BRCA2 Mutations and Androgen Receptor Expression as Independent Predictors of Outcome of Male Breast Cancer Patients
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Germline mutations of the BRCA2 gene are involved in the development of a considerable number of male breast cancer cases. Although phenotypic differences have been observed between sporadic and BRCA-related breast carcinomas, conflicting data exist on the differences in prognosis of women with hereditary and sporadic breast cancer. The purpose of the study was to investigate the prognostic value of BRCA2 status in male breast carcinoma (MBC).

Experimental Design: We studied 43 male breast cancer patients, including 12 with BRCA2 mutations. Tumor samples were characterized immunohistochemically using antibodies to estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, and androgen receptor (AR).

Results: BRCA2-related tumors presented at the earlier age compared with sporadic tumors \( (P = 0.005) \). Patients positive and negative for BRCA2 mutations did not differ with respect to tumor size, lymph node involvement, histological grade, and sex hormone receptor status. Five-year disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) were significantly decreased in BRCA2-positive patients \( (67\% \text{ versus } 28\% \text{ for DFS}; 86\% \text{ versus } 25\% \text{, } P = 0.006 \text{ for OS}) \). Shorter survival was also correlated with expression of AR in tumor tissue \( (74\% \text{ versus } 33\% \text{ for patients with tumors staining negatively and positively for AR, } P = 0.029 \text{ for DFS; } 71\% \text{ versus } 57\%, P = 0.05 \text{ for OS}) \).

Conclusions: The BRCA2 mutations and AR expression in tumor tissue are independent adverse factors for MBC prognosis. BRCA2-related MBC presents at the earlier age compared with non-BRCA2-related cancer, but do not differ with respect to other clinicopathological features.

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is an uncommon disease in men. It represents \( \sim 1\% \) of all breast cancer cases and \( <1\% \) of cancers in men. Several factors have been reported to influence the risk for breast carcinoma in men. These include clinical conditions causing hypoandrogenism (Klinefelter’s syndrome, testicular trauma, infertility), liver cirrhosis causing hyperestrogenism, the use of exogenous estrogens, obesity, gynecomastia, environmental factors such as exposure to electromagnetic field and ionizing radiation, or family history of breast cancer \( (1–9) \).

The overall survival for male breast cancer patients has ranged between 49 and 87\% at 5 years \( (10–14) \). The male breast cancer is usually more advanced at diagnosis than female breast cancer. In men, skin infiltration and ulceration with involvement of axillary lymph nodes are more common \( (15) \). More advanced stage and higher incidence of lymph node metastases have been linked to a poorer prognosis \( (1, 11, 16) \). However, the survival when corrected for age and stage is similar in men and women. The histological grade tends to be lower in men, whereas estrogen receptor and progesterone receptor is higher \( (17) \). It is postulated that aggressive behavior of male breast cancer may be a result of close proximity to skin and nipple which facilitates early invasion of dermal lymphatics and spread to axillary lymph nodes \( (15) \).

Beside classical prognostic factors such as tumor size, lymph node involvement, histological grade, prognostic value of a number of new molecular markers including c-myc, c-erbB-2, p53, MIB-1, cyclin D expression, DNA ploidy, and microvascular density have been investigated \( (10, 12, 18–20) \). However, for the majority of markers analyzed, the results are inconsistent.

One of the most important risk factors for breast cancer in both women and men seems to be inherited predisposition. Two genes, BRCA1 and BRCA2, account for the disease in large majority of breast cancer families \( (21) \). Unlike BRCA1 mutations, germline mutations of BRCA2 are involved in development of a considerable number of male breast cancer \( (22–26) \). In women, the pathological features of hereditary breast cancers, especially tumors that occur in BRCA1 mutation carriers, \( i.e. \), high grade and proliferation rate, aneuploidy, lack of estrogen receptor, are associated with poor prognosis \( (27, 28) \). BRCA2-related breast cancers are also higher grade tumors, are more frequently lobular type, and show less tubule formation than do sporadic cases \( (29) \). Several studies have investigated the outcome of BRCA1- and BRCA2-associated breast cancer \( (29–34) \). However, there are conflicting data on the differences in prognosis of hereditary and sporadic cases.

To our knowledge, there are no data on prognostic value of...
BRCA2 status in male breast cancer. In our previous studies analyzing 43 MBC patients, we have identified 12 patients positive for BRCA2 mutation (26, 35, 36). The aims of the present study were to: (a) characterize the clinicopathological features of male breast cancer patients; (b) investigate the expression of sex hormone receptors (ER, PR, and AR) in tumor tissue; (c) compare BRCA2 status with clinicopathological features and hormone receptor expression; and (d) evaluate prognostic significance of BRCA2, ER, PR, and AR status.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and tumors. Forty-three MBC patients diagnosed in Great Poland Cancer Center between 1986 and 2000 were included in the study. The selection criteria were: known BRCA2 status; available clinicopathological data; and archival samples for immunohistochemistry. BRCA2 mutation analysis identified eight cases with BRCA2 frameshift mutations, seven germline and one somatic, and four carriers of BRCA2 missense variants, three germline and one somatic (Table 1). The remaining 31 patients (noncarriers) were considered as control group for comparison with mutation carriers. There was no selection bias toward BRCA2 mutation-positive tumors. Written consent from all patients to participate in the study was obtained, and an ethical committee approved the study. Histological diagnosis was obtained in all cases. Carcinomas were pathologically staged according to the tumor-node-metastasis classification system (37). Histological grade was assessed according to the system of Elston and Ellis (38). The average age of 43 patients at the time of diagnosis was 60.8 years (median 65; range 29–85). The median age at diagnosis was chosen as the cutoff age for statistical analysis. Two of 43 patients had a positive history (affected first-degree relative) of breast cancer. Thirty patients (70%) were diagnosed with invasive ductal carcinoma, 2 patients had only ductal carcinoma in situ (5%), and 1 had lobular cancer. Other cases were: two papillary carcinomas (5%), one mucinous carcinoma and seven patients had variant histology (16%), including three cases of invasive ductal carcinoma and ductal carcinoma in situ, and four cases of invasive ductal p. lobular carcinoma. Two patients (5%) presented with stage 0 disease, 10 patients presented with stage I (23%), 11 (26%) presented with stage II A, 7 (16%) presented with stage II B, and 13 (30%) presented with stage III. Eighteen carcinomas were pT1, 12 were pT2, and 13 were pT3. Eighteen cases were lymph node negative, and 25 were node positive. Histological grade was assessed in 40 cases: 7 (17.5%) tumors were grade 1; 18 (45%) were grade 2; and 15 (37.5%) were grade 3.

Mutation Detection. Genomic DNA was extracted by standard procedure from peripheral lymphocytes of MBC patients for germline mutation detection. For somatic mutation analysis DNA was isolated from paraffin-embedded tumor tissues using Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, WI). The entire coding region of the BRCA2 gene and exon/intron splice junctions were amplified from genomic DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>BRCA2 status with exact location of alteration</th>
<th>Patient identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Exon 11, 3764G&gt;A, S1179N, germline</td>
<td>26 3Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Exon 17, 8138del5, stop2638, germline</td>
<td>35T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Exon 18, 8457insA, stop2763, germline</td>
<td>46Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Exon 26, 9814A&gt;G, K3196E, germline</td>
<td>57Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Exon 11, 3764G&gt;S, S1179S, somatic</td>
<td>75Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Exon 17, 8138del5, stop2638, somatic</td>
<td>84Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Exon 26, 9814A&gt;G, K3196E, germline</td>
<td>93Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Exon 11, 3764G&gt;A, S1179N, germline</td>
<td>102Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Exon 17, 8138del5, stop2638, somatic</td>
<td>111Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Exon 11, 3764G&gt;S, S1179S, somatic</td>
<td>120Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Exon 17, 8138del5, stop2638, somatic</td>
<td>129Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Exon 26, 9814A&gt;G, K3196E, germline</td>
<td>138Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Exon 11, 3764G&gt;S, S1179S, somatic</td>
<td>147Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Exon 17, 8138del5, stop2638, somatic</td>
<td>156Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Exon 26, 9814A&gt;G, K3196E, germline</td>
<td>165Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Exon 11, 3764G&gt;S, S1179S, somatic</td>
<td>174Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Exon 17, 8138del5, stop2638, somatic</td>
<td>183Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Exon 26, 9814A&gt;G, K3196E, germline</td>
<td>192Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Exon 11, 3764G&gt;S, S1179S, somatic</td>
<td>201Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Exon 17, 8138del5, stop2638, somatic</td>
<td>210Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Exon 26, 9814A&gt;G, K3196E, germline</td>
<td>219Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Exon 11, 3764G&gt;S, S1179S, somatic</td>
<td>228Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Exon 17, 8138del5, stop2638, somatic</td>
<td>237Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Exon 26, 9814A&gt;G, K3196E, germline</td>
<td>246Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Exon 11, 3764G&gt;S, S1179S, somatic</td>
<td>255Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Exon 17, 8138del5, stop2638, somatic</td>
<td>264Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Exon 26, 9814A&gt;G, K3196E, germline</td>
<td>273Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Exon 11, 3764G&gt;S, S1179S, somatic</td>
<td>282Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Exon 17, 8138del5, stop2638, somatic</td>
<td>291Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Exon 26, 9814A&gt;G, K3196E, germline</td>
<td>300Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Exon 11, 3764G&gt;S, S1179S, somatic</td>
<td>309Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Exon 17, 8138del5, stop2638, somatic</td>
<td>318Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Exon 26, 9814A&gt;G, K3196E, germline</td>
<td>327Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Exon 11, 3764G&gt;S, S1179S, somatic</td>
<td>336Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Exon 17, 8138del5, stop2638, somatic</td>
<td>345Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Exon 26, 9814A&gt;G, K3196E, germline</td>
<td>354Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Exon 11, 3764G&gt;S, S1179S, somatic</td>
<td>363Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Exon 17, 8138del5, stop2638, somatic</td>
<td>372Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Exon 26, 9814A&gt;G, K3196E, germline</td>
<td>381Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Exon 11, 3764G&gt;S, S1179S, somatic</td>
<td>390Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Exon 17, 8138del5, stop2638, somatic</td>
<td>409Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Exon 26, 9814A&gt;G, K3196E, germline</td>
<td>418Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Exon 11, 3764G&gt;S, S1179S, somatic</td>
<td>427Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Unpublished data.

Table 1 MBC patients included in the study and their BRCA2 mutation status

---

with 63 primer pairs, and the length of amplified fragments varied from 136 to 300 bp. The mutation analysis of BRCA2 gene was performed using single-strand conformation polymorphism-heteroduplex analysis. PCR products from variant conformers detected in single-strand conformation polymorphism-heteroduplex analyses were purified and subsequently sequenced in both directions using fmol DNA Sequencing System (Promega).

Immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemical detection of ER, PR, and AR in paraffin sections was performed using the immunoperoxidase staining procedure with mono-
clonal mouse antihuman PR antibodies (DAKO A/S, Glostrup, Denmark), monocular mouse antihuman ER antibodies, and monoclonal mouse antihuman AR antibodies (Novacastra Laboratories, Ltd., Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom). Carcinomas with no or weak staining (<10% positive cells) were considered as receptor negative. Sections from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded specimens were cut at 4–5μm, mounted on 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane-coated glass slides, and incubated for 20 min at 60°C. Sections were dehydrated and rehydrated according to routine procedure, and were incubated in citrate buffer (pH 6.0) in a microwave oven for 10 min at 750 W. Slides were rinsed in Tris-buffered saline, pH 7.4, for 15 min. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked using 3% H2O2 for 10 min. After rinsings in water for 10 min and in Tris-buffered saline for 15 min, sections were incubated at 4°C overnight with primary antibodies. After a washing, sections were incubated with En Vision/HRP™ for 30 min. Reaction products of all markers under investigation were visualized using 3,3’-diaminobenzidine as chromogen. Sections were counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin, dehydrated through graded ethanol, cleared in xylene, and mounted.

Statistical Methods. The relationship among clinical and pathological tumor features, sex hormone receptor status, and **BRCA2** mutation status was analyzed with a Yates-corrected χ2 test. Survival curves were estimated according to the Kaplan-Meier method. OS was calculated from the date of surgery until death or the date patients were last known to be alive. DFS was calculated from the date of surgery until relapse or the date patients were last known to be alive. Univariate survival analyses were based on Kaplan-Meier product-limit estimates of survival distribution, and differences between survival curves were tested using the *F* Cox test. The relative importance of multiple prognostic factors on survival was estimated using the Cox proportional hazard regression model. *P* < 0.05 was considered to be significant.

### RESULTS

The **BRCA2** mutation status was analyzed in relation to clinical, pathological, and biochemical features such as age, stage of disease, tumor size, lymph node involvement, tumor grade, and sex hormone receptor expression (Table 2). There was a significant difference between carriers of **BRCA2** germline mutations (frameshift and missense) and the control group (*P* = 0.05). Carriers tended to be younger at presentation than the control group (mean 54.4 years versus 62.3, median 51 versus 66 years, respectively). The difference was more significant when carriers of frameshift **BRCA2** mutations were compared with the control group (*P* = 0.002, mean 48.1 years versus 62.3, median 46.5 versus 66). There was no significant difference with respect to tumor size, lymph node status, or histological grade between mutation carriers and the control group (Table 2). Sex hormone receptor status (ER, PR, and AR) was studied in 39 patients. Twenty-four tumors were positive for ER (61.5%), 28 for PR (71.8%), and 15 for AR (38.5%; Table 2). No significant difference was observed between **BRCA2**-positive/negative patients and receptor status.

The median follow-up was 48 months (range 3–130). Thirty-three patients were alive at the time of last follow-up, 7 **BRCA2** mutation-positive patients and 26 controls. The duration of follow-up for these patients ranged from 12 to 130 months, and the median length of follow-up was 60 months. Twenty-six patients were alive and had not progressed (6 **BRCA2** positive, 20 controls), and seven patients had progressed and were still alive (1 **BRCA2** positive, 6 controls). Ten patients were known to have died, all because of breast carcinoma with documented recurrence, 5 **BRCA2**-positive patients and 5 controls. OS and DFS were analyzed according to **BRCA2** status, clinical, pathological, and biochemical parameters. OS for all 43 patients was 70% at 5 years, 86% for **BRCA2** positive patients, respectively (*P* = 0.006; Fig. 1A). The 5-year DFS for the entire group was 60%. DFS, similarly to OS, was found to differ with respect to **BRCA2** mutation status and was significantly decreased in **BRCA2** mutation-positive patients (68% versus 28%, *P* = 0.017; Fig. 1B).The 5-year OS and DFS rates were also significantly decreased for men in more advanced stages. OS was 75% for stage I group, 70% for stage II, and 52% for stage III (*P* = 0.034). DFS was 60% for stage I, 51% for stage II, and 44% for stage III (*P* = 0.053). Shorter survival was correlated with increasing tumor size (*P* = 0.03 for OS) and lymph node metastases (*P* = 0.037 for OS; *P* = 0.01 for DFS; Fig. 2). The 5-year OS and DFS were significantly decreased for men with tumors staining positively for AR (*P* = 0.05 for OS; *P* = 0.029 for DFS; Fig. 3). There was no difference in either OS or DFS rates with respect to ER and PR status. The results are presented in Table 3. Multivariate survival analysis was performed by testing adverse factors identi-
Only BRCA2 status (HR = 7.61, P = 0.006, hazard ratio 5.96, 95% CI 1.84–21.11 for DFS; HR = 8.77, P = 0.003, hazard ratio 23.33, 95% CI 2.9–187.17 for OS) and AR status (HR = 9.74, P = 0.002, hazard ratio 7.83, 95% CI 1.26–11.37 for DFS; HR = 7.64, P = 0.006, hazard ratio 26.71, 95% CI 2.6–273.96 for OS) retained independent prognostic significance for both DFS and OS. The similar result was obtained when all factors tested in univariate analysis were included in the Cox model, and only BRCA2 status (P = 0.04 for DFS; P = 0.007 for OS) and AR status (P = 0.003 for DFS; P = 0.007 for OS) had independent prognostic significance.

**DISCUSSION**

There are three major findings of this study: (a) the presence of BRCA2 mutations and AR expression in tumor tissue were associated with shorter survival of male breast cancer patients; (b) BRCA2 and AR status were independent factors for MBC prognosis; (c) BRCA2-related breast cancer presented at the earlier age compared with non-BRCA2-related cancer in men but did not differ with respect to other clinicopathological features.

Tumor-node-metastasis stage, tumor size, and lymph node metastases have prognostic importance in men (11, 13, 16, 39, 40). In our study in univariate analyses, shorter survival was correlated with increasing tumor size and lymph node metastases. However, none of these factors was found to be an independent predictor for poor prognosis by multivariate analysis. Approximately 64–85% and >70% of all MBC cases express ER and PR, respectively (11–14, 17). In our study, 61.5% of tumors were ER positive, and 71.8% were PR positive. Conflicting data exist on prognostic significance of ER status in MBC. Donegan et al. (11) showed that both ER positivity and PR positivity were prognostically favorable. Our data and results reported by Pich et al. (18) indicate lack of prognostic value of ER and PR status.

Susceptibility to breast carcinoma in approximately 5–10% of all cases is a result of inheritance of mutation in BRCA1 and BRCA2 breast cancer genes. Tumors from BRCA1 and BRCA2 carriers are characterized by a significantly higher number of chromosomal aberrations than are found in sporadic cancers (41, 42). Clinical and histopathological analyses of BRCA-related tumors showed phenotypic differences between sporadic breast carcinomas and tumors occurring in individuals carrying germ-
line mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes. In our study, all BRCA2-related tumors were invasive ductal carcinomas; however, the lobular type is very rare in men. The BRCA2-related tumors tended to be at a slightly higher grade and stage at presentation than non-BRCA2 tumors, but the difference was not statistically significant. It has been reported that BRCA1 tumors demonstrate a low frequency of ER and PR expression (43, 44). We and others did not observe significant differences regarding steroid receptor levels between BRCA2-related and -nonrelated tumors (44). BRCA2 tumors in most cases were ER and PR positive. In our study, men with BRCA2 mutations were significantly younger at presentation than other cases. The similar trend was observed by Loman et al. (44) and by Eerola et al. (32) in female breast cancer.

Until now, there are only two studies on the survival of BRCA2-positive and -negative breast cancer patients with identified BRCA2 mutations, concerning female breast cancer (30, 34). In our study, for the first time the prognostic significance of BRCA2 status was investigated in MBC. We found that DFS and OS rates were significantly worse for men with BRCA2-associa-
ated than -nonassociated tumors. This difference cannot be explained by pathological factors, given that we did not find significant variation between these two groups with respect to lymph node involvement, tumor stage, or grade. All patients in our study were diagnosed within the last 15 years, and similar treatment was applied to the whole group, with surgery and systemic adjuvant therapy (chemotherapy-cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and 5-fluorouracil and/or hormone therapy-tamoxifen). Moreover, the BRCA2 status retained the significant prognostic factor by multivariate analysis.

Breast cancer is an endocrine-related malignancy. Ovarian hormones, estrogen and possibly progesterone, are thought to play an important role in development and progression of breast cancer in women (45). However, the role of androgen in breast cancer etiology is poorly understood. In our study, ARs were detected in 38.5% of MBC patients; this rate is identical with that reported by Munoz de Toro et al. (46; 38.5%, 5 of 13) and similar to the rate of 34% observed by Pich et al. (47) in a series of 47 primary male breast carcinomas. However, it is much lower than the rate of AR positivity reported by other investigators. Unlike other studies on female breast cancer or MBC, we did not find a correlation between AR and ER status (48–52). There was no association between AR and age, tumor size, or lymph node status, and these results are in accordance with reports on female breast cancer and MBC as well (47, 49, 50). The role of AR as a prognostic factor is controversial. In MBC, Pich et al. (47) showed lack of association between AR and survival, whereas Munoz de Toro et al. (46) suggested that decreased androgen action (AR−) within the breast might contribute to an earlier development of MBC. In contrast, we found a strong correlation between AR expression and MBC patient OS and DFS. AR positivity was associated with adverse prognosis and AR status had prognostic significance in both univariate and multivariate analysis. In addition, whereas in former studies AR expression has been associated with favorable outcome, we found that AR expression predicted shorter survival. The involvement of AR in MBC development has been also investigated at the DNA level (24, 53–55). Two germline mutations have been associated with predisposition to MBC, which can result in reduced AR function (53, 54). However, we and others found no evidence of germline or somatic AR mutation (24, 26). AR activity can be affected by the highly variable polyglutamine tract (CAG repeat) located in the NH2-terminal trans activation domain of the AR. The length of the tract varies from 12 to 32 residues in normal individuals (56). Expansion of the CAG repeat has been associated with reduced AR expression/trans activation, whereas the relatively short CAG repeat sequence increases the level of trans activation of the AR (57, 58). The role of CAG repeat sequence in MBC has been investigated in several studies, but there was no statistically significant difference in the number of CAG repeats between MBC patients and controls (24, 55). Divergent responses to androgen have been observed in human breast cancer cell lines. It has been shown that androgen may both stimulate and inhibit the growth of AR-positive breast cancer cell lines in vitro (59–61). It has also been suggested that the enhanced transcriptional activity of the AR gene might promote breast cancer progression (62). In an animal model, in both female and male Nobel rats, combination of testosterone and estrogen induced higher incidence of mammary cancer than either hormone treatment alone (63–65). In male Nobel rats, androgen could shorten the latency period, enhance tumor size, and increase the incidence of mammary cancers (66). These results together with our findings may indicate an important role of androgen and AR expression in the MBC progression.

REFERENCES


24. Haraldsson, K., Loman, N., Zhang, Q. X., Johannsson, O., Olsson, H., and Borg, A. BRCA2 germ-line mutations are frequent in male breast cancer patients without family history of the disease.


BRCA2 Mutations and Androgen Receptor Expression as Independent Predictors of Outcome of Male Breast Cancer Patients

Eliza Kwiatkowska, Marek Teresiak, Violetta Filas, et al.


Updated version
Access the most recent version of this article at:
http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/9/12/4452

Cited articles
This article cites 52 articles, 16 of which you can access for free at:
http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/9/12/4452.full#ref-list-1

Citing articles
This article has been cited by 6 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at:
http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/9/12/4452.full#related-urls

E-mail alerts
Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions
To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions
To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, contact the AACR Publications Department at permissions@aacr.org.