Phase-I study of vismodegib in children with recurrent or refractory medulloblastoma: a Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium (PBTC) study
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TRANSLATIONAL RELEVANCE

The smoothened inhibitor vismodegib has antitumor activity in adults. However, its effects in children have not been thoroughly assessed, and preclinical results suggest that the drug may cause growth defects in bone and teeth. In this Phase-I study, we examined the safety, efficacy, toxicity, and pharmacokinetics of vismodegib in pediatric patients with recurrent medulloblastoma. Molecular heterogeneity within SHH medulloblastoma subtype, namely GLI or MYCN amplifications or SUFU, MLL2, P53, and SMO mutations, may have resulted in the observed variable efficacy of vismodegib. We detected no deleterious effects on bone growth. Ongoing Phase-II studies of recurrent SHH medulloblastoma in adults and children will further elucidate the efficacy and chronic toxicity of vismodegib.

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To investigate the safety, dose-limiting toxicities, and pharmacokinetics of the smoothened inhibitor vismodegib in children with refractory or relapsed medulloblastoma.

Patients and Methods: Initially, vismodegib was administered daily at 85 mg/m² and escalated to 170 mg/m². The study was then revised to investigate a flat-dosing schedule of 150 mg for patients with small body surface area (BSA, 0.67-1.32 m²) or 300 mg for those who were larger (BSA, 1.33-2.20 m²). Pharmacokinetics were performed during the first course of therapy, and the right knees of all patients were imaged to monitor bone toxicity. Immunohistochemical analysis was done to identify patients with SHH-subtype medulloblastoma.

Results: Thirteen eligible patients were enrolled on the initial study: 6 received 85 mg/m² vismodegib, and 7 received 170 mg/m². Twenty eligible patients were enrolled on the flat-dosing part of the study: 10 at each dosage level. Three dose-limiting toxicities were observed, but no
drug-related bone toxicity was documented. The median (range) vismodegib penetration in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) was 0.53 (0.26-0.78), when expressed as a ratio of the concentration of vismodegib in the CSF to that of the unbound drug in plasma. Antitumor activity was seen in 1 of 3 patients with SHH-subtype disease whose tumors were evaluable and in none of the patients in the other subgroups.

**Conclusions:** Vismodegib was well tolerated in children with recurrent or refractory medulloblastoma; only 2 dose-limiting toxicities were observed with flat dosing. The recommended Phase-II study dose is 150 mg or 300 mg, depending on the patient’s BSA.
INTRODUCTION

The Sonic Hedgehog (SHH) pathway is activated in some familial and sporadic medulloblastomas; thus, stratifying this molecular subtype for targeted therapies may be possible(1). SHH-subtype medulloblastoma was distinguished based on the presence of RNAs whose expression increases after SHH-pathway activation (2,3). A set of mutations, primarily loss of patched-1 (PTCH1), gain-of-function in smoothened (SMO), and loss of suppressor-of-fused (SUFU), account for approximately half of SHH-medulloblastoma cases. In contrast, WNT-subtype medulloblastoma expresses target genes characteristic of WNT-pathway activation, and most harbor activating mutations in β-catenin (4,5). Recently, DNA-sequencing approaches identified many other, sometimes overlapping, mutations in medulloblastoma (6-9).

The first small-molecule inhibitor of the SHH pathway identified was the teratogen cyclopamine, which causes developmental abnormalities by inhibiting SMO, a membrane-associated protein that functions downstream of PTCH1 in the SHH pathway (10,11). This led to cell-based screens for other SMO inhibitors with greater efficacy and reduced toxicity that could be developed as potential therapeutics (12,13). The generation of a mouse model of Gorlin syndrome (14) and that of a high-incidence, early-onset model of medulloblastoma (15) permitted preclinical studies that demonstrated remarkable efficacy of the tool compound HhAntag (16). These findings stimulated further medicinal chemistry leading to the first-in-class compound GDC-0449 (vismodegib) (17) that was entered into advanced solid tumor clinical trials (18). Several SMO inhibitors are currently being tested in the Phase-I and II setting against various tumor types (1,19).

In a Phase-I trial of vismodegib for advanced, metastatic solid tumors, patients with basal cell carcinoma (BCC) showed a 60% response rate (18). The only other response, albeit transient
and incomplete, occurred in a patient with metastatic medulloblastoma (20). The common feature of these tumors is the presence of activating mutations in the SHH pathway (1). The metastatic medulloblastoma eventually relapsed due to a SMO mutation that abrogated vismodegib binding (21). On the basis of these data, we designed a Phase-I trial to determine the toxicity, pharmacokinetics, and recommended Phase-II dosage of vismodegib in pediatric patients with refractory or recurrent medulloblastoma. In light of preclinical data indicating that SMO inhibitors cause growth defects in the bones and teeth of mice (22,23), this trial was designed to detect developmental toxicities that are unique to children.
PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

Eligible patients were 3 to 21 years old, had a histologically verified diagnosis of medulloblastoma that was recurrent, progressive, or refractory to standard therapy and had a Karnofsky or Lansky score of 60 or higher documented within 2 weeks of registration. The initial trial required a body surface area (BSA) that was no more than 2.0 m²; the flat-dosing trial required that BSA be 0.67 to 2.5 m². Patients were eligible if they had stable neurological deficits for at least 1 week prior to enrolling, had recovered from previous treatment-related toxicity, and had not received any of the following treatments during the given period before study entry: growth factors within 1 week, myelosuppressive chemotherapy or immunotherapy within 4 weeks (6 weeks if nitrosourea), craniospinal irradiation within 3 months, local radiotherapy to the primary tumor within 8 weeks, or focal irradiation for symptomatic metastatic sites within 2 weeks.

Pregnant patients and those with clinically significant, unrelated systemic illness were excluded. Patients were not allowed to take any other anticancer or investigational drug while on the study. Other requirements included adequate functioning of bone marrow (peripheral ANC ≥1000/µL, platelet count ≥100,000/µL, and transfusion-independent hemoglobin ≥8.0 g/dL), kidneys [serum creatinine ≤1.5× upper-normal limit (UNL) for age or GFR ≥70 mL/min per 1.73 m²), liver (total bilirubin ≤1.5× institutional UNL for age, ALT and AST, ≤2.5× institutional UNL for age, and albumin ≥2.5 g/dL). All patients with child-bearing potential were required to use 2 forms of contraception, including 1 barrier method, during their participation in the study and for 12 months thereafter. Informed consent was obtained from patients, parents, or guardians; assent was obtained, as appropriate, at the time of enrollment. The institutional review
boards of each Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium (PBTC) institution approved the protocol before patient enrollment, and continuing approval was maintained throughout the study. All data were managed per the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996.

Study Design

Vismodegib was supplied by Genentech (San Francisco, CA) and distributed by NCI’s Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program. Initially, Genentech produced vismodegib as 25- and 150-mg capsules. In the initial study, 2 doses were used: 85 mg/m² and 170 mg/m² (rounded to the nearest 25 mg), and each course was 28 days long. Genentech then ceased to manufacture the 25-mg capsule, so we revised the protocol. In the second study, a flat-dosing schema was used: smaller patients (BSA, 0.67-1.32 m²) received 150 mg/day, and larger patients (BSA, 1.33-2.2 m²) received 300 mg/day.

The original PBTC025 (NCT00822458) study was designed to determine a dosage for the subsequent Phase-II trial based on a comparison of the 95% confidence interval estimated for the Day 21 mean steady-state concentration (C_{ss}) of total vismodegib (protein-bound and unbound) in plasma of each cohort, provided that both dosages were safe. Once the 25-mg capsules were no longer available, the recommended BSA-adjusted dosages were not identical to their assigned dosages, and large deviations from the targeted level of 170 mg/m² were unavoidable (Fig. 1). Our flat-dosing strategy (Supplementary Table S1) was devised to minimize this deviation. Patients could receive as many as 26 courses in the absence of disease progression.

Dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) was defined as any of the following events that were at least possibly related to the study drug and occurred during the first 28 days on study.
Hematologic DLT was defined as grade-4 neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, or grade-3 thrombocytopenia requiring transfusions on more than 2 occasions during a treatment course. Nonhematologic DLT was defined as any grade-4 nonhematologic toxicity attributable to vismodegib treatment and most grade-3 nonhematologic toxicities, excluding the following conditions: grade-3 nausea and vomiting for 5 days or less despite treatment with appropriate antiemetics, grade-3 ALT or AST that returns to levels that meet eligibility criteria within 5 days of vismodegib interruption and do not recur upon rechallenge, grade-3 fever or infection for no more than 5 days, grade-3 hypophosphatemia, hypokalemia, hyponatremia, or hypomagnesemia responsive to oral supplementation. DLTs were graded according to the NCI Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (version 4.0).

Pretreatment evaluations included a history, physical examination, dental evaluation, knee magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), performance status, disease evaluation, CBC, electrolyte measurement, renal and liver function tests, and pregnancy tests for female patients of child-bearing age. CBC, electrolytes, and renal and liver functions were tested weekly during the first course and monthly prior to starting subsequent courses. History and physical examination were obtained prior to each course. Disease evaluations, dental evaluation, and MRIs of the knee were performed every 3 months and at the end of therapy. Contraceptive methods were confirmed and documented, and the teratogenic potential of vismodegib was explained prior to each course.

Tumor responses were defined as follows: Complete response – no measurable lesions on MRI. If disease was detected in the CSF before treatment, the CSF must be negative. Partial response – at least 50% reduction in tumor area compared to baseline measurements. Stable disease – MRI features that do not meet the criteria for partial response or progressive disease.
Progressive disease – more than 25% increase in tumor area compared to the smallest area measured since protocol therapy initiation or the detection of a new lesion. Patients whose disease response was based on imaging received a stable or decreasing dose of corticosteroids, had to show improved neurologic function, and the response had to be maintained for at least 8 weeks.

Pharmacokinetics

Mandatory pharmacokinetics were conducted in all patients, beginning on Day 1 of the first course: blood samples were collected before the first vismodegib dose and at 2, 8, 24, 48, 72, and either 96, 120, or 144 hours thereafter. Vismodegib was withheld on Days 2 and 3 to obtain samples for pharmacokinetic analysis. Blood samples were also obtained prior to the vismodegib dose on Days 14 and 21 of the first course. Blood samples were centrifuged, and the plasma was stored at –80 °C until the total- and unbound-drug concentrations were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (24). Plasma samples were prepared for unbound-drug analysis using a 96-well equilibrium dialyzer (Harvard Apparatus, 74-2330, Holliston, MA). Pharmacokinetics of total and unbound drug consisted of time to maximum plasma concentration (T_{max}) and maximum plasma concentration (C_{max}) on Day 1, area under the concentration-time curve (AUC_{0→72}), and the C_{ss} was obtained prior to the dose on Day 21 (i.e., C_{ss} trough). Oral clearance was estimated using standard, noncompartmental methods. Consenting patients with ventricular-access devices provided simultaneous ventricular CSF and plasma samples at 1, 3, and 8 hours after the first vismodegib dose. CSF vismodegib concentrations were analyzed via the same method as plasma vismodegib.
concentrations (24). The ratio of vismodegib $AUC_{0\to8}$ in CSF to that in plasma (total or unbound) was used as a measure of drug penetration.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed per established protocols with antibodies against GFAP (M0761; 1:250; Dako, Carpinteria, CA), synaptophysin (NCL-L-Synap-299; 1:400; Leica Microsystems, Buffalo Grove, IL), NEU-N (MAB377; 1:10,000; Chemicon, Temecula CA), $p27^{kip1}$ (M7203; 1:50; Dako), and Ki-67 (M7240; 1:200; Dako). Molecular subgroups of disease (SHH, WNT, and non-SHH/WNT) were disclosed using 4 antibodies, as described previously (25).

**Magnetic Resonance Imaging**

A noncontrast MRI of the right knee was obtained within 4 weeks of starting therapy, every 3 months thereafter, and at the end of therapy to assess drug-related bone toxicity. The following MRI sequences were used: coronal fat-saturated T1 spin echo, sagittal fat-saturated proton density, and 3-dimensional double-echo steady state.

**RESULTS**

**Patient Characteristics**

Of the 34 patients who enrolled, 33 met the eligibility criteria (Table 1). Thirteen patients participated in the initial cohort, and 20 in the flat-dosing cohort. The median number of courses administered was 2 (range, 1-26).
Toxicity

Twenty-seven of 33 (81.8%) patients were evaluable for DLT (Table 2). A list of adverse events and toxicities that occurred in more than 20% of the patients is provided (Supplementary Table S2). Of the 6 patients who were not evaluable, 5 experienced progressive disease during the first course, and 1 withdrew from the study. No drug-related dental abnormalities were observed.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Thirty-three patients underwent 72 MRIs of their knees. All studies were centrally reviewed; 1 was deemed nonanalyzable. Thirteen patients underwent 1 study, and 20 completed multiple studies (range, 2-10 studies). The median MRI follow-up was 8 months (range, 0.5-26 months). At baseline, 23 patients were classified as Laor grade-1 (immature skeletal stage); 3 as Laor grade-2; 5 as Laor grade-3; 1 as Laor grade-4; and 1 patient lacked baseline information (26).

At the time of initial MRI, femoral cartilaginous clefts were identified in 6 patients; 2 developed after study enrollment. Tibial cartilaginous clefts were noted in 3 patients; 2 were present at study entry, and 1 was transient. Femoral bone bridges were noted in 4 patients: 2 were present at study entry, and 2 developed during follow-up at 2 and 13 months, respectively. Tibial bone bridges were noted in 5 patients: 3 were present at study entry, and 2 were seen on follow-up MRIs. Focal physeal thickening was present in 2 patients at study entry; 1 had the appearance of possible prior trauma. Focal femoral physeal thickness increased by 2 mm medially in 1 patient.
Additional baseline findings were as follows. Six patients had femoral osteonecrosis in the following regions: epiphyseal (n=4), metaphyseal (n=3), and diaphyseal (n=3). Four patients also had tibial osteonecrosis in the same regions: epiphyseal (n=2), metaphyseal (n=1), and diaphyseal (n=1). Four patients had nonossifying fibromas (2 were present at study entry; 1 showed evidence of healing; and 1 progressed over time). One patient had widespread metastatic disease at baseline. Six patients had increased joint fluid, which was present in 3 patients at study entry. Two patients had intra-articular inflammation, which was present in 1 patient at study entry.

Pharmacokinetics

Serial plasma samples were collected from all patients. Day 21 vismodegib $C_{ss}$ differed between the 2 initial dosage groups (Fig. 2E-F). The vismodegib $AUC_{0\rightarrow72}$ data are provided (Supplementary Fig. S1), as are the pharmacokinetics for total vismodegib (Table 3) and unbound vismodegib (Supplementary Table S3).

In the CSF samples available from 3 patients, the median (range) of drug penetration was 0.0026 (0.0014-0.0062), when expressed as a ratio of CSF vismodegib to the total concentration in plasma and 0.53 (0.26-0.78), when expressed as a ratio of CSF vismodegib to that of unbound drug in plasma. Total vismodegib plasma concentrations were correlated with alpha-1-acid glycoprotein (AAGP) concentrations measured in the same sample ($R^2 = 0.38$) (Supplementary Fig. S2).

Identification of SHH-Subtype Medulloblastoma
Four patients had inadequate tissue available to determine their medulloblastoma subtype. Analysis of the 29 tissue samples identified SHH (n=7), WNT (n=1), and non-SHH/WNT (n=21) medulloblastomas (Fig. 3). Classic, anaplastic, and large-cell histologic features were found in WNT and non-SHH/WNT medulloblastomas (25). Nodular desmoplastic histology was present only in the SHH subgroup.

**Tumor Response**

Of the 7 patients with SHH medulloblastoma, 3 had evaluable disease and were treated with the recommended Phase-II study dosage. One patient had a complete response, which was not sustained for 8 weeks; the other 2 experienced no response. Their durations of therapy were 22, 25, and 168 days, respectively. Of the remaining patients with SHH medulloblastoma, 3 had evaluable disease and were treated in the initial cohort for 7, 52, and 500 days, respectively. One patient with SHH-subtype did not have evaluable disease and was on therapy for 92 days. None of the 13 patients in the other medulloblastoma subgroups who were evaluable and treated with the Phase-II study dosage responded; their median duration of therapy was 41 days (range, 6-217 days).

**DISCUSSION**

Targeted anticancer therapies induce remission or prolong stabilization of disease with less toxicity than conventional chemotherapy (27,28). *PTCH* mutations leading to constitutive activation of the SHH pathway are present in a subgroup of SHH medulloblastoma (7). Agents that specifically block downstream signaling of this pathway could regress tumors, thereby resulting in a novel approach to treat this SHH-subtype (29). Such therapy could ameliorate the
late toxicities of current treatments that use craniospinal irradiation in conjunction with cytotoxic chemotherapy (30).

An adult Phase-I study of vismodegib, which included 33 patients with BCC and 1 with medulloblastoma, used 3 dosage levels: 150, 270, and 540 mg/day. Six patients experienced grade-4 toxicity, and 19 experienced grade-3 events most commonly involving hyponatremia, fatigue, and abdominal pain. The recommended Phase-II dosage was 150 mg/day; tumor responses were seen in 19 patients with BCC and in the patient with medulloblastoma (unconfirmed). The investigators demonstrated GLI1 down modulation in noninvolved skin and concluded that vismodegib had an acceptable safety profile and encouraging antitumor activity in BCC (31,32). A subsequent multicenter, 2-cohort, nonrandomized Phase-II study enrolled patients with metastatic or locally advanced BCC. The response rates of the 2 cohorts were 30% and 43%. Adverse events occurred in more than 30% of patients, and serious adverse events occurred in 25%; grade-3 or -4 adverse events included muscle spasms, weight loss, fatigue, and loss of appetite (33).

Here we showed that treatment with vismodegib is safe and feasible in pediatric patients. Only 3 patients experienced DLTs. Despite the preclinical model’s prediction of bone and dental toxicity, neither was detected. Several patients were exposed to steroids during the perioperative period, and baseline MRIs showed osteonecrotic changes due to prior therapy. We will continue to document the effects of prolonged exposure to vismodegib in our ongoing Phase-II studies.

The pharmacokinetics of vismodegib in children is comparable to that in adults. In our study, vismodegib had a unique oral absorption characterized by little decline in the plasma concentrations over 72 hours after a single dose. A recent study by Graham and colleagues showed that the primary determinants of vismodegib disposition in adults include solubility-
limited absorption after oral administration, limited metabolic elimination, and interactions with AAGP (34). We also observed a relation between AAGP and total plasma vismodegib concentrations. Unlike what was observed in adults, the vismodegib systemic exposure in pediatric patients, whether measured by AUC\textsubscript{0→72}, \(C_{\text{max}}\), or Day 21 \(C_{\text{ss}}\), was higher in those who received the 170 mg/m\(^2\) dosage. Wong and colleagues conducted preclinical pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic studies of vismodegib and set a target unbound concentration in plasma (0.042–0.068 \(\mu\)M) to meet or exceed the free IC\textsubscript{95} for GLI1 inhibition and ensure maximal clinical benefit (35). At Day 21, the median concentration in each of our dosage groups exceeded that target; with a CSF penetration of 0.53, CSF vismodegib concentrations probably did also. However, we observed substantial interpatient variability in all aspects of vismodegib disposition, including CSF penetration.

Prospectively selecting patients for targeted therapies is a challenge, especially patients with CNS tumors. The most accurate method to classify tumors is RNA-expression profiling, yet fresh tumor samples are not always available at diagnosis or relapse. We used IHC to detect proteins encoded by genes that would be expressed based on the RNA-expression data. IHC is a robust approach to distinguishing WNT and SHH medulloblastomas, but it cannot reliably distinguish Groups 3 and 4, which we pooled as non-SHH/WNT subtype (25). Further confirmation by other methods is required in prospective studies (3). The ideal method would be one that uses fixed tissues to ensure the inclusion of all patients.

Vismodegib demonstrated antitumor activity in 1 patient with SHH medulloblastoma and evaluable disease treated with the Phase-II dosage. This finding is consistent with responses seen in adults with BCC and a single case of an adult with metastatic medulloblastoma outside the CNS (18,20). The mechanism of resistance was an acquired mutation in the SMO receptor that
prevented binding of vismodegib (21). The lack of tumor tissue at the time of disease progression prevented us from studying the mechanism of resistance in our patients. Rapidly evolving data from recent whole-genome-sequencing studies suggest molecular heterogeneity within SHH medulloblastoma: GLI or MYCN amplifications or SUFU, MLL2, P53, and SMO mutations could explain the lack of efficacy seen with vismodegib in all SHH medulloblastomas (7-9,36). Our data demonstrate the safety, feasibility, pharmacokinetics, and early efficacy of vismodegib in pediatric patients with recurrent medulloblastoma. Ongoing Phase-II studies of recurrent SHH medulloblastoma in adults and children will further elucidate the efficacy and chronic toxicity of vismodegib. Further understanding of the biology of SHH medulloblastoma may justify combination of SMO antagonists with conventional chemotherapy or other targeted agents in future clinical protocols.
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Table 1. Characteristics of Patients with Medulloblastoma on a Phase-I Trial of Vismodegib

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Initial cohort (n=13)</th>
<th>Flat-dosing cohort (n=20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio (male:female)</td>
<td>10:3</td>
<td>15:5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at study entry (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>4.4-21</td>
<td>3.9-20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histologic features</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classic</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmoplastic/nodular</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large cell</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate tumor sample</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy and RT</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy, RT, and BMT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. courses of vismodegib</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1-26</td>
<td>1-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** BMT, bone marrow transplantation; RT, radiotherapy
Table 2. Dose-Limiting Toxicity (DLT) of Vismodegib in Patients with Medulloblastoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort/dose</th>
<th>No. patients enrolled</th>
<th>No. patients evaluable for DLT</th>
<th>No. patients with DLT</th>
<th>DLT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initial cohort</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 mg/m²</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Grade-3 γ-glutamyl transferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170 mg/m²</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flat-dosing cohort</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 mg</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Grade-4 hypokalemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 mg</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Grade-3 thrombocytopenia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Pharmacokinetics of Total Plasma Vismodegib in Pediatric Patients with Medulloblastoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Actual dosage (mg/m²/d)</th>
<th>T_{max} (h)</th>
<th>C_{max} (µM)</th>
<th>AUC₀→₇₂ (µM•h)</th>
<th>Css † (µM)</th>
<th>CL/F (L/h/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85 mg/m²/d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>80 (77-92)</td>
<td>59.8 (8.2-75.7)</td>
<td>6.3 (1.9-10.2)</td>
<td>394 (134-564)</td>
<td>12.9 (11.6-24.2)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.38-0.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170 mg/m²/d</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>163 (154-170)</td>
<td>8.1 (1.0-74.4)</td>
<td>10.4 (4.9-20.9)</td>
<td>660 (255-1336)</td>
<td>19.5 (18.4-36.5)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.44-0.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 mg/d</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>158 (130-221)</td>
<td>23.5 (1.9-75.0)</td>
<td>8.8 (4.8-27.2)</td>
<td>501 (315-1644)</td>
<td>16.8 (12.5-38.4)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.48-1.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 mg/d</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>183 (149-222)</td>
<td>37.3 (2.1-71.7)</td>
<td>7.0 (2.1-22.0)</td>
<td>460 (77-1022)</td>
<td>24.4 (11.4-41.9)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.42-1.38)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*C_{max} was measured on Day 1 of vismodegib course.
†Css was measured on Day 21 of vismodegib course.

**Abbreviations:** AUC₀→₇₂, area under the plasma concentration–time curve during the first 72 hours after administration; CL/F, total clearance of drug from plasma; C_{max}, maximum drug concentration in plasma; Css, steady-state concentration of drug in plasma; T_{max}, time to reach maximum drug concentration in plasma after administration.
Figure Legends

Fig 1: Variations in target doses of vismodegib reflect interpatient differences in body surface area (BSA). Deliverable doses vary from targeted doses due to BSA-based dosing and limited drug formulation. Orange symbols (+) represent the initial cohort who received 25-mg capsules, and the black symbols (○) represent the flat-dosing cohort who received 150-mg capsules. Dosing strategy was based on minimizing the difference between the deliverable and targeted doses. Variation from the targeted doses was substantially less in the initial study than in the flat-dosing trial due to the initial availability of the 25-mg capsules. With a targeted dose of 170 mg/m² in the flat-dosing cohort, BSA ranges were chosen to minimize the difference between the targeted and the deliverable doses. Individual patient BSAs are plotted just above the X-axis.

Fig. 2. Pharmacokinetics of vismodegib after a 28-day administration of 85 or 170 mg/m². Plasma concentrations of total vismodegib after 85 mg/m² (A) or 170 mg/m² (C) and unbound vismodegib after 85 mg/m² (B) or 170 mg/m² (D) are shown for each patient. (E) The medians and scatter of steady-state plasma concentration (Cₚₛ) were also measured on Day 21. The total vismodegib Cₚₛ (E) and unbound vismodegib Cₚₛ (F) significantly differed between the 2 groups (Mann-Whitney; p=0.026 and p=0.022, respectively).

Fig. 3. Diagram of medulloblastoma (MB) characteristics, as determined by immunohistochemistry and histologic analyses. Immunohistochemical analysis grouped samples as SHH, WNT, or non-SSH/WNT tumors. Histologic categories included anaplastic (AN), classic (CL), desmoplastic nodular (D/N), large-cell (LC), or nonspecified (ns) tumors.
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