A non-canonical Flt3ITD/NF-κB signaling pathway represses DAPK1 in acute myeloid leukemia (AML)
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Translational Relevance

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a collection of complex and heterogeneous diseases, which can be grouped according to cytogenetic/genotypic features of the blast cells, and activated signaling pathways. These signaling pathways cooperate to promote blast cell survival and to prevent tumor suppressor induced senescence. We report here a tyrosine kinase (Flt3ITD)-initiated non-canonical NF-κB signaling pathway in a subset of AMLs, where p52NF-κB in association with certain histone deacetylases (HDACs) repress the tumor suppressor gene DAPK1. DAPK1 is an essential player in endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-stress induced apoptosis, and is implicated in poor outcome of AML by its repression. The mechanism for repression of DAPK1 by p52NF-κB and HDACs, influenced by Flt3ITD, was found to involve the MAP3K, TAK1. Because TAK1 is among the most highly expressed genes in a leukemic stem cell signature for poor-risk AML, these studies focus attention on the interface between signal transduction and epigenetic remodeling in AML.

Abstract

**Purpose:** DAPK1, a tumor suppressor, is a rate-limiting effector in an ER stress-dependent apoptotic pathway. Its expression is epigenetically suppressed in several tumors. A mechanistic basis for epigenetic/transcriptional repression of DAPK1 was investigated in certain forms of AML with poor prognosis, which lacked ER stress-induced apoptosis.

**Experimental Design:** Heterogeneous primary AMLs were screened to identify a subgroup with Flt3ITD in which repression of DAPK1, among NF-κB- and c-jun-responsive genes, was studied. RNAi knockdown studies were performed in Flt3ITD+ve cell line, MV-4-11, to establish genetic epistasis in the pathway Flt3ITD-TAK1-DAPK1 repression, and chromatin immunoprecipitations were performed to identify proximate effector proteins, including TAK1-activated p52NF-κB, at the DAPK1 locus.

**Results:** AMLs characterized by normal karyotype with Flt3ITD were found to have 10-100-fold lower DAPK1 transcripts normalized to the expression of c-jun, a
transcriptional activator of *DAPK1*, as compared to a heterogeneous cytogenetic category. *Meis1*, a c-jun-responsive adverse AML prognostic gene signature was also measured as control. These Flt3ITD+ve AMLs over-express relB, a transcriptional repressor, which forms active heterodimers with p52NF-κB. Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays identified p52NF-κB binding to the *DAPK1* promoter along with HDAC2 and HDAC6 in the Flt3ITD+ve human AML cell line MV-4-11. Knockdown of p52NF-κB or its upstream regulator, NIK, de-repressed *DAPK1*. *DAPK1*-repressed primary Flt3ITD+ve AMLs had selective nuclear activation of p52NF-κB.

**Conclusions:** Flt3ITD promotes a non-canonical pathway via TAK1 and p52NF-κB to suppress *DAPK1* in association with HDACs, which explains *DAPK1* repression in Flt3ITD+ve AML.
Introduction

Recent evidence suggests that attenuation of the unfolded protein response (UPR)- an ER-dependent stress response- may explain therapeutic failure of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (1-3). Downstream transcriptional mediators of Flt3 internal tandem duplication (ITD) in AML may impose such status by regulating expression of effectors that control stress dependent apoptosis. For example, the ratio of ER levels of bcl-2 vs. DAPK1 as well as other effectors may determine this output at a defined set-point (4-6).

DAPK1 is a calcium-calmodulin dependent serine-threonine protein kinase, which suppresses tumor cell survival and metastasis via autophagy and apoptosis. It plays a central role in ER stress-dependent apoptosis (7). DAPK1 expression is affected by a variety of oncogenic signals (7, 8). We previously demonstrated the existence of a Flt3/JNK1/ c-jun pathway in Flt3ITD+ve AML (9). c-jun is known to drive the expression of not only bcl-2, but also DAPK1 (10, 11). However, the latter circumstance would be antagonistic to the progression of poor-prognosis Flt3ITD+ve AML. On the other hand, NF-κB and CRE/c-jun regulatory sites co-exist on the promoters of certain tumor suppressor or cytokine genes including DAPK1, and the importance of NF-κB signaling in AML is known (12-15). We hypothesized that a resistance to apoptosis in certain AMLs occurs via severe repression of DAPK1, through recruitment of p52NF-κB to the putative NF-κB site at - 134bp of its promoter (12, 13). Indeed, expression of DAPK1 is lost in number of human cancers, including leukemias (16). Although epigenetic suppression of DAPK1 is well reported, the upstream mechanisms that contribute to tumor promotion via the recruitment of epigenetic apparatus to the DAPK1 promoter are not defined (16-19).

We hypothesized that tandem activation of both JNK1 and IKK/NF-κB may be involved in concerted regulation of anti-apoptotic as well as pro-apoptotic genes to achieve an anti-apoptotic/pro-apoptotic effector balance (e.g. bcl-2/DAPK1) to permit higher aggressiveness in Flt3ITD+ve AML (4, 6, 14, 20). This postulation was tested in context of prior functional and cohort analyses of AML blasts performed in our laboratory that linked Flt3 phosphorylation/activation to JNK1 phosphorylation (9);
and in view of the known role for c-jun/AP-1 in DAPK1 and bcl-2 expression (10,11). We also inferred that a conserved dual activation mechanism for JNK1/c-jun and IKK/NF-κB may exist, which relies upon TAK1 (21), to promote the optimal anti-apoptotic/pro-apoptotic effector balance. This hypothesis was given emphasis by recognition that TAK1 is among the most highly expressed genes in a LSC (leukemic stem cell) signature of poor-risk AML in which DAPK1 repression co-exists (22,23).

Here, we show that TAK1 activated p52NF-κB, binds at the tandem NF-κB and CRE sites of DAPK1, and recruits certain transcriptional repressors, belonging to the histone deacetylase (HDAC) family (12). As p52NF-κB is a downstream target of Flt3 signaling, we hypothesized that interruption of this signaling arm of Flt3 would result in a derepression of DAPK1 to contribute toward enhanced apoptosis in Flt3 ITD+ve AMLs. Lastly, we suggest a therapeutic model for the rational combination of Flt3- and HDAC-inhibitors for suppressing AML growth.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell culture:** The human leukemic cell lines, HL-60 and MV-4-11 (derived from a biphenotypic leukemia), were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA) in McCoy’s and RPMI media respectively, supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum. The MV-4-11 cell line overexpresses Flt3-ITD and harbors 11q23 translocation [t (4; 11)] involving the MLL gene (9). Blast cells from the bone marrow of patients with AML were obtained at the time of diagnosis, after informed consent. The buoyant fraction was isolated over Ficoll-Hypaque, and then washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) before processing. The cohort of AMLs subjected to gene expression analysis demonstrated mean 88± 10% blasts (Supplemental Table 1). Cells were lysed and fractionated into Nuclear and Cytoplasmic fractions using the NE-PER Extraction Kit (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL). For Western blot analysis bone marrow samples with ≥70% blast cells in the purified aspirate were used.

**Transfections and reporter assays.** MV-4-11 cells were electroporated using the Amaxa system and then placed in McCoy’s medium supplemented with 10% FBS. Cells were transfected with a luciferase reporter (13) driven by the DAPK1
promoter (1.2 κB) harboring either wildtype or mutated CRE site (-177 bp). Wildtype c-jun or vector control was co-transfected in some experiments with the DAPK1-reporter. A Renilla luciferase was co-transfected to normalize for variations in transfection efficiency.

**Western blot analysis:** Cytosolic or nuclear proteins were subjected to Western blotting with indicated antibodies as described (9). Densitometry was performed to quantify specific bands, and data were normalized to either cytoplasmic (GAPDH) or nuclear (Sp1) internal controls depending on the case.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay:** ChIP assays were performed as described elsewhere (13). In brief, DNA: protein complexes were cross-linked by incubating 5 x 10⁷ cells with 1% formaldehyde. After washing, cells were lysed and chromatin was sheared to yield DNA fragments (~ 800 bp) using a Branson Digital Sonifier. The lysate was then centrifuged, and soluble chromatin was immunoprecipitated with 5 μg of the indicated antibody. The protein-DNA complexes were collected after incubating with protein-A-magnetic beads, washed and reverse cross-linked by heating at 65°C overnight using 1% SDS and 0.1 M NaHCO3. DNA released from the complexes was purified using the QIAQuick spin kit (Qiagen, Inc) and collected. The purified DNA samples from the input, immunoprecipitated with either a non-specific IgG (control) or specific IgG (experimental), were subjected to either PCR or qPCR analyses with DAPK1 promoter specific primers: 5’-AGTCCTCAGAAATCTCATGCAAG-3’ and 5’-CATTAGAGTCCAAGACAGTA-3’. DNA extracted from soluble chromatin was used as an input control for each reaction. Each experiment was repeated at least 3 independent times with multiple samples (n =4) for ensuring the consistency of the results.

**Real-time RT PCR analysis in a gene-set enrichment array panel:** Total RNAs from blood/bone marrow specimens were isolated using the RNeasy Kit (Qiagen, MD) according to manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA (500 ng) from each specimen was converted to cDNA using the Superscript III First strand cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Relative gene expression was quantified using TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (Applied Biosystems, USA) and ABI PRISM 7900 real-time PCR machine. qRT-PCR for 31 AML associated genes and one housekeeping gene was performed at low-density array (LDA) format according to the manufacturer's protocol (TaqMan Gene
Expression Micro Fluidic card, 4346799, Applied Biosystems). The amount of cDNA was 200 ng per card. 18S rRNA was chosen as an internal control. Relative expression was calculated using RQ manager Ver 1.2 (Applied Biosystems) using a one-patient volunteer sample (CBF+, very low c-jun and negative for Flt3ITD and Meis-1 expression) as a calibrator. Copy number or fold-change in expression was calculated using the 2^{-ΔΔCt} method (24).

**Statistical Analyses:** Individual patient gene expression data within the defined cohorts was subjected to statistical analysis using Mann-Whitney/Wilcoxon test and a p value <0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

Flt3ITD signaling is associated with loss of DAPK1 protein expression. *Bcl-2* and *DAPK1* are targets of transcriptionally-active p-jun, and are potentially relevant to the pathogenesis of Flt3ITD AML (10, 11). However, optimal progression of Flt3ITD-driven AML would be served by repression of *DAPK1* with simultaneously overexpressed *bcl-2*, whose transcription is critically dependent upon a CRE/c-jun site (25).

We postulated that the poor prognosis of Flt3ITD AMLs may relate to a lack of *DAPK1* expression, dependent on a transcriptional milieu affecting the tandem CRE and κB elements of its promoter, thus distinguishing *DAPK1* regulation in Flt3ITD+ve vs. Flt3ITD-ve AML. Expression of *DAPK1* in Flt3ITD+ve AML may be selectively blocked by a p52NF-κB/HDAC-associated complex at the κB/CRE site (12). Both NF-κB and c-jun can be generated by activation mechanisms (IKK and JNK1) regulated by the MAP3K, TAK1, respectively (21).

Moreover, analysis of AML blasts separated by their Flt3ITD status, in chronologic succession, showed an apparent relationship with expression of phospho-c-jun and TAK1 activation, via phosphorylation (Fig. 1a & b, and data not shown). Interestingly, in an Flt3ITD+ve sample (#2797) with very high pTAK1/p-jun activity, the lowest expression of *DAPK1* was observed, when compared to 2 other AMLs lacking the Flt3ITD mutation (Fig. 1a). This is contrary to the expectation based on the known role for c-jun and its transcriptional partner canonical NF-κB on *DAPK1* expression (refs. 11, 13, 15, and see below), and expression levels of p-jun were quite similar in Flt3ITD-ve AML #2993 (normal karyotype) with high DAPK1, compared with NKFlt3ITD+ve 2797.
To further explore the role of Flt3-ITD/TAK1 in promoting cooperation between p-jun and NF-κB species, a series of AML blasts characterized by normal karyotype with Flt3-ITD (NK-Flt3ITD) was studied for c-jun phosphorylation and TAK1 activation. We found that, like the positive control cell line MV-4-11, the normal karyotype Flt3ITD+ve patient blasts express significant levels of Flt3 protein, active/total TAK1 and p-jun (Fig. 1). In one of the AML blasts (#2857), treatment with Flt3-ligand ex vivo led to down-regulation of Flt3, followed by transient upregulation of phospho-TAK1 and DAPK1 levels, which returned to baseline (Fig. 1b). Indeed, DAPK1-Luc reporter analyses in MV4-11 revealed abundant activity, and exogenous c-jun could significantly augment luciferase - expression by 2-fold (p<0.004). However, a mutant promoter lacking the CRE site had blunted activity. RNAi with Flt3 and JNK1 demonstrated that interference with Flt3/JNK1 axis inhibited DAPK1 and bcl-2 expression (Fig. 1c).

On the other hand, Western blot analyses for DAPK1 expression in relation to p-jun, showed widely varied expression of DAPK1 between blast samples, contrary to expectation if only p-jun were the regulatory determinant (Fig. 1b). All Flt3ITD+ve samples except #2841 had an extremely low (#2857) or barely detectable DAPK1 expression (#2797, 2854), similar to that observed in MV-4-11 where DAPK1 was undetectable (Fig.1). This was despite high and largely invariant levels of p-jun (Fig. 1). Further, contrary to expectation was the occurrence of highest bcl-2 levels in #2797 primary AML blasts with lowest DAPK1, quite similar to the situation in MV-4-11 (Fig.1).

We next pursued the clinical/biological significance of these findings in MV-4-11 and in a larger series of NKFlt3ITD+ve samples. Genome-wide gene expression profiling coupled with real-time RT-PCR was performed using AML blasts from patients with defined cytogenetic and molecular Flt3ITD status from 3 distinct cohorts: 1) normal karyotype Flt3ITD; 2) tMLL-AML; 3) other genotypic/cytogenetic alterations, including those with monosomy 5/7 and a complex karyotype. These analyses included the cohort of blasts from the normal karyotype Flt3ITD AML patients (Fig. 1). We studied the expression of DAPK1, since it is responsive to c-jun/AP-1 and (p65) canonical NF-κB, to examine the basis for gene repression guided by p52NF-κB in the absence of p65NF-κB. In such circumstance, p52 NF-κB heterodimers with relB could recruit
transcriptional co-repressors (12,14, 26, 27). In addition to c-jun and relB (26), expression of another c-jun target gene meis1 (11) was analyzed as a positive control in real-time RT-PCR.

Compared with CBF+ve AML or PML-RAR+ve AML (which express low c-jun and which fail to express hoxA9/meis1) (data not shown, and manuscript submitted), the NK Flt3ITD+ve samples had very high meis1 and c-jun expression (Fig. 2). However, there was a significant suppression of DAPK1 transcripts (Fig. 2). In most of the examples noted above, DAPK1 levels were 10 to 100-fold lower than the controls, when normalized to c-jun (Fig. 2a). In fact, a statistically significant difference in DAPK1/c-jun expression was noted when we compared the populations with NKFlt3ITD (or tMLL, as a positive-control for DAPK1 repression (see ref. 19)) to AML’s having other cytogenetic/genotypic profiles, where differences in Meis1/c-jun levels were not significantly different (Fig. 2b; DAPK1/c-jun: Mann-Whitney/Wilcoxon one-sided test: NKFlt3ITD or tMLL vs other cytogenetic/genotype groups: p<0.041 or p<0.0006, respectively; Meis1/c-jun=N.S.). RelB, which is in part c-jun-responsive (26), was uniformly highly expressed (Fig. 2a).

Therefore, Flt3ITD+ve MV-4-11 cell line was used as a model to further explore the relationship between Flt3, TAK1, and JNK1/phospho-c-jun vs. IKK/NF-κB (Fig. 3). We first knocked down Flt3 using RNAi. Flt3 knockdown (78%) resulted in a significant loss of TAK1 phosphorylation (53%) (Fig.3a). (We previously showed that Flt3 knockdown leads to a loss of JNK activity and c-jun phosphorylation (Fig. 1c and ref. 9)). Indeed, RNAi-mediated knockdown of either JNK1 or TAK1 also suppressed the expression of phosphorylated c-jun, by 100% or 80%, respectively (Fig. 3b). We also found that TAK1-inactivation led to essentially total loss/destabilization of NIK (Fig. 3a). NIK is a MAP3K required for non-canonical NF-κB activation but sensitive to TAK1 activation-dependent stabilization (ref. 28). Thus, loss of Flt3 would be expected to affect activation of either canonical NF-κB (solely involving TAK1) or non-canonical NF-κB (TAK1/NIK) (Fig. 3a, and see below).

To study the activation status of NF-κB species, cellular lysates were fractionated into cytoplasmic and nuclear components because nuclear retention of these proteins determines their transcriptional activity. We and others have demonstrated that phosphorylated c-jun (at S63, S73) is always predominantly present in the nucleus as a
heterodimer with other proteins (refs. 9, 29). Surprisingly, we found that in MV-4-11 cells, p65 NF-κB was largely absent in the nuclear fraction, though an abundant quantity of Sp1 (a constitutively nuclear transcription factor), but not GAPDH (a cytoplasmic marker), was found (Fig. 3c). Further, the RNAi-mediated knockdown of Flt3, TAK1, NIK, and JNK1, failed to increase nuclear level of p65-NF-κB (Fig. 3c).

In contrast, control MV-4-11 (Fig. 3c) had almost 50% fraction of p52NF-κB in the nucleus, and a lesser amount of relB, (a primary heterodimeric partner of p52NFκxB) (Fig 3c). Knockdown of either TAK1 or NIK strongly decreased nuclear p52NF-κB levels by 73% or 76%, respectively, with a corresponding rise in cytoplasmic levels (Fig. 3c panel 1, and see below). Knockdown of JNK1 or Flt3 appeared to diminish overall cytoplasmic and nuclear content of both relB and p52NF-κB by 76% and 50%, respectively (Fig. 3c panels 1,2). This is consistent with stability of this heterodimeric complex relying on partner relB, whose expression is induced by c-jun (Fig. 3c, ref. 26). Taken together, our data support the existence of an Flt3/p52NFκxB pathway, which may negatively regulate DAPK1 expression (Figs. 1-3).

As 3/4 of primary Flt3ITD+ve AML cases presented in Fig. 1b were refractory to primary treatment (all except #2841) and had low DAPK1 expression, we hypothesized that this pathway might have a biologic/prognostic significance. Indeed, sample #2841 with high DAPK1 expression differed greatly from 7 other Flt3ITD+ve samples and a control tMLL AML because it had trivial amounts of nuclear p52NF-κB and abundant and predominantly nuclear p65NF-κB (Fig. 3d, and see below). These NKFlt3ITD+ve AMLs with little or no DAPK1 expression, as in the control tMLL AML, were distinguished by predominantly nuclear p52 NF-κB (mean % nuclear translocation p52NF-κB, 72.8 +/- 9.9%; vs mean % nuclear translocation p65 NF-κB, 19.6 +/- 6.6%). In fact, qPCR analysis showed very low DAPK1 transcripts among samples with no nuclear p65, e.g. 2797, 2874, 2857 (compare Fig. 3d to Fig. 2a above). On the other hand, the higher and dominant content of nuclear p65 NF-κB in #2841 was more typical of the above-noted Flt3ITD-ve patients-where DAPK1 levels were high, and had little or no nuclear p52NF-κB (#2930, 2993 in Figs. 1, 2 and Fig. 3d). Also, dominant nuclear presence for p52NF-κB vs. p65 NF-κB in the different cohorts was confirmed by gel mobility shift assays in addition to immunoblotting (Supplemental Fig. 1). We have ascertained that the isolation procedure/washing had not created artifactual deficiency
of nuclear p65NF-κB (upon any potential autocrine cytokine washout) by performing timed re-additions to cultured AML blasts of Flt3 ligand (ref. 30) (data not shown).

p52NF-κB and HDAC repress DAPK1 transcription in MV-4-11 and primary AML blasts with Flt3ITD or MLL translocation: We next investigated a possible Flt3ITD-driven mechanism that linked p52NF-κB to DAPK1 repression in MV-4-11. Since histone deacetylases (HDAC) are known to cause gene repression, we next determined whether p52NF-κB, c-jun, and HDAC bound to the DAPK1 promoter. Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays revealed a strong binding of both p52NF-κB and HDAC2 to the DAPK1 promoter (Fig. 4). In contrast, a relatively weaker binding of c-jun and HDAC1 to DAPK1 promoter was observed, when compared with HDAC2 (Fig. 4a). In another series of ChIP experiments, we found that, among HDACs, strong binding of the HDAC2 (class I) to the promoter accompanied a corresponding absence of HDAC-5 (class II) and class IV HDAC11, which have been found to be predominantly localized to cytoplasm in certain hematopoietic cells and are expressed low in MV-4-11 (Fig. 4b). On the other hand, a moderate binding of the class II HDAC6 occurred. The latter has a nuclear localization signal and has been found to shuttle between nucleus (e.g. in association with c-jun/CREB/RUNX2/steroid receptors on chromatin) and cytoplasm for functions. In the cytoplasm, a dominant role for HDAC6 in regulating hsp90 chaperone function has been noted in AML cell lines as well (Fig. 4b, and refs. 31-36).

To provide additional evidence for a role of p52 NF-κB in repressing DAPK1, knockdown experiments in MV-4-11 cells were undertaken (Fig. 4c-e). RNAi-mediated knockdown of p52NF-κB, or its upstream activator NIK, caused an increase in DAPK1 protein expression by 2-fold (Fig. 4c &d). As expected, knockdown of either JNK1 or Flt3 in MV-4-11 downregulated DAPK1 levels by 40% or 60%, respectively (Fig.4e) similar to the data shown in Fig 1c. Therefore, DAPK1 was derepressed following the knockdown of p52NF-κB when JNK1 arm of Flt3 signaling is sustained (Fig. 4). In addition, the identification of severe DAPK1 repression (Figs. 2, 3d) is consistent with the relationship between p52NF-κB and DAPK1 in MV-4-11.

Discussion

Genome-wide sequencing studies to identify gene mutations among the majority
of solid tumors can be compared to similar screens performed with AML. Such comparison suggests that the mutational complexity of adult AML is modest and may involve 10-to-300-fold lower numbers of mutated genes (37-42). In the case of normal karyotype AMLs with Flt3ITD mutation, only 10-14 genes have been found mutated and the important founder mutations appear to involve not only the Flt3 and NPM1 genes, but also epigenetically-active enzymes (39-42). Perhaps because of the involvement of intrinsic epigenetic mechanisms in many examples of the heterogeneous disease process, clinical use of single-agent Flt3-selective tyrosine kinase inhibitors has not demonstrated truly significant disease-free survival in most Flt3ITD+ve AML patients.

As the epigenetic signature of AMLs is robust, particularly in the setting of Flt3ITD mutation (41-43), we postulated that the unique signaling pathway used by Flt3ITD may contribute to those “stress-induced” steps involved in epigenetic reprogramming in Flt3ITD+ve AMLs (12, 17, 18). We further postulated TAK1, which is overexpressed in a poor-risk AML LSC signature (22), may contribute to p52NF-κB -induced epigenetic silencing, as similar to the ability for Evi-1 to attract a cis-localized epigenetic apparatus to distinct genes in an AML subgroup (44). Indeed, our data point to the existence of an instructive mechanism (as opposed to a “stochastic” origin) for transcriptional repression of certain tumor suppressor genes, as demonstrated here for DAPK1. DAPK1 is regulated by a binary cis-element consisting of CRE/jun and -κB sites (12, 13). These sites are subject to dominant-negative regulation by p52NF-κB and HDAC2/HDAC6 under the Flt3-/TAK1 pathway (Figs 3, 4, 5). Inhibition of p52NF-κB caused a de-repression of DAPK1.

Very little is known about the role of p52NF-κB as a regulator of gene expression in AML (45). In one study, epigenetic repression of another tumor suppressor gene, DIF2 was indirectly linked to the activity of p52NF-κB. There has also been inadequate explanation for the severe reduction of DAPK1 expression in poor-risk AML. In fact, previous studies have identified much lower levels of DAPK1 promoter hypermethylation than would have been expected of the observed extent of transcriptional repression in AML (16, 41-43, 46, 47). This is in contrast to MLL-r infant ALL, where the DAPK1 repression was associated with its promoter hypermethylation (19). In this report we demonstrate that for the subset of AML’s characterized by Flt3ITD, often with additional NPM1 and/or IDH1/2 or DNMT3 mutation, upstream
signals may provide the stimulus for p52NF-κB, and its partner rel B, to attract HDACs and possibly other repressors to specific gene promoters to enforce a repression (12, 47).

The Flt3-/TAK1- dependent activation of IKK's/NF-κB and JNK1 that we identified in this study is pertinent to activation of these latter two crucial downstream tumor effectors. TAK1 may also bear upon other anti-apoptotic participants, including AMP kinase, which is activated by TAK1 for additional anti-apoptotic function in the TRAIL pathway (48, 49). Although TAK1 has more frequently been associated with the canonical NF-κB pathway rather than the NIK- dependent non-canonical pathway we identified, there is ample precedent that TAK1 can activate NIK, and that TAK1/TRAF6-ubiquitin conjugates activate IKKa as well as IKKβ (28, 50).

The data we report has also implications for the design of a mechanistically-driven therapeutic regimen-to inhibit Flt3ITD+ve AML. DAPK1 suppression in context of Flt3ITD activation of p52NF-κB implies that one criterion for selection of tyrosine kinase inhibitors, classified as Flt3 inhibitors, is in their ability to inhibit p52NF-κB activation in this subgroup of AMLs. In turn, HDAC inhibitors contribute independently toward DAPK1 derepression for its participation in ER stress apoptosis. Bortezomib is also known to inhibit steps required for proteasomal activation of p52NF-κB (51) This suggests a synergistic potential for combining these drug classes in “targeted” therapy of Flt3ITD+ve AML(Fig. 5). Indeed, we have both in vitro and in vivo evidence that such an approach may enforce apoptosis via enhanced ER stress following DAPK1 derepression in association with p52NF-κB depletion by the combination of a Flt3 inhibitor and an HDAC inhibitor in Flt3ITD+ve AML (H Sayar et al. in preparation).

Finally, the enzymatic activity of the co-oncogene PIN1(peptidyl prolylisomerase 1), required for tyrosine kinase-mediated JNK/c-jun signaling in breast cancer and AML, is abrogated by DAPK1-mediated phosphorylation on serine 71 of PIN1(52-54). Thus, the pathophysiologic significance of DAPK1 repression in these malignancies is further emphasized, along with the therapeutic strategy for combining TKI and HDAC inhibitor.
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Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Down regulation of DAPK1 expression in MV-4-11 cell line that bears Flt3ITD, and series of primary AML blasts with normal karyotypes and Flt3ITD, as compared to FltITD-ve AMLs. Western blot analyses were performed. A) Flt3ITD+ve AML 2797 and FltITD-ve AMLs-#2930 and 2993 demonstrated correlation of TAK1 phosphorylation levels with phosphorylation status of the secondary (TAK1-JNK1) downstream transcription factor target c-jun. Also, DAPK1 (a c-jun target gene) levels were analyzed in these samples: lower expression levels are found in the Flt3ITD+ve AML 2797 (denoted in two places by asterisk) (1.5-fold and >6-fold reduction) with strong TAK1 activation, when compared with FltITD-ve AMLs-#2930 and 2993 respectively. B) DAPK1 levels were quantified densitometrically in Flt3ITD+ AMLs and MV-4-11 cell line: for #2841, 3-to-4-fold higher compared with 2797 and 2854, respectively, as well as 1.5-fold higher vs. 2857). C) DAPK1 promoter activity is significantly augmented 2-fold by c-jun (* p<0.004) in MV-4-11 cells. DAPK1 promoter vector with a mutated CRE site showed reduced activity (** p<0.03). Data represent mean ±SE replicate determinations, from 3 experiments. Results of Western blotting of MV-4-11 cells treated with RNAi for Flt3 or JNK1, compared to non-targeting control siRNA. Knockdown of Flt3 ITD reduces p-jun activation (by 96%) and DAPK1 and bcl-2 expression by 95% and 60%, respectively. RNAi-mediated knockdown of JNK1 (45%), reduces p-jun levels by 45%, and DAPK1 and bcl-2 levels by 40% and 45%, respectively.

Fig. 2. A dichotomy exists in Meis1, c-jun, and relB expression (which are known to
be c-jun-dependent) vs. DAPK1, (the dually-responsive c-jun/NFκB-dependent gene) in patient groups with normal karyotype Flt3ITD or MLL translocation.

A). Expression levels of DAPK1, is significantly different in NK Flt3 ITD or MLL translocation AML’s when compared with cases without Flt3ITD or nonrandom cytogenetic abnormalities. B) In normal karyotype Flt3ITD and the tMLL AML group the expression ratios of DAPK1 to c-jun were statistically less compared with other cytogenetic/genotype categories, but there was no difference in the ratios of Meis1 to jun.

Fig. 3. In Flt3ITD+ve AMLs Flt3-to-TAK1 pathway is involved in establishing an effector apparatus involving p52NFκB for DAPK1 repression, whereas primary Flt3ITD-ve AMLs with high DAPK1 expression lack nuclear p52NFκB. Flt3 knockdown blocked TAK1 activating phosphorylation (78% and 53% by densitometry, respectively) and led to NIK degradation, which inhibits the activation of non-canonical NFκB (panel a). Panels b and c: (b) JNK1 and TAK1 knockdown lead to diminution of c-jun phosphorylation by 100% and 80%, respectively. (c): control MV-4-11 cells (NT siRNA x2), nuclear fraction is characterized by dominant expression levels of p52NFκB and relB, but not p65NFκB. Flt3, TAK1, or NIK knock down lead to diminution of nuclear (N) p52NFκB levels (by 46%, 73%, or 76%, respectively) (panel 1). (Panel 2), JNK1 knockdown led to diminution of p52NFκB levels (by 76%). Flt3 knockdown also reduced overall p52NFκB levels (by 50%) (panel 1). D) Nuclear translocation of p52NFκB is dominant among NKFlt3ITD+ve AML with DAPK1 repression ((#2797, 49%; #2854, 100%; #2857, 70%; #2874, 100%; #2969, 35%; #2999, 50%). Note that ITD+ve sample #2999 was exposed on the same blot with ITD-ve #2993 and 2930, with no nuclear p52NFκB).

Fig. 4. ChIP analyses demonstrate that the tandem CRE and NF-κB sites of the proximal DAPK1 promoter are occupied by c-jun and to greater extent, by p52NFκB and HDAC2: A& B) Typical PCR patterns obtained in ChIP assays with DAPKI-specific primers in MV-4-11 cells were shown. For input control reactions, one-fifth of the soluble chromatin used for the ChIP analysis was employed. In each case thirty cycles of PCR were performed. Non specific IgG, HDAC1, HDAC2, p52 NF-κB and c-jun, as well as HDAC5, HDAC11, and HDAC6 IgGs were used at 5 μg each/reaction.
Real-time PCR analysis of DAPK1 promoter fragments recovered in ChIP assays performed with the indicated antibodies. Each bar represents the mean abundance of DAPK1 promoter fragments for specific antibody when compared with non-specific IgG. SE of 6 separate reactions from 2 independent experiments were shown. ‘***’ p-value, <0.001, ‘**’ p-value <0.01 and ‘*’ p-value <0.05. RNAi-mediated knockdown of p52NFκB or NIK (panels c&d) upregulated DAPK1 expression. Flt3 or JNK1 knockdown reduce phospho-c-jun and DAPK1 levels by 60%, 40%, respectively (panels c, d, e).

Fig.5. **A model for the repression of DAPK1 by Flt3ITD-induced signals.** Whereas c-jun elicited by Flt3ITD/JNK1 drives DAPK1 transcription. This activity is blocked by p52NFκB which recruits HDAC2/HDAC6. Derepression of DAPK1 can be achieved by use of TKI/Flt3 inhibitor, especially in combination with HDAC inhibitor (eg. SAHA/Vorinostat) and/or Bortezomib as a proteasomal inhibitor of p52NF-κB.
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Tumor suppressor induction by oncogenic transcription factor c-jun can lead to tumor senescence/apoptosis. Epigenetic down-regulation of its target tumor suppressor gene DAPK1 (via Flt3ITD-TAK1-p52NFkB/HDAC) in that context will facilitate Flt3ITD+ve AML progression as well as hinder chemosensitivity.
A non-canonical Flt3ITD/ NF-κB signaling pathway represses DAPK1 in acute myeloid leukemia (AML)
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