RAS/MAPK Activation Is Associated with Reduced Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer: Therapeutic Cooperation Between MEK and PD-1/PD-L1 Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors

Sherene Loi1,2, Sathana Dushyanthen1, Paul A. Beavis1, Roberto Salgado3, Carsten Denkert4, Peter Savas1, Susan Combs5, David L. Rimm6, Jennifer M. Giltane6,7, Monica V. Estrada2, Violeta Sánchez2, Melinda E. Sanders6,7, Rebecca S. Cook7,8, Mark A. Pilkinton9, Simon A. Mallal6,9, Kai Wang10, Vincent A. Miller10, Phil J. Stephens10, Roman Yelensky10, Franco D. Doimi11, Henry Gómez11, Sergey V. Ryzhov12, Phillip K. Darcy1,2, Carlos L. Arteaga7,8,9, and Justin M. Balko7,9

Abstract

Purpose: Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) in the residual disease (RD) of triple-negative breast cancers (TNBC) after neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) are associated with improved survival, but insight into tumor cell-autonomous molecular pathways affecting these features are lacking.

Experimental Design: We analyzed TILs in the RD of clinically and molecularly characterized TNBCs after NAC and explored therapeutic strategies targeting combinations of MEK inhibitors with PD-1/PD-L1-targeted immunotherapy in mouse models of breast cancer.

Results: Presence of TILs in the RD was significantly associated with improved prognosis. Genetic or transcriptomic alterations in Ras–MAPK signaling were significantly correlated with lower TILs. MEK inhibition upregulated cell surface MHC expression and PD-L1 in TNBC cells both in vivo and in vitro. Moreover, combined MEK and PD-L1/PD-1 inhibition enhanced antitumor immune responses in mouse models of breast cancer.

Conclusions: These data suggest the possibility that Ras–MAPK pathway activation promotes immune evasion in TNBC, and support clinical trials combining MEK- and PD-L1-targeted therapies. Furthermore, Ras/MAPK activation and MHC expression may be predictive biomarkers of response to immune checkpoint inhibitors.

Introduction

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) is used increasingly in patients with triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), which does not express estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, or demonstrate HER2 amplification. The purpose of NAC is to increase the patient’s chances of undergoing breast-conserving surgery and to eliminate clinically silent micrometastases. When employed, NAC results in a pathologic complete response (pCR) in about 30% of TNBC patients. Achievement of a pCR predicts improved recurrence-free and overall survival (RFS and OS, respectively). Patients with residual disease (RD) in the breast or lymph nodes exhibit high rates of metastatic recurrence and an overall poor long-term outcome (1).

The presence of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) in breast cancer specimens has been shown to be an important predictive and/or prognostic factor in TNBC. Retrospective analyses of several large clinical trials have demonstrated that high levels of TILs in the tumor are predictive of pCR to NAC, or increased disease-free survival and OS in randomized adjuvant studies (2, 3). Furthermore, a recent retrospective analysis demonstrated that in NAC-treated TNBC patients with RD after chemotherapy...
(a known negative prognostic factor), the presence of TILs can further prognosticate patient outcome (4).

Aside from the obvious prognostic and predictive implications of these findings, the correlation of immune infiltrate with outcome in TNBC suggests that these patients may be candidates for immunotherapy. Recently, unprecedented and durable responses to monoclonal antibodies (mAb) interfering with immune checkpoints (PD-1, PD-L1, and CTLA-4) have been observed in patients with advanced cancer (5–7). These responses have not been exclusive to putative “immunogenic” tumor types, such as melanoma and renal cell carcinoma. There are emerging data demonstrating that other cancer types, such as TNBC, may have an immune component and thus, may benefit from immunotherapy. Furthermore, there are preclinical data suggesting that chemotherapy, which is more effective in TNBC and HER2+ disease, may work in part by engaging the immune system (8, 9).

Despite the increasing evidence of the prognostic ability of TILs in TNBCs, little is known about what tumor cell-autonomous features may explain patient heterogeneity in TIL recruitment to the tumor microenvironment. Possible response factors include individual tumor mutation rates affecting neoantigen presentation, presence of specific genomic alterations repressing or activating immune evasion, alterations and suppression of antigen-presenting pathways, and/or tumor microenvironment changes, which create an immunosuppressive milieu. Specifically, there are no studies at present that have explored the contribution of tumor-specific genomic and transcriptomic alterations that associate with TIL phenotypes. An improved understanding of these factors would permit combinatorial therapy to improve TIL recruitment, and the opportunity to determine whether enhancing TIL recruitment can directly affect patient outcomes. To address this, we explored the presence of TILs within a molecularly and clinically characterized cohort of post-NAC TNBCs (10). Herein, we present evidence that suggests that genomic or transcriptomic activation of the Ras–MAPK pathway is associated with suppressed TIL recruitment or retention. In multiple human and mouse datasets, activation of the Ras–MAPK pathway is linked to reduced levels of TILs as well as markers of T-cell immunity. Experimentally, we tested the effects of MEK inhibition on TNBC cell lines in vitro (human and mouse) and in vivo (mouse) and found that MEK inhibition upregulates MHC molecules and reduces immunosuppressive markers. Furthermore, the combination of MEK inhibition was synergistic with anti-PD1 antibodies in immunocompetent syngeneic mouse models of breast cancer. These data support clinical evaluation of this combination in TNBC patients to generate favorable and robust antitumor immunity.

**Translational Relevance**

The presence of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes is an important prognostic factor in triple-negative breast cancer, but the molecular source of heterogeneity in host antitumor immunity is unknown. Our data shed preliminary insight into the tumor cell-autonomous pathways that may promote host antitumor immune evasion, and as a result, suggest combinations of molecularly targeted agents to overcome these features.

**Materials and Methods**

**Patient data**

Clinical characteristics and molecular analysis of the patients were previously described (10). Briefly, the posttreatment dataset consisted of 111 surgically resected tumor samples from patients with IHC and/or nNGS-confirmed TNBC, diagnosed and treated with NAC at the Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Neoplásicas (Lima, Peru). The cohort was comprised predominantly of node-negative patients. Clinical and pathologic data were retrieved from medical records under an institutionally approved protocol (INEN 10-018). In addition, 44 pretreatment biopsies were available from matched patients. For most patients, NAC consisted of doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide every 3 weeks for 4 cycles. Approximately half of the patients received paclitaxel additionally (most commonly 12 weekly cycles).

**TIL assessment**

Determination of the percentage of stromal lymphocytic infiltration (%TIL) in post-NAC and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) BLBC primary tumors was performed as previously described (11) by two pathologists independently (R. Salgado and C. Denkert) using full face hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) sections. The average TILs value of the two measurements was then used for the survival analysis. The TILs variable was analyzed in using Cox regression survival models as a continuous variable. The Cox model was adjusted for tumor size, age, nodal status, and RD tumor cellularity.

**Immunohistochemistry**

For HLA-A (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; sc-365485) staining, tissue microarrays (TMA) were stained at 1:1,300 dilution overnight at 4°C. Antigen retrieval was performed with a citrate buffer (pH 6) using a decloaking chamber (Biocare). The visualization system was Envision-Mouse using DAB chromogen and hematoxylin counterstaining. HLA-A positivity was scored manually, as the average percentage of positive tumor cell membranes in the TMA core/spot multiplied by the average intensity (0, 1, 2, and 3) for a final sample histocore. For TMA analysis one to three independent cores/spots were averaged for each individual tumor.

For HLA-DR (immunofluorescence/AQUA), slides were deparaffinized with xylene and rehydrated with ethanol. Antigen retrieval was performed using citrate buffer (pH = 6) or Tris EDTA buffer (pH = 9), at a temperature of 97°C for 20 minutes. After blocking of endogenous peroxidase with methanol and hydrogen peroxide, slides were pre-incubated with 0.3% BSA in 0.1 mol/L of TBS for 30 minutes at room temperature. This was followed by incubation of the slides with the primary antibody [HLA-DR (TAL 1B5); sc-53319, mouse monoclonal antibody, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Lot#: A0312; concentration 200 μg/mL] at a titer of 1 to 5,000, and cytokeratin over night at 4°C. Mouse EnVision reagent (DAKO; neat) and Alexa 546 conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Molecular Probes; 1 to 100) were used as secondary antibodies followed by Cy5-tyramide (PerkinElmer, Life Science). DAPI staining containing 4′6-diamidino-2-phenylindol was used to identify tissue nuclei. The staining conditions were optimized on tonsil whole tissue sections and breast cancer TMA consisting of 40 tissue samples. The optimal titer for this antibody was chosen according to an expression range graph, which allows objective assessment of the optimal dynamic
range as well as signal to noise ratio of the marker of interest. The optimal dynamic range is calculated as the ratio between the top 10% to the lowest 10% AQUA scores for a given biomarker. PD-L1 immunofluorescence and AQUA was performed as previously described (12).

AQUA analysis

Protein expression levels were quantified using the AQUA method of quantitative immunofluorescence described previously (13). AQUA allows exact and objective measurement of fluorescence intensity within a defined tissue area, as well as within subcellular compartments. Briefly, a series of monochromatic high-resolution images were captured using an epifluorescent microscope platform and signal intensity of the target of interest was measured according to a previously described algorithm. For each TMA histospot, images were obtained for each fluorescence channel, DAPI (nuclei), Alexa 546 (cytokeratin), or Cy5 (target probe). To distinguish tumor from stroma and other parts, an epifluorescence described previously (10).

Gene-expression data analysis

Gene-expression analysis for the MEK transcriptional signature on the post-NAC cohort was performed by nanoString as previously described (10). nanoString analysis for immune genes on the post-NAC cohort was performed by nanoString as previously described (10). nanoString analysis for immune genes on the post-NAC cohort was performed by nanoString as previously described (10).

Flow cytometry

For in vitro analysis, cells were dissociated and collected with an Accutase, washed twice with PBS and stained for 30 minutes at 4°C with fluorochrome-linked antibodies using DAPI as a viability control. Cells were rinsed three times after staining, before analysis. Stained cells were analyzed against appropriate fluorochrome-linked isotype controls on a 3-laser BD LSRII (BD Biosciences). For in vivo studies, after euthanizing mice, tumors were excised and digested using a mix of 1 mg/mL collagenase type IV (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.02 mg/mL DNAase (Sigma-Aldrich). After a 45-minutes digestion at 37°C, cells were twice passed through a 70-μm filter. Single-cell suspensions were then analyzed by flow cytometry with 7AAD used to discriminate viable and dead cells. Expression of indicated markers on tumors was determined by flow cytometry by gating on CD45-negative and GFP-positive cells (AT3ovadim) or cherry-positive cells (4T1.9).

Cell lines

MMTV-neu cells were isolated from primary mammary tumor cells growing in transgenic FVB/N mice and passaged serially for >10 passages in DMEM/F12 media supplemented with 10% FBS, 20 ng/mL EGF, 500 ng/mL hydrocortisone, and 10 ng/mL insulin to generate established cell lines. Presence of rat neu (Western blot analysis) in the cells is diagnostic for the authenticity of the cells and is performed on a regular basis. The C57BL/6 mouse breast carcinoma cell line AT-3 was obtained from Dr. Trina Stewart (Griffith University) and were transduced to express chicken ovalbumin peptide as previously described (16). 4T1.9 cells were obtained from Prof. Robin Anderson (Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre). These cell lines were originally obtained from the ATCC, actively passaged for less than 6 months, and were authenticated using short tandem repeat profiling.

Mouse studies

For in vivo studies, 4T1.9 (Balb/c) or MMTV-neu (FVB) cells were injected into the #4 mammary gland (4T1.9: 5 × 10⁴ cells; MMTV-neu: 1 × 10⁶ cells) into the mammary fat pad of syngeneic mice. AT3ova cells (C57Bl6 or RAG-deficient) were injected subcutaneously into the right upper flank (1 × 10⁶ cells). Following the establishment of tumors (50–250 mm³), mice were treated with vehicle control (suspension agent or isotype IgG control), trametinib (1 mg/kg orally, once daily), selumetinib (50 mg/kg orally, twice daily), α-PD-1 (BioXcell clone RMP1-14, 200 μg i.p., on days 0, 4, 8 and 12), or α-PD-L1 (Biolegend clone 10F.9G2, 100 μg i.p., on days 0, 3 and 10). Tumor diameters were measured two to three times weekly with calipers and volume in mm³ calculated using the formula (length/2 × width²). For pharmacodynamic analysis, mice were sacrificed 1 hour after the last dose of MEK inhibitor, and tumor lysates were analyzed by Western blot or flow cytometry. At least 6 mice were used for each treatment arm in all experiments.

Lentiviral transduction of constitutively active MEK

MEKDD and LACZ open reading frames were obtained from Addgene and cloned using Gateway recombination in plX302 (18). The plX302 vector was a gift from David Root (Addgene plasmid #25896). MMTV-neu cells were transduced as previously described (10).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed as indicated using R or GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software). A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant for all studies.

Results

The post-NAC TIL phenotype predicts outcome in TNBC

High TILs in the residual tumor have been shown to associate with post-surgical outcome in NAC-treated TNBC patients (4). We wished to confirm this association in our own previously characterized post-NAC TNBC cohort (10, 19). This cohort included 111 clinically defined TNBCs, including targeted next-generation sequencing (tNGS) on 74 tumors and nanoString gene-expression analysis on 89 tumors. Importantly, this cohort included only...
patients with RD burden in the breast following NAC, as it is these patients most at risk for recurrence following definitive surgery. TILs were scored by expert pathologist review of H&E-stained whole tumor sections from pre-NAC (n = 44) and post-NAC (n = 92) specimens. The reviewers were blinded to all clinical and molecular data during scoring. Of the 44 matched samples, 5 post-NAC samples were RD in an associated lymph node, which could not be assessed for TILs. In paired samples (n = 39), TILs tended to be reduced from the pre- to post-NAC specimen (P = 0.07; Fig. 1A). No differences were noted in the change in TILs during NAC with respect to breast tumor molecular subtyping (Supplementary Fig. S1) or regimens containing a taxane (data not shown). Neither the pre-NAC nor the change in TILs was predictive of post-surgical relapse or survival, though the number of patients where pre-treatment data were available was comparably small (Supplementary Fig. S2). In contrast, however, the TIL population in the RD (post-NAC) was predictive of RFS and OS (P = 0.0005 and P = 0.004, respectively; Fig. 1B and C). A strong positive linear association of TILs in NAC-treated specimens was observed with RFS (P = 0.0001, relative risk reduction of 3.4% for each 1% of TILs) and OS (P = 0.0016; relative risk reduction of 2.8% for each 1% of TILs). In a multivariate analysis with stage, age, node status, and tumor cellularity, TILs in the post-NAC disease remained a significant predictor of RFS and OS (P = 0.0008 and P = 0.007, respectively). Thus, our data are consistent in this cohort with what has been reported previously in a similar population (4).

Genomic or transcriptomic evidence of Ras/MAPK activation predicts a reduced TIL phenotype

To determine whether TIL presence in residual TNBCs is associated with tumor-specific genomic alterations, we next tested whether actionable categories of genomic alterations (tNGS of 3,320 exons of 182 oncogenes and tumor suppressors plus 37 introns of 14 genes frequently rearranged in cancer; ref. 10) were enriched with particular TIL phenotypes. Of the five...
previously defined categories (cell cycle, Ras/MAPK, DNA repair, PI3K/mTOR, and growth factor receptors; ref. 10), we found an association of low TILs in the RD with the presence of potentially activating alterations in the Ras–MAPK pathway (amplifications in KRAS, BRAF, RAF1, and truncations in NF1, 16% altered, \( P = 0.005 \); Fig. 1D). There was also a modest but significant association with activating cell-cycle pathway alterations (CCND1-3, CDK4, CDK6, CCNE1, RB, AURKA, and CDKN2A, 37% altered, \( P = 0.05 \)). No category of alterations was associated with TILs in pre-NAC specimens, though our power was limited as the sample size was smaller. To confirm the association of low TILs with alterations in the Ras–MAPK pathway in a more molecularly defined subtype of breast cancer, we queried the basal-like primary breast cancers of the TCGA using CD3E mRNA expression as a surrogate for T-cell infiltration (Fig. 1E). Tumors with intermediate or low CD3E expression (suggesting reduced infiltrating T cells) were enriched for Ras–MAPK pathway alterations (\( P < 0.0001 \); Fig. 1F), including heterozygous loss of the negative regulator of ERK, DUSP4. Because it is possible that immunogenicity is a function of the degree of genome alteration (i.e., presence of neo-antigens; refs. 20, 21), we assessed the association of total number of alterations detected by tNGS with TILs, but did not detect a significant association in either the pre- or post-NAC sample set (Supplementary Fig. S3). However, the lack of whole exome or genome sequencing coverage limits the interpretability of this analysis.

Because a transcriptional signature of MEK activation in the post-NAC specimen was previously shown to be predictive of RFS and OS in this cohort (10), we tested whether a high MEK transcriptional signature score (assessed by nanoString analysis; ref. 22) correlated with reduced TILs within this cohort. We identified a significant linear inverse correlation between post-NAC TILs, but not pre-NAC TILs, with the MEK score (\( r = -0.41, P = 0.0003 \); Fig. 2A and B). This finding was reproduced in a series of...
Figure 3.
MEK inhibition modulates MHC-I/II and PD-L1 expression in breast cancer models in vitro and in vivo. A and B, flow-cytometry analysis of PD-L1, MHC-I, and MHC-II expression in the AT3ova (A) and 4T1.9 (B) cell lines after 5 days of treatment with trametinib (100 nmol/L) / C6 IFNγ (100 pmol/L) in vitro. Data are represented as the mean ± SD of triplicate samples. At least two replicate experiments were performed for both cell lines. (Continued on the following page.)
201 samples from diverse genetically engineered mouse models (GEMM) of breast cancer (23), where Cdk6 mRNA was significantly inversely associated with the mouse orthologous components of the MEK transcriptional signature (r = −0.39, P < 0.0001; Fig. 2C). An inverse association was also identified between the MEK score and stromal TILs, as scored by H&E review, in 206 basal-like tumors in the TCGA breast cancer data (Fig. 2D; refs. 15, 24). Although the anticorrelation was weaker in the primary basal-like breast cancer (BLBC) TCGA data, this discrepancy may be the result from enrichment of MEK activation in the primary basal-like breast cancer (BLBC) TCGA data. In 206 basal-like tumors in the TCGA breast cancer data, this discrepancy may be the result from enrichment of MEK activation in the primary basal-like breast cancer (BLBC) TCGA data. This may be due to the primary basal-like breast cancer (BLBC) TCGA data. This may be due to the primary basal-like breast cancer (BLBC) TCGA data.

The Ras–MAPK has been shown to suppress inflammatory responses mediated from cytokines such as IFNγ, which can potentiate antigen presentation via MHC-I and MHC-II as well as PD-L1 expression (27). Thus, we hypothesized that PD-L1, MHC-I, and MHC-II expression in tumor cells is suppressed by Ras/MAPK activity, and this would be associated with reduced immune recognition and infiltration. We verified these associations in our own cohort, using dual-color AQUA for HLA-DR and PD-L1 expression (which is highly expressed in highly aggressive subtypes of breast cancer, Supplementary Fig. S4A; refs. 28–32) in the tumor and stroma (each using cytokeratin masking), as well as standard IHC for HLA-A/MHC-I. In our own cohort, tumor-specific AQUA staining of HLA-DR or PD-L1 demonstrated tumor cell–specific membrane positivity in post-NAC TNBCs (Supplementary Fig. S4B and S4C). PD-L1 expression was not uniformly changed pre– to post-NAC in matched patient specimens (Supplementary Fig. S4D–S4F). Next, we integrated TIL measurements and MEK signature scores, as well as AQUA/IHC for PD-L1, MHC-I, and MHC-II. We identified positive correlations among MHC-I, MHC-II, and PD-L1, and anticorrelations between these markers and MEK transcriptional activity (Fig. 2E). Together, these data suggest that there is a negative association between MEK activity and active antigen presentation (MHC-I and II expression) that appears to be coupled to simultaneous PD-L1 expression, which likely suppresses active antitumor immunity.

MEK inhibition upregulates IFNγ-mediated MHC-I/II molecules and PD-L1 expression in mouse-derived breast cancer cell lines in vitro and in vivo

We next investigated whether MEK inhibition could favorably affect the level of relevant immune molecules (including MHC-I, MHC-II, and PD-L1). To address this, we used mouse mammary tumor-derived cell lines, because they could be readily transplanted in immunocompetent syngeneic hosts to explore in vitro interplay with the immune system. Using cultured AT3ova and 4T1.9 mouse TNBC cell lines, we found that MEK inhibition with trametinib potentiated the effect of IFNγ on expression of MHC-I (H2Kd and H2-Db), MHC-II (I-A/E), and PD-L1 (Fig. 3A and B). IFNγ is secreted from activated CTLs and can induce MHC-I and MHC-II expression in target cells to promote immune-mediated cytotoxicity. These findings were confirmed in vivo, following orthotopic injection of the established cell line into syngeneic WT mice and oral trametinib treatment (Fig. 3C–F). Thus, MEK activity can suppress IFNγ-induced antigen presentation, and thus may be a mechanism whereby Ras/MAPK activation supports immune evasion. In both AT3ova and 4T1.9 models, MEK inhibition suppressed the growth of tumors in vivo (Fig. 3C and D), although this cannot be entirely explained by immune-interaction, as trametinib also suppressed proliferation to some degree in vitro (Supplementary Fig. S5). To verify that genetic activation of the Ras–MAPK pathway could suppress MHC expression, we transduced MMTV-neu cells with pLX302-LACZ-V5 and pLX302-MEKDD-V5, a constitutively active MEK mutant. MEKDD expression induced ERK activation (Fig. 3G), as expected, and suppressed IFNγ-mediated PD-L1 and MHC-II expression (Fig. 3H).

Combined MEK inhibition with immune antibodies targeting PD-1/PD-L1 in murine syngeneic tumor models is associated with increased efficacy

Because IFNγ-induced PD-L1 was also potentiated by MEK inhibition, we hypothesized that MEK inhibition may prime tumor cells for immune-mediated rejection by unleashing antigen presentation, but fail to fully respond because PD-L1 is coordinately upregulated. Thus, we tested whether combined MEK and PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibition would have combinatorial activity in vivo.

Two syngeneic tumor models were used [AT3ova (TNBC) and MMTV-neu (HER2+)]. For the orthotopic AT3ova TNBC model, concomitant trametinib and α-PD1 was more effective than either single-agent or vehicle control (Fig. 4A). When the same experiment was performed in RAG-deficient mice, which lack functional T and B cells, the effect of MEK inhibition was diminished, whereas the effect of PD-1 antibody was abrogated (Fig. 4B). These data indicate at least part of the therapeutic efficacy of MEK inhibition in this model is immune-mediated and are consistent with the partial effect observed with MEK inhibition on proliferation alone in vitro. For the MMTV-neu model, we used two derivative cell lines: MMTV-neu stably transduced with pLX302-LACZ-V5 and pLX302-MEKDD-V5, a constitutively active MEK mutant. The control (LACZ) tumor line was moderately sensitive to α-PD-1 (complete regression in 1/8 tumors), whereas the addition of an MEK inhibitor (selumetinib) to α-PD-1 caused complete regression in 5 of 6 tumors (Fig. 4C and Supplementary Fig. S6). We used the interaction effect in a two-way ANOVA using the log-transformed tumor volumes at day 14 to
Figure 4.
MEK inhibition augments activity of α-PD-1/PD-L1 immunotherapy. A, (left) subcutaneous AT3ova tumors growing in wild-type C57BL/6 mice were treated with a vehicle or trametinib at 1 mg/kg orally once a day for 30 days and either isotype control antibody injection or α-PD-1 antibody at 200 μg/mouse (days 0, 4, 8, and 12). P values represent one-way repeated measures ANOVA, with the post hoc Tukey’s test to compare arms; *, P < 0.05 for each comparison of trametinib + α-PD-1 versus all other arms. B, identical experiment to (A) except that the tumors were grown in RAG-deficient mice, lacking a functional immune system. C and D, orthotopic MMTV-neu tumors (pLX302-LACZ [C] or pLX302-MEKID [D]) growing in wild-type FVB mice were treated with selumetinib (50 mg/kg twice daily) by oral gavage, or α-PD-L1 at 100 μg/mouse (days 0, 3, and 10). (Continued on the following page.)
assess synergy between the MEK inhibition and α-PD-L1 therapy. There was a significant interaction effect (P = 0.024) in the LACZ (control model), suggesting synergy between these agents. In contrast, in the MMTV-neu/MEKDD model, α-PD-L1 was not effective, except in combination with MEK. In this model, the combination was more effective than either single agent alone (Fig. 4D). The interaction effect was not significant in the MEKDD model, presumably because little effect was seen with anti–PD-L1 or selumetinib alone. In both the MMTV-neu and AT30a models, pharmacodynamic efficacy of selumetinib or trametinib (inhibition of p-ERK1/2) was observed in tumors (Supplementary Fig. S7). Furthermore, mRNA expression analysis (nanoString mouse Pan-Cancer-Immune panel) of the treated tumors demonstrated that genetic activation of MEK suppressed antigen presentation and processing genes, whereas treatment with anti–PD-L1 (LacZ) or cotreatment of selumetinib and anti–PD-L1 (MEKDD) increased the expression of these genes (Fig. 4E). Gene expression of PD-L1 (C2724) and Cds3 followed similar patterns (Fig. 4F), demonstrating a role for genetic activation of MEK (and pharmacologic inhibition) in modulation of T-cell infiltration into mammary tumors. These data suggest that MEK activation can promote resistance to PD-L1–targeted therapy and also support clinical trials testing the combination in patients with TNBC or HER2+ breast cancer, particularly in cases with reduced TILs. Importantly, similar results were achieved with different MEK inhibitors (trametinib or selumetinib) and PD-1 pathway inhibitors (α-PD-L1 or α-PD-1) strengthening conclusions based on pathway-specific effects of these agents.

Discussion

There is increasing evidence that TILs are a positive prognostic biomarker in TNBC and that the quantity of TILs present is important—the more present, the better the survival. Furthermore, the field is becoming increasingly aware that immunomodulatory therapies may be effective in a wider variety of human malignancies than previously thought. However, thus far there have been little data available on tumor-autonomous molecular features that may be causal in the TIL immunoregulatory phenotype. With the advent of effective immunotherapies, strategies targeted at high and low TIL phenotypes may emerge. Herein, we have characterized TIL phenotypes in a unique cohort of TNBCs after NAC, which, by nature as a clinical group, represent a population of patients with poor outcome. Importantly, in this subset of patients, the standard of care is observation even though the rate of subsequent metastatic recurrence is very high. Because patients at this point in care likely harbor clinically silent micrometastases, the immediate postoperative period may represent an optimal time for the delivery of immunotherapy.

We demonstrate that Ras–MAPK activity can suppress expression of MHC-I and MHC-II, both intrinsically and those induced by IFN-γ. These data led to the hypothesis that tumor cells can circumvent antigen presentation pathways by activating the MAPK pathway and that therapeutic inhibition of MEK can unleash these signals. These results are consistent with those published in melanoma (27, 33), although the mechanism has not yet been elucidated. Thus, we hypothesize that combination inhibition of both MEK and PD-L1 should yield improved responses to immunotherapy by downregulating immunosuppressive factors and upregulating MHC-I/II to prime and synergize in response to T-cell checkpoint blockade resulting in functional antitumor immunity and increased lymphocytic infiltration.

Although immune checkpoint inhibitors have pronounced activity in tumors with high mutational load [i.e., melanoma (ref. 34), lung cancer, and microsatellite-instable colorectal cancer (ref. 35)], tumor types with lower mutational burden have been shown to have modest but significant activity. Importantly, immune checkpoint inhibitors (specifically those antibodies targeting PD-1) have recently been shown to have efficacy in TNBC (36, 37), which tend to have reduced mutational loads (36, 37). Therefore, because the response rates to single-agent therapy were relatively low (10%–20%), strategies to enhance response rates through patient selection and combinations of existing therapies represent an obvious next hurdle to bringing immune therapies to breast cancer patients. In our study, we found that approximately 15% of TNBCs were Ras/MAPK altered at the genomic level, whereas a greater percentage had evidence of MEK activation at the transcriptomic level. Our data also suggest that activation of the Ras–MAPK pathway and MHC-I/II expression may be useful biomarkers to explore in future clinical trials of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors in TNBC. On the basis of these results, we propose clinical trials combining MEK inhibitors with antibodies targeting the PD-1–PD-L1 axis to determine whether this combination results in more potent antitumor immune responses in patients.
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