Pharmacodynamic Model of Topotecan-induced Time Course of Neutropenia
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ABSTRACT

Pharmacodynamic measures of neutropenia, such as absolute neutrophil count at nadir and neutrophil survival fraction, may not reflect the overall time course of neutropenia. We developed a pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic model to describe and quantify the time course of neutropenia after administration of topotecan to children and to compare this with nonhuman primates (NHPs) as a potential preclinical model of neutropenia. Topotecan was administered as a 30-min infusion daily for 5 days, repeated every 21 days. As part of a Phase I Pediatric Oncology Group study, topotecan was administered at 1.4 and 1.7 mg/m2/day without filgrastim (POG) and at 1.7, 2, and 2.4 mg/m2/day with filgrastim (POG+G). In NHPs, topotecan was administered at 5, 10, and 20 mg/m2/day without filgrastim. A pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic model was fit to profiles of topotecan lactone plasma concentrations and neutrophil survival fraction from cycle 1 and used to calculate topotecan lactone area under the plasma concentration-versus-time curve from 0 to 120 h (AUCLAC) and the area between the baseline and treatment-related neutrophil survival fraction (ABC) from 0 to 700 h. The mean ± SD neutrophil survival fraction at nadir for the POG, POG+G, and NHP groups was 0.12 ± 0.09, 0.11 ± 0.17, and 0.09 ± 0.08, respectively (\(P > 0.05\)). The mean ± SD for the ratio of ABC to AUCLAC for the POG and NHP groups was 1.02 ± 0.38 and 0.16 ± 0.09, respectively (\(P < 0.05\)). The model estimate of ABC and the ratio of ABC to AUCLAC in children and NHPs may better reflect sensitivity to chemotherapy-induced neutropenia.

INTRODUCTION

Topotecan is a camptothecin analogue and topoisomerase I-interactive agent that has antitumor activity against a wide range of malignancies (1, 2). Topotecan is approved for the treatment of refractory ovarian cancer, where it is administered at 1.5 mg/m2/day as a 30-min infusion for 5 days repeated every 21 days (3, 4). The primary dose-limiting toxicity associated with topotecan on this schedule is neutropenia (3–5). Moreover, the primary dose-limiting toxicity of many anticancer agents is bone marrow suppression (6–8). At present there are no reliable in vivo preclinical models of chemotherapy-induced bone marrow suppression (9–11). However, NHPs are a good model of radiation-induced bone marrow suppression and may be an appropriate model of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia and thrombocytopenia (10). Thus, we evaluated pharmacodynamic factors associated with topotecan-induced neutropenia in a NHP model and compared those data with data from a Pediatric Oncology Group Phase I study of topotecan (12).

Patients with prolonged neutropenia have a greater risk of infection than do patients who develop the same neutrophil nadir but rapidly recover their neutrophil count (6–8). Previous pharmacodynamic analyses of anticancer agents have used a linear, log-linear, or Emax model to describe the relationship between drug peak concentration or exposure (measured as the AUC or steady-state concentration), the number of neutrophils at nadir, and the percentage decrease in absolute neutrophil count (11, 13, 14). Previously, we reported a steep relationship between topotecan systemic exposure and toxicity (depicted as the percentage decrease in absolute neutrophil count; Refs. 12, 15). However, the percentage decrease in neutrophils may not

3The abbreviations used are: NHP, nonhuman primate; AUC, area under the concentration-versus-time curve; \(k_{npb}\), rate constant describing the maturation and movement of neutrophils from the bone marrow to the blood; \(k_{npd}\), rate constant describing the distribution of drug to and from the bone marrow; \(k_{nsc}\), rate constant describing stem cell production; \(k_{nbt}\), rate constant describing the half-life of neutrophils in peripheral blood; ABC, area between the neutrophil survival fraction-versus-time profile that would occur without treatment and that measured after treatment with topotecan; MPE, mean predictive error; RMSE, root mean square error.
reflect the duration of toxicity or incorporate the time delay between drug administration and the occurrence of toxicity. Compared with the pharmacodynamic modeling of other drugs with immediate effects, modeling the myelosuppressive effects of anticancer agents must include parameters that describe the production as well as the destruction of the target cells. Pharmacodynamic measures of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia over the entire treatment cycle may provide important information. Thus, we developed a pharmacodynamic model to describe the time course of the neutrophil survival fraction in children compared with NHPs as a potential preclinical model of neutropenia after administration of topotecan daily for 5 days, repeated every 21 days.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patient and Subject Population. The topotecan lactone plasma concentrations and absolute neutrophil count for children were obtained from a Phase I study of topotecan in children with refractory solid tumors (POG9275; Ref. 12). The POG study was approved by the institutional review board at each of the collaborating institutions. The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies were performed on cycle 1 only. In this Phase I study, topotecan was administered as a 30-min infusion daily for 5 consecutive days, repeated every 21 days. The dosages of topotecan evaluated were 1.4 and 1.7 mg/m²/day without subsequent filgrastim and 1.7, 2.0, and 2.4 mg/m²/day with subsequent filgrastim. Filgrastim was administered at 5 μg/kg/day, starting 24 h after the last day of topotecan and continued until the neutrophil count exceeded 10,000/μl for a minimum of 10 days. In heavily pretreated children, the maximum tolerated dosage of topotecan administered with or without subsequent filgrastim was 2.0 and 1.4 mg/m²/day, respectively. In both cases, the dose-limiting toxicity was bone marrow suppression, involving both platelets and neutrophils. The number of patients evaluated after administration of topotecan with and without subsequent filgrastim was 10 and 11, respectively.

In the NHP study, adult male rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta), weighing 5–10 kg, were given topotecan without subsequent filgrastim. This study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Maryland, Baltimore, Maryland. The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies were performed on cycle 1 only. In a previous NHP study (16), standard dosages of topotecan did not induce significant myelosuppression. Therefore, higher dosages of topotecan were administered to NHPs in the present study. Specifically, topotecan was administered at 5 (n = 3), 10 (n = 3), and 20 (n = 3) mg/m²/day as a 30-min infusion for 5 consecutive days, repeated every 21 days. Pharmacokinetic studies were performed on three NHPs per dosage group. However, because of logistical issues, we were only able to perform pharmacodynamic studies on two NHPs per dosage group.

Blood Counts. Absolute neutrophil counts were measured at least twice per week and every other day in the pediatric and NHP studies, respectively. The absolute neutrophil survival fraction was calculated as the ratio of the lowest absolute neutrophil count measured on days following treatment to the absolute neutrophil count on the day prior to the start of treatment.

Pharmacokinetic Sampling and Processing. Blood samples for pharmacokinetic analysis were obtained on day 1 of cycle 1 in the pediatric and NHP studies. For each study, blood samples were obtained before and at 0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 3, and 6 h after the end of the topotecan infusion. At each time, 3 ml of blood were obtained from a site contralateral to that of i.v. administration and placed into heparinized tubes. Within 2 min, blood samples were centrifuged at 2000 × g for 2 min, after which 200 μl of plasma were added to 800 μl of cold methanol (−20°C), vortexed for 10 s, and centrifuged at 2000 × g for 2 min. The resulting methanolic supernatant was decanted and stored at −70°C until analyzed.

Plasma topotecan concentrations were measured by a previously described isocratic high-performance liquid chromatography assay using fluorescence detection (12, 17, 18). The methanolic supernatant was used to measure topotecan lactone concentration. The total topotecan total (sum of lactone and hydroxy acid) concentration was measured by adding 20 μl of 20% phosphoric acid to 400 μl of the methanolic supernatant.

Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic Analysis. A pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic model was fit to the profiles of topotecan lactone plasma concentration versus time and...
the neutrophil survival fraction versus time (Fig. 1). This was accomplished using maximum likelihood estimation in the ADAPT II modeling program (19). Individual parameters estimated in the two-compartment pharmacokinetic section of the model included the volume of the central compartment \( V_1 \), the intercompartmental rate constants \( k_{12} \) and \( k_{21} \), and the elimination rate constant from the central compartment \( k_{10} \). Individual parameters and standard equations were used to calculate systemic clearance \( (\text{CL}_{\text{sys}}) \) and elimination half-life \( (t_{1/2}) \); Refs. 19, 20). Individual parameter estimates were used to calculate the area under the topotecan lactone \( (\text{AUC}_{\text{LAC}}) \) and total \( (\text{AUC}_{\text{TOT}}) \) plasma concentration-versus-time curves from zero to infinity, using the log trapezoidal method (19). The ratio of topotecan lactone to total form was calculated as the ratio of \( \text{AUC}_{\text{LAC}} \) to \( \text{AUC}_{\text{TOT}} \). For the pharmacodynamic analysis, the topotecan lactone AUC from 0 to 120 h was calculated from the simulated concentration-versus-time profile from 0 to 120 h (i.e., after five consecutive daily doses) based on the day 1 pharmacokinetic parameters.

The pharmacodynamic section of the model was modified from an Indirect Response Model with production linked to an effect compartment (IRMLINK) in the ADAPT Model Library (19, 21). In our model, the concentration of topotecan in the bone marrow was defined as compartment-3, the effect compartment in which topotecan inhibits stem cell production was defined as compartment-4, and the measured reduction in absolute neutrophil count in the blood was defined as compartment-6. In addition, a delay compartment (compartment-5) and the rate constant describing the maturation and movement of neutrophils from the bone marrow to the blood \( (k_{m}) \) were used to represent the time delay between the inhibition of stem cell production by topotecan and the measured effect on neutrophil count in the blood. The delay compartment and rate constant used to describe time delay were modified from the delay-chain model used to describe oral absorption of phosphates in rats (22). In the model development, the number of delay compartments varied from 1 to 10; however, a single delay compartment produced the best fit of the data.

Additional individual parameters estimated in the pharmacodynamic section of the model included the rate constant describing the distribution of drug to and from the bone marrow \( (k_{\text{D}}) \), the rate constant describing stem cell production \( (k_{\text{SN}}) \), the concentration of topotecan that inhibits \( k_{\text{SN}} \) by 50% \( (\text{IC}_{50}) \), and the rate constant describing the half-life of neutrophils in peripheral blood \( (k_{\text{OUT}}) \). The half-life of neutrophils in peripheral blood corresponds to a \( k_{\text{OUT}} \) of 0.099 h\(^{-1}\) (22). Chemotherapy does not affect the half-life of neutrophils; thus, in our model the \( k_{\text{OUT}} \) was fixed at 0.099 h\(^{-1}\) for each subject. At baseline the \( k_{\text{IN}} = k_{\text{OUT}} \); thus, the initial estimate of \( k_{\text{IN}} \) was 0.099 h\(^{-1}\), and a final parameter value was estimated for each subject.

The area (ABC) between the neutrophil survival fraction-versus-time profile that would occur without treatment and that measured after treatment with topotecan from 0 to 700 h is depicted in Fig. 2. The ABC was calculated by the log-trapezoidal method. The degree of neutropenia associated with plasma drug exposure was calculated as the ratio of ABC to \( \text{AUC}_{\text{LAC}} \) from 0 to 120 h.

Model Evaluation and Statistical Analysis. The predictive performance of the model was determined by the MPE, RMSE, and residuals. The Mann-Whitney test was performed on \( \text{AUC}_{\text{TOT}} \), \( \text{AUC}_{\text{LAC}} \), neutrophil nadir, ABC, and the ratio of ABC to \( \text{AUC}_{\text{LAC}} \), using StatXact-3 software (Version 3.1; Cytel Software Corp., Cambridge, MA).

**RESULTS**

**Topotecan Pharmacokinetics in NHPs.** The mean ± SD model fits \((i.e., R^2)\) to the topotecan lactone and total plasma concentration-versus-time profiles were 0.98 ± 0.01 and 0.97 ± 0.02, respectively. The pharmacokinetic parameters for topotecan lactone and total topotecan are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The ratios of \( \text{AUC}_{\text{LAC}} \) to \( \text{AUC}_{\text{TOT}} \) at 5, 10, and 20 mg/m\(^2\)/day were 0.57 ± 0.06, 0.52 ± 0.05, and 0.37 ± 0.06, respectively. The −2-fold reductions in topotecan total clearance and the ratio of \( \text{AUC}_{\text{LAC}} \) to \( \text{AUC}_{\text{TOT}} \) suggest nonlinear clearance of the inactive hydroxy acid between topotecan doses of 10 and 20 mg/m\(^2\).

**Absolute Neutrophil Count Survival Fraction at Nadir.** The neutrophil survival fractions at nadir for children administered topotecan with and without subsequent filgrastim and in

---

**Table 1** Topotecan lactone pharmacokinetic parameters in a NHP model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topotecan lactone (mg/m(^2)/day)</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Median (Range)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC (mg/mH)</td>
<td>138.5 ± 63.4</td>
<td>283.9 ± 48.3</td>
<td>542.5 ± 172.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL (liters/h/m(^2))</td>
<td>(92.5–260.4)</td>
<td>(240.8–351.6)</td>
<td>(298.6–678.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_1 ) (liters)</td>
<td>30.4 ± 13.0</td>
<td>23.2 ± 13.7</td>
<td>21.8 ± 9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{\text{IN}} )</td>
<td>(17.6–51.6)</td>
<td>(4.8–37.6)</td>
<td>(9.6–28.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{\text{OUT}} )</td>
<td>20.7 ± 13.4</td>
<td>39.9 ± 14.4</td>
<td>51.5 ± 25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{\text{D}} )</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{\text{SN}} )</td>
<td>(9.3–42.3)</td>
<td>(20.0–53.9)</td>
<td>(29.7–87.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 2** Calculation of area (ABC) between the neutrophil survival fraction curve after administration of topotecan \( (\text{AUC}_{\text{ANC}}) \) and without treatment \( (\text{AUC}_{\text{Base}} = 700) \). The calculation was based on the following equation: ABC = 700 − \( \text{AUC}_{\text{ANC}} \).
Table 2  Topotecan total pharmacokinetic parameters in a NHP model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>5 (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>10 (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>20 (Mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topotecan lactone (mg/m²/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (Range)</td>
<td>324.7 ± 120.1</td>
<td>562.0 ± 162.2</td>
<td>1808.0 ± 68.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD (179.0–505.0)</td>
<td>470.0</td>
<td>1810.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL (liters/h/m²)</td>
<td>16.4 ± 6.3</td>
<td>17.5 ± 4.3</td>
<td>6.2 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (Range)</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD (8.3–25.7)</td>
<td>(11.5–21.2)</td>
<td>(2.4–10.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vc (liters)</td>
<td>26.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>22.5 ± 11.3</td>
<td>10.7 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (Range)</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD (20.9–30.6)</td>
<td>(10.0–37.4)</td>
<td>(7.8–13.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NHPs administered topotecan without filgrastim are represented in Fig. 3. The mean ± SD (median; range) neutrophil survival fraction at nadir in children administered topotecan with and without subsequent filgrastim and in NHPs administered topotecan without subsequent filgrastim were not statistically different (P ≥ 0.05). However, the difference between the ratio of ABC to AUCLAC for NHPs administered topotecan without filgrastim and in NHPs administered topotecan without subsequent filgrastim was 0.85 ± 0.08, 0.85 ± 0.18, and 0.80 ± 0.21, respectively. The MPEs for all data, for children administered topotecan with and without subsequent filgrastim, and for NHPs administered topotecan without filgrastim were −0.08 ± 0.15, −0.10 ± 0.12, −0.06 ± 0.15, and −0.07 ± 0.10, respectively. The RMSE for all data, for children administered topotecan with and without subsequent filgrastim, and for NHPs administered topotecan without filgrastim was 0.28, 0.32, 0.24, and 0.26, respectively. Residuals of prediction (predicted surviving fraction − observed surviving fraction) plotted against time for all patients after the start of treatment are presented in Fig. 6. Negative residuals from 0 to 96 h were explained by the inherent variability in neutrophil counts at baseline and immediately after administration and by transient increases in neutrophils after topotecan administration. Negative residuals occurring ≥384 h after the start of treatment were explained by transient increases in neutrophils during recovery and an overshoot of neutrophil counts observed in some patients.

Parameters describing the pharmacodynamic model of topotecan-induced neutropenia are presented in Table 3. The koeo, ABC, and kpo between the three groups were not statistically different (P > 0.05). However, the difference between the ratio of ABC to AUCLAC for NHPs administered topotecan without filgrastim was statistically significantly different (P < 0.05) from those for children administered topotecan with or without filgrastim. The ratio of ABC to AUCLAC in NHPs is presented in Fig. 7. In addition, the difference between kIN for NHPs administered topotecan without filgrastim was statistically significantly different (P < 0.05) from those for children administered topotecan with or without filgrastim. The differences between the ratio of ABC to AUCLAC and kIN for children administered topotecan with and without filgrastim were not statistically significant (P > 0.05). The IC50 for children administered topotecan without filgrastim was significantly (P < 0.05) lower than those for children administered topotecan with filgrastim and the NHP group. Ultimately, the lack of an impact of filgrastim on the degree of neutropenia and ABC may be attrib-
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study is the first to compare the relationship between topotecan exposure and the severity and duration of neutropenia in children and NHPs, with the goal of evaluating NHPs as a model of topotecan-induced neutropenia in humans. The model estimate of ABC at similar topotecan dosages and the ratio of ABC to AUC_{LAC} depicted the differences in the degree and severity of neutropenia in children administered topotecan with or without subsequent filgrastim and in NHPs receiving topotecan without subsequent filgrastim. Application of this approach may provide a mathematical means to describe the differences in the severity and duration of neutropenia induced by anticancer agents. Moreover, the clinical relevance of this model is underscored by the need to correlate drug exposure of anticancer drugs and the degree and duration of neutropenia and to ideally predict which patients may be at increased risk of neutropenia-related complications (6–8, 25, 26).

Minami et al. (23) previously developed an indirect response model to describe the time course of leukopenia associated with anticancer agents in patients not receiving colony stimulating factors. In their model, a three-compartment pharmacokinetic model describing the systemic disposition of paclitaxel was used as input to the pharmacodynamic portion of the model describing the time course of leukocytes in peripheral blood. This is similar to our model; however, in addition to the two-compartment model describing the systemic disposition of topotecan, we added an additional uncoupled compartment (compartment-3) and rate constant (k_{out}) describing the concentration of topotecan in the bone marrow and distribution of drug to and from the bone marrow, respectively. The uncoupled compartment may make our model more physiologically rele-

vant based on the assumption that only a fraction of the drug

exposed in the plasma reaches peripheral tissue, such as the bone marrow (27, 28). Pharmacokinetic modeling using uncoupled compartments to describe drug concentrations in peripheral tissues, where it is difficult to determine an accurate estimate of the tissue volume, has been used to model drug concentrations in tumors and cerebrospinal fluid (27, 28).

Several investigators have developed pharmacodynamic models of neutropenia (23–25). Our model describes the time course of neutropenia and quantifies the extent and duration of toxicity depicted by the time course of neutropenia. The present study is the first to compare the relationship between topotecan exposure and the severity and duration of neutropenia in children and NHPs, with the goal of evaluating NHPs as a model of topotecan-induced neutropenia in humans. The model estimate of ABC at similar topotecan dosages and the ratio of ABC to AUC_{LAC} depicted the differences in the degree and severity of neutropenia in children administered topotecan with or without subsequent filgrastim and in NHPs receiving topotecan without subsequent filgrastim. Application of this approach may provide a mathematical means to describe the differences in the severity and duration of neutropenia induced by anticancer agents. Moreover, the clinical relevance of this model is underscored by the need to correlate drug exposure of anticancer drugs and the degree and duration of neutropenia and to ideally predict which patients may be at increased risk of neutropenia-related complications (6–8, 25, 26).

Fig. 4 Representative time course of the neutrophil survival fraction in a child administered topotecan without subsequent filgrastim. The patient was administered topotecan at 1.4 mg/m²/day daily for 5 days. Individual neutrophil survival fraction points (●) and the best-fit line (—) of the data are presented.

Fig. 5 Representative time course of the neutrophil survival fraction in a NHP administered topotecan without subsequent filgrastim. The NHP was administered topotecan at 20 mg/m²/day daily for 5 days. Individual neutrophil survival fraction points (●) and the best-fit line (—) of the data are presented.
Model of Topotecan-induced Neutropenia

Pharmacodynamic parameters describing topotecan-induced time course of neutropenia in NHPs administered topotecan without filgrastim (NHP group) and children administered topotecan without filgrastim (POG group) and with filgrastim (POG+G group). Mean ± SD and median (range) are presented for area (ABC) between the neutrophil time-course versus-time curve that would occur without treatment and after administration of topotecan; the ratio of ABC to topotecan lactone plasma AUC from 0 to 700 hours (ABC/AUC_LAC); the rate of pression. The standard regimen of topotecan in the treatment of solid tumors is 1.5 mg/m²/day in adults (31, 32) and 2.0–2.4 mg/m²/day in children (11, 12), with dosages administered daily for 5 days and repeated every 21 days. Rowinsky et al. (33) achieved a maximum tolerated dosage of 4.5 mg/m²/day daily for 5 days, repeated every 21 days, in adults with refractory or relapsed acute leukemia. In a previous study using NHPs, topotecan produced very limited neutropenia (16). Thus, the starting dosage evaluated in our NHP study was 5 mg/m²/day for 5 consecutive days administered without subsequent filgrastim. The starting and two subsequent dosages (10 and 20 mg/m²/day) of topotecan administered without subsequent filgrastim did not produce significant neutropenia or thrombocytopenia in the NHPs. The resistance of NHPs to topotecan-induced neutropenia is represented by the 6.4-fold lower ratio of ABC to AUC_LAC in NHPs administered topotecan without subsequent filgrastim compared with children administered topotecan without subsequent filgrastim ($P < 0.05$).

The topotecan lactone clearances in the NHP model after administration of 5 mg/m² (30.4 ± 13.0 liters/h/m²), 10 mg/m² (23.2 ± 13.7 liters/h/m²), and 20 mg/m² (21.8 ± 9.5 liters/h/m²) are similar to those reported in the POG study (16.7 ± 6.5 liters/h/m²), from which we analyzed the absolute neutrophil

Table 3  Parameters describing topotecan-induced time course of neutropenia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>NHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD (Median)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD (Median)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>379 ± 127 (409 ± 110)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC/AUC_LAC</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_IN</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_GC</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC50</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Parameter values that are statistically ($P < 0.05$) different from the other groups.
Anti-CAML to AUC TOT in the NHP model is similar to those administered as a 30-min infusion (12, 34). In addition, the ratio of marrow to the peripheral blood. The statistical difference in or to a delay in maturity or movement of cells from the bone difference in the severity of neutropenia between NHPs and addition, the similar (12, 34). Thus, the resistance of the NHP model to topotecan-induced neutropenia is not attributable to altered systemic pharmacokinetics. In addition, the similar (P > 0.05) \( k_{co} \) and \( k_{ep} \) values suggest that the difference in the severity of neutropenia between NHPs and children is not related to topotecan exposure in the bone marrow or to a delay in maturity or movement of cells from the bone marrow to the peripheral blood. The statistical difference in \( k_{co} \) between the NHPs administered topotecan without subsequent filgrastim and the children administered topotecan with and without filgrastim suggests that the sensitivity difference is related to inhibition of stem cells. Erickson-Miller et al. (11) reported the differential toxicity of camptothecin, topotecan, and 9-aminocamptothecin to human, canine, and murine myeloid progenitors in vitro. The murine myeloid progenitors were 21-fold less sensitive to topotecan than human myeloid progenitors. However, canine myeloid progenitors were more sensitive to camptothecins than human myeloid progenitors. At present, there are no in vitro studies evaluating the sensitivity to camptothecin-induced neutropenia in NHP stem cells.

We developed a pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic model that describes the plasma concentration-versus-time profile and the time course of the neutrophil survival fraction after administration of topotecan. The model estimated the area (ABC) between the neutrophil survival fraction curve without treatment and after administration of topotecan as a measure of the severity and duration of neutropenia. The ABC in NHPs administered topotecan at dosages similar to those administered to children depicted the differences in the degree and duration of neutropenia in children. The ratio of ABC to \( \text{AUC}_{\text{LAC}} \) depicted the differences in topotecan-induced neutropenia in children administered topotecan with and without filgrastim and in NHPs administered topotecan without filgrastim. Application of this model may provide a way to quantitate the differences in severity and duration of neutropenia induced by anticancer agents. In addition, this model can be used to evaluate the effects of colony-stimulating factors on the rate of recovery and the duration of neutropenia (34, 35, 36, 37). The clinical significance of this study is underscored by the need to develop clinically relevant pharmacodynamic measures of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia. This information could be used in the design of future studies of topotecan, and the pharmacodynamic modeling concepts might be generalizable to other drugs that induce neutropenia. Future studies will validate our pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic model in patients receiving standard dosages of topotecan and in patients receiving dosages of 7.5 mg/m²/day as part of bone marrow transplant regimens. In addition, future prospective studies are required to determine the relationship between ABC and clinically significant outcomes such as neutropenic fever.
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