNA binding domain were then amplified with a nested primer in the 3′ UTR and a primer in the DNA binding domain using PCR with the HotStart HiFidelity Polymerase Kit (Qiagen): Forward: 5′-GCGAGAGGGAACAGAAGTGAC-3′; Reverse: 5′-GAAATAGGGTTCCAATGCCTCA-3′. DNA from PCR amplification reactions was gel-extracted, sheared to approximately 200 bp on a Covaris E220 sonicator, and built into multiplexed, barcoded sequencing libraries using the NEBNext Ultra DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina and NEBNext Multiplex Oligos (New England Biolabs). Libraries were pooled and sequenced on a MiSeq (Illumina) with 100 cycles paired-end.

To validate mutations observed in sequencing cDNA from the metastatic CRPC tumors, PCR was performed from 10 ng of genomic DNA with the following primers flanking the AR exon 8 coding region: Forward: 5′-GTGGGGAAGAGGCAGACGAG-3′; Reverse: 5′-GCGACCTGAGGAACTGCTG-3′. Multiplexed libraries were then prepared from the amplified DNA and sequenced as above. Genomic DNA extracted from tumor foci and control regions in samples from the neoadjuvant trial were similarly amplified with the above primers flanking the exon 8 coding region and sequenced.

To verify that the T878A mutation detected in tumor samples was not the result of contamination with LNCaP-derived cells (which carry the same AR mutation), an area of genomic DNA corresponding to a private SNP or mutation (T to A) in the LNCaP cells at ChrX:24078360 within EIF2FS3 was also sequenced. PCR primers flanking this SNP were used to amplify 10 ng of genomic DNA from each tumor sample positive for the T878A mutation, and the PCR products were deep sequenced to at least 5,000-fold coverage. The following primers were used: Forward: 5′-GCTAGTATGCAGAAGCGCCG-3′; Reverse: 5′-TTCGCGGCTGCTCAGCGG-3′.

A neoadjuvant case was also examined by whole-exome sequencing using the SureSelectXT Target Enrichment System (Agilent), with the addition of baits covering the entire gene for a group of candidate prostate cancer tumor suppressor genes (PTEN, TP53, RB1, PHLP1, PHLP2, KLF6, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRCA3).
relapse was based on development of new lesions on bone scan or increases in measurable disease, which in all cases was associated with an increase in serum PSA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Gleason score</th>
<th>Prior Androgen Deprivation Therapy (ADT) (months)</th>
<th>Duration of abiraterone therapy (months)*</th>
<th>PSA response on abiraterone (initial, nadir, % decline)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>448-2</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Leuprolide 156, bicalutamide</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>50, 2.0, 96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448-11</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>4+5</td>
<td>戈oserelin 21, bicalutamide 4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>812, 37, 95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448-25</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>4+3</td>
<td>Leuprolide 15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25, 14, 44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448-26</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4+3</td>
<td>Leuprolide 4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>99, 27, 73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448-36</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>4+0</td>
<td>Leuprolide 22, ketoconazole 11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75, 31, 59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448-37</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>4+3</td>
<td>Leuprolide 6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.8, 18, 63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI-1†</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4+3</td>
<td>Leuprolide 4</td>
<td>6 (ketoconazole)</td>
<td>213, 84, 61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI-2‡</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>4+4</td>
<td>Bicalutamide, leuprolide 6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16, 0.2, 99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI-3</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>3+4</td>
<td>Leuprolide 58, nilutamide 4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>56, 45, 18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448-1</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>4+4</td>
<td>Leuprolide 9, bicalutamide 1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>213, 44, 79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448-4</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>4+4</td>
<td>戈osereline 16, bicalutamide 4, enzalutamide 6, leuprolide 12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>46, 6.0, 87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448-6³</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Leuprolide 14, bicalutamide 6, enzalutamide 3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40, 15, 68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448-7</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4+3</td>
<td>Finasteride 24, bicalutamide 6, leuprolide 9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>51, 29, 94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448-13</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3+3</td>
<td>Leuprolide 32, nilutamide 6, bicalutamide 19, ketoconazole 1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11, 10, 9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448-14</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>5+4</td>
<td>Leuprolide 74, bicalutamide 14, nilutamide 5, ketoconazole 8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22, 21, 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448-28</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Leuprolide 10, bicalutamide 1, nilutamide 3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>84, 11, 87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448-33</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>4+4</td>
<td>Leuprolide 10, bicalutamide 1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.4, 2.4, 56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448-39</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4+5</td>
<td>Leuprolide 10, bicalutamide 3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>126, 55, 56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patient BI-1 received ketoconazole, BI-2, 3, and 4 received single-agent abiraterone, the remaining patients all received abiraterone in combination with dutasteride; relapse was based on development of new lesions on bone scan or increases in measurable disease, which in all cases was associated with an increase in serum PSA.

Patients in whom T878A mutation was detected.

N压X3.1, CDK11B, CDKN2A, MSH6, INPPIB, SPOP, CHD1, CHD5, SMAD4, HDAC9, DKK1, and DAB2IP so that we could more reliably detect single copy losses based on LOH for SNPs. The total sequence captured was approximately 57 megabases. Multiplex library construction, hybrid capture, and library amplification were performed as described previously (19). The indexed libraries were sequenced on a HiSeq 2500 instrument (Illumina) with 100 paired end (100 × 100) cycles at the Harvard Medical School Genetics Department Core facility. Sequence data were aligned to the human reference genome (Hg19) using RUM (20), and were processed using SAMtools (21), VarScan (22), and snpEFF (23). All mutations were confirmed by visual inspection using the Integrative Genome Viewer (24). All data were deposited at the Sequence Read Archive hosted by the National Center for Biotechnology Information under accession ID SRP033306.

Cell culture and AR inhibition studies

C4-2 cells and PC-3 lines stably expressing wild-type or T878A-mutant AR were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium with 10% FBS. VCaP and LAPC4 cells were cultured in DMEM medium with 10% FBS. PC-3 and VCaP parental cells were obtained from the ATCC and passaged for less than 6 months before AR transduction. PC-3 and VCaP cells were authenticated by the ATCC by short tandem repeat (STR) profiling. LAPC4 and C4-2 cells were authenticated by the National Center for Biotechnology Information under accession ID SRP033306.

Table 2. AR mutations in cDNA from patients with CRPC failing ketoconazole or abiraterone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Read depth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BI-1</td>
<td>Ketoconazole</td>
<td>A to C</td>
<td>S647R</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
<td>18,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI-2</td>
<td>Abiraterone</td>
<td>C to A</td>
<td>CS95X</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
<td>179,286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448-6b</td>
<td>Abiraterone/dutasteride</td>
<td>A to G</td>
<td>T878A</td>
<td>60.3%</td>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
<td>518,501</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. AR mutations in cDNA from patients with CRPC failing ketoconazole or abiraterone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Read depth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BI-1</td>
<td>Ketoconazole</td>
<td>A to C</td>
<td>S647R</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
<td>18,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI-2</td>
<td>Abiraterone</td>
<td>C to A</td>
<td>CS95X</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
<td>179,286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448-6b</td>
<td>Abiraterone/dutasteride</td>
<td>A to G</td>
<td>T878A</td>
<td>60.3%</td>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
<td>518,501</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*T878A mutation was detected in cDNA from 2 separate liver needle biopsies.

Results

AR mutation in patients with CRPC treated with CYP17A1 inhibitors

Bone marrow or soft tissue needle biopsies were obtained from a series of men with CRPC who were progressing during therapy with ketoconazole, abiraterone, or combination therapy with abiraterone plus dutasteride (dual type 1 and 2 5α-reductase inhibitor). Biopsies in the latter group were obtained as part of a clinical trial (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT01393730), wherein patients with CRPC were treated daily with abiraterone stimulation and inhibitor studies, cells were grown in medium with 5% charcoal–dextran-stripped serum for 3 days and then treated with steroids and antagonists for 24 hours. Quantitative real-time RT-PCR amplification was carried out on RNA extracted from cell lines using TRIZOL RNA (20 ng) was used for each reaction, and the results were normalized by coamplification of GAPDH RNA. Reactions were performed on a StepOnePlus PCR system (Applied Biosystems) using Taqman one-step RT-PCR reagents. PCR data are presented as mean ± SD for replicate samples. Primer and probe sequences for PSA, TMPRSS2, and PLZF were previously described (5), and primers for NKX3.1 or FBKP5 were directly purchased as inventoried primer-probe mixes (TaqMan) from Applied Biosystems.
acacetate (1000 mg), dutasteride (3.5 mg), and prednisone (5 mg), and biopsies were obtained immediately before initiation of therapy and at relapse. Biopsies were sectioned and examined to identify those that contained at least approximately 10% tumor based on histology, and additional sections were then cut from these positive biopsies for extraction of RNA and genomic DNA. The treatment history for those men with positive biopsies that were analyzed is shown in Table 1. This included one patient treated with ketoconazole (BI-1), three treated with single-agent abiraterone (BI-2, 3, and 4), and 14 treated with combination of abiraterone and dutasteride.

The AR mRNA was then reverse transcribed using an AR-specific primer in the 3’ UTR, PCR amplified, and analyzed by Illumina sequencing. This analysis revealed multiple mutations occurring at low frequency (<5%) that were of unclear functional significance, but the only mutation detected in >5% of reads in any patient sample was a threonine to alanine mutation in exon 8 at codon 878 (T878A; Table 2). This mutation was found in 67.7% of reads from one patient treated with ketoconazole (patient BI-1), in 60.3% of reads from one patient treated with abiraterone (patient BI-2), and in 6.0% and 18.4% of reads from two liver biopsy cores in a patient treated with abiraterone plus dutasteride (patient 484-6). In this latter patient, similar analysis of a liver biopsy taken before starting therapy detected the T878A mutation in 119 of 135,534 reads (0.09%; not shown). Significantly, none of these patients with the T878A mutation had been treated previously with flutamide or nilutamide, which function as agonists for this mutant AR (Table 1).

To confirm these findings, we next examined genomic DNA extracted from each tumor biopsy. In cases where multiple tissue cores had been obtained from the same site, DNA from each was extracted and analyzed separately. The genomic DNA was PCR amplified using a combination of exonic and intronic primers encompassing the exon 8 coding region. To rule out the presence of any contaminating DNA from LNCaP or LNCaP-derived cells that have the T878A mutation, we identified in LNCaP cells a private SNP (or mutation) in another X chromosome gene, EIF2S3 (ChrX:24078360 T>A), and also amplified each genomic sample with primers flanking this SNP. The genomic sequencing confirmed the presence of the T878A mutation in each biopsy.

Table 3. Mutations in block Q versus S residual tumor foci in neoadjuvant abiraterone/leuprolide trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor focus</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Block S focus Frequency</th>
<th>Block S focus Depth</th>
<th>Block Q focus Frequency</th>
<th>Block Q focus Depth</th>
<th>Normal depth</th>
<th>COSMIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Block S focus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.56%</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Q focus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29.29%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal depth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75.71%</td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Mutations in the COSMIC database as having been previously identified in cancer are indicated.
from all three patients, with allelic frequencies from approximately 14% to approximately 23% (Supplementary Table S1). As tumor purity in these metastatic samples was <50%, these results indicate that a high frequency of tumor cells contained the T878A AR, consistent with it being a driver mutation. The LNCaP EJ253 gene private SNP was not detected in any of the patient tumor-derived biopsies, confirming that the mutation was not from contaminating LNCaP cell DNA.

AR mutations in patient treated with neoadjuvant leuprolide and abiraterone

To further assess whether abiraterone treatment may be selecting for tumor cells with progesterone responsive mutant ARs, we examined a radical prostatectomy specimen from a patient enrolled in a neoadjuvant trial who was treated for 24 weeks with leuprolide and abiraterone before surgery (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT00924469). Residual tumor was identified in two blocks from the radical prostatectomy specimen (blocks Q and S), and each focus along with an area that did not contain tumor was identified by whole-exome sequencing using a medium containing charcoal–dextran serum were stimulated for 24 hours with progesterone (Pg) at 0.1 to 10 nmol/L, with the addition of dutasteride at 10 μmol/L (black bars) or vehicle control (DMSO, white bars). Expression of the indicated mRNA was then assessed by real-time quantitative RT-PCR, with all results being normalized to basal levels. Values shown for all panels are average and SD for triplicate PCR reactions and are representative of at least 3 independent experiments. B, C4-2 cells in steroid-depleted medium were stimulated with 0.1 to 10 nmol/L progesterone (black bars) or pregnan-3,20-dione (Pd, gray bars) for 24 hours, and mRNA levels were then assessed.

Figure 1.

Activation of T878A mutant AR by progesterone and reduced metabolite. A, C4-2 cells (expressing endogenous T878A AR) cultured in steroid depleted medium containing charcoal–dextran serum were stimulated for 24 hours with progesterone (Pg) at 0.1 to 10 nmol/L, with the addition of dutasteride at 10 μmol/L (black bars) or vehicle control (DMSO, white bars). Expression of the indicated mRNA was then assessed by real-time quantitative RT-PCR, with all results being normalized to basal levels. Values shown for all panels are average and SD for triplicate PCR reactions and are representative of at least 3 independent experiments. B, C4-2 cells in steroid-depleted medium were stimulated with 0.1 to 10 nmol/L progesterone (black bars) or pregnan-3,20-dione (Pd, gray bars) for 24 hours, and mRNA levels were then assessed.

T878A mutation enhances the direct AR antagonist activity of dutasteride

More cases must be examined to determine the precise frequency of the T878A mutation, but it was noteworthy that the frequency appeared to be lower in patients on the abiraterone plus
Dutasteride clinical trial (1/14 cases examined). As progesterone is a substrate for 5α-reductase, we considered that dutasteride might be blocking the generation of a more potent ligand for the T878A AR. Indeed, treatment of C4-2 cells (which express the T878A AR) with dutasteride markedly suppressed the progesterone-stimulated expression of multiple AR-regulated genes (Fig. 1A). Therefore, we next compared the potency of progesterone (Pg) versus its 5α-reduced metabolite, 5α-pregnane-3,20-dione (Pd). However, we found that Pg was more potent at induction of AR-regulated genes in C4-2 cells, indicating that dutasteride is not blocking synthesis of a more potent progesterone metabolite (Fig. 1B).

Although dutasteride was developed as a dual 5α-reductase inhibitor, previous studies indicate that it can also directly inhibit AR activity (26–28). Therefore, we considered that dutasteride might be functioning as a direct AR antagonist independent of its 5α-reductase inhibitory activity. Consistent with these previous studies, dutasteride at 10 μmol/L in C4-2 cells suppressed the expression of multiple AR-regulated genes in response to 1 nmol/L DHT (Fig. 2A). Moreover, this inhibition could be substantially overcome at 10 nmol/L DHT, indicating that dutasteride was acting as a competitive AR antagonist. Using lower concentrations of dutasteride, we could also observe inhibitory effects at 1 to 2 μmol/L (Supplementary Fig. S3). We next

Figure 2.
Dutasteride is more potent antagonist of the T878A mutant versus wild-type AR. A, C4-2 cells in steroid-depleted medium were stimulated for 24 hours with DHT (0.1–10 nmol/L) with the addition of dutasteride at 10 μmol/L (black bars) or vehicle control (DMSO, white bars). B, C4-2 cells in steroid-depleted medium were stimulated for 24 hours with vehicle (EtOH, white bars), DHT (1 nmol/L, black bars), or progesterone (1 nmol/L, gray bars), in the presence of dutasteride (Duta, 10 μmol/L), bicalutamide (Bic, 10 μmol/L), or vehicle (Veh). C, VCaP cells (amplified wild-type AR) in steroid-depleted medium were stimulated for 24 hours with vehicle (EtOH, white bars) or DHT (1 nmol/L, black bars), alone or in the presence of dutasteride (Duta, 10 μmol/L), bicalutamide (Bic, 10 μmol/L), or vehicle (Veh). D, PC-3 cells (AR negative) that were stably transfected with wild-type (WT) or T878A AR were cultured in steroid-depleted medium and stimulated for 24 hours with vehicle (EtOH, white bars) or DHT (1 nmol/L, black bars), alone or in the presence of dutasteride (Duta, 10 μmol/L) or bicalutamide (Bic, 10 μmol/L). Expression of the AR-regulated endogenous FKBP5 was then assessed. AR protein levels are shown on left.
compared it with bicalutamide, an AR antagonist that retains its activity against the T878A-mutant AR, and found that bicalutamide and dutasteride were comparable in their repression of DHT and progesterone-stimulated AR activity in C4-2 cells (Fig. 2B). In contrast, dutasteride was less potent at blocking DHT-stimulated AR activity in VCaP cells, which express an amplified wild-type AR (Fig. 2C). Dutasteride was similarly less potent than bicalutamide in LAPC4 cells, which express an unamplified wild-type AR (Supplementary Fig. S4). Finally, we examined an AR-negative prostate cancer cell line (PC-3) that was stably transfected with wild-type or T878A-mutant AR, and found that dutasteride was again less potent than bicalutamide on the wild-type versus the T878A-mutant AR (Fig. 2D). Together, these findings indicate that treatment with dutasteride may suppress activation of the T878A-mutant AR by progesterone, or by other ligands, in patients treated with abiraterone.

**Discussion**

We showed previously that the recurrent tumors in approximately one third of patients treated long term with the AR antagonist flutamide contained an AR with mutations in codons 875 or 878 that could be strongly stimulated by hydroxylflutamide, and that these mutant ARs could also be stimulated by progesterone and estradiol (9, 10, 12). In contrast, these mutations were not found in patients treated with surgical or medical castration alone (10, 11), indicating that they were driver mutations occurring in response to strong selective pressure to maintain AR activity. Treatment with abiraterone markedly decreases levels of downstream steroids including testosterone and DHT, but it does not decrease progesterone and related C21 steroids that are the upstream substrates for CYP17A1, which may instead be increased (18). The results in this study demonstrate that progesterone and/or other steroids upstream of CYP17A1, similarly to flutamide, can select for tumor cells with the T878A mutation in vivo, and indicate that this is a mechanism for acquired resistance to abiraterone. Moreover, the selection for this AR mutation indicates that the initial tumor cell clones giving rise to these castration-resistant and abiraterone-resistant tumors were AR positive and dependent on AR transcriptional activity.

It is noteworthy that we did not find other mutations that result in AR activation by progesterone, including H875Y and T878S (12). This may just reflect the modest number of cases examined, but we cannot rule out the possibilities that the progesterone-liganded T878A AR has more favorable functional properties or that another ligand upstream of CYP17A1 can more strongly and selectively drive the T878A-mutant AR. Interestingly, although the T878A mutation was found in 3 cases where patients were treated with a single-agent CYP17A1 inhibitor (ketocazole or abiraterone), it was only found in 1/14 of the patients treated with the combination of abiraterone plus dutasteride. Our data indicate that dutasteride may be impairing selection for these tumor cells by functioning as a direct antagonist of the T878A AR. It should be noted that serum concentrations of dutasteride in men treated with the standard 0.5 mg/day dose are approximately 0.1 μmol/L, and would presumably be higher in patients on the abiraterone plus dutasteride trial who received 3.5 mg/day. Therefore, although dutasteride is not a potent antagonist for the T878A AR, and is less potent on the wild-type AR, it may contribute to suppressing the selection of tumor cells with the T878A mutation.

Further studies of patients on abiraterone clinical trials should resolve whether dutasteride or other agents influence the spectrum of mechanisms that drive relapse. In any case, these findings provide a mechanism for abiraterone resistance and establish that abiraterone-treated tumors are under strong selective pressure to retain AR transcriptional activity. These results have potential implications as the T878A-mutant AR can be inhibited by the available AR antagonists bicalutamide and enzalutamide. Indeed, we showed previously that bicalutamide was more effective in patients who developed CRPC after long-term treatment with flutamide, a subset of whom expressed the T878A-mutant AR (29). These results also suggest that abiraterone may be more effective if used in conjunction with an AR antagonist, or if used early in conjunction with a luteinizing hormone releasing hormone (LHRH) agonist and before the selection of multiple castration-resistant clones (although our result in the neoadjuvant study indicates that these resistant clones may emerge early in some tumors). Finally, agents that suppress progesterone synthesis may be effective in a subset of patients who become resistant to abiraterone, both through suppressing mutant ARs and by further reducing downstream substrates for androgen synthesis. Moreover, as progesterone receptor may be increased in CRPC and could possibly contribute to stimulation of some AR-regulated genes, lowering progesterone also may be beneficial by decreasing progesterone receptor activity in a subset of patients (30, 31).
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