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Abstract
Malignant astrocytomas are infiltrative and aggressive brain tumors. Conventional forms of therapy have not been effective in controlling this incurable disease. Recent advances in understanding the molecular biology of these tumors have revealed potential mechanisms by which astrocytoma cells undergo tumor initiation, progression, and maintenance, as well as possible avenues for targeted therapeutics. Studies on the role of neural stem cells as cells of origin and tumor-propagating cells have also greatly increased our understanding of the biology and clinical behavior of these tumors. An integrated view of the genetics, signal transduction, and cell biology of astrocytomas, as well as clinical data from patients, will provide a more useful approach in designing novel therapies for this devastating disease. (Clin Cancer Res 2009;15(23):7124–9)

Background
Gliomas are the most common primary malignancies in the central nervous system (CNS). These tumors exhibit histologic resemblance to glial cells, and are classified on the basis of the predominant tumor cell type(s). Astrocytomas, which are composed of cells that by many morphological and protein expression criteria resemble astrocytes, comprise the majority of these tumors. Astrocytomas are further classified into varying degrees of malignancy: World Health Organization (WHO) grades I to IV (1). Grade I tumors are benign, whereas grade II tumors are low-grade malignancies that undergo early diffuse infiltration. Both grade III (anaplastic astrocytoma) and grade IV (glioblastoma multiforme) tumors are highly malignant and invasive tumors that are lethal within months to years (2, 3). Malignant astrocytic tumors exhibit cellular heterogeneity, diffuse infiltration, and widespread invasion throughout the brain, precluding complete surgical resection. Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is resistant to current radiation and chemotherapy protocols, leading to dismal survival outcomes, which, in contrast to other forms of cancer, have not improved dramatically over the past several decades (4, 5).

It has been almost 5 years since the landmark study that established the use of temozolomide (TMZ) for concomitant and adjuvant chemotherapy alongside postoperative radiotherapy for GBM patients (6). A more recent study confirmed the modest benefits of this regimen in a 5-year follow-up, and TMZ is now considered a standard treatment for GBM (7). Despite intensive therapeutic strategies involving surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy, most patients undergo tumor progression or recurrence. Median survival remains at only 15 months and most, if not all, face certain death (6, 7).

This state of affairs places a premium on novel, more directed therapeutic approaches for this complex, aggressive disease. Recent advances have paved the way toward a better understanding of the biology and clinical behavior of these tumors. In particular, it has been suggested that self-renewing stem cells and cancer cells that possess stem cell properties may be directly involved in brain tumor development (8–11). Genetic lesions in GBMs have also been extensively characterized, and provided a better picture of the genomic changes in human tumors (12, 13). Assessment of all these findings will have direct implications in the development of novel therapies against GBMs.

Neural stem cells in the CNS. Neural stem cells are lifelong self-renewing cells in the CNS, which exhibit multipotent differentiation into all neural cell types in the brain, including neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes (14). In the adult mammalian brain, including humans, neural stem cells have been identified in the dentate gyrus and the subventricular zone (SVZ), an extensive germinal layer adjacent to the ependyma that concentrates stem cells on the walls of the lateral ventricles (15). These relatively quiescent neural stem cells periodically give rise to lineage-restricted progenitor cells, which undergo limited mitoses before differentiating into mature cells (16). Although these cells are purported to be important in olfaction and learning and memory processes in rodents, their role in humans is not as well understood (17).

Neural stem cells and their progeny are tightly regulated by a variety of intrinsic and extrinsic factors (see Fig. 1). In particular, growth factors signaling via receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK) are important regulators of neural stem cell activity. Ligand
activation of the platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) promotes self-renewal and differentiation of quiescent neural stem cells, whereas epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) activation increases the proliferation of transit amplifying cells (18, 19). Stimulation of these growth factor receptors activates downstream effectors, such as Ras and phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) pathways, which are negatively regulated by the tumor suppressors Nf1 and Pten, respectively. The neurofibromatosis tumor suppressor gene, NF1, encodes the protein neurofibromin, which is a functional Ras GAP and responsible for restraining Ras activity in a variety of cellular contexts (20). Pten (phosphatase and tensin homolog on chromosome 10) reverses the PI3K-mediated activation of Akt and its downstream pro-growth and survival signals. Nf1 and Pten have both been shown to function as negative regulators of neural stem cell function. Nf1 deficiency was shown to promote neural stem cell proliferation and survival (21, 22), whereas Pten loss increases neural stem cell proliferation and self-renewal (23, 24). Other tumor suppressors that inhibit unrestrained expansion include the transcription factor p53 and cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor Ink4A. Loss of p53 increases proliferation in the SVZ neural stem/progenitor cells and provides a growth advantage compared with wild-type cells (25, 26), whereas Ink4A expression correlates with a decline in progenitor function in vivo (27).

Signaling pathways active during embryonic neural development have also been found to regulate neural stem cells. Classical developmental pathways such as Notch, bone morphogenetic protein (BMP), Sonic hedgehog, and Wnt signaling have been shown to play important roles in maintaining the neurogenic niche (16). Notch signaling via its single-pass transmembrane receptors, typically involved in mediating cell-cell communication, was shown to promote neural stem cell expansion while inhibiting differentiation (28). Bmi-1, a member of the Polycomb family of transcriptional repressors, is required for the self-renewal, but not survival or differentiation, of neural stem cells (29). In contrast BMPs, which signal through the Smad proteins, stimulate differentiation of neural stem cells into the astroglial lineage while inhibiting neuronal differentiation (30). Sonic hedgehog signaling has also been shown to be required for the formation of neural stem cells (31, 32). Exogenous administration of Wnt ligands likewise positively regulates the proliferation and survival of neural stem cells (33, 34).

**Cell of origin of malignant astrocytomas.** The adult brain was once thought of as a postmitotic organ. On this basis, tumor
development, including that of astrocytomas, was explained to arise through a process of dedifferentiation of mature glia. However, recent advances in stem cell biology and genetically engineered animal models suggest that dividing cells may give rise to these tumors (35).

Gene mutations found in human tumor genomes have provided important clues to the underlying mechanisms involved in astrocytomas. Genetic alterations in astrocytoma frequently target pathways involved in cell cycle and apoptosis regulation and growth factor receptor signaling. The frequency of certain of these mutations has been further underscored by a recent large-scale sequencing effort, which revealed that in human GBMs, somatic mutations were most commonly found in the cancer-associated genes: \( P53, \) \( PTEN, \) \( NF1, \) \( EGFR, \) \( ERBB2, \) and \( RB1 \) (12). Combinations of oncogene and tumor suppressor mutations sometimes observed in human astrocytomas, as well as viral oncogenes that cause cancer in animals, have been used in the mouse to model astrocytoma development. Overexpression of active forms of Ras, Akt, \( EGF \), PDGF, \( v-src \), or polyoma T-antigen, some in combination with \( Ink4A/Arf \) or \( Pten \) deletions, leads to astrocytoma formation (36–38). Mutations were induced in embryonic and early postnatal cells and these mice develop tumors with varying levels of penetrance. On the other hand, conditional knockout mice bearing inactivating mutations in human astrocytoma-relevant tumor suppressors \( NF1, p53, \) and/or \( Pten \) develop astrocytomas with 100% penetrance (39, 40). Retrospective analysis of these mice showed the earliest lesions to be in the SVZ, suggesting that these tumors may arise from neural stem cells. This hypothesis was directly tested by targeting tumor suppressor inactivating mutations in the adult neurogenic compartment (9).

Stem-like cancer cells in malignant astrocytomas. Human malignant astrocytomas seem highly heterogeneous; this has suggested a hierarchy in the malignant potential of each of its varying cancer cell types. Indeed, various reports have suggested that there exists a rare population of brain tumor cells that are capable of initiating brain tumor formation in orthotopic transplantation models (10, 41). “Cancer stem cells” or “tumor-propagating cells” have been shown to exhibit resistance to radiation and chemotherapeutic drugs (11, 23). Mouse models have now supported these findings and further established that a precursor-product relationship between cancer stem cells and more differentiated cell types constitutes this heterogeneity (42).\(^1\)

Pathways regulating normal stem cell self-renewal, proliferation, and survival may also be operative in stem-like cancer cells (8, 43), and reactivation of developmental mechanisms may be an important contributor to the aggressive phenotype of cancers such as GBM (see Fig. 1: ref. 44). Pten loss and Akt activation were shown to correlate with the aggressive phenotype of stem-like cancer cells (42). BMP receptors are expressed in a subset of human GBM cells and exogenous administration of one of its ligands, BMP4, has been shown to inhibit proliferation and promote differentiation of cancer stem cells (8). Inhibition of hedgehog signaling via cyclopamine or RNA interference was shown to be required for tumor growth and survival (45). Notch and Wnt pathway molecules are also expressed in GBM, and their activation may also contribute to tumorigenesis (46).

These studies do not preclude the possibility, however, that other tumor cell populations may also be tumorigenic but not as aggressive as the “cancer stem cells” (47, 48). Regardless of whether “cancer stem cells” are rare or common, it seems that tumorigenic cells exhibit properties that mirror those of normal stem cells, albeit in a dysregulated fashion. This raises the possibility that understanding the mechanisms by which these stem-like cancer cells behave may provide clues into slowing the growth of these intractable tumors.

Clinical-Translational Advances

The evolving study of the role that stem cells may play in cancer has impacted astrocytoma research. A neural stem cell origin of astrocytoma, whereby self-renewing cells accumulate mutations throughout the lifetime of an individual, is consistent with the prevalence of GBM in older patients. It also fits well with the clinically relevant heterogeneous and invasive phenotype seen in patients. If the majority of astrocytomas in humans arise from neural precursor cells, this suggests that there may be a persistent source of pretumorigenic cells from self-renewing mutant stem cells. Hence, present therapies may be inadequate in eradicating both tumor cells and primed transformed cells.

Stem-like cancer cells sitting at the top of the hierarchy of tumor cells presents new challenges as well. Do these cells have specific vulnerabilities in terms of essential signaling pathways? Because stem-like cancer cells are also known to usurp normal stem cell self-renewal pathways, are there mechanisms that may operate differently between cancer and normal stem cells? If such cells are eradicated, are less tumorigenic cells able to give rise to these more malignant cells? Are there markers, cell surface or otherwise, that can truly differentiate cancer stem cells from normal cells?

The use of combinations of targeted therapies against key nonoverlapping pathways, along with inhibition of mechanisms of therapeutic resistance may provide some benefit (see Fig. 2). These therapies may potentially diminish progrowth and survival signals important for tumor progression and maintenance and may target both stem-like and less tumorigenic cancer cells. Because RTKs are frequently over-activated in GBM, EGFR and PDGFR have been targeted using a variety of tyrosine kinase inhibitors, some of which are in various stages of clinical trials. Inhibitors of RTK downstream effectors, such as Ras, Raf, Akt, and TOR have also been developed (49–51). Whether these inhibitors target stem-like cancer cells needs to be established, with some agents showing activity against GBM cancer stem cells (52).

These newer drugs are being tested for use as monotherapy or in combination with other existing therapies. Modest benefit has been reported for some drugs in a subset of patients, but effects on survival of these targeted therapies are not clear (50, 53). This result accentuates the highly redundant and interconnected signal transduction mechanisms and genomic instability that are operative in astrocytoma cells. It also suggests that multiple essential nonoverlapping pathways, including mechanisms for resistance, need to be addressed, especially because GBMs are highly resistant to all therapies once they recur (49). The reported resistance of stem-like cancer cells to chemotherapy and radiation may be secondary to activation of survival pathways and DNA damage repair, or expression of ATP-binding cassette transporters that can actively efflux xenobiotics from the cell (11, 42). These findings may partly account for the modest benefits found in the use of these newer drugs so far, and hence, may need to be addressed to achieve greater therapeutic effects.

Inhibition of resistance mechanisms may thus require specific targeting of stem-like cancer cells. Monoclonal antibodies targeting cancer stem cells in leukemias show reduced engraftment and tumor burden (54, 55), and can likewise be applied against astrocytoma cells. Strategies directed against cancer stem cells may also include inhibition of developmental signaling pathways, including notch and sonic hedgehog (45, 46). Another alternative and emerging concept is one of differentiation therapy. The stem/progenitor origin hypothesis, the presence of stem-like cancer cells in malignant astrocytomas, and the fact that some astrocytoma cells spontaneously undergo some form of differentiation, present the possibility that forced differentiation into more “mature,” thus less tumorigenic, cancer cell types may hold promise (Fig. 2). Proof of principle for this concept has been provided in other cancers and brain tumors as well (56, 57). In astrocytomas, it was shown by BMP-mediated differentiation of GBM cells, which retarded tumor growth both in vitro and in vivo (8, 58). This finding suggests that selective activation of prodifferentiation pathways may reduce the tumorigenicity of GBM cells. Meanwhile, high-throughput screens of known drugs, small molecules, and novel compounds tested against stem-like and heterogeneous astrocytoma cells have also been undertaken (59). Finally, interpatient variability in the genetic make-up of astrocytomas suggests that treatment regimens tailored to patient molecular profiles may lead to greater therapeutic efficiency and decreased systemic toxicity.

Perspective. The integration of basic and clinical research has greatly increased our understanding of astrocytoma biology. The dismal survival of patients, however, is still the reality, and more sophisticated tools are needed to shed light on the intricacies of astrocytoma formation. The interface between human cancer and genetic mouse models will need to be more fully exploited in order to rapidly translate basic science knowledge into practical clinical applications. Moreover, physiologically relevant mouse models can serve as preclinical...
platforms to rapidly screen novel therapies, whereas clinical data on patient response to therapy and its determinants can aid in understanding the behavior of these tumors. A holistic view of the many pathologic processes underlying astrocytomas will hopefully provide unique insights that can translate into effective therapeutic approaches for this incurable disease.
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