PTEN Loss Is Associated with Worse Outcome in HER2-Amplified Breast Cancer Patients but Is Not Associated with Trastuzumab Resistance

Howard M. Stern1, Humphrey Gardner2, Tomasz Burzykowski3, Wafaa Elatr4, Carol O’Brien5, Mark R. Lackner6, Gary A. Pestano7, Angela Santiago8, Ivonne Villalobos4, Wolfgang Eiermann9, Tadeusz Pienkowski7, Miguel Martin8, Nicholas Robert9, John Crown10, Paolo Nuciforo11, Valerie Bee12, John Mackey13, Dennis J. Slamon14, and Michael F. Press4

Abstract

Purpose: To investigate the clinical relevance of PTEN in HER2-amplified and HER2-nonamplified disease.

Experimental Design: We assessed PTEN status in two large adjuvant breast cancer trials (BCIRG-006 and BCIRG-005) using a PTEN immunohistochemical (IHC) assay that was previously validated in a panel of 33 breast cancer cell lines and prostate cancer tissues with known PTEN gene deletion.

Results: In the HER2-positive patient population, absence of tumor cell PTEN staining occurred at a rate of 5.4% and was independent of ER/PR status. In contrast, 15.9% of HER2-negative patients exhibited absence of PTEN staining with the highest frequency seen in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) subgroup versus ER/PR-positive patients (35.1% vs. 10.9%). Complete absence of PTEN staining in tumor cells was associated with poor clinical outcome in HER2-positive disease. Those patients whose cancers demonstrated absent PTEN staining had a significant decrease in disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) compared with patients with tumors exhibiting any PTEN staining patterns (low, moderate, or high). Trastuzumab appeared to provide clinical benefit even for patients lacking PTEN staining. In the HER2-negative population, there were no statistically significant differences in clinical outcome based on PTEN status.

Conclusions: This study is the largest to date examining PTEN status in breast cancer and the data suggest that the rate and significance of PTEN status differ between HER2-positive and HER2-negative disease. Furthermore, the data clearly suggest that HER2-positive patients with PTEN loss still benefit from trastuzumab. Clin Cancer Res; 21(9); 2065–74. ©2015 AACR.

Introduction

The HER2 (erbB2) gene is a member of the human type 1 receptor tyrosine kinase family. In approximately 20% to 25% of breast cancers, this gene is amplified resulting in HER2 protein overexpression and oncogenic transformation (1–3). Under normal conditions, HER2 is activated only when ligand binds with one of the other three HER family members (EGFR/HER1, HER3, or HER4), causing heterodimer formation with HER2 and activation of its kinase activity (4, 5). However, when overexpressed, HER2 is able to associate with itself and other HER family members in a ligand-independent manner (6, 7). The most potent oncogenic unit in the setting of HER2 amplification is the HER2/HER3 heterodimer (3, 8, 9) and involvement of HER3 is particularly significant given its role in potent activation of the PI3K pathway (10, 11). Before the approval of trastuzumab (12) and lapatinib (13), HER2 amplification in breast cancer was associated with poor clinical outcomes (1, 2, 14, 15). More recently, additional anti-HER2 agents like pertuzumab (16) and trastuzumab emtansine (17) have also been introduced into treatment regimens. The most commonly used agent, trastuzumab, is a humanized monoclonal antibody that extends progression-free survival and overall survival (OS) in the HER2-positive metastatic breast (12) and gastric cancer settings (18) and significantly improves disease-free and overall survival (OS) in the adjuvant HER2-positive breast cancer setting (19–21). There are several possible mechanisms for trastuzumab’s therapeutic effect, one of which is the ability of the drug to disrupt
Translational Relevance

The humanized anti-HER2 monoclonal antibody trastuzumab provides clinical benefit for patients with HER2-positive breast cancer. Despite this proven efficacy, some patients with HER2-positive breast cancer exhibit intrinsic resistance to trastuzumab, and, in the metastatic setting many develop acquired resistance. While loss of PTEN expression is hypothesized to be a trastuzumab resistance factor, clinical studies to date have been largely limited to small metastatic cohorts. This study explores PTEN status in a large adjuvant population consisting of 1,201 HER2-amplified and 1,163 HER2-nonamplified breast cancer patients. The data demonstrate that the rate and significance of PTEN loss differs between HER2-amplified and HER2-nonamplified cancers. Furthermore, absence of PTEN staining was a poor prognostic indicator in HER2-amplified disease but was not predictive of trastuzumab resistance. These findings suggest that a combination of trastuzumab plus PI3K pathway inhibitors may be effective in HER2-amplified patients whose breast cancers lack PTEN staining.

Materials and Methods

Patients and tissues

The Breast Cancer International Research Group (BCIRG)-005 and BCIRG-006 adjuvant breast cancer trials were conducted between August 2000 and March 2004 and accrued 3,298 and 3,222 patients, respectively. BCIRG-005 enrolled 3,298 and 3,222 patients, respectively. BCIRG-006 enrolled early breast cancer patients with HER2/nonamplified, node-negative, early breast cancer who were randomized to receive adjuvant treatment with one of two anthracycline-containing regimens, either four cycles of doxorubicin plus cyclophosphamide given every 3 weeks followed by four cycles of docetaxel given every 3 weeks (AC → T) or alternatively six cycles of docetaxel plus doxorubicin plus cyclophosphamide given every 3 weeks (TAC; ref. 26). BCIRG-006 enrolled early breast cancer patients with node-positive or high-risk, node-negative, invasive HER2-amplified disease. This trial compared two different experimental trastuzumab plus chemotherapy regimens (one with and one without anthracyclines) and compared each with anthracycline-based chemotherapy alone (21). Both studies followed patients for disease-free survival (DFS) and OS. Patients in both studies provided consent for centralized molecular analysis of their primary tumors and paraffin blocks of tumor tissue were submitted to one of two central laboratories to determine HER2 status by FISH that was subsequently confirmed in a blinded reanalysis of the tissue (27). Cores from available tissue blocks were placed into tissue microarrays (TMA) for subsequent exploratory analyses. Breast carcinoma specimens were available from the primary cancers as TMAs as described elsewhere (27). Eight replica blocks, each with one core per tumor, were created, and one of the replica blocks was used for this investigation. The study was approved by the USC Institutional Research Board (IRB).

Immunohistochemistry

The PTEN immunohistochemical analyses for this study were conducted using FFPE tissue sections with automated immunostaining platforms. The assay was developed on the Ventana Discovery XT platform and subsequently adapted to the Ventana Benchmark platform (Ventana Medical Systems, Inc.). The primary rabbit monoclonal anti-PTEN antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, cat. no. 9559, lot 2) was used at a 1:25 dilution for 1 hour at room temperature. On the Discovery XT platform, heat-induced, antigen retrieval was conducted using the CC1 standard program and a high pH Tris/borate/EDTA buffer (VMSI, Catalog No. 950-124). Primary antibody was detected using the ChromoMap Diaminobenzidine Detection Kit (VMSI, Catalog No. 760-159) and UltraMap anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase (VMSI, Catalog No. 760-4315). The anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase secondary antibody was applied for 32 minutes at room temperature. Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin (VMSI, Catalog No. 790-2208) for 8 minutes at 37°C. An identical program was written for the Benchmark XT platform using a PTEN primary antibody at a concentration of 1:20 and the UltraViewTM Universal DAB Detection Kit (Catalog No. 760-500).

PTEN immunohistochemistry was subjectively interpreted as absent (0) if absolutely no immunostaining was detectable in breast carcinoma cells but was present in adjacent benign stromal cells, as weak but reduced (1+) if cytoplasmic immunostaining was detectable but less intense in the carcinoma cells than in adjacent benign stromal cells; as weak (1+) if cytoplasmic staining was low but of similar intensity to that observed in adjacent benign stromal cells; as moderate (2+) if cytoplasmic immunostaining in carcinoma cells was intermediate between weak and strong; and as strong (3+) if the cytoplasmic immunostaining was intense (Fig. 1). Two pathologists (W. Elatre and M.F. Press) interpreted the immunohistochemistry of the TMAs. Discrepancies were resolved by consensus over a multiheaded microscope.
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Figure 1. PTEN IHC assay validation and scoring. PTEN IHC was performed on a panel of FFPE breast cancer cell pellets of known PTEN status confirmed by Western immunoblot. A, Western immunoblot analyses for HCC-1428, HCC-2218, HCC-38, HCC-70, MDA-MB-453, HS578T, and HCC-1419 human breast cancer cell lines are illustrated above with PTEN IHC illustrated below; B, comparison of Western immunoblot results and PTEN IHC in HCC-1937, HCC-70, HDQ-P1, AU565, MDA-MB-231, CAMA-1, and DU4475 human breast cancer cell lines. C–G, PTEN immunohistochemistry was performed on breast cancer TMA’s containing tissue from the BCIRG-006 and BCIRG-005 clinical trials. Tissues for which PTEN was present in stroma and could be evaluated in tumor tissue were grouped into five categories: Strong cytoplasmic immunostaining in carcinoma cells (3++; G), moderate cytoplasmic immunostaining in carcinoma cells (2++; F), weak cytoplasmic immunostaining in carcinoma cells, comparable with the immunostaining observed in the benign stromal cells (1++; E), weak cytoplasmic immunostaining in carcinoma cells, but weaker than the immunostaining observed in benign stromal cells (1++; D), and no immunostaining detectable in carcinoma cells, but with distinct immunostaining observed only in the benign stromal cells (0; C).

Cell lines
Human breast cancer cell lines (AU565, BT474, BT549, CAL-51, CAL-120, CAL-148, CAMA-1, DU4475, EFM192A, EFM-19, HCC-38, HCC-70, HCC-1419, HCC-1428, HCC-1569, HCC-1937, HCC-1954, HCC-2218, HS578T, HDQ-P1, KPL-1, MCF7, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-361, MDA-MB-415, MDA-MB-453, MDA-MB-468, MFM-223, and MDA-MB-436 and ZR-75-1) and MT-3, which was originally described as a breast cancer line but
subsequently determined by fingerprinting to be a human colon cancer cell line, were obtained from the ATCC and authenticated via Affymetrix gene expression profiling and single-nucleotide polymorphism genotyping arrays. Cell lines were maintained in RPMI supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich), nonessential amino acids, and 2 mmol/L L-glutamine. Western blots were performed using the following primary antibodies: rabbit monoclonal anti-PTEN clone 138G6 (Cell Signaling Technology) and mouse monoclonal anti-α-tubulin clone B-5-1-2 (Sigma-Aldrich). PTEN immunohistochemistry on cell lines was performed using a TMA of FFPE breast cancer cell lines prepared separately from the BCIRG clinical trials patient samples as previously described (28). The optimal immunohistochemistry conditions determined from cell line TMAs were used for the BCIRG patient sample TMAs.

This IHC assay was also tested and optimized in human tissues. Preclinical cancer samples with PTEN deletion by quantum-dot FISH (QD-FISH) were used as tissue controls. After examining a series of archival breast cancer tissues as well as some from the BCIRG adjuvant trials, a scoring algorithm was developed to capture the various staining patterns observed (Fig. 1C–G). Nonmalignant tissue, such as stroma, was used as an internal positive control for each sample.

Statistical analysis

Observed proportions were compared by using the χ² test. OS was computed from the date of randomization to the date of death or the last observation (whichever occurred first). DFS was computed from the date of randomization to the date of death, recurrence, or the last observation (whichever occurred first).

Survival curves were estimated by using the Kaplan–Meier estimator. HRs for PTEN staining levels were estimated on the basis of a multivariable Cox model stratified by the ER/PR status and adjusted for treatment, age, performance status, tumor site, T-stage, histologic type, number of positive nodes, grade, and menopausal status. Comparisons based on the model were conducted by using the likelihood ratio test. Results of all statistical significance tests were evaluated by using the 5% significance level (two-sided).

Results

PTEN IHC assay development and validation

An IHC assay to assess PTEN status was developed and validated utilizing FFPE cell pellets of known PTEN status as controls. Cell lines that were positive for PTEN by Western blot analysis were clearly positive by immunohistochemistry and those that were negative for PTEN by Western blot analysis were negative by immunohistochemistry (Fig. 1A and B). This analysis was expanded to a panel of 30 breast cancer cell lines and a colon cancer cell line, assessed by both Western blot analysis and immunohistochemistry (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. S3). The cell lines were defined as positive or negative based on whether the expected PTEN protein band was detectable by Western blot analysis as previously reported (29). The immunohistochemistry was quantified in the same cell lines using fixed and paraffin-embedded pellets with image analysis. Ten cell lines contained no detectable PTEN by either Western immunoblot or immunohistochemistry (BT549, CAL-148, CAL-51, HCC-38, HCC-70, HCC-1569, HCC1937, MDA-MB-436, MDA-MB-468, and ZR-75-1), while 20 cell lines demonstrated detectable PTEN by both Western immunoblot and immunohistochemistry (AU565, BT474, CAL-120, CAMA-1, DU4475, EFM-19, EFM192A, HDQ-P1, HCC-1419, HCC-1428, HCC-1954, HCC-2218, HS578T, KPL-1, MCF7, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-361, MDA-MB-453, MFM-223, and MT-3). One cell line (MDA-MB-415) showed a barely detectable PTEN band but immunohistochemistry failed to demonstrate any immunostaining. Therefore, the sensitivity (95.2%) and specificity (100%) for IHC compared with western immunoblot analysis were both considered acceptable with a high overall agreement rate (97%). These data support the conclusion that the PTEN IHC assay subsequently used for this study was both sensitive and specific for PTEN.

Description of the study population

The PTEN IHC assay and scoring algorithm were applied to tissues from the BCIRG-006 and BCIRG-005 adjuvant trials (see clinical trial schemata in Supplementary Fig. S1). PTEN IHC was performed on TMAs representing 4,587 patients across both BCIRG trials. For 2,211 patients, the cores were missing or insufficient tissue was present to generate a PTEN score. In another 12 patients, there was insufficient staining in nonmalignant stromal cells thus precluding assessment of the tumor tissue. Accordingly, PTEN scores were successfully generated from a total of 2,364 patients of which 1,201 were from BCIRG-006 and 1,163 from BCIRG-005. The characteristics of the patients for whom PTEN data were obtained were balanced across treatment arms (Table 1). Clinical data from each trial demonstrated that neither DFS nor OS of patients for whom PTEN data were available differed from patients without available PTEN analyses (Supplementary Fig. S2).

Absence of PTEN staining is more common in HER2-negative disease

The percentage of patients in each of five different PTEN cytoplasmic staining categories for both trials is summarized in Table 2. PTEN scores for nuclear staining were also determined and were found to significantly agree with cytoplasmic staining results (Supplementary Table S1). Given this staining concordance and the fact that a mechanistic understanding of PTEN function is more in keeping with cytoplasmic expression, the remainder of the analyses for this study focused on cytoplasmic IHC scores. There was a statistically significant difference in the percentage of patients with complete absence of PTEN staining in tumor cells when comparing the HER2-positive and HER2-negative populations (5.4% in BCIRG-006 vs. 15.9% in BCIRG-005; P < 0.0001) indicating that absence of PTEN expression is more common in HER2-negative (nonamplified) disease.

Prior studies have indicated that loss of PTEN is most common in triple-negative breast cancer (30–33). To determine whether this observation could be confirmed in the BCIRG adjuvant trial populations, PTEN status was examined on the basis of ER/PR status (Table 3). In the BCIRG-006 population (HER2-positive), 548 (45.6%) of 1,201 patients with available PTEN data were classified as ER/PR-negative. The percentage of patients lacking PTEN staining in tumor cells was similar in the ER/PR-negative population compared with the ER/PR-positive population (6.4% vs. 4.6%).
Table 1. Characteristics of patients with PTEN data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>HER2-amplified (BCIRG-006)</th>
<th>HER2 not amplified (BCIRG-005)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N = 1,201</td>
<td>N = 1,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at randomization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;35 years</td>
<td>36 (9.1%)</td>
<td>33 (9.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–49 years</td>
<td>178 (6.2%)</td>
<td>171 (4.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–65 years</td>
<td>165 (24.9%)</td>
<td>190 (49.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥65 years</td>
<td>15 (3.7%)</td>
<td>17 (4.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of positive nodes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>97 (24.6%)</td>
<td>99 (24.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>156 (39.6%)</td>
<td>172 (42.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–10</td>
<td>94 (23.9%)</td>
<td>95 (25.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥11</td>
<td>47 (11.9%)</td>
<td>39 (9.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>3 (0.8%)</td>
<td>5 (1.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>197 (50.0%)</td>
<td>194 (47.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>194 (49.2%)</td>
<td>206 (50.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>3 (0.8%)</td>
<td>5 (1.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>229 (50.7%)</td>
<td>227 (56.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>152 (38.6%)</td>
<td>173 (42.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤2.0 cm (pT7)</td>
<td>145 (36.4%)</td>
<td>136 (33.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2.1 cm to 5.0 cm (pT2)</td>
<td>221 (66.4%)</td>
<td>235 (58.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5.1 cm (pT3)</td>
<td>28 (7.1%)</td>
<td>32 (7.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any (pT4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 (3.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>18 (4.6%)</td>
<td>23 (5.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well</td>
<td>61 (15.1%)</td>
<td>7 (1.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately</td>
<td>93 (23.6%)</td>
<td>106 (26.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly</td>
<td>275 (69.8%)</td>
<td>268 (66.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undifferentiated</td>
<td>2 (0.5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0.06). The percentage of patients in BCIRG-005 lacking PTEN staining in tumor cells was unevenly distributed with 35.1% having an ER/PR-negative status, and, therefore, defined as "triple-negative" 10.9% of ER/PR-positive samples lacked PTEN staining (P < 0.0001). Together, these data confirm that the frequency of tumors lacking PTEN expression in tumor cells is highest in the triple-negative population, which is followed by the ER/PR-positive, HER2-negative populations, and is lowest in the HER2-positive population.

Clinical outcome based on PTEN status

All five PTEN staining patterns were independently examined and analyzed for correlation with DFS and OS in the HER2-positive and HER2-negative populations. In women with HER2-positive breast cancer, the subpopulation of patients lacking PTEN staining exhibited a decrease in both DFS and OS compared with all other PTEN staining categories; however, the effect of PTEN absence did not reach statistical significance (P = 0.09). However, the HRs for very weak, weak, moderate, and strong staining versus absent PTEN staining were 0.57 (95% CI, 0.30–0.98), 0.56 (95% CI, 0.35–0.89), 0.48 (95% CI, 0.30–0.78), and 0.48 (95% CI, 0.22–1.02), respectively, and consistently indicated a trend toward a negative prognostic effect of absent PTEN staining (Fig. 2A). The similarity of HR values for different categories of PTEN staining is also noteworthy. Conversely, for the OS, the effect of PTEN staining was strongly significant (P = 0.002), with the HRs equal to 0.35 (95% CI, 0.16–0.79), 0.35 (95% CI, 0.20–0.61), 0.26 (95% CI, 0.15–0.46), and 0.30 (95% CI, 0.16–0.69). The percentage of patients in BCIRG-005 lacking PTEN staining in tumor cells was unevenly distributed with 35.1% having an ER/PR-negative status, and, therefore, defined as "triple-negative" 10.9% of ER/PR-positive samples lacked PTEN staining (P < 0.0001). Together, these data confirm that the frequency of tumors lacking PTEN expression in tumor cells is highest in the triple-negative population, which is followed by the ER/PR-positive, HER2-negative populations, and is lowest in the HER2-positive population.

Table 2. PTEN IHC score distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>BCIRG-006 (HER2-amplified)</th>
<th>BCIRG-005 (HER2-not amplified)</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Absent in tumor (0)</td>
<td>65 (5.4%)</td>
<td>250 (10.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Weaker in tumor¹ (1′)</td>
<td>85 (7.1%)</td>
<td>173 (7.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Weak overall¹ (1′')</td>
<td>443 (38.1%)</td>
<td>944 (39.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Strong tumor² (2+)</td>
<td>486 (40.5%)</td>
<td>873 (36.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total scoreable</td>
<td>1201</td>
<td>1363</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹No detectable staining in tumor cells but with positive staining present in stroma.
²Weak staining present in tumor cells; however, this staining is weaker than the immunostaining observed in adjacent benign stromal cells.
³Strong staining (3+) in tumor cells with staining also present in stromal cells.
CI, 0.11–0.83) respectively, for the other four levels of staining compared with absent PTEN staining, again consistently indicating a similar, negative prognostic effect of absence of PTEN staining (Fig. 2B).

In women with HER2-negative breast cancer (BCIRG-005), there was a marginally significant effect of PTEN staining on DFS ($P = 0.01$). The HRs for very weak, weak, moderate, and strong staining patterns, respectively, versus absent PTEN were 1.19 (95% CI, 0.75–1.90), 0.71 (95% CI, 0.49–1.03), 1.23 (95% CI, 0.85–1.76), and 0.85 (95% CI, 0.46–1.61), demonstrating no consistent pattern beyond a trend toward correlation between lower PTEN staining levels and a worse outcome (Fig. 2C). The effect of PTEN staining on OS was not statistically different ($P = 0.15$; see Fig. 2D). These data suggest that the clinical significance of PTEN staining differs in HER2-positive and HER2-negative disease and that in the HER2-positive setting only complete absence of PTEN staining in tumor cells is clinically significant.

To determine whether PTEN status was associated with both clinical outcome and response to trastuzumab therapy in HER2-positive patients, we examined DFS and OS in the trastuzumab-containing arms of BCIRG-006 versus the chemotherapy alone arm. Because only those patients lacking PTEN staining had a significantly different clinical outcome, we looked at the trastuzumab treatment effects in patients lacking PTEN staining versus patients having any other PTEN staining category. Among the patients lacking PTEN staining, trastuzumab treatment appeared to provide a trend towards benefit in terms of DFS and OS compared with patients receiving chemotherapy alone (Fig. 3A and B). Statistically, however, there was no significant interaction effect between PTEN status and clinical outcome ($P = 0.68$ for OS, $P = 0.41$ for DFS), indicating no evidence between PTEN status and response to trastuzumab. These data suggest that while lack of PTEN is associated with poor outcome, it is not associated with resistance to trastuzumab.

### Table 3. PTEN by ER/PR status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PTEN</th>
<th>ER and/or PR</th>
<th>ER+ and/or PR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BCIRG-006 (n = 1,201; HER2 amplified)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent in tumor</td>
<td>35 (6.4%)</td>
<td>30 (4.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak in tumor</td>
<td>52 (9.5%)</td>
<td>33 (5.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak overall</td>
<td>257 (46.9%)</td>
<td>244 (37.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate overall</td>
<td>384 (33.6%)</td>
<td>302 (46.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong in tumor</td>
<td>20 (3.6%)</td>
<td>44 (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>548 (100%)</td>
<td>653 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BCIRG-005 (n = 1,163; HER2 not amplified)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent in tumor</td>
<td>86 (35.1%)</td>
<td>99 (10.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak in tumor</td>
<td>28 (11.4%)</td>
<td>60 (6.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak overall</td>
<td>76 (31.0%)</td>
<td>367 (40.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate overall</td>
<td>43 (17.6%)</td>
<td>344 (37.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong in tumor</td>
<td>12 (4.9%)</td>
<td>48 (5.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>245 (100%)</td>
<td>918 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.

DFS and OS based on PTEN IHC score. For all 5 PTEN IHC scoring categories, Kaplan-Meier curves for DFS (A and C) and OS (B and D) were analyzed for BCIRG 006 (HER2-amplified; A and B) and BCIRG 005 (HER2-nonamplified; C and D).
In the HER2-nonamplified population, there were no statistically significant differences in either DFS or OS based on PTEN status, despite a trend towards worse outcome in patients lacking PTEN staining (Fig. 3C and D). Consistent with analysis of outcome data for the entire clinical trial population, TAC and ACT were equivalent in clinical outcome (interaction test, \( P = 0.69 \) and \( P = 0.32 \) for OS and DFS, respectively).

**Discussion**

Several published studies have hypothesized that PTEN loss could be a resistance factor for trastuzumab treatment (34–37). From a signaling perspective, a logical hypothesis is that downstream activation of a relevant pathway might likely lead to resistance to upstream inhibition (38, 39). There are both preclinical and clinical data supporting this hypothesis. First, it has been preclinically shown both *in vitro* and *in vivo* that introduction of PIK3CA-activating mutations and/or PTEN loss can mitigate the growth inhibitory effects of trastuzumab (29, 34–36). Second, cell lines that are resistant to trastuzumab have been found to respond to the potent and selective PI3K inhibitor GDC-0941 both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (22, 37). Third, several retrospective studies of PTEN and PIK3CA in tumors from HER2-positive, patients with metastatic breast cancer suggest that PTEN loss and/or PIK3CA-activating mutations are associated with poorer clinical outcomes despite trastuzumab treatment (23, 34, 36, 40–42). However, most of these data were generated in small clinical cohorts with limited follow-up and most were from studies that did not contain a control (no trastuzumab) arm. In addition, several different assay methods to evaluate PTEN status have been used with varying success. These shortcomings in the reported literature make it difficult to determine whether PI3K pathway activation is a prognostic marker associated generally with poor outcomes or is a predictive marker of trastuzumab resistance. Furthermore, examination of this question has largely been in patients with metastatic disease who may not be ideal from the perspective that the assessment of PI3K pathway status is done on the primary tumor that may or may not reflect the status of the subsequent metastases.

One study using the N9831 trial did involve an assessment of PTEN in large numbers of patients with HER2-positive breast cancers who were randomized to trastuzumab therapy versus no trastuzumab combination chemotherapy regimens in the adjuvant setting (43). This trial did not show any association between PTEN status and response to trastuzumab. Given these somewhat conflicting data, it seems clear that evaluation of the hypothesis that downstream PI3K pathway activation can cause resistance to HER2-targeted agents in the clinic would benefit...
from examination in an additional large dataset containing an appropriate control population with long follow-up. The goal of the current study is to validate and utilize a reproducible PTEN IHC assay to test the impact of PTEN status on clinical outcome in two large adjuvant cohorts, including HER2-positive breast cancer patients treated with and without trastuzumab, as well as in HER2-negative breast cancer patients treated with combination versus sequential chemotherapy (21, 26).

Decreased PTEN expression has been reported to occur in 15% to 50% of breast cancers (23, 34, 36, 40–44). A potential shortcoming of these studies is that decreased PTEN expression is variably defined but generally reflects a reduction in PTEN staining in tumor cells compared with nonmalignant tissue elements, usually stromal cells. Although no studies directly compare the frequency of decreased PTEN in HER2-positive versus HER2-negative disease, the reported PTEN loss data in HER2-positive studies spans a similar range. Combining our PTEN IHC categories ’absent in tumor’ and ’weak in tumor,’ allows comparison of our data to published studies looking at decreased PTEN expression. In that regard, we observed a decreased PTEN rate of 12.5% in the HER2-positive study cohort and 23.5% in the HER2-negative cohort. The data in HER2-negative patients are similar to the rates observed in published studies, but the rate in the HER2-positive patients is lower than previously reported. It is not clear if this discrepancy reflects differences in the assay or scoring or alternatively in real biologic differences in the various study populations.

A key question when considering the significance of decreased PTEN expression is “how low does PTEN expression need to go to exert a biologically and clinically meaningful effect?” Interestingly, our data revealed that in the HER2-positive population, those patients with absent PTEN expression exhibit a significantly worse outcome than those that exhibit weak staining in tumor cells. These data suggest that a substantial decrease in PTEN expression is necessary before becoming biologically significant. It should be noted that absence of staining must be interpreted as “below the limit of detection of the assay” and does not necessarily mean that PTEN protein is completely absent. This point is important to consider in the event that more sensitive detection methods might be developed. With this caveat in mind, an interesting finding from this study is that absence of PTEN staining appears to have a more profound significance in the HER2-positive as opposed to HER2-negative breast cancers. In HER2-positive patients, whose breast cancers lack PTEN staining there is a statistically significant reduction in DFS and OS, while this is not the case in the HER2-negative patient population lacking PTEN staining. In contrast to smaller studies (43), in the HER2-positive group, we find no substantial difference in the rate of PTEN loss in ER/PR-positive versus ER/PR-negative cancers. However, among HER2-negative patients, there was a significant association between PTEN loss and ER/PR-negative status. The data in the HER2-negative setting are consistent with published literature indicating that PTEN loss is most common in the triple-negative or basal-like subtype of breast cancer (30–33).

It is important to note that we evaluated PTEN status by immunohistochemistry and any molecular alteration leading to loss of PTEN function other than by reduced protein levels would be missed by an IHC assay. For example, mutations in the PTEN gene are associated with loss of function even though PTEN protein is expressed (45). Although PTEN mutations are common in some cancers such as glioblastomas and endometrial carcinomas, they are infrequent (approximate 3.5%) in breast cancers and have little overlap with HER2 gene amplification (45, 46).

Other genetic changes in PTEN such as deletions (including PTEN haploinsufficiency from loss of heterozygosity at the PTEN locus), reduced RNA or protein levels due to transcriptional dysregulation from epigenetic downmodulation of PTEN or increased protein degradation due to increased ubiquitination would be expected to be identified by immunohistochemistry since these are ultimately mediated through reduced protein expression. Our use of Western immunoblot analyses of PTEN expression in a variety of breast cancer cell lines is a useful demonstration that the IHC methods, we have employed recognize PTEN in FFPE samples with a high level of both sensitivity and specificity. Although we have used the same commercially available primary anti-PTEN antibody in our immunohistochemistry as used by others (43), our optimal IHC conditions involved a higher concentration of anti-PTEN antibody (1:20 vs. 1:250 dilutions) as well as different antigen retrieval conditions (pH = 9.0 vs. pH = 6.0). These differences in method could be responsible for higher rates of PTEN expression (82.1% for our 1+/2+/3+ combined, Table 2) observed in our study compared with a previous retrospective study of PTEN in specimens from the N9831 trial of adjuvant trastuzumab (74% for 1+/2+/3+ combined; ref. 43). Of note, our study does reach the same conclusion with regard to the lack of a role for PTEN IHC loss (as determined by immunohistochemistry) in treatment resistance to adjuvant trastuzumab. However, an important difference in the studies is that we find PTEN loss to be associated with significant adverse DFS and OS outcomes in the overall study population irrespective of treatment arm, while this was not observed in the N9831 trial.

We find that absence of PTEN staining is a prognostic factor for poor outcome in the HER2-positive population. However, the evidence from our study as well as N9831 (43) indicates that patients with absence of PTEN expression still exhibit clinical benefit from trastuzumab. This finding suggests that PTEN loss may identify patients who could benefit from additional therapy, but does not identify patients resistant to trastuzumab.

Although an initial prediction was that downstream activation of the PI3K pathway might cause upstream resistance to trastuzumab, there may be a biologic rationale to suggest that PI3K pathway activation is not a substitute for HER2 amplification. First, in at least 5% of cases, absent PTEN expression occurs in combination with HER2 amplification without any prior HER2-directed therapy. There is also evidence in the literature that PIK3CA-activating mutations occur at a rate of approximately 25%, including in HER2-positive patients (34, 47–51). Because these PI3K pathway molecular alterations are not mutually exclusive with HER2 amplification, it is reasonable to speculate that perhaps they are not entirely redundant, in which case inhibition upstream at the receptor level may still be beneficial despite downstream PI3K pathway activation. Furthermore, PIK3CA-activating mutations can upregulate signaling through HER3, suggesting that the pathway may not be linear, and may involve upstream feedback loops (52). In addition, even in the setting of downstream PI3K activation, trastuzumab may still be able to inhibit signaling through PI3K-independent pathways. For example, there is clear evidence that HER2 also signals through the MAPK pathway (53–55). Finally, the trastuzumab mechanism of action may include the ability to engage immune effector cells and mediate tumor cell destruction via antibody-dependent cellular
cytotoxicity (ADCC, refs. 56, 57), a process that may be independent of PI3K pathway signaling.

In summary, we have validated a method for assessing PTEN status by immunohistochemistry and find that absence of PTEN expression by immunohistochemistry assay is less common in HER2-amplified compared with HER2-nonamplified disease. Nevertheless, a significant association with poor clinical outcome is only observed in patients with HER2-amplified breast cancers, not in patients with HER2-nonamplified breast cancers. Furthermore, absence of PTEN staining in tumor cells was not associated with resistance to trastuzumab. These data suggest that clinical strategies combining trastuzumab with potent, selective PI3K inhibitors such as GDC-0941 (22, 58) might be beneficial in the treatment of HER2-amplified breast cancer patients with absent PTEN expression.
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