Abstract

Purpose: Stimulation of toll-like receptor-9 (TLR9) by CpG oligodeoxynucleotides (CpG) has been shown to counteract the immunosuppressive microenvironment and to inhibit tumor growth in glioma models. Because TLR9 is located intracellularly, we hypothesized that methods that enhance its internalization may also potentiate its immunostimulatory response. The goal of this study was to evaluate carbon nanotubes (CNT) as a CpG delivery vehicle in brain tumor models.

Experimental Design: Functionalized single-walled CNTs were conjugated with CpG (CNT–CpG) and evaluated in vitro and in mice bearing intracranial GL261 gliomas. Flow cytometry was used to assess CNT–CpG uptake and antiglioma immune response. Tumor growth was measured by bioluminescent imaging, histology, and animal survival.

Results: CNT–CpG was nontoxic and enhanced CpG uptake both in vitro and intracranial gliomas. CNT-mediated CpG delivery also potentiated proinflammatory cytokine production by primary monocytes. Interestingly, a single intracranial injection of low-dose CNT–CpG (but not free CpG or blank CNT) eradicated intracranial GL261 gliomas in half of tumor-bearing mice. Moreover, surviving animals exhibited durable tumor-free remission (>3 months), and were protected from intracranial tumor rechallenge, demonstrating induction of long-term antitumor immunity.

Conclusions: These findings suggest that CNTs can potentiate CpG immunopotency by enhancing its delivery into tumor-associated inflammatory cells. Clin Cancer Res; 17(4); 1–12. ©2010 AACR.
Translational Relevance

The prognosis of patients with malignant gliomas, the most common type of primary brain neoplasm, remains dismal even after aggressive multimodality treatment. Although immunotherapy is being investigated as an adjunct treatment, the ability of gliomas to escape immune response will continue to be a significant obstacle to this strategy. One approach to overcome the local immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment is the activation of the innate immune system by toll-like receptor (TLR) agonists such as CpG oligonucleotides (CpG). Because TLR9, CpG receptor, is located intracellularly, we hypothesized that methods that enhance CpG internalization may also potentiate its immunostimulatory response. Here, we demonstrate that Carbon nanotubes (CNT) enhanced CpG uptake by tumor-associated phagocytic cells and resulted in their activation both in vitro and in vivo. Furthermore, a single injection of low-dose CNT–CpG complexes eradicated intracranial gliomas through activation of NK and CD8 cells. These findings demonstrate that CNTs are nontoxic vehicles that can improve CpG uptake into tumor-associated inflammatory cells, leading to a more robust antitumor response. These findings have direct application to the design of future CpG immunotherapy trials.

Materials and Methods

Reagents

Thiolated CpG (sCpG: 5’-TGACGTAAAGGGTACGATGA-3’) and thiolated control oligodeoxynucleotides (sODN: 5’-TGACTGTAAGGTACGATGA-3’) were constructed as described by Rosi and colleagues (19) and labeled with Cy5.5 (Lumiprobe, LLC). Anti-NK1.1 (clone PK136) was purchased from eBioscience (eBioscience Inc.). Anti-CD8 Ab (clone H35) was purified as previously described (20). Control normal mouse IgG was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Flow antibodies (Abs) to mouse NK1.1 (clone PK136), CD11b (clone M1/70), CD45 (clone 30-F11), CD11c (clone N418), CD8 (clone 53-6.7), and isotype controls were purchased from BD Biosciences or eBiosciences.

Cell lines
eGFP and luciferase-expressing GL261 glioma cell lines (GL261.gfp and GL261.luc) were generated as described before (21, 22). Primary bone marrow–derived monocytes (BMM) were harvested from normal C57BL/6 or CX3CR1GFP mice. After washing the bone marrow with cold PBS, cells were isolated and collected with Cell Strainer (BD Biosciences). The isolated BMM were then cultured in L929-conditioned DMEM medium. Red blood cells and other nonadherent cells were removed by changing the culture medium in 24 hours. Cultures with more than 90% CD11b+ purity (as assessed by FACS) were used for experiments.

Single-walled CNT construction and functionalization

CNTs measuring 200 to 400 nm in length were generated and characterized by electron microscopy as described before (18, 23). CNT functionalization was performed using methods described by Liu and colleagues (24). Briefly, hpcCNTs were sonicated extensively (1 hour) in a solution of 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[amino-(polyethylene glycol) 2000] (PEG; Avanti Polar Lipids). The supernatant solution of PEG-CNT was collected after centrifugation at 24,000 × g for 6 hours. After removal of excess PEG molecules by Amicon centrifugal filter device (100 kDa) and characterized by electron microscopy as described before (18, 23). CNT functionalization was performed using methods described by Liu and colleagues (24).

Real-time PCR

BMM (5 × 10^4 cells per well in 24-well plates) were incubated with sCpG (5 µg per well), CNT–sCpG (CNT 2.5 µg–sCpG 5 µg per well), blank CNT (2.5 µg per well), or CNT–sCDP mixture. At various times, cells were collected and total RNA was isolated using the Trizol system...
(Invitrogen) followed by double DNase treatment and column purification using the Qiagen RNeasy Clean-up Protocol. Real-time PCR was performed in a TaqMan 5700 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems) as described previously (25). PCR conditions were optimized such that a minimum of 10,000-fold range could be detected for each primer. Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH): 5′-GTTAGTGGGGTCTGCTCTTG-3′, 5′-GGAAAATTCACCAAGGCACA-3′; TNF-α: CATGACCTCTACACTGAGATCTC, CCTCCACTTGGTGTTTGC-3′; IL-12 5′-AGAGGTGGACTGGACTCCCG-3′. IL-1β: 5′-AGGGCTGTCTGAGAGCTGTC-3′, 5′-GACCAGCAGCCCCACAGG-3′.

Cytokine multiplex analysis

After each PCR time-point, supernatant from each sample was collected and analyzed for 20 cytokines using mouse Cytokine twenty-Plex Antibody Bead Kit (Invitrogen) per manufacturer’s protocol. Assay plates were analyzed by a Bioplex HTF Luminex reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). Cytokine concentrations were calculated by a Bioplex HTF Luminex reader (Bio-Rad) per manufacturer’s protocol. Assay plates were analyzed using Bio-Plex Manager 3.1 software with a 5-parameter curve-fitting algorithm applied for standard curve calculations for duplicate samples. Data from detectable cytokines and chemokines were compared between each group.

Tumor implantation, treatment, and imaging

All animals were housed and handled in accordance to the guidelines of City of Hope Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Intracranial tumor implantation was performed as described previously (25). GL261. luc or GL261.gfp cells were harvested by trypsinization, counted, and resuspended in PBS. Female C57BL/6 or CX3CR1GFP mice that express eGFP under control of the CX3CR1 locus (Jackson Laboratory) weighing 15 to 25 g were anesthetized by intraperitoneal (i.p.) administration of ketamine (132 mg/kg) and xylazine (15 to 25 mg/kg) mixed with free sCpG (CNT–sCpG; 5.5 μg sCpG/10 μL PBS) or free sCpG5.5 (5 μg sCpG/10 μL PBS). Tumors were harvested at various time intervals and evaluated by flow cytometry as described below. For imaging, frozen brain sections were embedded in O.C.T. (Tissue-Tek) and 10-μm sections were cut using cryostat (Leica Microsystem Inc.). Sections were mounted in Vectashield mounting medium containing 4060-diamino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Vector). Images were obtained by AX-70 fluorescent microscopy (Leica Microsystems Inc.) and were prepared by Zeiss LSM Image Browser software.

Flow cytometry analysis

Tumors and blood samples were harvested and examined by flow cytometry as described previously (21). Cell suspensions from brain tissue were forced through a 40-μm filter. Blood samples were incubated in Gey’s buffer (pH 7.2) for 10 minutes. Freshly prepared samples were resuspended in 0.1 mol/L PBS containing 1% FBS and 2 mmol/L EDTA and incubated with FcγRII/III-specific Ab to block nonspecific binding. Samples were then stained with different combinations Abs or isotype controls for 1 hour at 4°C and analyzed by a CyAn fluorescence cell sorter (BD Bios). Inflammatory cells were gated and separated from the rest of sorted cells base on forward vs. side-scatter analysis and their staining characteristics. FlowJo 8.4.7 software (Tree Star, Inc.) was used for data analysis and the proportion of each cell type was measured as percent of total inflammatory cells. Glioma MPs were gated as CD11b+/CD45high and MG as CD11b−/CD45low on the basis of previously described phenotype characterization (26).

Statistical analysis

Statistical comparison in all different experimental conditions was performed with the prism software using 2-way ANOVA or Student’s t test. Survival was plotted using a Kaplan–Meir survival curve and statistical significance was determined by the log-rank (Mantel–Cox) test. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

CNTs enhance CpG uptake in vitro

We first evaluated in vitro loading capacity of PEG2000-functionalized CNTs by conjugating them with sCpG. CNT conjugation capacity plateaued at 1 to 2 μg sCpG per μg CNT, which was consistent with other oligonucleotides (24). To evaluate sCpG uptake in vitro, BMM derived from wt or CX3CR1GFP mice were incubated with CNT bound to Cy5.5-labeled sCpG (CNT–sCpG5.5) or free sCpG5.5. sCpG5.5 uptake, which mostly localized to cytoplasmic
compartments, was enhanced by CNTs (Fig. 1A and B) without inducing any additional toxicity in BMM or GL261 gliomas (Supplementary Fig. S1). CNTs also increased sCpG uptake by GL261 gliomas, but not as strongly as BMM (Fig. 1C and D). These findings confirmed our hypothesis that intracellular CpG delivery can be enhanced with CNTs. Whether more efficient CpG uptake augmented monocyte activation was examined next.

**CNT-mediated CpG delivery potentiates monocyte activation in vitro**

CNT–sCpG was incubated with BMM and cytokine expression evaluated by qRT-PCR (quantitative RT-PCR) and ELISA. The thiolation process that was employed to link CpG with CNT appeared to suppress CpG proinflammatory function (Supplementary Fig. S2). Nevertheless, when equivalent doses of sCpG were delivered with CNT, a significant upregulation of IL-12 and TNF-α expression was seen (Fig. 2). CNT–sCpG also enhanced release of chemokines, but not VEGF, by BMM (Fig. 2). Thus, CNT appeared to not only improve CpG uptake, but also enhanced its proinflammatory function in BMM. We next tested the efficacy of CNTs as a potential CpG carrier and immunotherapy agent in i.c. gliomas.

**Figure 1. CNTs enhance CpG uptake in vitro.** Primary bone marrow–derived monocytes (BMM) from wt or CX3CR1GFP mice or GL261 gliomas were incubated with PEG-functionalized single-walled CNTs conjugated to Cy5.5-labeled sCpG (CNT–sCpG5.5, 2.5 μg CNT–sCpG5.5 per mL) or free sCpG5.5 (5 μg/mL) and sCpG5.5 uptake was visualized by fluorescent microscopy and quantified by flow cytometry. A, dot plot demonstrating CNT–sCpG uptake by BMM to be more efficient than free sCpG5.5. B, CpG5.5 signal was stronger in BMM.gfp cells incubated with CNT–sCpG and was mostly confined to cytoplasmic compartments. C, histogram; D, timecourse experiments demonstrating that CNTs also enhance sCpG5.5 uptake by GL261 gliomas but not as efficiently as in BMM. Data are representative of 3 separate experiments. (n = 3 samples per point, ±SEM); *, P < 0.05, t test.

**CNTs enhance CpG uptake by tumor-associated inflammatory cells**

To evaluate CNT–sCpG uptake in vivo, 2 different i.c. GL261 glioma models were used. To assess uptake by tumor-associated inflammatory cells, GL261 cells were implanted into CX3CR1GFP mice. Although in these transgenic mice eGFP is expressed in MG, MPs, and other myeloid-derived cells, our flow cytometry studies (not shown) have indicated that the majority (more than 70%) of eGFP-expressing cells in this glioma model are MPs (CD11b+, CD45high) and MG (CD11b+, CD45low), based on previously-described phenotype characterization (26). In the second model, GL261.eGFP cells were i.c. implanted into wt mice to measure sCpG uptake by tumor cells. Four (GL261 model) or 10 days (slower-growing GL261.eGFP model) after i.c. tumor implantation, tumors were injected with CNT–sCpG or sCpG, and tumor GFP+ cells were sorted and examined for Cy5.5 uptake at various time points (Fig. 3A and B). Consistent with the in vitro experiments, CNTs enhanced sCpG uptake by tumor-associated inflammatory cells, and to a lesser (nonsignificant) degree, by gliomas. Interestingly, sCpG clearance was slower in CNT–sCpG5.5-injected mice as both sCpG5.5 particles and sCpG5.5+ MG/MP persisted in the brains of
these animals for more than 7 days after the initial injection (Fig. 3A and C). This suggested that either clearance of CNT–sCpG by inflammatory cells was slower than free sCpG or robust chemokine release in CNT–sCpG group prevented migration of sCpG inflammatory cells away from injection site. To further evaluate CNT–sCpG cell types, i.e. GL261 tumors in wt mice were injected with PBS (control), CNT–sCpG, free sCpG, or CNT–sCpG mixture, and phenotypes of Cy5.5 inflammatory cells characterized by FACS. As expected, CNT promoted CpG
uptake by MG/MP, NK, and Dendritic cells (DC) (but not CD8 cells, not shown; Fig. 4A and B). Although 20% to 30% of tumor leukocytes internalized CNT–sCpG5.5 particles within 2 days, the actual proportion of cells that were sCpG5.5+ locally was probably much higher because cells from the entire tumor (and not just the injection site) were analyzed in these experiments. Also, to assess which cell types were the prominent carriers of CNT–sCpG, and to

Figure 3. CNTs promote CpG uptake by tumor-associated inflammatory cells. A, 4 days after i.c. implantation of GL261 cells into CX3CR1GFP mice, tumors were injected with CNT–sCpG (2.5 μg CNT–5 μg sCpG/10 μL PBS) or sCpG, (5 μg/10 μL PBS) and tumor-associated GFP+ cells (mostly microglia and macrophages, MG/MP) examined for Cy5.5 uptake at various time-points (left). Most of the intracellular CNT–sCpG (arrows) was seen in GFP-expressing MG/MP (right). B, GL261.egfp cells were implanted i.c. into wt mice in order to measure sCpG uptake by tumor cells. Ten days later, tumors were injected with CNT–sCpG or sCpG (same concentrations as A), and GFP+ tumor cells examined for Cy5.5 uptake at various time-points (left). Most of the CNT–sCpG (arrows) was seen in non–GFP-expressing cells (right). C, representative fluorescent micrographs of brains,(A) demonstrating persistence of sCpG5.5+ MG/MP at the injection sites (arrows) in animals treated with CNT–sCpG5.5. (n = 3 mice per time-point ±SEM); *, P < 0.05, t test; T: tumor, ns: not significant.
check if the decline in sCpG<sup>5.5</sup><sup>+</sup> cells in the CNT–sCpG<sup>5.5</sup> group at 4 days was due to the migration of these cells away from the injection site or trafficking of circulating sCpG<sup>5.5</sup>-negative cells into the tumor, we measured the total number of each cell type for all treatment groups. Neither sCpG nor sCpG–CNT treatments induced a significant change in tumor leukocyte infiltration (not shown). However, in the CNT–sCpG-treated mice, total and Cy5.5<sup>+</sup> cells rapidly increased within 2 days of injection before stabilizing. Furthermore, CD11b<sup>+</sup> cells (i.e., MG and MP) were the most frequent inflammatory cell and CNT–sCpG<sup>+</sup> cell type within tumors. These findings suggested that (i) CNT delivery enhanced CpG-induced innate immune response to gliomas and (ii) the decline in the proportion of CpG<sup>5.5</sup><sup>+</sup>...
inflammatory cells was most likely due to both influx of circulating cells into tumors and migration of CNT–sCpG-carrying cells out of the tumor environment. Whether this inflammatory response resulted in tumor rejection was evaluated next.

**CNT–sCpG improves survival of glioma-bearing mice**

To evaluate CNT–sCpG immunotherapy, mice bearing 4-day-old i.c. GL261.luc gliomas were given a single i.t. injection of PBS (control), free sCpG, blank CNT mixed with free sCpG, or sCpG conjugated to CNT. The CNT–sCpG dose combination was selected on the basis of the CNT conjugation capacity of sCpG (discussed previously) and our recent observation indicating Cpg to effectively eradicate gliomas at this dose (i.e. 5 μg), albeit after multiple injections (21). As seen in previous in vivo studies (21), a single low-dose injection of free Cpg had no antitumor effect (Fig. 5A and B). In contrast, CNT–sCpG not only delayed tumor growth, but also cured 50% to 60% of mice with established gliomas. Blank CNT by itself, or CNT conjugated with control oligodeoxynucleotide (CNT–sODN), had no effect on tumor growth (Supplementary Fig. S3). The exact mechanism for this improved sCpG therapy with CNT delivery is unclear but may have been due to (i) higher sCpG uptake by tumor-associated TLR9+ inflammatory cells, such as MG/MP, (ii) slower intra- or extra-cellular sCpG release and clearance by CNTs (depot effect), and/or (iii) migration of CNT-carrying tumor inflammatory cells into lymphoid organs where other immune cells are activated.

**Effect of CNT–sCpG on tumor-associated inflammatory response**

To investigate inflammatory cellular responses to CNT–sCpG therapy, mice bearing 4-day-old i.c. tumors were treated with PBS, sCpG, CNT–sCpG, and CpG–sODN and local and systemic inflammatory changes analyzed by FACS (Supplementary Fig. S4). Although all agents increased CNS MG/MP, CD8, and NK cell responses, only CNT–sCpG caused a significantly higher infiltration of MG/MP over free sCpG. Furthermore, only CNT–sCpG-treated mice demonstrated a sustained elevation of circulating NK cells. These observations suggested that both MG/MP and NK cells may have played a role in CNT–sCpG antitumor response. To better characterize which cell types were responsible for tumor rejection, leukocyte depletion studies were performed next.

**Antiglioma effect of CNT–sCpG is mediated by both CD8 and NK cells**

To verify if the antiglioma effect of CNT–sCpG was solely due to activated NK cells (as suggested by an increase in circulating NK cells), depleting doses of CD8 or NK-specific Abs were given 1 day prior to both tumor implantation and CNT–sCpG treatment. The CNT–sCpG antitumor effect was completely abrogated by either NK or CD8 depletion (Fig. 6A), indicating that both cell types played a role in tumor rejection.

Finally, to determine whether CNT–sCpG treatment induced immunity against gliomas, CNT–sCpG-treated GL261-bearing mice that had survived for at least 3 months, along with naive mice, were rechallenged with an i.c. injection of GL261 glioma. These "cured" mice developed full immunity against GL261 rechallenge (Fig. 6B).

**Discussion**

As potent stimulators of both innate and adaptive immune systems, CpG oligonucleotides (ODN) are currently being examined for cancer immunotherapy (5). Antitumor function of CpG has also been studied in brain tumors. Although initial reports by Carpentier and colleagues demonstrated an 88% cure rate and long-term immunity in rats with i.c. gliomas (27, 28), others have shown variable CpG antiglioma responses ranging from no

![Figure 5. CNT–sCpG eradicates established gliomas. Mice bearing 4-day-old i.c. GL261.luc gliomas (n = 6) were given a single i.t. injection of PBS (control), free sCpG (5 μg/10 μL PBS), blank CNT (2.5 μg) mixed with free sCpG (CNT + sCpG; 5 μg Cpg/10 μL PBS), or sCpG conjugated to CNT (CNT–sCpG; 2.5 μg CNT–sCpG/10 μL PBS). A, intracranial tumor burden was assessed by biophotonic imaging. B, Kaplan–Meier analysis demonstrates improved survival of mice treated with a single injection of CNT–sCpG.](image-url)
efficacy (29), partial response (4), and complete tumor eradication (30). One explanation for these contrasting reports may be differences in animal models and CpG constructs. Nevertheless, results from early-stage human clinical trials utilizing convection-enhanced CpG therapy in patients with recurrent malignant gliomas have been disappointing with only modest antitumor response in a few patients (2, 8). Although increasing the CpG dose may further enhance its proinflammatory effect, high i.c. CpG could exacerbate brain edema and result in CNS toxicity by activating TLR9-independent pathways (31). Alternatively, proinflammatory CpG response can be augmented with repeated injections of low-dose CpG or nanoparticles delivery systems (21, 32). Both lipid- and nonlipid-based...

Figure 6. CNT–sCpG-treated mice develop immunity against tumor rechallenge. A, naive mice \( n = 6 \) per group) were depleted of CD8 or NK cells by i.p. injection of relevant mAb (αCD8 and αNK) or control IgG (200 μg per injection) 1 day prior to both tumor implantation and CNT–sCpG (2.5 μg CNT/5 μg CpG/10 μL PBS) treatment. Intracranial tumor burden was assessed by biophotonic imaging at day 4, 7, 14, and 21 posttumor implantation (top). NK and CD8-depleted mice exhibited more rapid tumor growth and lower survival rates (bottom). B, normal naive mice and CNT–sCpG-treated GL261-bearing mice \( n = 5 \) per group) that had survived for at least 3 months after the initial tumor inoculation were rechallenged with an i.c. injection of GL261 glioma \( 1 \times 10^5 \). Intracranial tumor burden was assessed at 4 hours, days 1, 4, and 7 after tumor implantation.
nanoparticles have been shown to promote CpG uptake into TLR9-rich endosomes to enhance its immunostimulatory activity in vitro and in vivo (32–37). Here we demonstrate that functionalized CNTs may also be used to enhance CpG uptake by tumor-associated phagocytic cells as an immunotherapy approach. Although the use of CNTs for CpG delivery has been examined in vitro before (9, 38, 39), this is the first report demonstrating the antitumor efficacy of CNT–CpG complexes in vivo. Our data indicate that improved delivery of CpG into endosomes by CNTs and “slow-clearance” of CNT–sCpG complexes in the CNS both played a role in augmenting CpG immunotherapy in this model.

Located in the endosomal compartment, TLR9 was initially shown to specifically respond to unmethylated CpG motifs, such as those present in bacterial DNA. As a result, synthetic CpGs were designed to contain repeat unmethylated CpG motifs to enhance TLR9 engagement and phosphorothioate linkages to prevent breakdown (40). Recent work, however, suggests that DNA recognition by TLR9 depends on the 2′-deoxyribose phosphate backbone and that neither phosphorothioate linkage nor specific sequences (i.e., CpG motifs) are necessary to induce the immune response (41, 42). These findings have challenged the dogma that unmethylated CpG motifs constitute the “foreign signature” that triggers TLR9. Instead, phosphorothioate linkages and CpG motifs appear to increase CpG stability, aggregation, and uptake into cells. Thus, any approach that stabilizes and improves CpG uptake into endosomal compartments may enhance its stimulatory functions. Accordingly, nanoparticles have been employed to augment CpG uptake and function (32–37). Lipid nanoparticles, for example, can not only improve CpG uptake and immunopotency (32) but, as demonstrated recently, are also critical in sequestering TLR9 migration from endoplasmic reticulum to the late endosomal compartment where it can interact with CpG (35). Although we did not correlate subcellular colocalization of CNT–CpG with TLR9 in this study, rapid CNT–CpG sequestration into cytoplasmic compartments seen here suggests that CNTs were responsible for augmenting CpG stimulatory function by facilitating its uptake.

CNTs can enter cells through several mechanisms including phagocytosis, diffusion, or receptor-mediated endocytosis (43). This internalization process depends not only on the target cell type but also on a variety of CNT characteristics such as size, clustering, and surface charge. Here, we noted CNTs to enhance CpG uptake by both monocytes and gliomas in vitro. CNT uptake, however, was more efficient in phagocytic BMM and resulted in upregulation of proinflammatory cytokines/chemokines. Similarly, in i.c. tumors, CNT-mediated CpG uptake was more efficient in TAMs suggesting active phagocytosis/endocytosis of CNT–sCpG complexes by these antigen-presenting cells. In fact, MG and MP collectively accounted for the highest number of CNT–sCpG positive cells in tumors. Early increase in tumor MG/MP, followed by sustained elevation of circulating NK cells indicated that stimulation of TAMs into M1 phenotype by CNT–sCpG may have triggered induction of antiglioma NK and CD8 cells. However, as NK cells also internalized CNTs very efficiently, it is not clear if their stimulation was entirely dependent on TAM activation or due to CNT–sCpG uptake. Future studies will evaluate the differential contribution of MG/MP and NK cells to CNT–sCpG immune responses.

Another potential mechanism that may have accounted for enhanced CpG immunopotency is the unique CNT–sCpG biodistribution within the CNS. Because of the blood–brain barrier and first-pass clearance by liver and lungs, CNTs typically do not penetrate normal brain tissue after i.v. injections (13–15). Biodistribution of CNTs following i.c. injections has not been studied before. But recently, we demonstrated that fCNTs are efficiently phagocytosed by TAMs in i.c. gliomas where they can remain for nearly 1 week after direct i.t. injections (12). Similarly, CNT–sCpG complexes studied here remained within i.c. tumors longer than free CpG. Slow clearance of CNT–sCpG complexes from the CNS may have provided a “depot” effect, allowing enough time for migration of inflammatory cells into the tumor environment and inducing a stronger antiglioma effect.

Toxicity has been raised as a potential limitation of CNT biomedical application. Although CNTs can be biodegraded through enzymatic catalysis (44), pristine (nonmodified/nonfunctionalized) CNTs have been shown to persist for several months, raising concerns of potential negative health effects (45). CNT toxicity, however, is strongly related to physicochemical properties (such as structure, length, surface area, degree of metallic contamination, and surface coating) and can be minimized with functionalization techniques (11, 46). PEG-functionalized CNTs, for example, have been shown to be nontoxic, and cleared from biliary and renal pathways within 2 months of i.v. injection in mice (47). Consistent with our previous report, fCNTs studied here were nontoxic after i.c. injections in these short-term experiments, thereby supporting their utilization in cancer immunotherapy.

CNTs may have various potential advantages over other nanomaterials in biomedical applications. fCNTs can be used to deliver siRNA into “hard-to-transfect” cells such as MPs and T cells that are usually inert to conventional liposomal transfection agents (48). They can also be used as contrast agents in multimodality optical imaging and, as they do not contain heavy metals, have a safer chemical composition as compared with gold/silver-containing quantum dots (49). Finally, the high optical absorbance of CNTs can be used in photothermal therapy, which may potentially be combined with CNT-based chemotherapy and gene therapy of cancer (24, 50).

In summary, we demonstrated CNT delivery system to significantly enhance CpG immunotherapy, eradicate i.c. gliomas at low doses, and induce immunity against tumor rechallenge without inducing toxicity. Our findings have direct implications to the development of CNT-based treatments for malignant brain tumors. Considering that fCNTs can be used to carry other oligonucleotides such as siRNA...
(51), this strategy can be employed to overcome local immunosuppressive microenvironment through modulations of tumor inflammatory responses.
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