Autoimmunity to the $M_r$ 32,000 Subunit of Replication Protein A in Breast Cancer
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: We sought to identify autoantigens recognized by antibodies in breast cancer patient sera with potential diagnostic or prognostic significance.

Experimental Design: Serum from a female breast cancer patient exhibiting a high titer antinuclear antibody was used to screen a HeLa cDNA expression library, leading to the cloning of a cDNA for the $M_r$ 32,000 subunit of replication protein A (RPA32). RPA32 expression and localization were assayed in autologous tumor by monoclonal antibody staining. A specific ELISA using recombinant protein was used to screen sera from 801 breast cancer patients and 65 controls.

Results: A relationship between anti-replication protein A (RPA) antibodies and the ductal breast carcinoma of the proband was suggested by overexpression and aberrant localization of RPA32 in tumor cells as compared with surrounding normal ductal tissue and by the presence of anti-RPA32 antibodies before the diagnosis. The prevalence of anti-RPA32 antibodies was significantly higher ($P < 0.01$) among breast cancer patients (87 of 801 patients) than among noncancer controls (0 of 65 controls). Similarly, anti-RPA32 antibodies were present in 4 of 39 patients with intraductal in situ carcinoma. No associations were found between anti-RPA antibodies and survival, occurrence of a second tumor, metastases, or antibodies to p53. Reactivity to RPA32 also was detected in sera from 3 of 47 patients with other cancers.

Conclusions: In view of the central role of RPA in DNA replication, recombination, and repair, we suggest that autoimmunity to RPA32 may reflect molecular changes involved in the process of tumorigenesis. The finding of antibodies to RPA32 before diagnosis and their prevalence in in situ carcinoma suggest that they are potentially useful markers of early disease.

INTRODUCTION

Autoantibodies are frequently observed in sera of patients with malignancies and generally have been thought to be nonspecific and a reflection of cancer-related general immune system dysfunction (1, 2). Accumulating evidence, however, suggests that changes in the immune response during malignant transformation are antigen driven and that some cancer-associated autoantigens might be involved in cellular functions related to tumorigenesis (3–6). Autoimmune responses in cancer patients to cell cycle-regulatory proteins, including p53 (7–9), cyclin B1 (10), cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (11), cdc27 (12), and p73, (13), may reflect molecular events that lead to tumorigenesis. Consistent with this hypothesis, cancer-related autoimmunity often appears to be directed against mutant forms of proteins (4, 8, 11, 14) or is associated with overexpression of the autoantigens in autologous tumor cells (4, 9).

Associations between the presence of certain ANAs and cancer cell type, diagnosis, and patient outcome suggest that recognition of specific autoantigens by cancer patient sera might have potential diagnostic and prognostic value (3, 9, 15, 16). We hypothesize that ANAs present in cancer patients’ sera may be related to the process of tumorigenesis, and we have begun to test this hypothesis by cloning nuclear antigens recognized by breast cancer patient sera.

Here we report that autoantibodies found in serum from a patient with a ductal breast carcinoma recognize RPA32 as an autoantigen. RPA is a highly conserved, single-stranded DNA-binding multisubunit protein complex involved in eukaryotic DNA replication, recombination, and repair (17–22). RPA has been reported to be rarely recognized as an autoantigen by sera from patients with systemic autoimmune diseases (23, 24), but there are no reports of autoimmunity to this protein in any other human disease. Here we examine the relationship of anti-RPA antibodies to cancer and investigate the potential of these autoantibodies as diagnostic and prognostic markers.

Received 9/19/01; revised 12/17/01; accepted 12/18/01.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked advertisement in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

1 Supported by NIH Grant R21 AR-99-128 (CA– 87759 – 01) and grants from the Flora Temple Fund, Mrs. Mary Webber Parker, and the Karmanos Cancer Institute.

2 Present address: Department of Pathology, VA Puget Sound Health Care System, 1660 South Columbian Way, Seattle, WA 98108.

3 To whom requests for reprints should be addressed, at Hutzel Hospital, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Wayne State University, 4707 Saint Antoine Boulevard, Detroit, MI 48201. Phone: (313) 577-1134; E-mail: fmadrid@intmed.wayne.edu.

4 The abbreviations used are: ANA, antinuclear antibody; RPA, replication protein A; RPA32, the $M_r$ 32,000 subunit of RPA; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; WSU, Wayne State University; PTX, 10 mM NaPO$_4$, pH 7.5, 0.2% Triton X-100, 0.15 mM NaCl, 1 mM EGTA, and 1 mM Na$_2$; PBST, 10 mM NaPO$_4$, pH 7.5, 0.2% Triton X-100, 0.15 mM NaCl, 1 mM EGTA, and 0.1% Tween 20.
**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients.** In January 1997, JH, a 61-year-old woman who had seronegative RA since 1992 and Raynaud’s phenomenon since 1995, was found by routine mammography to have a 0.5-cm moderately differentiated infiltrating ductal carcinoma of the left breast. At the time of diagnosis of breast cancer, the RA was well controlled on weekly methotrexate and daily minocycline and analgesics. The rheumatoid factor was negative, and the ANA by indirect immunofluorescence was reactive at a titer of 1:2560 with a speckled pattern. JH was treated with lumpectomy and radiation therapy. The estrogen receptor was positive, and the axillary lymph nodes were free of cancer. Tamoxifen treatment was started in June 1997, and follow-up examinations to August of 2001 showed no evidence of recurrence or metastatic disease. The clinical impression that the Raynaud’s phenomenon as well as the high titer ANA might have been paraneoplastic led us to select JH’s serum for the cloning experiments.

Sera from JH were collected multiple times from 1995 on and stored in the serum bank of the Division of Rheumatology of WSU until use. Sera from 65 patients with a diagnosis of fibromyalgia and osteoarthritis were obtained from the Rheumatology Clinic of WSU. This control group included 46 females (mean age, 58 years) and 19 males (mean age, 59.9 years). Sera and outcome measures from 801 female breast cancer patients (mean age, 57.8 years) were collected by the WSU Breast Cancer Prognostic Study between 1980 and 1990. These were made available by the Karmanos Cancer Institute. Sera from 22 lung cancer patients and 35 head and neck cancer patients were collected at the time of diagnosis in the Detroit Medical Center Hematology/Oncology Clinic at WSU. The lung cancer patients included five patients with adenocarcinoma, six patients with squamous cell carcinoma, seven patients with small cell carcinoma, and four patients with large cell carcinoma. These patients have been described previously (15). All sera were stored at –70°C until use.

**SDS-PAGE and Immunoblotting of HeLa Nuclear Extracts.** HeLa cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). Nuclear extracts were prepared by the method of Wood and Earnshaw (25), resuspended in SB [10 mM PIPES (pH 7.4), 80 mM KCl, 20 mM NaCl, 250 mM sucrose, 5 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 50% glycerol, 0.01% bromphenol blue, and 5% β-mercaptoethanol] at a concentration of 5 × 10⁶ nuclei/mL and sonicated. Extracts were boiled for 5 min and then separated by SDS-PAGE as described by Laemmli (26). Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose, and immunoblotting was performed as described previously by Towbin et al. (27). All sera were diluted 1:100 or as otherwise specified in PTX plus 4% BSA and incubated with membranes at room temperature for 1 h. Mouse monoclonal antibodies to RPA32 (NA18; Oncogene Research Products, Cambridge, MA) were used at a dilution of 1:1000. Three washes were performed in GB [50 mM triethanolamine-HCl (pH 7.4), 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM K-EDTA, 0.5% Triton X-100, and 0.1% SDS], followed by incubation for 1 h in sheep antimouse IgG or goat antinitosine IgG horseradish peroxidase-linked secondary antibodies (Amersham, Arlington, IL) diluted 1:1500. Bound antibodies were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham).

**cDNA Library Screening and Sequence Determination.** The JH prototype serum was used to screen a Uni-Zap XR gt11 HeLa cell cDNA expression library (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). Phage were grown on *Escherichia coli* XL Blue and induced overnight by overlaying with nitrocellulose filters soaked in 1 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside. Filters were hybridized at room temperature for 2 h with sera diluted 1:500 in PTX plus 4% BSA. After washing three times at room temperature in GB, filters were incubated with 125I-labeled protein A (Amersham) diluted at 1:2000 in PTX/4% BSA. Washes were repeated, and the filters were exposed to X-ray film at –70°C with an intensifying screen. Hybridization-positive phage were purified by repeated rounds of screening. The cDNA inserts were isolated by in vivo excision as per library instructions. Sequences were determined from plasmid DNA using an ABI Prism 377 sequencer by the Core DNA Sequencing Facility, Center for Molecular Medicine and Genetics, WSU. A BLAST search of GenBank was performed to determine sequence identity of the cloned cDNA.

**Immunodepletion.** Phage-encoded RPA32 was induced as indicated above, and filters with bound protein were rinsed in 2× SSC and air dried. JH serum, diluted 1:100 in PTX, was incubated with a protein-bound filter for 2 h. Depleted serum was recovered, incubated twice more with fresh filters, and used immediately to probe immunoblots.

**Immunohistochemistry.** Paraffin sections were deparaffinized and microwaved for 15 min in sodium citrate buffer and then incubated an additional 30 min in hot buffer. After blocking for 10 min in horse serum, sections were incubated for 2 h at room temperature with the mouse monoclonal antibody specific to RPA32 at a 1:10 dilution. This antibody reacts with a single band of Mr 32,000 on immunoblots of whole HeLa cell extracts. Sections were then incubated with biotinylated antimouse antibody (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) diluted 1:200 for 20 min, followed by incubation with avidin-biotin peroxidase reagent (Vector Laboratories) for 20 min and development with 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole.

**RPA32 Expression and Purification.** A cDNA encoding full-length human RPA32 (17) was subcloned into the EcoRI and *Smal* sites downstream from the T7 promoter in a modified pCYB vector (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA). Expression from this construct results in a fusion protein containing RPA32 fused at its COOH terminus to the protein splicing element (intein) encoded by the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* VMA1 (Mr, 50,000) and a chitin-binding domain of *Bacillus circulans* (Mr, 5,000). The fusion protein was expressed in *E. coli* BL21ADE3. Bacteria were grown to an A600 of 0.4 and induced with 1 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside for 4 h; harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 × g; resuspended in 20 mM HEPES (pH 8.0), 500 mM NaCl, and 0.1 mM EDTA; and sonicated. The lysate was centrifuged for 10 min at 12,000 × g, and the resulting supernatant was loaded onto a chitin column. The column was washed with 10 column volumes of 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM HEPES (pH 8.0), 0.1 mM EDTA, and 0.1% Triton X-100, and purified RPA32 was eluted after inactivating for 4 h with 6 M urea, pH 7.0. This purified RPA32 was used for the cloning experiments.
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48 h at 4°C in 50 mM β-mercaptoethanol. The yield was approximately 2 mg purified protein/liter induced culture.

**RPA32 ELISA.** One μg of purified RPA32 was incubated overnight in each well of 96-well ELISA plates (Corning, Corning, NY). This amount of protein per well was determined empirically using JH serum and the monoclonal RPA32 antibody as positive controls and several normal sera known not to react with RPA32 on immunoblots as negative controls. Bound protein was washed twice with PBST and blocked for 1 h in 5% powdered milk in PBST. Test sera were added at a dilution of 1:100 and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Each plate included JH serum at a dilution of 1:500 as a positive control as well as negative controls without either antigen or primary antiserum. All sera were tested in duplicate. After incubation, plates were washed three times (5 min each) with PBST and incubated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat antihuman secondary antibodies (Life Technologies, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD) diluted 1:1000 in PBST plus 5% dry milk. Washes were repeated and followed by the addition of the alkaline phosphatase substrate p-nitrophenyl phosphate (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Absorbance at 405 nm was recorded after a 1-h incubation at room temperature. Under these conditions, the absorbance readings for JH serum were >0.5 at a 1:500 dilution and >1.2 at a 1:100 dilution. Normal serum negative controls had average absorbance readings of <0.2 at a 1:100 dilution.

For each sera tested, an adjusted absorbance value was calculated by averaging readings from duplicate wells and subtracting the average value of the “no primary antiserum” control. A final ELISA value was obtained by dividing each adjusted value by that of the JH control from the same plate. To determine positive reactivity, we chose as our cutoff value a final ELISA value of 0.425. This value represents 3 SDs above the average for the 65 noncancer control sera and allowed clear differentiation between positive and negative sera. The average final ELISA values for positive and negative sera were 0.689 and 0.175, respectively. All positive sera were tested on immunoblots of purified RPA32 to verify that the reactivity observed by ELISA was not because of recognition of a minor contaminant antigen in the purified RPA32 preparation.

**p53 ELISA.** Sera were assayed at a 1:100 dilution using a commercially available p53 ELISA assay kit (Oncogene Research, Cambridge, MA). The positive cutoff absorbance value was determined by comparison with a known p53-positive human serum, as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Statistical Methods.** Prevalences or frequencies of occurrence and associations were determined using $2 \times 2$ $\chi^2$ analyses and, where appropriate, $\chi^2$ with Yate’s correction. Differences in mean survival were analyzed using $t$ test and ANOVA.

**RESULTS**

**Identification of RPA32 as an Autoantigen Recognized by a Breast Cancer Patient Serum.** Serum from breast cancer patient JH was used to probe an immunoblot of a HeLa cell nuclear extract and showed strong reactivity of an IgG antibody to an antigen of Mr 32,000 (Fig. 1). By indirect immunofluorescence with HEP-2 cells as substrate, JH serum gave a fine speckled pattern in the nucleus and cytoplasm (data not shown), in a pattern similar to that described previously for RPA (23).

This same patient serum then was used as a probe to screen a HeLa cDNA expression library to identify autoantigens. Six positive clones were identified by screening 600,000 plaques. Three plaques were purified to homogeneity by successive rounds of screening. Sequencing of the cDNA inserts revealed that two were identical to each other. A BLAST sequence homology search of the conceptual translation of this cDNA revealed identity to amino acids 38–270 of RPA32 (17).

Recombinant plaque protein was immobilized onto nitrocellulose filters and used to deplete reactive antibodies from JH serum, which was then used to probe immunoblots of HeLa nuclear protein. Reactivity to the Mr 32,000 protein was greatly reduced by preabsorption with plaque protein, yet no other reactivity was noticeably affected (Fig. 1). This result shows that the RPA32 epitope(s) recognized by the autoantibodies was not shared by other nuclear proteins. Furthermore, it indicates that the region of RPA32 encoded by the phage includes most of the epitopes recognized by the autoimmune response. Because we were able to deplete the reactivity using bacterially produced protein, these data also show that the autoimmune response to RPA32 in JH is largely directed against primary amino acid sequence epitopes rather than against posttranslational modifications.

**Expression of RPA32 in Autologous Proband Tumor.** Because studies suggest that autoimmune responses to tumor antigens may be directed against overexpressed protein (4, 9), we asked whether RPA32 was overexpressed in the tumor of the proband. Staining of paraffin-embedded JH breast tissue with a monoclonal antibody specific to RPA32 revealed significantly more of the protein in tumor cells than in adjacent benign ductal epithelium (Fig. 2). Moreover, the distribution of RPA32 was altered in the tumor cells. Cell fractionation studies indicate that
RPA is almost exclusively nuclear (28). In agreement with this study, in patient JH tissue, we could detect RPA only weakly in the nuclei of normal breast tissue. In contrast, RPA staining in tumor cells was very abundant in both nuclei and cytoplasm. We do not know whether this distribution of the protein results from a mutation in RPA or from overexpression and saturation of the nuclear import mechanism (29). Nonetheless, it suggests that autoimmunity to RPA in JH might be related to molecular changes in the tumor cells.

**Anti-RPA32 Antibodies Were Present in the Proband Both before Diagnosis of Breast Cancer and after Treatment.** Because serum samples had been collected from JH both before diagnosis and after treatment, we were able to assay for changes in RPA32 autoantibodies relative to disease status. We reasoned that if the anti-RPA response developed during early stages of tumor growth, it might be detectable before clinical diagnosis. To test this, we developed a specific ELISA using bacterially expressed recombinant human RPA32. Expression, purification, and validation of the antigen are shown in Fig. 3. Anti-RPA32 antibodies were assayed by ELISA in sera from JH that had been collected 18, 6, and 3 months before the diagnosis of cancer. At a serum dilution of 1:500, we obtained absorbance readings of 1.50, 1.74, and 1.99, respectively, comparable with a reading of 1.45 obtained for a serum sample taken at the time of diagnosis. All of these values are well above the empirically determined ELISA positive cutoff value of 0.425 (see “Materials and Methods”). These results suggest that the anti-RPA response may develop during the early stages of tumor growth, and thus these antibodies are potentially useful as a diagnostic tool in some patients.

The high titer of anti-RPA antibodies remained relatively unchanged through the latest JH serum sample taken March 1, 2001, almost 4 years after tumor removal. An absorbance reading of 1.71 was obtained for this sample. The persistence of RPA32 autoantibodies in JH after tumor removal suggests that these antibodies may not be useful markers of disease status or recurrence. A similar persistence of autoantibodies to p53 after tumor removal has been reported in breast cancer patients (30).

**Prevalence of Anti-RPA32 Antibodies in Breast Cancer.** To determine whether an autoantibody response to RPA32 was common in breast cancer, we assayed 801 sera that had been collected from breast cancer patients and 65 sera from normal subjects who did not have cancer. A positive ELISA value was obtained for 87 (10.9%) of the sera from breast cancer patients, but none of the normal controls. The lack of anti-RPA antibodies in our control group is consistent with previous reports that anti-RPA antibodies could not be detected in a total of 62 healthy individuals and 159 patients with autoimmune diseases (23, 24). The significant difference in prevalence of anti-RPA32 antibodies between control and breast cancer groups (P < 0.01) strongly suggests an association between the development of anti-RPA32 antibodies and breast cancer. Furthermore, of 39 breast cancer patients who were diagnosed at an early stage with intraductal *in situ* carcinoma, 4 (10.3%) had anti-RPA antibodies. This finding suggests that the anti-RPA response may develop very early with respect to tumor formation.
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Breast cancer patients (30) are numerous reports of the detection of anti-p53 antibodies in the date. In a comparison of RPA32 ELISA-positive and -negative patients, we found no significant differences in the mean survival, the frequency of occurrence of a second primary tumor, or the development of autoimmunity in systemic autoimmune disease (23, 36). We found no evidence of an association between anti-p53 and anti-RPA32 antibodies. Members of the anti-RFA-2, the RPA32 homologue, has been found to cause chromosomal instability (52), a phenotype commonly associated with cancer and argued to be causative (53). It is plausible that similar mutant forms of human RPA32 might be both tumorigenic and immunogenic. In this regard, it will be important to determine whether the RPA32 gene is mutated in tumors of patients with anti-RPA32 antibodies.

Our discovery that RPA is an antigenic target of autoimmunity in cancer patients is intriguing because of its essential roles in multiple aspects of DNA metabolism, including replication initiation and elongation (48–50), nucleotide excision repair (20, 51), homologous recombination (19), and transcriptional activation of DNA repair genes (22). Perturbations of any of these activities might be expected to have mutagenic consequences. Indeed, in the budding yeast S. cerevisiae, a specific allele of RFA-2, the RPA32 homologue, has been found to cause chromosomal instability (52), a phenotype commonly associated with cancer and argued to be causative (53). It is plausible that similar mutant forms of human RPA32 might be both tumorigenic and immunogenic. In this regard, it will be important to determine whether the RPA32 gene is mutated in tumors of patients with anti-RPA32 antibodies.

Our observation that RPA32 is overexpressed in tumor cells from JH suggests an additional mechanism by which changes in RPA32 may be tumorigenic. RPA32 is capable of complex formation with the tumor-suppressor protein p53 (37, 54) and can prevent sequence-specific DNA binding by p53 in vitro (37). The RPA32-p53 interaction has been proposed to modulate the p53-mediated DNA damage checkpoint response by sequestering p53 and preventing the transcriptional activation of genes involved in DNA repair (37). Thus, overexpression of RPA32 might effectively inactivate p53 and allow cells with damaged DNA to proceed through the cell cycle. Considering

DISCUSSION

In this work we show for the first time that autoantibodies from patients with breast cancer recognize RPA32 as an autoantigen. The initial observation was made in a woman with RA, Raynaud’s phenomenon, and a high titer ANA who developed a ductal breast carcinoma. The prototype serum led to the cloning of a protein found to be identical to RPA32 described by Erdile et al. (17).

It is notable that the only previous reports of autoantibodies to RPA were made on three patients with systemic lupus erythematosus, two of whom had secondary Sjögren’s syndrome (23, 24). Both systemic lupus erythematosus and Sjögren’s syndrome are known to be associated with a tendency to develop lymphoid malignancies (40–43). One of the patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and Sjögren’s syndrome previously reported with anti-RPA antibodies had a history of lymphoma (24). Thus, it is possible that antibodies to RPA32 in the sera of patients with systemic autoimmune diseases may be early markers of malignancy. Several autoantibodies have also been reported in both autoimmune and cancer patients (44–47). The addition of RPA32 to this group of shared antigens suggests that a more thorough examination of the relationship between the development of autoimmunity in systemic autoimmune diseases and in cancer is warranted.

Our discovery that RPA is an antigenic target of autoimmunity in cancer patients is intriguing because of its essential roles in multiple aspects of DNA metabolism, including replication initiation and elongation (48–50), nucleotide excision repair (20, 51), homologous recombination (19), and transcriptional activation of DNA repair genes (22). Perturbations of any of these activities might be expected to have mutagenic consequences. Indeed, in the budding yeast S. cerevisiae, a specific allele of RFA-2, the RPA32 homologue, has been found to cause chromosomal instability (52), a phenotype commonly associated with cancer and argued to be causative (53). It is plausible that similar mutant forms of human RPA32 might be both tumorigenic and immunogenic. In this regard, it will be important to determine whether the RPA32 gene is mutated in tumors of patients with anti-RPA32 antibodies.

Our observation that RPA32 is overexpressed in tumor cells from JH suggests an additional mechanism by which changes in RPA32 may be tumorigenic. RPA32 is capable of complex formation with the tumor-suppressor protein p53 (37, 54) and can prevent sequence-specific DNA binding by p53 in vitro (37). The RPA32-p53 interaction has been proposed to modulate the p53-mediated DNA damage checkpoint response by sequestering p53 and preventing the transcriptional activation of genes involved in DNA repair (37). Thus, overexpression of RPA32 might effectively inactivate p53 and allow cells with damaged DNA to proceed through the cell cycle. Considering

Tests for an Association with p53 Antibodies. There are numerous reports of the detection of anti-p53 antibodies in breast cancer patients (30–36). Because RPA32 has been reported to form a complex with the tumor suppressor p53 (37), and protein complexes are often targets of the autoimmune response in systemic autoimmune diseases (2, 38, 39), it seemed possible that a p53/RPA32 complex might be a common antigen. To examine this possibility, we asked if patients with anti-RPA32 antibodies also had antibodies to p53. We tested 84 anti-RPA32-positive sera and 59 anti-RPA32-negative sera for anti-p53 antibodies using a commercially available p53 ELISA (Oncogene Research Products). The overall frequency of sera with anti-p53 antibodies was 7%, which is consistent with previous reports of anti-p53 antibodies found in 5–30% of breast cancer patients (30–33, 35, 36). We found no evidence of an association between anti-p53 and anti-RPA32 antibodies. Members of the anti-RPA-positive group were no more frequently positive for anti-p53 antibodies (3 of 84 members) than members of the anti-RPA-negative group (6 of 59 members). Too few patients were positive (n = 3) for both anti-RPA and anti-p53 antibodies to allow competent tests for associations between disease prognosis and autoimmunity to both antigens.

RPA32 Antibodies in Other Cancers. To determine whether autoimmunity to RPA32 was unique to breast cancer or was also present in other cancers, we tested sera from 22 patients with lung cancer and 35 patients with cancer of the head and neck. Two squamous cell lung cancer patients and one head and neck cancer patients were positive. This suggests that anti-RPA antibodies can develop in response to a variety of tumor types and may reflect a commonality in the molecular changes that occur in such tumors.

Fig. 3 Production and purification of RPA32. Coomassie Blue-stained SDS-PAGE gels of total lysates of uninduced (Lane U) and induced (Lane I) cultures of bacteria showing the induced M, 87,000 RPA32 intein/chitin-binding domain fusion protein (top arrow) and purified RPA32 recovered after intein cleavage (Lane P, bottom arrow). Lane M, immunoblot of the purified protein probed with monoclonal anti-RPA32 antibodies.
that autoantigens are frequently overexpressed in autologous tumors (4, 6, 9), it is conceivable that overexpression of RPA32 might act in promoting both tumorigenesis and autoimmunity.

Identification of RPA as an autoantigen adds another member to the growing list of cancer autoantigens known to play important roles in cell proliferation (3–6, 11–13). We propose that there may be two general classes of such cancer autoantigens: (a) those associated with specific types of cancers, such as the HCC1 antigen associated with hepatocellular carcinoma (3); and (b) those common to a wide spectrum of cancers, such as p53 (7–9) and RPA32. Whereas the first class may be of particular use as markers for tumor progression and prognosis, the latter class may be more revealing of the underlying molecular changes related to earlier steps in the process of tumorigenesis and may serve as diagnostic markers. Although we failed to find a relationship between anti-RPA32 antibody positivity and disease outcome in breast cancer, other reports have shown a relationship between autoantibody positivity to other autoantigens and outcome in both breast and renal carcinomas (55, 56).

Our observations suggest that it will be important to test whether there are changes in the RPA32 gene or gene product in patients with anti-RPA32 autoimmunity and to determine whether such changes can promote aspects of tumorigenesis in in vivo assays. In addition, it will be clinically relevant to determine the temporal relationship between cancer and the anti-RPA32 response. Our findings of anti-RPA antibodies before the clinical detection of breast cancer in the proband suggest that this autoimmune response may develop during early events of tumorigenesis. This is supported by our finding that more than 10% of patients with intraductal in situ carcinoma had anti-RPA antibodies. If anti-RPA32 antibodies could consistently be detected before the clinical appearance of tumor, the autoimmune response could potentially be used as a screening assay for occult tumor.
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