T cells in tumors and blood predict outcome in follicular lymphoma treated with rituximab

Björn Engelbrekt Wahlin¹, Christer Sundström², Harald Holte³, Hans Hagberg⁴, Martin Erlanson⁵, Herman Nilsson-Ehle⁶, Ola Lindén⁷, Marie Nordström⁸, Bjørn Østenstad⁹, Christian H. Geisler¹⁰, Peter de Nully Brown¹⁰, Tuula Lehtinen¹¹, Martin Maisenhölder¹², Anne M. Tierens¹³, Birgitta Sander¹⁴, Birger Christensson¹⁴, and Eva Kimby¹

¹Division of Hematology, Department of Medicine at Huddinge, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
²Department of Genetics and Pathology, Rudbeck Laboratory, Uppsala University Hospital, Uppsala, Sweden
³Department of Oncology, the Norwegian Radium Hospital, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway
⁴Department of Oncology, Uppsala University Hospital, Uppsala, Sweden
⁵Department of Radiation Sciences, Oncology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
⁶Section of Hematology and Coagulation, Department of Medicine, the Sahlgrenska Academy at the University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden
⁷Department of Oncology, Lund University Hospital, Lund, Sweden
⁸Division of Hematology, Department of Medicine at Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
⁹Department of Oncology, Ullevål, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway
¹⁰Department of Hematology, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark
¹¹Department of Oncology, University Hospital, Tampere, Finland
¹²Department of Oncology, University Hospital of North Norway, Tromsø, Norway
¹³Department of Pathology, the Norwegian Radium Hospital, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway
¹⁴Division of Pathology, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

Corresponding author
Björn Engelbrekt Wahlin
M54 Hematologiskt Centrum
Karolinska Huddinge
141 86 Stockholm, SWEDEN
E-mail: bjorn.wahlin@karolinska.se, Fax: +46-8-5858-2525, Telephone: +46-8-5858-2539

Word and figure counts: Abstract, 198; Manuscript, 3255; 3 Figures; 3 Tables

Running title: Rituximab and T cells in follicular lymphoma

Grants: Support from the regional agreement on medical training and clinical research (ALF) between Stockholm County Council and Karolinska Institutet, and from the Nordic Cancer Union and the Swedish Cancer Society, and the Swedish Hematology Society’s Roche Grant for Lymphoproliferative Diseases.
Abstract

Purpose

T cells influence outcome in follicular lymphoma, but their contributions seem to be modified by therapy. Their impact in patients receiving rituximab without chemotherapy is unknown.

Experimental Design

Using flow cytometry, we evaluated the T cells in tumors and/or blood in a total of 250 follicular lymphoma patients included in two Nordic Lymphoma Group randomized trials that compared single rituximab with interferon-α2a-rituximab combinations.

Results

In univariate analysis, higher levels of CD3+, CD4+, and CD8+ T cells in both tumors and blood correlated with superior treatment responses, and in multivariate analysis tumor-CD3+ (P=0.011) and blood-CD4+ (P=0.029) cells were independent. CD4+ cells were favorable regardless of treatment arm, but CD8+ cells only in patients treated with single rituximab, because interferon-α2a improved responses especially in patients with low CD8+ cell levels. Higher levels of blood-CD3+ (P=0.003) and blood-CD4+ (P=0.046) cells predicted longer overall survival and higher levels of blood-CD8+ cells longer times to next treatment (P=0.046).

Conclusions

We conclude that rituximab’s therapeutic effects are augmented by tumor-associated T cells for rapid responses and by systemic T-cells for sustained responses. CD4+ and CD8+ cells are both favorable in patients treated with rituximab. Interferon-α2a abrogates the negative impact of few CD8+ cells.
Statement of translational relevance

Previous studies of the microenvironment in follicular lymphoma have correlated CD8+ T cells with good prognosis and CD4+ T cells with poor. Most patients in those studies were treated before the introduction of rituximab, which is nowadays part of standard treatment. Because some of rituximab’s anti-lymphoma activity may be dependent on CD4+ and CD8+ cells, both subsets could be favorable in the rituximab setting. We used prospectively recorded flow-cytometry analyses from two randomized trials where all patients received single rituximab or rituximab-interferon-α combinations. T cells (both CD4+ and CD8+) in tumors were associated with quick and good rituximab-responses, while T cells in blood correlated with slower but good and sustained rituximab-responses, and were more important for survival. Interferon-α abrogated the dependence on high CD8+ numbers (in both blood and tumors) for a good rituximab response. We believe our findings suggest a future of personalized therapy based on biological characteristics of the patients.
Introduction

Immune cells in the tumor microenvironment influence outcome in follicular lymphoma (1-15). High levels of CD8+ T cells have been associated with good prognosis (5-7) and high levels of CD4+ T cells mostly with poor prognosis (4, 7-9). However, the prognostic importance of the immune microenvironment seems to be modified by therapy (15). Addition of the anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody rituximab to chemotherapy has been shown to cancel the hitherto negative impact of macrophages (13, 14). In patients receiving rituximab without chemotherapy, the contribution of the microenvironment is unknown. Likewise, blood lymphocyte subsets have been little studied in follicular lymphoma.

Rituximab is nowadays included in all standard first-line regimens against follicular lymphoma, with or without concomitant chemotherapy (16, 17). Many of the clinicobiological effects of rituximab are probably dependent on T cells of both the CD4 and CD8 lineage (18). We therefore hypothesized that high pre-treatment levels of both CD4+ and CD8+ cells in the tumor microenvironment as well as in the blood are advantageous for the response to rituximab. Interferon-α2a (IFN-α), another drug used in follicular lymphoma, alters and potentiates immune cells of importance for antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) against the rituximab-binding lymphoma.

To test the CD4 and CD8 hypothesis and to find rituximab-specific biological predictors for response, we investigated the lymphocyte populations in tumors and blood from follicular lymphoma patients participating in two Nordic Lymphoma Group (NLG) randomized trials evaluating rituximab with or without the addition of IFN-α.
Materials and methods

Patients and treatment

We studied patients participating in two clinical NLG trials, M39035 (phase II, accrual 1998–1999) and ML16865 (phase III, accrual 2002–2008). All patients received rituximab and were 1:1 randomized to the addition of IFN-α. Inclusion criteria were symptomatic, advanced indolent CD20+ lymphoma, previously untreated or in first relapse after a previous response to only oral alkylators or local radiation. The previous treatment was completed at least six months before inclusion and it had low potential to affect immune cells in this report, because it had mostly been given a long time before trial inclusion: 33/57 previously treated patients in this report had finished treatment at least a year (and up to 17 years) before trial inclusion. The two trials had similar outlines (Figure 1). Response was evaluated after the first and the second cycle, each consisting of four doses of rituximab (375 mg/m²) with or without IFN-α. In M39035, patients with partial or minor response at first response evaluation (EV-1) were randomized to receive the second cycle with or without IFN-α. In ML16865, IFN-α was randomized upfront. In both studies, patients with stable or progressive disease at EV-1 were not eligible for the second cycle. In M39035, 126 (92 follicular lymphoma) patients and in ML16865 313 (259 follicular lymphoma) patients were included, making a total of 439 (351 follicular lymphoma) patients. All diagnostic specimens were reviewed by C.S. The patients were studied according to trial protocols approved by the ethics committee at each participating center, and patients provided written informed consent. Flow cytometry analyses of pre-study treatment samples of blood and tumors were recommended in the trial protocols, but not obligatory, and performed in 250/351 follicular lymphoma patients at inclusion. Clinical characteristics of these 250 patients are presented in Table 1. There was no selection of patients for flow cytometry. No differences in the follicular lymphoma international
prognostic index (FLIPI)(20), treatment responses, or survival times were found between the 250 patients analyzed and the 101 not analyzed with flow cytometry (data not shown).

Flow cytometry method

The participating flow cytometry laboratories used standardized protocols for analysis of the samples in the trials. Lymphocyte subset levels were reported as percentages of the population within the mononuclear gate. Analyzed lymphocyte subsets in the present paper were T (CD3+, CD4+, and CD8+), NK (CD56+ [in blood only]) and B cells (CD19+). A full description of the flow cytometry method is given in Supplementary Data. The subset numbers were prospectively recorded in the case report forms of the two trials. Tumor specimens were obtained in 187/250 patients, blood specimens in 184/250 patients, and both types of specimen in 121/250 patients. For this study, the case report forms’ data were validated by B.E.W., using the original flow cytometry reports. There was almost total agreement between case report forms and source data (data not shown).

Treatment response

Responses in both trials were categorized according to the 1999 Cheson criteria(21): complete (CR), complete/unconfirmed (CRu), and partial (PR) response, and stable (SD) and progressive disease (PD). An additional subcategory of SD, minor response (MR), was used at EV-1 only to allow patients to enter cycle 2. MR was defined as a decrease in the sum of the products of the greatest diameters in all measurable lesions of at least 25% but less than 50% from baseline and/or improvement of disease symptoms. Response data were available in 248/250 patients at EV-1 and at the second response evaluation (EV-2) in 177/180 patients allocated to the second cycle.
Statistical methods

Treatment response was used as the main endpoint, but the long follow-up times also allowed for survival analysis. The poor-response category was defined as MR, SD, or PD, the middle-response category as PR, and the good-response category as CR or CRu. To avoid bias from supervised cutpoint determination, immune-cell levels were tested as continuous variables, except in survival analysis, where they were grouped by the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles. Associations with response were estimated with Wilcoxon/Kruskal-Wallis and Spearman tests, depending on the nature of the variables. Significant factors competed in multivariate forward stepwise ordered logistic regression. The lymphocyte subsets were also estimated for collinearity and for associations with the FLIPI. Time to next treatment and overall survival were calculated from the date of trial-inclusion to the date of off-study anti-lymphoma treatment (time to next treatment) or death (overall survival). Patients were censored at last follow-up (September 2009). Associations with time to next treatment and overall survival were evaluated using Kaplan–Meier curves and the log-rank test. Multivariate analysis was performed with forward stepwise Cox regression. All P-values are two-tailed and calculated using Stata 9.2 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, USA). P<0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Lymphocyte subsets

CD3+ cell levels in tumors (t-CD3) had a median of 29.0% and CD3+ cell levels in blood (b-CD3) a median of 55.7% (Table 2). The t-CD3 and b-CD3 correlated weakly (R²=0.04; P=0.033). Levels of tumor and blood CD4+ (t-CD4; b-CD4), CD8+ (t-CD8; b-CD8), and CD19+ (t-CD19; b-CD19) cells were unrelated, as were tumor and blood CD4/CD8 ratios (t-
CD4/CD8; b-CD4/CD8). There were no differences in subset levels between treated and untreated patients, nor between laboratories (data not shown). The tumor lymphocyte subsets had no associations with the FLIPI nor with any of the FLIPI-constituting factors. However, all blood subset levels except those of CD56+ cells (b-CD56) correlated strongly with the FLIPI (T-cell subsets negatively, B-cells positively; all \( P<0.001 \)). Of the FLIPI-constituting factors, most correlations were seen with elevated lactate dehydrogenase (b-CD3, b-CD4, and b-CD8, all \( P<0.001 \); b-CD19, \( P=0.004 \)), fewer with nodal areas \( >4 \) (b-CD3, \( P=0.026 \); b-CD8, \( P=0.015 \); b-CD19, \( P=0.014 \)) and hemoglobin \(<12\) g/dL (b-CD3, \( P=0.049 \); b-CD8, \( P=0.001 \)) and none with high stage and age \( >60 \) years.

**Univariate analysis of treatment response**

At EV-1, 27 patients (11%) showed CR/CRu, 131 (53%) PR, and 90 (36%) MR/SD/PD. At EV-2, 64 patients (36%) showed CR/CRu, 85 (48%) PR, and 28 (16%) SD/PD. Male sex and age \( >60 \) years were significantly associated with inferior response (age only at EV-2). Neither the FLIPI nor previous therapy influenced response (Table 1). Higher t-CD3 and t-CD8 correlated with better treatment responses at EV-1 and EV-2, but t-CD4 only at EV-1 (Table 2). Higher t-CD19 were associated with inferior responses only at EV-1. Blood subsets did not affect responses at EV-1, but at EV-2 both b-CD3 and b-CD4 were positively associated with superior responses. The b-CD19 (median 7.0% [p25, 3.0%; p75, 19.1%]; \( n=172 \)) and b-CD56 (median 17.4% [p25, 9.1%; p75, 25.7%]; \( n=137 \)) had no bearing on responses at EV-1 nor at EV-2. Neither did the t-CD4/CD8 (median 3.6 [p25, 2.6; p75, 5.1]) nor b-CD4/CD8 (median 1.3 [p25, 0.9; p75, 1.8]). These analyses were repeated, stratified by previous treatment, showing similar trends as above, although fewer observations reduced significance (data not shown). Absolute lymphocyte blood counts did not predict treatment response. Neither did absolute T-cell blood counts, similar to a previous study(22).
Multivariate analysis of treatment response

Factors significant in univariate analysis, plus the FLIPI and previous therapy, competed in multivariate analysis with respect to response. At EV-1, the only independent factor was t-CD3 ($P=0.003$). At EV-2, t-CD3 ($P=0.011$), b-CD4 ($P=0.029$), and age >60 years ($P=0.001$) were independent (Table 3).

Analysis of best response

As shown in Figure 1, patients in M39035 with CR at EV-1 did not continue to cycle 2, which could have affected the EV-2 analysis. Therefore, a best response analysis was performed, with respect to achieving CR/CRu at either EV-1 or EV-2. Predictive factors for best response were similar to those at EV-2 in univariate analysis (data not shown) and in multivariate analysis, the same predictors as at EV-2 were independent: t-CD3 ($P=0.036$), b-CD4 ($P=0.009$), and age >60 years ($P=0.015$).

T cells and randomization to IFN-α

Patients treated with combinations of rituximab and IFN-α showed better responses at EV-2 than patients given single rituximab (Figure 2). High pre-treatment levels of t-CD8 (EV-1, $P=0.009$; EV-2, $P=0.031$) and b-CD8 (EV-2, $P=0.016$) correlated with better responses in patients treated with single rituximab. The t-CD8 and b-CD8 had no effect in those treated with IFN-α-rituximab. The effects of CD4+ cells seemed more evenly distributed in both strata of randomization. The t-CD4 were significant in patients treated with single rituximab (EV-1, $P=0.022$). The b-CD4 were significant in IFN-α-rituximab-treated patients (EV-2, $P=0.017$) and showed a trend in single rituximab-treated patients (EV-2, $P=0.051$). In patients given IFN-α-rituximab, higher t-CD4/CD8 (EV-1, $P=0.019$) and b-CD4/CD8 (EV-2, $P=0.031$) correlated with better responses. In multivariate analysis of the subset of patients
given single rituximab, t-CD8 were independent at EV-1 ($P=0.004$) and b-CD8 at EV-2 ($P=0.027$). In patients treated with IFN-α-rituximab, only t-CD4/CD8 were significant at EV-1 and b-CD4 were independent at EV-2 ($P=0.032$). Moreover, in patients with high ($\geq 1.3$) b-CD4/CD8, IFN-α had a significant effect (EV-2, $P=0.006$) but not in patients with low ($<1.3$) b-CD4/CD8 (EV-2, $P=0.9$). Similarly, the effect of IFN-α was significant (EV-2, $P=0.013$) in patients with low ($<23.2\%$; median) b-CD8 but not in those with high ($\geq 23.2\%$) b-CD8 (EV-2, $P=0.9$).

**Survival analysis**

Of the 250 patients, 152 had received off-study treatment and 40 had died at the time of this analysis. The median follow-up time was 3.3 years, and the median overall survival was not reached. The overall survival rate at 5 years was 82%. The median time to next treatment was estimated to be 2.2 years. The failure-free rate with respect to time to next treatment at 5 years was 29%. The FLIPI was predictive for overall survival ($P=0.0002$) and time to next treatment ($P=0.002$). The quality of the treatment response at EV-1 showed a strong association with overall survival ($P=0.006$). Higher b-CD3 correlated with longer overall survival ($P=0.003$). Median overall survival was 7.9 years in patients with $<55.7\%$ of b-CD3 and not reached in patients with $\geq 55.7\%$ (Figure 3A). Also higher b-CD4 had a significant association with overall survival ($P=0.046$), while higher b-CD8 correlated with longer time to next treatment ($P=0.046$). Median time to next treatment in patients with $<23.2\%$ of b-CD8 was 1.8 years and 2.7 years in patients with $\geq 23.2\%$ (Figure 3B). With respect to time to next treatment, b-CD3 and b-CD4 had borderline associations ($P=0.059$; $P=0.066$). No tumoral lymphocyte subsets or ratios were associated with time to next treatment or overall survival. In multivariate overall survival analysis b-CD3 ($P=0.008$) and quality of response at EV-1 ($P=0.0002$) remained independent (n=152).
Discussion

Several immune-cell subsets in the follicular lymphoma microenvironment have been associated with outcome(1-15). However, many types of therapy have been used in these various cohorts of follicular lymphoma patients, with different effects on tumor cells and possibly also on non-malignant cells within the tumor microenvironment(15). The addition of rituximab to chemotherapy has been shown to alter the impact of macrophages(13, 14). We now show that the composition of the systemic as well as the local immune system may influence outcome in follicular lymphoma patients given rituximab without chemotherapy. In the present study, prospective flow cytometry analyses were performed in 250 follicular lymphoma patients entering two clinical trials consisting of two cycles (in total, eight doses) of rituximab with a randomization to additional IFN-α. Our results, showing prediction from pre-treatment levels of immune cells in the tumor microenvironment and in the blood, are the first from a large cohort treated with biological agents only.

In the tumor microenvironment, t-CD3, t-CD4, and t-CD8 correlated with better responses already at EV-1, after four doses of rituximab. The total number of tumor T cells (t-CD3) were independent in multivariate analysis both at EV-1 and EV-2. Together with the univariate analyses, this suggests that both t-CD4 and t-CD8 have a favorable effect. Tumor-associated CD4+ T cells have been associated with poor prognosis in follicular lymphomas from the pre-rituximab era(7-9). The present study suggests that the unfavorable impact from high t-CD4 is reversed by rituximab, similar to what has been shown for tumor-associated macrophages(13, 14). The t-CD8 retain the beneficial effect already seen in the pre-rituximab era(5-7). The association between a fast response to rituximab and tumor T-cells implies that cells already present in the microenvironment are the first to contribute to rituximab-induced
lymphoma-killing. However, tumor-associated T cells showed no bearing on survival.

Because there are few data on any tumor-associated immune cells being predictive for survival in rituximab-treated patients, it could be speculated that rituximab is a “microenvironment-equalizer”.

In the blood, b-CD3 and b-CD4 (the latter also in multivariate analysis) correlated with good responses at EV-2, after eight doses of rituximab. The b-CD8 were associated with longer times to next treatment. Higher b-CD3 also correlated with better overall survival. The correlation between b-CD3 and overall survival was partly tied to their link with better treatment responses, but it is noteworthy that b-CD3 remained prognostic for overall survival in responders at EV-1 ($P=0.001$) and at EV-2 ($P=0.002$) and when multivariate-adjusted to treatment response. Thus, some part of the blood T-cells’ impact on survival seems unrelated to treatment-associated effects. Furthermore, higher b-CD3, b-CD4, and b-CD8 correlated with low-risk FLIPI scores. Examining each FLIPI-constituting factor, all blood T-cell subsets showed strong associations with lactate dehydrogenase but none with age or stage. This suggests that the systemic immune cells have a connection with the biology of the lymphoma even before treatment commences. The FLIPI did not predict response to rituximab, as has been previously shown(23).

Rituximab’s mechanisms of action include complement-dependent cytotoxicity, direct apoptosis, and ADCC(18). CD8+ cells could enhance ADCC through their production of IFN-$\gamma$(24). The maximal clinical response to rituximab may take several months, suggesting that these short-term mechanisms are not the only ones involved. A vaccinal effect of rituximab has been proposed: rituximab-induced lysis of lymphoma cells promotes uptake and cross-presentation of lymphoma-cell derived peptides by dendritic cells, inducing their maturation,
and allowing the generation of specific anti-lymphoma T cells(18). The vaccinal hypothesis was recently supported by the finding of follicular lymphoma idiootype-specific T cells subsequent to rituximab treatment(25). Dendritic cells would be pivotal in a vaccinal response, because not only CD4+ but also CD8+ cell activation requires dendritic cells(26). CD4+ cells are needed for the generation of effective anti-lymphoma CD8+ memory cells, through dendritic cell-activation and cytokines such as IL-2(27). In summary, both CD4+ and CD8+ cells would be instrumental in a vaccinal anti-lymphoma immune-response, but they would not be the only immune cells involved.

The short courses of IFN-α in the clinical trials M39035 and ML16865 showed clear effects on treatment responses at EV-2. IFN-α has a myriad effects, including the enhancement of the expression of MHC class I proteins and the proliferation and promotion of CD8+ T cells(28). An IFN-α-driven expansion of CD8+ cells could explain why the addition of IFN-α had maximal therapeutic effects in patients with low CD8+ cell levels. Both in tumors and in blood, the pretreatment CD8+ cell levels correlated with better outcome in patients given only rituximab, but were irrelevant in patients also receiving IFN-α. IFN-α is known to exert an antiproliferative effect on CD4+ cells, at least in vitro(29). Taken together, this would explain why high CD4/CD8 ratios correlate with better outcome in IFN-α-rituximab-treated patients. IFN-α has been suggested for use as an adjuvant to obtain anti-tumor vaccinal effects(18, 28). Our data are in line with a slow, vaccine-like effect of IFN-α, apparent at EV-2, months after the initiation of therapy. Other important effects of IFN-α are its suppression of the lymphoma-stimulating(30) and CD8+ cell-inhibiting(31) IL-4 production in CD4+ cells and its anti-angiogenic action in tumors(28). Angiogenesis has been associated with poor prognosis in follicular lymphoma(32, 33).
The limitations of our study should be noted. The method used was flow cytometry, and we have previously ascertained very good agreement between flow cytometry and computerized immunohistochemistry estimations of subsets in follicular lymphoma lymph nodes(7). Flow cytometry analyzes the whole lymph node and thus cannot assess the morphological (intrafollicular or interfollicular) distribution of the subsets. However, our previous study showed that the total numbers of CD4+ and CD8+ cells were predictive for outcome, regardless whether intrafollicular or interfollicular(7). Subsets recently reported to be important in the follicular lymphoma microenvironment, such as FOXP3-positive(3, 4, 7, 12) and programmed death-1 (PD-1)-positive(7, 11) T cells, were not known when the flow panels were constructed for this study. FOXP3+ and PD-1+ cells are immunosuppressive, mostly by inhibiting other subsets such as dendritic cells and T helper cells(34, 35). These effects could be favorable in follicular lymphoma, at least in a watch-and-wait phase. However, in the rituximab setting, the benefits of an immune response seem evident. It can be speculated that when patients receive rituximab, FOXP3+ and PD-1+ cells will have less beneficial effects. Another potential concern in our study is the incomplete overlap of patients with tumor and blood samples. Still, the same subsets remained significant at EV-1 and EV-2 when analyzing only patients with complete flow data from blood and tumors. Finally, 23% of the patients were previously treated. However, bias from pre-trial treatments are unlikely, because the previous therapy was mild and mostly given years before study inclusion and it had no effect on the rituximab-response or the levels or impact of the immune cells. The lymphocyte-subset levels in the tumors mirrored those from our previous follicular lymphoma cohort(6), while those in blood were similar to what is seen in healthy subjects(36).

Our findings support the hypothesis that immune cells’ impact on outcome is dependent on treatment protocols(15). In the future, therapy might be tailored based on characteristics of
blood and tumor immune-cells. It could be argued that some patients do not always profit from therapies with cytotoxic effects on T cells. On the contrary, some patients might benefit from chemotherapy-free treatments such as monoclonal antibodies and immunostimulating drugs, e.g. IFN-α and lenalidomide(37).

We conclude that rituximab’s therapeutic effects are augmented by tumor T-cells for rapid responses. Blood T cells correlate with slower but more sustained responses. Rituximab reverses the previously unfavorable outcome associated with higher t-CD4 and affirms the favorable role of higher t-CD8. IFN-α seems to further enhance the positive impact of high CD4+ cells and compensate for low CD8+ cell levels. We propose that flow cytometry estimations of lymphocyte subpopulations in lymph nodes and blood be considered in therapeutic trials, and in the future used for choosing the most appropriate treatment for each patient.
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**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1.** Trial schemata of M39035 and ML16865.
NOTE: Week 10 for EV-1 and week 16 for EV-2 were counted from the patient's first dose of rituximab in the respective cycle. Each grey rectangle symbolizes a weekly dose of 375 mg/m² rituximab and each black zig-zagged line represents interferon-α2a of 3 MIU/day week 1 and 4.5 MIU/day (except rituximab-days) weeks 2 through 5.
Abbreviations: EV-1 and EV-2, first and second treatment response evaluation.

**Figure 2.** Lymphocytes’ associations with treatment responses stratified by randomization to interferon.
Abbreviations: EV-1 and EV-2, first and second treatment response evaluation.

**Figure 3.** Kaplan-Meier curves.
(A) Overall survival according to blood-CD3 levels. (B) Time to next treatment according to blood-CD8 levels.
Table 1. Clinical characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic Category</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>EV-1 OR</th>
<th>EV-1 P</th>
<th>EV-2 OR</th>
<th>EV-2 P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>129 (52)</td>
<td>1.8 0.019</td>
<td>1.9 0.034</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt;60 years</td>
<td>84 (34)</td>
<td>1.4 0.24</td>
<td>2.5 0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Arbor stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>28 (11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>79 (32)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>141 (57)</td>
<td>0.5 0.62</td>
<td>0.9 0.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involved nodal areas &gt;4</td>
<td>125 (50)</td>
<td>0.8 0.46</td>
<td>0.8 0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin &lt;12 g/dl</td>
<td>53 (21)</td>
<td>1.5 0.19</td>
<td>0.9 0.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH elevated</td>
<td>62 (25)</td>
<td>1.5 0.17</td>
<td>1.6 0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLIPI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–1 (low risk)</td>
<td>72 (29)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (intermediate risk)</td>
<td>83 (33)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–5 (high risk)</td>
<td>94 (38)</td>
<td>1.2 0.48</td>
<td>1.5 0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma in bone marrow</td>
<td>115 (50)</td>
<td>1.2 0.41</td>
<td>1.6 0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk &gt;10 cm</td>
<td>40 (16)</td>
<td>1.4 0.34</td>
<td>1.4 0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>193 (77)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local radiation</td>
<td>18 (7)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkylators</td>
<td>30 (12)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>9 (4)</td>
<td>0.7 0.15</td>
<td>1.2 0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: EV-1 and EV-2, first and second response evaluation; OR, odds ratio; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; FLIPI, follicular lymphoma international prognostic index.
Table 2. Lymphocyte subsets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Median p25, p75</th>
<th>Association with response</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EV-1 OR P</td>
<td>EV-2 OR P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor-CD3 (%)</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>29.0 18.1, 40.1</td>
<td>0.7 0.0007</td>
<td>0.8 0.027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor-CD4 (%)</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>21.1 14.7, 32.1</td>
<td>0.7 0.005</td>
<td>0.8 0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor-CD8 (%)</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.2 3.7, 10.0</td>
<td>0.4 0.027</td>
<td>0.5 0.041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor-CD19 (%)</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>66.8 50.0, 77.0</td>
<td>1.2 0.010</td>
<td>1.2 0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood-CD3 (%)</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>55.7 29.0, 69.0</td>
<td>1.0 0.99</td>
<td>0.8 0.034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood-CD4 (%)</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>30.0 17.0, 41.2</td>
<td>0.9 0.51</td>
<td>0.7 0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood-CD8 (%)</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>23.2 14.0, 35.0</td>
<td>1.1 0.66</td>
<td>0.8 0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood total lymphocytes (cells/nl)</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>1.3 0.9, 1.9</td>
<td>1.0 0.74</td>
<td>1.0 0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: For the odds ratio, the units of the lymphocyte subsets are increments of ten percentage points.

Abbreviations: EV-1 and EV-2, first and second response evaluation; OR, odds ratio.
Table 3. Multivariate analysis of predictors for treatment response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With respect to response at EV-1</td>
<td>Tumor-CD3</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.57 to 0.89</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With respect to response at EV-2</td>
<td>Tumor-CD3</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.33 to 0.86</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blood-CD4</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.39 to 0.94</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age &gt;60 years</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>3.0 to 58.9</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Competing, non-significant factors were: the follicular lymphoma international prognostic index, previous therapy, levels of tumor-CD4 (only in EV-1 analysis), tumor-CD8, tumor-CD19, blood-CD3 (only in EV-2 analysis), and male sex. The units of the lymphocyte subsets are increments of ten percentage points. N(EV-1) = 140 and N(EV-2) = 53. The number of observations in EV-2 multivariate analysis is reduced because the analysis was restricted to patients with both tumor and blood specimens.

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; EV-1 and EV-2, first and second treatment response evaluation.
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