**Purpose:** CYP2D6 is the key enzyme responsible for the generation of the potent active metabolite of tamoxifen, "endoxifen." There are still controversial reports questioning the association between CYP2D6 genotype and tamoxifen efficacy. Hence, we performed a prospective multicenter study to evaluate the clinical effect of CYP2D6 genotype on tamoxifen therapy.

**Experimental Design:** We enrolled 279 patients with hormone receptor–positive and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative, invasive breast cancer receiving preoperative tamoxifen monotherapy for 14 to 28 days. Ki-67 response in breast cancer tissues after tamoxifen therapy was used as a surrogate marker for response to tamoxifen. We prospectively investigated the effects of allelic variants of CYP2D6 on Ki-67 response, pathological response, and hot flushes.

**Results:** Ki-67 labeling index in breast cancer tissues significantly decreased after preoperative tamoxifen monotherapy (P = 0.0000000000000013). Moreover, proportion and Allred scores of estrogen receptor–positive cells in breast cancer tissues were significantly associated with Ki-67 response (P = 0.0076 and 0.0023, respectively). Although CYP2D6 variants were not associated with pathologic response nor hot flushes, they showed significant association with Ki-67 response after preoperative tamoxifen therapy (P = 0.018; between two groups, one with at least one wild-type allele and the other without a wild-type allele).

**Conclusions:** This is the first prospective study evaluating the relationship between CYP2D6 variants and Ki-67 response after tamoxifen therapy. Our results suggest that genetic variation in CYP2D6 is a key predictor for the response to tamoxifen in patients with breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res; 23(8); 2019–26. ©2016 AACR.
in inhibiting estrogen-dependent cell growth compared with a parent compound, tamoxifen (3–5). Hence, it has been consid-
ered that the differences in the formation of these active me-
bolites could affect the interindividual variability in the response
to tamoxifen. Cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6) is one of the key
enzymes for the generation of the potent active metabolites of
tamoxifen, “4-hydroxytamoxifen” and “endoxifen” (6). Many
studies indicated that decreased—or null-function—alleles of
CYP2D6 were associated with poor clinical outcome of breast
cancer patients treated with tamoxifen (7–12). Genotype-guided
dose-adjustment study of tamoxifen provides the evidence that
dose adjustment is useful for the patients carrying the reduced or
null allele of CYP2D6 to maintain the effective endoxifen level
(13, 14). However, there are several reports claiming the lack of
association between CYP2D6 genotypes and tamoxifen efficacy
(15–19), although these studies have been criticized for multiple
issues as the cause of false-negative results, including inappro-
priate patients population, inappropriate DNA sources, and incom-
plete genotyping analysis (20). Hence, it is critically important to
perform prospective studies to clarify the clinical significance of
CYP2D6 genotypes in tamoxifen therapy (21, 22).

Expression levels of Ki-67 protein, a proliferation biomarker,
have been known as a predictive marker for the prognosis of
cancer patients (23–25). Although clinicopathologic factors such as
baseline Ki-67 and tumor size are unlikely to be associated with
clinical response to tamoxifen (1, 25), higher Ki-67 expression
after short-term (2 weeks) endocrine therapy is suggested to be
significantly associated with lower recurrence-free survival in
patients with breast cancer (24, 25). Hence, a change in the
expression of Ki-67 after short-term tamoxifen therapy could be
a promising surrogate biomarker of tamoxifen efficacy (24, 25).

Here, we conducted the first prospective association study
between Ki-67 response after short-term (14–28 days) preoper-
avative tamoxifen therapy and CYP2D6 variants in breast cancer
patients and evaluated the effect of CYP2D6 genotypes on tamox-
ifen therapy.

Materials and Methods

Patients

The primary objective of this study was to examine the asso-
ciation between CYP2D6 genotypes and clinical response mea-
sured by Ki-67 expression levels in breast cancer tissues in patients
who are treated with tamoxifen preoperatively. The secondary
objective was to determine the effect of CYP2D6 genotype on
pathologic response and adverse event (hot flushes). According to
the previous report (26), Ki-67 labeling index decreased by 59.5%
and 76.0% after 2 weeks of preoperative tamoxifen and aromatase
inhibitor treatment, respectively. Suppose Ki-67 response after
tamoxifen therapy in patients with CYP2D6 ut/ut correspond to
those after aromatase inhibitor therapy, sample size required in
this study is approximately 280 patients under the following
conditions; statistical power >80%, significance level \( P < 0.05 \),
standard deviation (8) = 50. Two hundred seventy nine patients
with primary breast cancer were prospectively recruited from July
2012 to July 2014 at Showa University, Nippon Medical School,
Tokyo Medical University, Saitama Cancer Center, Hirosaki
Municipal Hospital, Sapporo Medical University, Sapporo Breast
Surgical Clinic, Nakagami Hospital, Sagara Hospital, Yokohama
City University Medical Center, Yokohama Minato Red Cross
Hospital, St. Marianna University School of Medicine Hospital,
Tan Tock Seng Hospital, and National University Cancer Institute,
Singapore. All patients were women who were pathologically
diagnosed with ER-positive (>10%), human epidermal growth
factor receptor 2 (HER2)-negative, invasive breast cancer without
distant spread. ER status was evaluated by immunohistochem-
istry at each site. HER2 negativity was defined as <2+ immuno-
histochemical staining or 2+ immunohistochemical staining without
gene amplification by FISH test. After the definitive diagnosis of
breast cancer, all patients received 20 mg/day of tamoxifen for 14
to 28 days (in the waiting period for radical operation) until the
day before the operation for the primary breast cancer.

Core-needle biopsy samples for diagnosis of the primary tumor
were obtained before the first dose of tamoxifen, and tumor
tissues after tamoxifen treatment were obtained at the time of
surgery. Tissue samples were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered for-
malin for <48 hours before being embedded in paraffin. Serial
sections (4 \( \mu m \) ) were cut and immunostained with mouse mono-
clonal antibody to Ki-67 (clone Mib-1, 1/200 dilution; Dako) for
30 minutes at room temperature with an automatic immunos-
tainer (Autostainer; Dako). Ki-67 labeling index was recorded as
the percentage of immunoreactive cells over the total number of
invasive neoplastic cells or over at least 2,000 tumor cells in
hotspot of each of the invasive carcinoma in the core-needle
biopsy and surgical specimen. Automated recognition and count-
ing of the tumor and immunoreactive cells were carried out using
the Pathology Decision Support System “e-Pathologist” (NEC
Corporation, Tokyo). Pathologic response to tamoxifen was
assessed by using a 6-grade scale as follows: grade 0, no response;
grade 1a, mild response; grade 1b, mild to moderate response;
grade 2a, moderate to marked response; grade 2b, marked to
almost complete response; grade 3, almost complete response.

International Union Against Cancer TNM classification was
used to determine the tumor and nodal status. This study was
approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the National
Cancer Center (Tokyo, Japan) and each participating institution.
Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood using a
Qiagen DNA extraction kit (Qiagen). Genotyping for key poly-
morphisms for CYP2D6’4 (1846G>A), CYP2D6’6 (1707delT),
CYP2D6’10 (100C>T), CYP2D6’14 (1758G>A), CYP2D6’18

Translational Relevance

Although many investigations on tamoxifen pharmacoge-
nomics have been performed, there are still inconsistencies
with regard to the association results between efficacy of
tamoxifen and genetic polymorphism of CYP2D6, which is
the rate-limiting enzyme responsible for the metabolism of
tamoxifen to its active metabolite, endoxifen. The data from
our first prospective tamoxifen-CYP2D6 study show that
CYP2D6 variants are significantly associated with Ki-67
response, a surrogate marker for efficacy of tamoxifen, after
tamoxifen therapy. Integration of genotypes of CYP2D6 and
the other genes, which are identified as novel predictors for
efficacy of tamoxifen, could be the future approach to improve
the ability of physicians to select the right drug for the estrogen
receptor–positive breast cancer and provide a better quality of
life to patients with breast cancer.
CYP2D6 and Ki-67 Response after Tamoxifen Therapy

To examine the effect of tamoxifen on change in the Ki-67 labeling index in breast cancer tissues, we recruited 279 patients receiving preoperative tamoxifen monotherapy for 14 to 28 days. Table 1 shows the characteristics of these 279 patients who were pathologically diagnosed to have an ER-positive, HER2-negative, invasive breast cancer. Their median age at the time of surgery was 56 years old (range, 25–91 years). Among the characteristics listed in Table 1, the proportion of ER-positive cells and the Ki-67 labeling index in breast cancer tissues, we recruited 279 patients (Table 3). We observed no significant association of CYP2D6 genotypes with pathological response or hot flushes.

Results

Statistical analysis

All polymorphisms evaluated in this study were tested for deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium with the use of a χ² test. The differences in the Ki-67 labeling index among CYP2D6 genotypes were evaluated by the Kruskal–Wallis test. The Mann–Whitney U test was used for the evaluation of the differences in the Ki-67 labeling index before and after preoperative tamoxifen therapy, and in change of Ki-67 among the proportion of ER-positive cells. We investigated the association of the CYP2D6 allele with pathologic response and adverse event using the Fisher exact test under allelic, dominant-inheritance, and recessive inheritance models. Statistical tests provided two-sided P-values, and a significance level of P < 0.05 was used. Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS (version 17.0; SPSS) and the Ekusuru-Toukei 2015 (Social Survey Research Information Co., Ltd.).

Associations of CYP2D6 genotypes with pathological response and adverse events

We performed CYP2D6 genotyping of these 279 patients (Table 2). The allele frequency of CYP2D6*10, which is considered to have decreased enzymatic activity and is known to be present at a relatively high frequency in Asian populations, was 32.3%. The frequencies of the alleles observed in this study were comparable to those reported previously (28, 30). We determined all of the CYP2D6 decreased and null alleles as a "V" allele and "I" as a "wt" allele as described in "Materials and Methods." We then examined association of CYP2D6 genotypes with pathologic response and hot flushes in breast cancer patients who received short-term (14–28 days) preoperative tamoxifen treatment (Table 3). We observed no significant association of CYP2D6 genotypes with pathological response or hot flushes.

Associations between Ki-67 response and tumor response after preoperative tamoxifen therapy

As a primary endpoint of this study, we used Ki-67 response which could be a promising surrogate biomarker of tamoxifen efficacy because duration of the preoperative treatment is very short (14–28 days) for accurate evaluation of tamoxifen efficacy by the tumor size change by an ultrasound test. Ki-67 labeling index was measured by using an automated recognition and counting system as described in Materials and Methods, and representative Ki-67–stained images in patients with CYP2D6 wt/wt and CYP2D6 V/V are shown in Fig. 1. Ki-67 labeling index in post-treatment tissues (median, 4.6% [0–83.5%]) was significantly lower than that in baseline tissues (median: 9.9% [0.1–78.9%]) as shown in Fig. 2A (P = 0.0000000000000013). The changes in Ki-67 for patients with wt/wt, wt/V, and V/V of CYP2D6 before and after preoperative tamoxifen treatment are shown in Fig. 2B, respectively. Of the 279 patients enrolled in this study, 224 patients showed a decrease of Ki-67 index, and 55 patients

Table 1. Patient demographics and clinical characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total (N = 279)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at registration, years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>25–91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menopausal status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premenopausal</td>
<td>121 (43.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenopausal</td>
<td>156 (55.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size, cm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>163 (58.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>108 (38.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>8 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodal status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>238 (85.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>36 (12.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>5 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%–10%</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%–32%</td>
<td>6 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33%–67%</td>
<td>15 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;67%</td>
<td>258 (92.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allied score (ER)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤8</td>
<td>16 (5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>129 (46.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>134 (48.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>30 (10.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%–10%</td>
<td>21 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11%–32%</td>
<td>34 (12.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33%–67%</td>
<td>26 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;67%</td>
<td>160 (57.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>8 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Her-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>89 (31.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+</td>
<td>146 (52.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+ (without amplification)</td>
<td>44 (15.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Composite of the percentage of cells that stained (scored on a scale of 0–5) and the intensity of their staining (scored on a scale of 0–3).
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showed an increase. We investigated the association between Ki-67 response and tumor response measured by ultrasound. However, we could not observe a significant association between them ($R^2 = -0.12$; Supplementary Fig. S2). We also investigated the association between Ki-67 response and pathological response after pre-operative tamoxifen treatment. Patients without any pathological response (grade 0) showed significantly poorer Ki-67 response compared to those showing grade 1a pathological response ($P = 0.029$; Supplementary Fig. S3).

### Associations between CYP2D6 genotypes and Ki-67 response after pre-operative tamoxifen therapy

We compared the after/before ratio of the Ki-67 index (when it is below 1, the proportion of Ki-67–positive cells is decreased) in two groups, one treated for less than 21 days and the other treated for 21 days or more, but found no significant difference between these two groups ($P = 0.67$, data not shown). To prospectively analyze the effects of CYP2D6 genotypes on response to tamoxifen, we conducted an association study between CYP2D6 genotypes and the after/before ratio of the Ki-67 index. Using the Kruskal–Wallis test, CYP2D6 genotypes were significantly associated with Ki-67 response after tamoxifen treatment (after/before ratio of the Ki-67 index) as shown in Fig. 3A ($P = 0.045$). The patients with homozygous variant alleles (V/V) showed a smaller decrease of Ki-67 positivity than those carrying at least one wild-type allele ($P = 0.018$; Fig. 3B), suggesting that tumors in the

### Table 2. Genotype frequency of CYP2D6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CYP2D6 genotype</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>96 (34.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>24 (8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>97 (34.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/10-10</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/10-18</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/18</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/21</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/41</td>
<td>9 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/10</td>
<td>7 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/21</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/10-41</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/10-10</td>
<td>35 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/10-41</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/41</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Association of CYP2D6 variants with pathological response and hot flush after short-term tamoxifen therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathologic response (+)*</th>
<th>Pathologic response (-)*</th>
<th>Hot flush (+)</th>
<th>Hot flush (-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt/wt</td>
<td>36 (0.44)</td>
<td>32 (0.33)</td>
<td>12 (0.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt/V</td>
<td>36 (0.44)</td>
<td>45 (0.47)</td>
<td>14 (0.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/V</td>
<td>10 (0.12)</td>
<td>19 (0.20)</td>
<td>11 (0.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher test $P$ values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt/wt vs V/V</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/V vs V</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt vs V</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odds ratio (95% CI)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt/wt vs V/V</td>
<td>1.57 (0.85–2.88)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.45–1.97)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/V vs V</td>
<td>1.78 (0.77–4.08)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.19–0.96)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pathological response (+), grade 1a or more; pathological response (−), grade 0.
*Odds ratios and confidence intervals (CI) are calculated using the CYP2D6 V/V and wt/V or CYP2D6 V/V as reference.

**Figure 1.** Representative Ki-67–stained images in patients with CYP2D6 wt/wt and CYP2D6 V/V. **A,** Posttreatment tissues showed a lower Ki-67 labeling index (3.5%) than pretreatment tissues (43.4%) in patients with CYP2D6 wt/wt. **B,** Posttreatment tissues showed higher Ki-67 labeling index (15.2%) than pretreatment tissues (0.8%) in patients with CYP2D6 V/V.
former patients had poorer response to tamoxifen treatment. We further investigated the association of all CYP2D6 genotypes with Ki-67 and ER in pretreatment tissue, which might be confounding factors, but observed no significant association between them (Supplementary Fig. S4 and Supplementary Table S1).

**Discussion**

Tamoxifen treatment significantly improves survival in patients with ER-positive breast cancer (1, 2, 31, 32). Tamoxifen has revealed inferiority to aromatase inhibitors as an adjuvant therapy for breast cancer (33); however, some reports have indicated that the risk of certain adverse events including osteoporosis is higher in patients receiving aromatase inhibitors than tamoxifen. Hence, tamoxifen keeps being a key therapeutic drug for ER-positive breast cancer. We previously reported that CYP2D6 variant alleles, which decrease or lose its enzymatic activity, such as “4,” “5,” “10,” “10-10,” “14,” “21,” “36-36,” and “41,” were significantly associated with clinical outcome of patients with breast cancer receiving adjuvant tamoxifen monotherapy (30). Consistent with this previous report, many studies have reported a significant association between the CYP2D6 genotypes and clinical outcome of breast cancer patients receiving the tamoxifen therapy in the adjuvant setting (7–12, 30, 34–41). However, discordant results have also been reported (15–19, 42, 43).

Although several critical issues or errors described below could explain these false-negative results (20, 44, 45), it is also quite obvious that the quality of genotyping could be one of the key issues in the pharmacogenomics study. The accuracy of genotyping methods, coverage of allele (genotype; ref. 46) and source of DNA have been reported to influence the quality of genotype data (20). The studies using low-quality genomic DNA extracted from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor tissues (in some cases, DNAs were extracted from cancer cells) without genotyping CYP2D65 (deletion of the entire CYP2D6 gene) are likely to lead to the misgenotyping results (20). Moreover, most of studies showing the null association included the patients who were treated with tamoxifen combined with anticancer drugs. To adapt these essential conditions and prospectively clarify the effect of CYP2D6 as a pharmacogenomic predictor of tamoxifen efficacy, we genotyped wide coverage of CYP2D6 alleles using high-quality genomic DNA extracted from blood samples of the patients receiving tamoxifen monotherapy, and obtained the results which could prove the clinical significance of CYP2D6 genotyping in tamoxifen therapy.

In this study, we observed significant decreases in the Ki-67 labeling index with short-term preoperative tamoxifen treatment. The Ki-67 response was significantly associated with the expression level of ER (Supplementary Fig. S1), which is the established target of tamoxifen and also a predictive marker for the response to tamoxifen (47). Moreover, Ki-67 response was also associated with a pathologic response in breast cancer tissues after tamoxifen treatment (Supplementary Fig. S3). Although Ki-67 response after tamoxifen treatment has not yet been a well-established predictive marker for clinical response to tamoxifen, these lines of evidence support a possibility that Ki-67 response after short-term preoperative tamoxifen treatment could be a useful surrogate marker for clinical efficacy of tamoxifen. To prospectively investigate that...
CYP2D6 genotypes could be a useful marker for prediction of the response to tamoxifen treatment, as we carried out the association study of CYP2D6 genotypes with Ki-67 response after preoperative tamoxifen therapy. Although the association was not as strong as that observed in our previous retrospective studies in which endpoint were recurrence-free survival (30, 37), CYP2D6 genotypes were significantly associated with the Ki-67 response ($P = 0.045$; Fig. 3A), which is the primary endpoint of this prospective study. In particular, patients with homozygous variant alleles (V/V) showed lower Ki-67 response than those carrying at least one wild-type allele (wt/wt or wt/V; $P = 0.018$; Fig. 3B). The difference of the significance level in the above studies might be caused by the difference in study endpoints (30, 37).

As secondary endpoints of this study, we investigated the association of CYP2D6 genotypes with pathological response and hot flushes. Although we found no significant association between CYP2D6 genotypes and pathological response or hot flushes, it is almost certain that our experimental design was not appropriate to evaluate these parameters, probably because the number of patients was too small, and the administration and observation periods were too short. Hence, further analysis using a larger number of patients treated with longer periods of tamoxifen is required for verification of the effect of CYP2D6 variants on these endpoints.

The pharmacogenomic information is expected to contribute to establishment of the personalized medicine system in which each patient is provided a right amount of a right drug. To reduce the medical cost with maintaining the quality of medical care, it is of special importance to use effective drugs such as tamoxifen at the lower cost on the basis of individual germline and/or somatic genetic information. In this prospective study, we concluded that the accurate genotyping of CYP2D6 could become an important predictor for the efficacy of tamoxifen for individual patients with breast cancer. Because novel genetic variants associated with efficacy of tamoxifen have been identified (30, 48), integration of genotypes of CYP2D6 and other associated genes could be the future approach to improve the ability of physicians to select optimal hormonal therapy for the treatment of ER-positive breast cancer and provide better quality of lives to patients with breast cancer.
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