A Novel Carcinoembryonic Antigen T-Cell Bispecific Antibody (CEA TCB) for the Treatment of Solid Tumors
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Abstract

Purpose: CEA TCB is a novel IgG-based T-cell bispecific (TCB) antibody for the treatment of CEA-expressing solid tumors currently in phase I clinical trials (NCT02324257). Its format incorporates bivalent binding to CEA, a head-to-tail fusion of CEA- and CD3e-binding Fab domains and an engineered Fc region with completely abolished binding to FcγRs and C1q. The study provides novel mechanistic insights into the activity and mode of action of CEA TCB.

Experimental Design: CEA TCB activity was characterized on 110 cell lines in vitro and in xenograft tumor models in vivo using NOG mice engrafted with human peripheral blood mononuclear cells.

Results: Simultaneous binding of CEA TCB to tumor and T cells leads to formation of immunologic synapses, T-cell activation, secretion of cytotoxic granules, and tumor cell lysis. CEA TCB activity strongly correlates with CEA expression, with higher potency observed in highly CEA-expressing tumor cells and a threshold of approximately 10,000 CEA-binding sites/cell, which allows distinguishing between high- and low-CEA-expressing tumor and primary epithelial cells, respectively. Genetic factors do not affect CEA expression level and CEA TCB expression is inversely proportional to CEA expression.

Conclusions: CEA TCB is a novel generation TCB displaying potent antitumor activity; it is efficacious in poorly infiltrated tumors where it increases T-cell infiltration and generates a highly inflamed tumor microenvironment. Clin Cancer Res; 22(13): 3286–97. ©2016 AACR.

Introduction

Redirecting the activity of T cells by bispecific antibodies against tumor cells, independently of their TCR specificity, is a potent approach to treat cancer (reviewed in refs. 1–3). The concept is based on recognition of a cell surface tumor antigen and simultaneous binding to the CD3 epsilon chain (CD3e) within the T-cell receptor (TCR) complex on T cells. This triggers T-cell activation, including release of cytotoxic molecules, cytokines and chemokines, and induction of T-cell proliferation (1, 2).

The first T-cell bispecific (TCB) antibody was described 30 years ago (4), but it was only recently that the first TCB for systemic administration to cancer patients, blinatumomab, an anti-CD19 × anti-CD3e TCB, was approved by the FDA for the treatment of relapsed/refractory B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL; ref. 5). A major limitation of the earlier TCB molecules was that they induced strong cytokine release and resulted in severe infusion-related reactions, which precluded their systemic administration. Indeed such an earlier TCB, catumaxomab, targeting EpCAM, could only be applied for local, peritoneal administration for the treatment of malignant ascites (6). Besides being highly immunogenic in humans (as
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**Translational Relevance**

The study provides novel mechanistic insights into the design and activity of CEA TCB, an IgG-based T-cell bispecific antibody (TCB) currently in phase I clinical trials (NCT02324257). Its novel design confers long circulatory half-life along with selective tumor targeting, intratumor T-cell activation and killing without peripheral blood immune cell activation. In addition to the novel molecular features of the TCB format, the article provides insights into interesting aspects related to the biologic activity of CEA TCB, including a threshold of CEA receptors required for activity, selectivity for high CEA-expressing tumor cells, efficacy in non-inflamed and poorly T-cell–infiltrated tumors, and the ability to increase T-cell infiltration in tumors, thus converting the non-inflamed, PD-L1–negative tumors into highly inflamed and PD-L1–positive tumors resulting in the generation of a more inflamed tumor microenvironment.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cells, CEA expression level, and antibody binding**

The list of all cell lines used in the study, their source and authentication is provided in Supplementary Table S1. The cell line panel (C10, C106, C10A, C108, C125PM, C2BBe1, C32, C70, C75, C80, C99, CACO2, CAR1, CC20, CCEK81, CC07, CL11, CL14, CL40, COCM1, COLO201, COLO205, COLO206, COLO320DM, COLO320HSR, COLO678, CW2, CX1, DLD1, GP2d, GP5d, HCA46, HCA7, HCC2998, HCC56, HCT116, HCT15, HCC111, HCC114, HCC135, HCC142, HCC143, HDC54, HDC57, HDC73, HDC8, HDC9, HRA19, HT29, HT55, ISREC01, HJCOLOYI, IJSK-rec, KM20L2, LIM1215, LIM1863, LIM2405, LOVO, LS1034, LS123, LS174T, LS180, LS411, LS513, NCH508, NCH548, NCH716, NCH747, OUMS23, OXCO1, OXCO2, OXCO3, PCJW, PMFKO14, RCM1, RKO, RW2982, RW7213, SKCO1, SNU1181, SNU1235, SNU1411, SNU1544, SNU1684, SNU1746, SNU1254, SNU1479, SNU170, SNUI977, SNUCL1, SNIC28, SW1116, SW1222, SW1417, SW1463, SW403, SW48, SW4860, SW520, SW837, SW948, T84, TT14KB, VACO10MS, VACO429, VACO4A, VACO4S, VACO5, and WIDR) has been accumulated over a period of more than 25 years and, in many cases, cell lines were obtained from their originators before they became available from commercial cell banks. C10, C106, C125PM, C32, C70, C75, C80, and C99 cell lines have been established in the Cancer Immunogenetics Laboratory (Walter Bodmer) and have been deposited at the European Collection of Cell Cultures (ECACC). Authentication of the cell line panel mentioned above was performed in the Bodmer Laboratory using a carefully designed and fully validated custom panel of 34 unlabeled SNPs using the Sequenom MassARRAY iPLEX technology. Authentication was carried out at least once every year and, in general, at the time of preparation of the frozen cell stocks used for the TCB response assays. The most recent genotyping was performed on 58 of the cell line panel in August 2014 (Supplementary Table S1). Nineteen cell lines were also screened using the HumanOmniExpress-24 BeadChip arrays (>700 k SNPs) in April 2014 (Supplementary Table S1). The cell line panel mentioned above has also been characterized for
RER status and for driver mutations in following genes: APC, TP53, CINNB1, KRAS, BRAF, PIK3CA, and FBXW7. All cell lines were obtained more than 6 months before the start of the experiments described in this article, and all were tested regularly for absence of Mycoplasma using the MycoAlert Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lanza).

Assessment of tumor cell lysis, cytokine secretion, and T-cell activation mediated by CEA TCB

Human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were purified from fresh blood of healthy donors by conventional Histopaque gradient (Sigma-Aldrich). Adherent target cells were trypsinized (0.05 % trypsin/EDTA; Gibco) and 30,000 cells per well were seeded in flat-bottom 96-well plates (tissue culture test plates from TPP). CEA TCB or control molecules and human PBMC effector cells were added (E:T ratio of 10:1). All samples were performed in triplicates. Target cell killing was assessed after 24 and 48 hours of incubation at 37°C, 5% CO₂ by quantification of LDH released into cell supernatants by dead cells (LDH detection kit; Roche Applied Science). Maximal lysis of the target cells (100%) was achieved by incubation of target cells with 1% Triton X-100. Minimal lysis (0%) refers to target cells incubated with effector cells without TCB. The percentage of specific cell lysis was calculated as [sample release – spontaneous release]/[maximum release – spontaneous release] × 100. Cytokines secretion was assessed 48 hours after incubation of target cells with CEA TCB and PBMCs (as above). Cytokines (Granzyme B, TNF, IFNγ, IL2, IL4, and IL10) were measured by FACs analysis using the BD CBA Human Soluble Protein Flex Set with 50 µL of undiluted supernatant, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Data were acquired using FACs CantoII and EC50 values calculated using GraphPadPrism5. T-cell activation was evaluated by FACs analysis 48 hours after incubation of target cells with CEA TCB and PBMCs (as above). PBMCs were transferred into new 96-round-bottom-well plates, washed once and stained for 30 min at 4°C with antibody mix (CD4, CD8, CD69, CD25; BD Biosciences or BioLegend) according to the suppliers’ guidelines. After two washing steps, samples were analyzed by flow cytometry.

Mice

NOG female mice (NOD/Shi-scid/IL-2Rγnull, purchased from Taconic), ages 6 to 8 weeks at experiment initiation, were maintained under specific pathogen-free condition with daily cycles of 12 hours light/12 hours darkness according to committed guidelines (GV-Solias; Felsa; TierschG). Experimental study protocol was reviewed and approved by local government authorities (P2011128). After arrival, animals were maintained for 1 week for observation and for adaptation to the new environment. Continuous health monitoring was carried out on a daily basis.

Tumor/PBMC co-grafting setting

LS174T-Fluc2 cells (1×10⁶ cells) were admixed with freshly isolated human PBMC at the indicated effector:target (E:T) ratios of 5:1 or 1:1. Tumor cell/PBMC mixture was co-grafted (injected) s.c. in NOG mice in a total volume of 100µL in RPMI medium. Therapy administration i.v. (200 µL) was performed either 1 or 7 days after co-grafting at the indicated doses and schedules. Therapy administration started after 3 days of PBMS transfer (i.e., 10 days after tumor cell injection, all groups), followed by i.v. injection of 200 µL of CEA TCB, untargeted TCB or PBS (vehicle), all twice per week.

Monitoring of tumor growth

Animals were controlled daily for clinical symptoms and detection of adverse events. Tumor volume was measured by digital caliper every second day or by BLI that allows noninvasive and longitudinal monitoring of tumor growth. BLI acquisitions (photons/sec) were performed twice a week by i.p. injection of 200 µL luciferin substrate (15 mg/kg). The signal was followed over time and detected using the IVIS Spectrum (PerkinElmer). Data were analyzed with the Living Image software.

Histologic analysis

Tumor tissues from termination animals were fixed in 4% PFA (Paraformaldehyde) overnight and embedded in paraffin. Briefly, 4-µm sections were cut using a Microtome (Leica) and mounted on glass slides. Samples were deparaffinized and heat antigen retrieval was performed before immune-staining for human CEA (Roche), human CD3 (Abcam), human CD45 (Ventana), human CD8 (Abcam), and human PD-L1 (Ventana). The sections were counterstained with hematoxylin (Sigma Aldrich) and slides scanned using Olympus VS120-L100.

Results

Structural characteristics and binding properties of CEA TCB

CEA TCB is an IgG1-based bispecific heterodimeric antibody that binds with one arm to CD3ε chain expressed on T cells and with two arms to CEA expressed on tumor cells (Fig. 1A). The correct association of light chains of the antibody is enabled by introducing a CH1-CL crossover into the internal CD3-binding Fab (18), whereas correct heavy chain association is facilitated via the knob-into-hole technology (19, 20). CEA TCB binds to human CEA-expressing tumor cells bivalently with avidity of 10 nmol/L (Fig. 1B), and targets a membrane-proximal domain of human CEA (21, 22). The CEA binder used in CEA TCB (named CH1A1A) is a humanized, affinity matured, and stability-engineered version derived from the PRIA3 antibody (23, 24). As the membrane-proximal domain of CEA is not conserved among species, CEA TCB binds specifically to human CEA and does not cross-react with Cynomolgus monkey CEA (Supplementary Fig. S1A). In addition, CEA is not expressed in rodents so CEA TCB also lacks cross-reactivity with mice and rats. Because of targeting of a membrane-proximal domain of human CEA, CEA TCB displays preferential binding to membrane-anchored CEA rather than to shed CEA (sCEA), and the binding to CEA-expressing cells is not affected up to concentration of 5 µg/mL of sCEA (Supplementary Fig. S1B). In addition, the binding of the CEA antibody included in CEA TCB does not affect tumor cell proliferation (not shown), or result in internalization (Supplementary Fig. S1C). CEA TCB binds to CD3ε chain of the TCR-complex with its monovalent CD3 Fab (21). The humanized anti-CD3ε

Tumor model with intraperitoneal transfer of PBMC

NOG mice were injected s.c. with LS174T-Fluc2 cells (1×10⁶ cells) and tumor volume measured twice per week by caliper and bioluminescence imaging (BLI). Mice were injected with human PBMC i.p. (10×10⁶ cells), 7 days after tumor cell injection. Therapy administration started after 3 days of PBMS transfer (i.e., 10 days after tumor cell injection, all groups), followed by i.v. injection of 200 µL of CEA TCB, untargeted TCB or PBS (vehicle), all twice per week.
antibody included in CEA TCB cross-reacts with human and Cynomolgus monkey CD3ε (Fig. 1C, Supplementary Fig. S1D and S1E), but not with mouse CD3ε because the targeting epitope is not conserved in rodents (Supplementary Fig. S1F). The binding to CD3ε is monovalent (to prevent T-cell activation in the absence of simultaneous binding to CEA-expressing tumor cells), and has low affinity for both human and Cynomolgus monkey CD3ε (100 nmol/L in Biacore measurements) to reduce the peripheral binding to T cells and facilitate the preferential binding to CEA-expressing tumor cells. CEA TCB is a human IgG1 with the heterodimeric Fc region conferring an extended half-life (Supplementary Fig. S2A–S2C) as compared with non-Fc-containing TCB antibodies (5, 25–27). The Fc part of CEA TCB bears a novel, proprietary modification (Pro329Gly combined with Leu234Ala/Leu235Ala, here referred as P329GLALA mutation, Fig. 1A), which abrogates its binding to complement component C1q and to Fc gamma receptors (FcγR) and prevents FcγR-mediated co-activation of innate immune effector cells in vitro, including natural killer (NK) cells, monocytes/macrophages, and neutrophils, without changes in functional binding to FcRn (neonatal Fc receptor; M. Bahner; international patent application; ref.28). CEA TCB is, therefore, devoid of complement-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (CDC) and antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) activity (Supplementary Fig. S1G and S1H), with T cells being the only immune effector cells engaged by CEA TCB.

Mode of action of CEA TCB

Upon simultaneous binding to CEA-expressing tumor cells and CD3ε-expressing T cells, CEA TCB rapidly crosslinks T cells to tumor cells, leading to the activation of the CD3 downstream signaling pathway (Fig. 2A), and formation of the immunologic synapses, as observed by imaging of talin clustering, MTOC re-localization and perforin re-distribution at the interface between tumor cells and T cells (Fig. 2B and C). T-cell activation (CD8+ < CD4+) is further reflected by the expression of activation markers detected as early as 8.5 hours after addition of CEA TCB to co-cultures of CEA-expressing tumor cells and human PBMCs (Fig. 2D and E), finally leading to time- and dose-dependent lysis of tumor cells (Fig. 2F). As further hallmark of T-cell activation upon tumor lysis, a number of cytokines were detected in culture supernatants, including IFNγ, TNF, IL2, IL6, IL10 as well as cytotoxic granule granzyme B (Fig. 2G). Following CEA TCB-mediated tumor lysis, both CD8 and CD4 T-cell subsets undergo dose-dependent proliferation (Fig. 2H, CD8 T-cell subsets slightly more than CD4 T cells), and maintain an activated phenotype as shown by expression of the late activation marker CD25 (Fig. 2I, CD8 T-cell subsets slightly more than CD4 T cells). Treatment of tumor/PBMC co-cultures with untargeted TCB (a control TCB that binds to T cells, but does not bind to any tumor antigen and thus cannot cross-link T cells) does not lead to any activities described above (Fig. 2B, C, H; Supplementary Fig. S2D–S2G), further confirming that CEA TCB activity is CEA-specific and strictly dependent on its expression.

Correlation between CEA expression and CEA TCB activity

Initial analysis of CEA TCB-mediated tumor cell lysis performed on a limited number of CEA-expressing tumor cells and primary epithelial cells pointed out a possible correlation between CEA TCB activity and CEA expression (Fig. 3A). To further corroborate the initial findings, CEA TCB activity was assessed on a panel of 110 colorectal cancer cell lines expressing various levels of surface CEA (Supplementary Table S1), therefore representing a larger and better diversity in the pattern of CEA expression. In general, there were two major groups of target cells displaying <10% (nonresponders, red squares) and >10% (responders, blue squares) of tumor lysis (Fig. 3B). When looking at CEA expression, we noticed that the nonresponder group had predominantly (with only few exceptions) <10 000 CEA-binding sites, whereas the cell lines belonging to the responder group were characterized by >10 000 CEA-binding sites. A comparison of the CEA expression level between the two groups showed statistically highly significant difference in CEA expression (Fig. 3C, ****, P < 0.0001), suggesting a strong and robust correlation between CEA expression level on target cells and CEA TCB activity. Interestingly, the tests performed to look for associations between the major genetic changes found in colorectal carcinomas and the response to CEA TCB therapy, including correlations with the replication error (RER) status and mutations in APC, TP53, CTNNB1, KRAS, BRAF, PIK3CA, and FBXW7, did not result in any significant correlation (Supplementary Table S2), further suggesting that, based on in vitro data, CEA expression level appears to be the strongest predictor of CEA TCB activity.
Figure 2.
Assessment of the CEA TCB mode of action. A, the analysis of CD3 downstream signaling pathway detected at 2, 4, and 6 hours of co-culture of Jurkat-NFAT-luc cells, MKN45 tumor cells, and increasing concentrations of CEA TCB. RLU, relative luminescence units corresponding to the intensity of luciferase expression downstream of CD3. (Continued on the following page.)
In vivo activity of CEA TCB

The antitumor activity of CEA TCB was initially assessed using a human colon carcinoma xenograft model (LS174T) stably expressing firefly luciferase (LS174T-fluc2), coganrafted with human PBMCs at E:T ratios of 5:1 and 1:1 (Fig. 4A–D). Mixed cells were injected s.c. in immunodeficient NOG mice. Treatment schedules of CEA TCB were selected based on single-dose PK profile (SDPK) of i.v. bolus injection of CEA TCB in NOG mice (Supplementary Fig. S2A–S2C). CEA TCB, untargeted TCB (both given at 2.5 mg/kg) or vehicle (PBS) were administered twice per week starting either 1 day or 7 days after tumor/PBMC co-grafting (baseline analysis), revealed a significant correlation between CEA expression level (CEA-binding sites) and tumor lysis for the non-responders (in red) and the responders (in blue) groups. CEA-binding sites equal to surface receptor expression measured by flow cytometry using Qifkit (Supplementary Table S1). C, the responders have significantly higher expression of CEA-binding sites than the non-responders (Mann-Whitney test, \( P < 0.0001 \)).

Figure 3.
Correlation between CEA expression and CEA TCB activity. A, analysis of tumor cell lysis 48 hours after incubation with CEA TCB and human PBMCs (E:T 10:1). Tumor target cells, expressing varying levels of CEA were MKN-45, LS174T and HT29. The primary colon epithelial cell line shown in the graph is CCD-841. Details of the cell lines are listed in Supplementary Table S1. B, the percentage of tumor cell lysis mediated by 20 nmol/L CEA TCB with rank plots displaying the correlation between CEA expression level (CEA-binding sites) and tumor lysis for the non-responders (in red) and the responders (in blue) groups. CEA-binding sites equal to surface receptor expression measured by flow cytometry using Qifkit (Supplementary Table S1). C, the responders have significantly higher expression of CEA-binding sites than the non-responders (Mann-Whitney test, \( P < 0.0001 \)).

(Continued.) B, merged immunofluorescence images of MTOC localization phenotypes seen in cytotoxic T-cell lymphocyte (CTL) conjugates with tumor cells: MTOC is docked at the synapse (i.e., in contact with the membrane marker), MTOC is proximal to synapse, and MTOC is distal to synapse (i.e., \( > 50 \% \) distance of total cell length). Cells are labeled with antibodies against talin (green), CD8 (white), and \( \gamma \)tubulin (red); scale bar, 5 \( \mu \)m. White arrows indicate T cells. Graph displays the quantification of MTOC re-localization in CTL after treatment with CEA TCB (\( n = 490 \)). Untargeted TCB (\( n = 377 \)), and no therapy (\( n = 416 \)). Error bars show SD from the means of three independent experiments. A Dunnett two-way ANOVA test for increase in MTOC docking in CEA TCB samples compared to the untargeted TCB and no therapy control showed statistical significance (\( P < 0.0001 \)), adjusted for multiple hypothesis testing). C, merged immunofluorescence images of perforin polarization to the immunologic synapse seen in CTL conjugates with tumor cells: Perforin is clustered/polarized at the synapse, perforin is dispersed around the cell or is distal from synapse (i.e., \( > 80 \% \) of distal perforin). Cells are labeled with antibodies against talin (green) and perforin (red). White arrows indicate T-cells (distinguished by CD8 staining, not shown); scale bar, 5 \( \mu \)m. Graph displays the quantification of perforin polarization in CTLs after treatment with CEA TCB (\( n = 294 \)), untargeted TCB (\( n = 354 \)), and no therapy (\( n = 404 \)). Error bars show SD from the means of three independent experiments. A Dunnett two-way ANOVA test for increase in perforin polarization in CEA TCB samples compared to the untargeted TCB and no therapy control showed statistical significance (\( P < 0.0001 \)), adjusted for multiple hypothesis testing). D and E, kinetic analysis of early T-cell activation (CD69 expression on CD8 and CD4 T-cell subsets) detected at 8.5, 25, and 48 hours after incubation of LS174T colon carcinoma cells with CEA TCB and human PBMCs (E:T 10:1). F, dose- and time-dependent tumor cell lysis detected at 8.5, 25, and 48 hours after incubation with CEA TCB, human PBMCs and LS174T cells (E:T 10:1). G, quantification of cytokines and cytotoxic granules released into culture supernatants as result of T-cell activation upon CEA TCB-mediated tumor cell killing (targets MKN45 cells, effectors human PBMCs, E:T 10:1, detection after 8 h). Error bars represent SD of triplicates. Calculated \( EC_{50} \) values: IFN\( _Y \) 1.4 nmol/L, TNF 809 pmol/L, IL-2, 473 pmol/L; IL-6, 338 pmol/L; and IL-10, 452 pmol/L; granzyme B, 44S pmol/L; H, assessment of CD8 and CD4 T-cell proliferation and I, late T-cell activation (CD25 expression) detected 5 days after CEA TCB-mediated lysis of MKN45 cells in presence of human PBMCs (E:T 10:1). T-cell proliferation was detected by CFSE dye dilution (Materials and Methods) with proliferation peaks illustrated in between H and I.
that, despite an initial E:T of 5:1 at the time of co-grafting, tumors rapidly change their composition and evolve into masses predominantly containing tumor cells (Fig. 4E, baseline). After baseline image acquisition, mice received a single dose of CEA TCB or untargeted TCB (both at 2.5 mg/kg) and imaging was repeated 1 day (Fig. 4E and Supplementary Videos S1 and S2) and 6 days (Fig. 4E) after treatment. Microscopic images acquired 1 day after treatment revealed a significant increase in the number of fragmented tumor cells (indicative of apoptosis) along with a substantial increase of intratumor T cells upon CEA TCB administration, but not upon the treatment with the untargeted TCB (Fig. 4E and Supplementary Videos S1 and S2). Furthermore, there was a strong presence of tumor-associated T cells in animals treated with CEA TCB but not in the ones treated with untargeted TCB (Fig. 4E and Supplementary Videos S1 and S2). Subsequent imaging, performed 7 days after TCB administration in separate animals further demonstrated a significant difference in the number of tumor cells between controls and CEA TCB-treated tumors, with a decreased number of tumor cells present in mice treated with CEA TCB compared with those treated with untargeted TCB (Fig. 4E). Together, the tumor growth inhibition and 2P imaging data generated in preclinical mouse tumor models revealed that CEA TCB displays potent antitumor activity independently of the baseline immune cell infiltration.

Subsequently, the in vivo efficacy of CEA TCB was further confirmed in a xenograft model in which human effector cells...
were not directly co-grafted with tumor cells, but have to (eventually) traffic from the periphery and be recruited at tumor sites provided that the CEA TCB treatment is efficacious. For that purpose, tumor cells were initially injected s.c. into NOG mice followed by i.p. transfer of human PBMC (10 × 10^6 cells) once tumors reached a palpable mass (100–150 mm³). Therapeutic treatment started 3 days after PBMC transfer with CEA TCB and untargeted TCB administered at 2.5 mg/kg, twice per week. Vehicle (PBS) administration was added as an additional control group. Despite the low initial number of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, CEA TCB treatment led to a statistically significant tumor regression as compared with controls (Fig. 5A and B, *, P < 0.05), along with a strong increase of T-cell infiltration into tumors as detected by the flow-cytometry analysis (from 0.1–1.9% ± 0.67% in controls to 25.2% ± 7.4% in CEA TCB treatment groups, Fig. 5C, tumor panels). Furthermore, intratumor T cells displayed an activated phenotype upon CEA TCB treatment with upregulation of CD25 activation marker and PD-1 exhaustion marker (hallmarks of TCR engagement; Fig. 5D, tumor panels). This was in contrast with mice treated with vehicle (PBS) or untargeted TCB, where tumor-infiltrated T cells showed no upregulation of those markers (Fig. 5D, tumor panels, compare the red line of CD25 and PD-1 expression upon CEA TCB treatment with green and blue line of controls). Importantly, flow-cytometry analysis of blood did not reveal any increase in T-cell frequency or activation status, further confirming that CEA TCB activity is restricted to CEA-expressing tumor areas (Fig. 5C and D, blood panels). Histologic staining of tumors cell-expressing tumors confirmed the low infiltration of intratumor T cells in controls and their increased frequency upon CEA TCB treatment (both CD4 and CD8 T-cell subsets; Fig. 5E) along with their massive re-localization from the periphery (predominantly observed in the vehicle and untargeted TCB controls) into the tumor bed (Fig. 5E, zoomed insets 1 and 2 displaying CD3 localization). The staining of the same tumors with anti-PD-L1 antibody demonstrated an induction of intratumor PD-L1 expression upon CEA TCB treatment as compared with control (Fig. 5F).

Together, data from in vitro PBMC transfer experiments indicate that CEA TCB is able to induce regression of poorly infiltrated and noninflamed solid tumors and to convert them into highly infiltrated, PD-L1–expressing tumors with increased frequency of intratumor T cells displaying an activated phenotype. More importantly, CEA TCB treatment induces re-localization of T cells from tumor periphery into tumor bed.

**Discussion**

CEA TCR is a novel IgG-based TCB antibody for targeting of CEA-expressing solid tumors. CEA TCB is the only IgG-based CEA TCB antibody that entered clinical trials to date (NCT02324257) and is differentiated from previously described scFv or diabody-based TCB antibodies targeting CEA, including MEDI-565/AMG 211 (29, 30) or others (31, 32). CEA TCB molecule bears several technologic features, including (i) bivalent binding to CEA, (ii) head-to-tail fusion via a flexible linker of the CEA- and CD3e-binding Fab domains, (iii) an engineered, heterodimeric Fc region with completely abolished binding to FcγRs and complement component C1q, and (iv) a robust production process based on standard manufacturing steps enabled by the combination of CrossMAb and knob-into-hole technologies (18, 33). The bivalency for the tumor antigen confers high binding avidity to the tumor and translates into better tumor targeting and retention as compared with antibodies having monovalent binding to CEA (Supplementary Fig. S3A–S3E); it also allows a better differentiation between high- and low-CEA–expressing tumor cells (Fig. 3). The head-to-tail fusion geometry ensures potency similar to the first-generation bispecific T-cell engagers (BiTEs), and the fully silent Fc (P329G LALA mutation) extends the molecule's half-life and reduces the risk of FcγR-mediated infusion reactions as it abrogates interactions with FcγR-expressing cells, including neutrophils, monocyte/macrophages, and NKs (28).

Simultaneous binding of CEA TCB to CEA-expressing tumor cells and CD3e-expressing T cells leads to T-cell crosslinking to tumors, T-cell activation, and secretion of cytotoxic granules, ultimately resulting in tumor cell lysis. CEA TCB-mediated tumor lysis is CEA-specific and does not occur in the absence of CEA expression or in the absence of simultaneous binding (cross-linking) of T cells to CEA-expressing tumor cells. Moreover, CEA TCB activity strongly correlates with CEA expression, with higher potency observed in highly CEA-expressing tumor cells with a threshold of approximately 10,000 CEA-binding sites/cell required for efficient tumor cell killing. In line with this, CEA TCB was unable to induce T-cell–mediated killing of primary epithelial cells expressing <2,000 CEA binding sites/cell in vitro (Supplementary Table S1 and Fig. 3A). Interestingly, the correlation performed to assess any association between the major genetic changes described in colorectal cancer and the response to CEA TCB therapy, including correlations with the RER status, mutations in APC, TP53, CTNNB1, KRAS, BRAF, PIK3CA, and FBXW7, did not result in any significant correlation further suggesting that, based on in vitro data, CEA expression level appears to be the strongest predictor of CEA TCB activity.

The high-avidity binding to CEA conferred by the antibody's design, together with the bivalent binding mode to tumor antigen, translates into a selective killing of high-CEA–expressing tumor cells and sparing of the normal epithelial cells. This finding, along with the knowledge that primary epithelial cells express low levels of CEA inaccessible to therapeutic antibodies (due to its polarized expression pattern facing glandular lumen; refs. 11, 34, 35), provides confidence into a wide safety window of CEA TCB to select between primary and malignant cells. This is particularly relevant considering that there were no relevant preclinical animal models for the nonclinical toxicology assessment of CEA TCB and that the Entry into Human (EiH) starting dose was calculated using the MABEL approach (unpublished data) and in light of the outcome of a recent clinical trial with CEA TCR T cells (autologous T lymphocytes engineered to express a murine TCR against human CEA) in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer refractory to standard treatments (36). Despite all patients showing responses, there was dose-limiting toxicity due to severe transient inflammatory colitis. In addition to the CEA threshold and expression pattern described above, a major difference between this TCR T-cell–based study and our approach consists in the cross-linking of high numbers of in vitro expanded/activated T cells that target CEA through a natural TCR (CEA TCR), contrary to our approach that aims at redirecting the activity of the patient's own T cells, most of which are expected to be exhausted by the tumor...
Figure 5. In vivo activity of CEA TCB in the xenograft model with i.p. transfer of human PBMCs. A, LS174T-fluc2 cells were injected s.c. into NOG mice and left to grow for 7 days. Human PBMCs were transferred by i.p. injection \((10 \times 10^6 \text{ cells, red arrow})\). Mice were treated with CEA TCB or untargeted TCB at 2.5 mg/kg, twice/week, i.v., starting at day 10 after tumor cell injection (3 days after PBMC transfer; blue arrow). Control groups received either phosphate-buffer saline (PBS, vehicle) or untargeted TCB. Tumor growth was measured by caliper twice/week. Graphs display the tumor growth curves of individual mice \((n = 8–10)\). B, tumor volume at day 20 after tumor cell injection. Statistical analysis: one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey test, \(^* P < 0.05\).

C, flow-cytometry analysis of human T cells in blood and explanted tumors at study termination. Single-cell suspensions were stained with anti-huCD45 and anti-huCD3 antibodies. (Continued on the following page.)
microenvironment (37, 38). In addition, engineered T cells require a significantly lower target threshold for killing of tumor cells (10–100 times lower) than T cells engaged by therapeutic antibodies (39), and recognize CEA peptides presented by MHC molecules that are localized uniformly along the whole membrane of normal epithelial cells and are not polarized on apical membrane subdomains as is the case for full-length CEA expressed on normal cells. CEA peptides presented by MHC complexes, thus, easily expose normal epithelial cells to the activity of highly active CEA-specific T cells, as is the case for TCR T cells. Similar differences in the ability to induce toxicities of T cells targeting CEA but expressing TCRs or CARs (Chimeric Antigen Receptor) were recently described by Magee and Snook (40). This and other studies also highlighted that the CEA expression level in the target organ is a key determinant in the development of toxicity and concluded that, similarly to CEA TCB antibody, CAR T cells can discriminate between cells with varying levels of antigen expression in vivo, providing a potential avenue to target antigens that are highly expressed by tumor cells but have lower expression in normal tissues (40, 41).

In addition to tumor cell killing, our study unravels novel elements related to the TCB mode of action and provides mechanistic insights into T-cell/CD3 activation, formation of immunologic synapses, and T-cell activation and proliferation (expansion) upon TCB trigger. Our data show that immunologic synapses form as early as 20 minutes following CEA TCB addition to cocultures of tumor cells and T cells, and similarly to what is described for endogenous recognition via TCR/MHC-peptide complexes (42, 43), results in rapid clustering of talin at the interface between tumor cells and T cells, followed by MTOC re-localization and perforin polarization toward immunologic synapse. We further provide evidence of T-cell activation following tumor cell killing upon CEA TCB treatment as detected by upregulation of activation markers (CD69 and CD25), cytokine secretion (IFNγ, TNF, IL2, IL6, and IL10), cytoytic granules secretion (granzyme B), as well as T-cell proliferation (expansion) of both CD8 and CD4 T-cell subsets. T-cell expansion has not only been detected in vivo but also in animal studies in vivo.

In vivo CEA TCB induced dose- and time-dependent regression of CEA-expressing xenograft tumors with variable amounts of immune cell infiltrate. More interestingly, CEA TCB was efficacious even in settings in which T cells were not directly present in tumor nodules at the beginning of therapy. In such cases, tumor regression was accompanied by increased number of tumor-infiltrating leukocytes, reflecting T-cell recruitment and/or intratumor T-cell expansion/proliferation, along with a remarkable T-cell re-localization from the tumor periphery into the tumor bed and PD-L1 expression on remaining tumor cells, as shown by histological analyses of CEA TCB-treated tumors compared with controls. Moreover, CEA TCB treatment qualitatively alters the composition of intratumor T cells resulting in an increased frequency of activated T cells (expressing CD25) as well as T cells expressing the suppressive marker PD-1 (a hallmark of T cell engagement). Importantly, T-cell expansion and activation is not detected in blood (Fig. 5) further confirming that CEA TCB activity is restricted to CEA-expressing tumor areas. Intravital 2P imaging of CEA TCB antitumor activity further confirmed a high frequency of fragmented (apoptotic) tumor cells along with strong T-cell re-localization from tumor periphery into tumor bed already one day after single CEA TCB injection. A detailed analysis of the kinetics of tumor cell killing along with the quantification of intratumor T-cell number, velocity, crosslinking to tumor cells, and the assessment of CEA TCB targeting to tumors is described in Lehmann and colleagues (unpublished data).

Taken together, the current data show that CEA TCB is a novel tumor-targeted TCB antibody for treatment of solid tumors with promising antitumor activity and the ability to modify the tumor microenvironment. CEA TCB is efficacious in noninflamed, poorly infiltrated tumors and converts noninflamed into highly inflamed tumors. Phase I clinical trials with CEA TCB are currently ongoing. Future studies will focus on combination studies with immune checkpoint modulators to unleash the full potential of CEA TCB-mediated T-cell activity against cancer.
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