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ABSTRACT

Purpose: African Americans (AAs) have the highest incidence of colorectal cancer (CRC) compared to other US populations and more proximal CRCs. The objective is to elucidate the basis of these cancer disparities.

Experimental design: 566 AA and 328 Non-Hispanic White (NHW) CRCs were ascertained in five Chicago hospitals. Clinical and exposure data were collected. Microsatellite instability and \(BRAF\) (V600E) and \(KRAS\) mutations were tested. Statistical significance of categorical variables was tested by Fisher’s exact test or logistic regression and age by Mann-Whitney U test.

Results: Over a ten-year period, the median age at diagnosis significantly decreased for both AAs (68 to 61; \(P<0.01\)) and NHWs (64.5 to 62; \(P=0.04\)); more AA patients were diagnosed before age 50 than NHWs (22% vs 15%; \(P=0.01\)). AAs had more proximal CRC than NHWs (49.5% vs. 33.7%; \(P<0.01\)), but overall frequencies of microsatellite instability, \(BRAF\) and \(KRAS\) mutations were not different nor were they different by location in the colon. Proximal CRCs often presented with lymphocytic infiltrate (\(P<0.01\)) and were diagnosed at older ages (\(P=0.02\)). Smoking, drinking, and obesity were less common in this group, but results were not statistically significant.

Conclusions: Patients with CRC have gotten progressively younger. The excess of CRC in AAs predominantly consists of more proximal, microsatellite
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stable tumors, commonly presenting lymphocytic infiltrate and less often associated with toxic exposures or a higher BMI. Younger AAs had more distal CRCs than older ones. These data suggest two different mechanisms driving younger age and proximal location of CRCs in AAs.

Key words: Colorectal cancer, African Americans, Microsatellite instability
Statement of translational relevance

African Americans (AAs) disproportionately die from colorectal cancer (CRC), and health disparities compared with whites are not well understood. We show that a very significant number of AAs are diagnosed before age 50 compared with whites, and their tumors are usually more advanced at diagnosis. Furthermore, in AAs there is a significant excess of proximal CRCs, consisting of microsatellite stable tumors commonly exhibiting lymphocytic infiltrate and less often associated with smoking, drinking, or higher BMI. Because proximal CRCs are associated with higher missed tumor rates on colonoscopy and increased risk of interval cancers, we believe clinical practice should be changed to screen AAs at earlier ages and to lower the threshold for performing diagnostic tests when suspicious symptoms present in young AAs. Specific screening and diagnostic approaches will help eliminate CRC health disparities in AAs.
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Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) represented 9% of all diagnosed cancers in the US in 2012(1) but incidence rates had started a slow and steady decline almost thirty years ago, even before the generalization of CRC screening(2). In contrast to the general decline, Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) data have shown that since 1992 CRC incidence rates are increasing among adults younger than 50(3). Some studies have suggested that young-onset CRC seems to disproportionally affect non-white, underinsured patients who live in southern and western parts of the US(4). (5)Because most adults younger than age 50 are not screened for CRC, the shift towards younger ages at diagnosis very likely is not be explained by earlier detection. The lower mean age of presentation in African Americans (AAs) has prompted some medical organizations to recommend CRC screening for average risk AAs to be started at a younger age than the current recommendation of age 50 in Non-Hispanic Whites (NHWs)(6).

Another important difference between AA and NHW CRC patients is the higher incidence of proximal adenomas and cancers (defined here as tumors proximal to the splenic flexure) documented in AAs over the last 30 years(7, 8). The site of tumor development in CRC has important implications not only related to screening but also due to the distinct biological features and prognosis(9). For example, death from proximal CRCs seems to be less preventable by colonoscopy performance(10) and this outcome could be related to higher miss rates of proximal lesions(11). Missed tumors as well as lower screening rates could also contribute to the reported more advanced stages at presentation of CRC in AAs compared to NHWs(7).

Significant biological differences exist between proximal and distal CRCs, including a higher percentage of tumors with microsatellite instability (MSI), increased
Excess MSS Colon Cancers in African Americans

hypermethylation, and increased gene mutation rates in proximal tumors(12). These
differences could have prognostic and therapeutic implications. In this regard, some
authors have suggested the presence of higher MSI rates and CpG island
hypermethylation in AAs(13). Environmental factors such as diet could also play a role in
CRC differences; for example, higher red blood cell folate levels have been associated
with increased methylation of genes that control colonic growth and cell differentiation in
tumors from AAs(14).

A higher frequency of MSI in AA CRCs might explain the increased proportion of
proximal CRCs in this population(15, 16); however, MSI frequency in AA CRC has not
been well determined, as studies have been limited by relatively small samples sizes(16-
19). To address this limitation, we investigated the presence of MSI as a potential
explanation for the excess proximal CRC in AAs in a large collection of unselected cases.
We addressed the MSI hypothesis and related questions in cases from the Chicago
Colorectal Cancer Consortium (CCCC)—a multi-institutional study of CRC in an ethnically
diverse urban area. The present report from the CCCC is the most comprehensive one to
date that compares differences in key clinical and molecular features in AA and NHW
CRCs living in a single geographic area.

Materials and Methods

Ascertainment, Recruitment, and Study design

The CCCC includes five large Chicago medical centers: University of Illinois
Hospital and Health Sciences System (UIHHS), Jesse Brown Veterans Administration
(JBVA), John H. Stroger Hospital of Cook County (JHSHCC), University of Chicago
Medicine, and Rush University Medical Center. The CCCC ascertained incident CRC
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patients from search of Pathology records (the majority of cases coming from 1997-2010) and from prospective enrolment in surgery and endoscopy units (2011-2012). Patients with CRC recurrence; inflammatory bowel disease; non-adenocarcinoma tumors were excluded. Patients with unspecified tumor locations or multiple primaries were excluded from the analysis.

From this ascertainment we were able to include 894 CRC patients (566 AAs and 328 NHWs). Other ethnic groups were not included. Biological samples were available from 635 individuals: 409 AAs and 228 NHWs. For every type of analysis, the number of patients that were available and informative for analysis is expressed as the denominator in every cell of the tables. The median age at diagnosis was 63, and 56.7% were male and 43.3% female.

For all cases we collected data from pathology, radiology, endoscopy, clinical, and operative reports. Cancer staging was determined according to criteria set by the American Joint Committee on Cancer staging system (20). Mucinous phenotype was considered positive when more than 50% of the tumor displayed mucin production. (20).

For the subset of cases that were prospectively enrolled, we administered an extensive personal questionnaire. The questionnaire collected information on demographics and socio-economic data, medical and family history of cancer (traced backward and laterally at least up to second-degree relatives), smoking and alcohol consumption, use of medications and supplements over the previous 5 years, and physical exercise. Significant exercise constituted at least 150 minutes a week of moderate intensity exercise or 75 minutes a week of vigorous intensity exercise as determined by the 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans(21). Obesity was assessed by body mass index (BMI)(21). The study was conducted according to the
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corresponding approved IRB protocol at each institution.

**Bio-specimen Collection**

For cases ascertained through searches of pathology records, paraffin blocks and slides were pulled from the Pathology Department archives. Areas of tumor and non-tumor tissue were identified and cores were collected. Paraffin was removed using an octane/methanol method(22). DNA was then prepared using the Gentra Puregene DNA Isolation kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, except the proteinase K extraction step was extended to three days, adding fresh enzyme on each day, and the sample was heated at 95°C for 15 minutes prior to protein precipitation. For cases ascertained in surgery or endoscopy, fresh biopsies were taken from tumors and uninvolved colonic mucosa 10 cm away from the tumor. Biopsies were preserved in RNAlater® (Life Technologies Corporation, Grand Island, NY) buffer and frozen. DNAs were extracted from ground tissue using the Maxwell® 16 Tissue DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Fitchburg, WI).

**Molecular Analysis**

MSI was assessed in paired DNA samples from tumor and uninvolved tissue. The panel of mononucleotide markers included NR21, NR22, NR24, NR27, BAT25, and BAT26(23). The use of a mononucleotide panel has been shown to be superior for MSI detection than the NCI panel(24). Multiplex PCR amplified all markers, and PCR products were analyzed by capillary electrophoresis, as previously described(24). The most common mutations of *BRAF* (V600E)(25) and *KRAS* (codons 12 and 13)(26) were analyzed through direct DNA sequencing. Amplification and sequencing of the candidate regions was performed as previously described(27).
Illinois State Cancer Registry Data

Chicago is part of Cook County, the second most populous county in the US with 5,231,351 residents. The Illinois State Cancer Registry (ISCR) collects statewide cancer data through mandated reporting by medical centers, pathology labs, and through data exchange with other states. Cook County CRC incidence and staging data was obtained from the publicly available dataset of the ISCR(28). AA and NHW CRC patients registered between 1991 and 2010 (3,553 AAs and 10,247 NHWs CRC cases) were analyzed by age of diagnosis and cancer stage.

Statistical Analysis

Differences in categorical variables were assessed by the Fisher's exact test or Chi square test. Differences in age were analyzed by the Mann-Whitney U test. We performed a primary analysis on all cases for which data was available in more than 80% of individuals. Primary analysis included age, sex, histologic grade, and microsatellite instability. We performed a secondary analysis on the subset of cases from which data was available through the administration of the personal questionnaire and their medical records.

To identify factors associated with proximal tumor location in AAs, we performed logistic regression that included the following co-variates: first-degree relative with CRC; previous colonoscopy; previous colon polyps; exercise; smoking (packs/year); alcohol (g/day); use of aspirin, NSAIDs, COX2 inhibitors, and statins; mucinous phenotype; BMI; tumor stage; lymphocytic infiltrate, histologic grade and age. Before performing the logistic regression, in order to include all AA patients in the analysis, we used the Multivariate
Imputations by Chained Equations (MICE) procedure to impute missing data based on the set of patients with available data. Normally distributed variables were imputed using predictive mean matching, binary variables by logistic regression, and categorical variables with >2 levels by polytomous logistic regression. Final estimates of odds ratios (ORs), 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and $P$ values were calculated by averaging statistics across the 50 complete datasets that we imputed and computing total variance by Rubin’s rules(29). The MICE package in R was used to perform the logistic regression on imputed data(30). All reported $P$ values correspond to two-sided tests. Differences were considered statistically significant if the $P$ value was less than 0.05. All statistical analyses were carried out using R 3.0.0(31).

**Results**

**Age and Stage at Diagnosis of CRC**

To determine whether the change in the age distribution of CRC cases in the Chicago population is similar to the change observed in the general US population, we compared the median age of diagnosis in the group of patients ascertained prospectively (2011-2012) with a similarly-sized group of patients diagnosed with CRC ten years ago (2000-2002). Patients in both ethnic groups diagnosed with CRC in 2011-2012 had a significantly lower median age at diagnosis than those diagnosed 10 years ago: 61 vs. 68 for AAs ($P<0.01$) and 62 vs. 64.5 for NHWs ($P=0.04$) (Tables 1A and B). Over this period, the percentage of patients diagnosed with CRC before age 50 went from 11% to 22% in AAs but the percentage was not different in NHWs (Tables 1A and B). To determine whether the shift to younger ages at diagnosis could be related to earlier detection, we compared cancer stage distribution between the 2000-2002 and 2011-2012 groups. In the
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2011-2012 groups, there was a 3% and 5% increase in cases with early stage CRC (0-II) in AAs and NHWs, respectively, although these comparisons did not reach statistical significance (Tables 1A and B). The shift towards earlier ages of diagnosis was also observed and found to be significant in the CRC data for Cook County extracted from the ISCR (Supplementary Figure and Supplementary Table 1), indicating that the shift is not restricted to the hospitals sampled in the CCCC. The median ages of diagnosis of the 2011-12 CCCC cases were lower than the median age data from the most recent SEER (2005-2009) nationwide survey, which showed median ages of 65 for AAs and 70 for NHWs(32).

Features that Distinguish AA CRC by Age

After observing the high percentage of young AA patients with CRC, we explored factors that could associate with younger age at diagnosis. AA patients 50 years and younger were evenly distributed by sex as opposed to older patients that showed a male predominance similar to what is reported for CRC as a whole (57%) (Table 2A). Although the presence of a higher proportion of younger patients in the AA population could suggest a bigger genetic component, the secondary analysis showed that younger patients did not have more first-degree relatives with CRC ($P=1$; Table 2B). CRCs from younger patients tended to have more lymphocytic infiltrate than older patients (42% vs. 23%; $P=0.08$) and more advanced cancer stages (61% vs. 49.0; $P=0.15$). No differences were seen in histological grade or mucinous phenotype. The frequencies of MSI and KRAS mutations were similar in both age groups but no BRAF mutations were found in the younger AAs (Table 2B). Only 3% of younger patients had had a colonoscopy prior to diagnosis vs. 27% of the older patients ($P<0.01$; Table 2B).
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Clinical and Molecular Features that Distinguish AA and NHW CRC

Some studies have reported significant differences in some tumor features between AAs and NHWs, such as lower histologic grade(7, 33), more advanced stages(7), and more proximal tumors in AAs(7). We did not see any differences in histologic grade, but AAs had a significantly higher percentage of proximal tumors than NHWs (49.5% vs. 33.7%; \( P = 0.01 \)) (Table 3A). Furthermore, from the secondary analysis there was a significantly higher frequency of advanced stage tumors in AAs (52% in AAs vs 37% in NHWs; \( P = 0.01 \)) and tumor lymphocytic infiltrate was more common (29% in AA vs 12% in NHWs; \( P = 0.02 \)) (Table 3B). Data from the Cook County registry 2006-2010 also showed less localized and more metastatic CRCs in AAs compared to NHWs (Supplementary Figure 1B and Supplementary Table 1B).

Some authors have suggested that AA patients have much higher frequencies of MSI tumors than NHWs(13), which could explain the higher frequency of proximal CRCs in AAs. We did not identify a significant difference in the percentage of CRC cases with MSI between AAs and NHWs (Table 3A). Proximal CRCs more often exhibited MSI than distal CRCs in both AAs and NHWs, but MSI frequencies in proximal CRCs were no different between the two ethnic groups (\( P = 0.43 \)) (Table 3A). The frequencies of KRAS mutations in proximal CRCs were similar in both ethnic groups, whilst BRAF mutations were less frequent in proximal CRCs in AAs, but not significantly so. The frequencies of MSI in older and younger age groups were also similar (\( P = 0.82 \); Table 2A).

Features that Distinguish Proximal and Distal Microsatellite Stable CRCs in AAs

In order to understand what biological factors might be driving MSS proximal CRCs, we tested factors that could correlate with these CRCs in AAs. Whereas male
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gender was not significantly associated with proximal MSS CRCs ($P=0.39$; Table 4A), patients with proximal MSS CRCs were older ($P=0.02$; 4A). Younger patients had more distal than proximal CRCs, though this difference was not significant (Table 4A). In a multivariate analysis of variables from the secondary analysis, tumor lymphocytic infiltrate was independently associated with proximal location in MSS CRCs in AAs (OR=8.3, 95% CI 1.11-62.30; $P=0.04$). More proximal MSS CRCs than distal MSS CRCs were diagnosed at later stages ($P=0.28$), despite the patients having undergone more previous colonoscopies ($P=0.05$). On the other hand, a higher percentage of cases with distal MSS tumors were obese (BMI $>30$ in 32% patients with distal CRC vs. 21% proximally), alcohol users (27% vs. 20.0%), and smokers (65% vs. 49%); however none of these differences were statistically significant. Finally, the frequencies of KRAS and BRAF mutations were indistinguishable in proximal and distal MSS CRCs (Table 4B).

Discussion

Using patients ascertained through the CCCC, we collected samples and clinical data on an ethnically mixed population recruited in the same geographical area, allowing for a robust comparison over time and between AAs and NHWs—ethnic groups with a large disparity in both CRC incidence and CRC mortality. To our knowledge this study includes the largest group of AA CRC patients reported to date with not only granular clinical data but also relevant tumor molecular features.

The CCCC data collected presents a dynamic picture of CRC that has evolved over a relatively short period of time towards a younger age at diagnosis in both AAs and NHWs, similar to what has been observed in the SEER registry(3). This shift in age of diagnosis is also observed in the ISCR data for Cook County where 20% (773 out of
3,878) of AAs and 14% (1,046 out of 7715) of NWHs were diagnosed before age 55 in the period 2006-2010 (Supplementary Figure and Supplementary Table 1A). A predominance of AAs among younger patients with CRC has also been reported within the National Cancer Database, a large hospital-based cancer registry(4).

Remarkably, while older patients are being diagnosed at earlier stages, the younger patients present with more advanced cancer. It is plausible that the widespread use of endoscopic procedures for either diagnostic purposes or secondary to implementation of screening strategies has contributed to the overall increase in detection of CRCs at earlier stages. In fact, this trend has been recently shown in the National Bowel Cancer Screening Program in South Australia(34). Less clear is why CRC is affecting higher numbers of younger individuals (particularly AAs) and why these CRCs are more often diagnosed when the cancer is more advanced. Younger ages at diagnosis could suggest a higher proportion of familial or syndromic cases; however, the frequency of younger AA cases with relatives with CRC was not increased, and the shift in age of diagnosis over such a short time period is unlikely to be explained by genetic causes. Moreover, Lynch syndrome, the most common of all hereditary CRC syndromes, is associated with MSI and the frequency of MSI was not increased in younger AA CRC cases.

Given the shift towards earlier age of diagnosis, we believe it might be wise to evaluate the effectiveness of CRC screening strategies at younger ages, particularly in AAs. In fact, some authors have already suggested an earlier screening age in AAs(6). Furthermore, physicians may need to lower the threshold that prompts them to order diagnostic procedures in younger individuals with suspicious symptoms that due to their young age would not raise a high level of suspicion for colorectal malignancy.
One of the main goals of this study was to determine whether an increased frequency of MSI in AA CRC could explain the increased proportion of proximal CRCs in this population. Our data provided a strong counterpoise to this hypothetical explanation. With 409 AA and 226 NHW CRCs assayed, the frequency of MSI CRC in AAs was found to be no different from the frequency in the NHWs. In fact, other studies (17, 19) that also compared AAs and NHWs failed to find differences in MSI frequencies between AAs and NHWs (Supplementary Table 2). Moreover, when our data is combined with all the available studies, the MSI frequencies between AAs and NHWs are nearly identical to each other (Supplementary Table 2). The differences in frequencies across the various studies could reflect differences in biological factors that underlie MSI (e.g., age, gender, and environmental triggers); alternatively, and not exclusively, as the frequency of MSI is low, the differences could reflect the vagaries of sampling. The MSI frequency in the present report is almost identical to that found in the Epicolon study, based on 1,200 consecutive patients from Spain(24). The robustness of our MSI testing methodology was asserted in that study as we showed an extremely high level of concordance between presence of MSI and loss of expression of mismatch repair proteins(24). Finally, it is worth noting that the study that showed the highest incidence of MSI in tumors from AA patients was based on limited number of cases(15).

Similarly, we did not see any significant differences in mutational rates of the commonly mutated MAP kinase genes, \textit{KRAS} and \textit{BRAF}, between AAs and NHWs. Neither did we observe a predominance of \textit{KRAS} codon 13 mutations in proximal MSS tumors in AAs, as previously reported(17).

We did observe biological differences in AA CRC compared to NHW CRC, characterized primarily by more proximal tumors, which has been observed in many
studies of AA CRC. As noted above, these proximal tumors in AAs do have the same percentage of MSI as NHWs. Because the tumors on the right side still have a relatively low percentage of MSI, the great majority of right-sided tumors are MSS in both ethnic groups. Thus, in terms of percentages, AAs have many more right-sided MSS tumors than NHWs. Additionally; this group of proximal MSS tumors in AAs is characterized by presence of lymphocytic infiltrate. Altogether, we find the overall excess of CRCs in AAs is mostly contributed by a higher prevalence of the proximal MSS phenotype. CD8+-type lymphocytic infiltrations have been associated with both MSI and MSS CRCs(35). Elevated microsatellite instability at selected tetranucleotide repeats (EMAST) in MSS CRCs has been linked to inflammatory processes in the tumor and heterogeneous expression of the DNA mismatch repair protein MSH3(36). It is possible that the excess proximal MSS, inflammatory CRCs seen in AAs is related in some way to the EMAST phenomenon. Further studies are warranted to test whether specific lymphocyte and novel genomic-instability phenotypes are associated with proximal MSS CRCs in AAs.

Ferracin et al. reported the association of a subgroup of proximal MSS cancers with $BRAF$ mutations, CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP), mucinous phenotype, chromosomal instability, and a unique gene expression profile(37)—a pattern of clinical correlations that is similar to those observed in MSI tumors. These observations suggest that a subset of proximal tumors originate through the methylator phenotype but only those with $MLH1$ promoter methylation develop MSI. Bond et al.(38) suggested that MSS cancers with $BRAF$ mutations are fundamentally different from MSI/$BRAF$ mutated cancers but that both types of tumors preferentially develop in the proximal colon. MSS/$BRAF$ mutated CRCs were found to have levels of chromosomal instability (CIN) that increase with more advanced stages of presentation, suggesting that CIN may
contribute to progression of this phenotype. In our series, none of the MSS proximal tumors had \textit{BRAF} mutations and no distinct association was found with mucinous phenotype. Consequently, these phenotypes did not make a significant contribution to the MSS proximal cancers in the AA patients in our series, although other markers, such as CIMP and CIN, should be assessed. It is unclear why the phenotype described in these manuscripts, while relatively infrequent, is basically not seen in our series, as we did not detect any \textit{BRAF} V600E mutations in proximal MSS tumors in AAs. It is possible that AAs may have different \textit{BRAF} mutations and our analysis restricted to V600E could limit this assessment. In any case, further molecular characterization in the described group will be essential to better understand this difference between AA and NHW CRCs.

The much higher percentage of proximal CRCs in AAs constitutes an added challenge for AAs, because these tumors are reportedly more likely to be missed by colonoscopies\cite{10, 11} and interval cancers (CRCs discovered at or before the next recommended screening/surveillance colonoscopy) have been repeatedly found to appear twice as often in the proximal colon\cite{39}.

Could the additional proximal tumors in AAs be explained by factors such as toxic exposures, body habitus, obesity, or physical exercise? On the contrary, distal MSS tumors were more often diagnosed in obese patients and consumers of alcohol or tobacco, though our study probably was underpowered to prove this hypothesis. Younger AAs present with more distal tumors than proximal ones, which suggests that the increase of CRC in young AAs could be linked to environmental factors.

Our study had some limitations. Patients have been recruited within a limited geographical urban area with mostly modest income households; therefore, data may not be fully generalizable to other communities. The limited number of patients with data on
such factors as toxic exposures, body habitus or exercise also reduces the possibility of drawing more firm conclusions on the differential effect of these factors.

In summary, our data strongly supports the conclusion that the excess of proximal CRC in AAs consists of MSS tumors, commonly presenting lymphocytic infiltrate and less often associated with toxic exposures or a higher BMI. In addition, AAs are more often diagnosed with CRC at younger ages than NWHs. The clinical evidence suggests that the different mechanisms drive the younger ages of diagnosis and the proximal MSS CRCs. Given the trend towards earlier cancer presentation, CRC screening approaches require further evaluation, especially in AAs.
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Tables

Table 1. Comparison of colorectal cancer cases within African Americans (A) and Non-Hispanic Whites (B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Years 2000-2002</th>
<th>Years 2011-2012</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. African</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age at diagnosis</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals diagnosed at age 50 or younger</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>17/157</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0, I, II</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>64/132</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III, IV</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>68/132</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Non-Hispanic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age at diagnosis</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals diagnosed at age 50 or younger</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14/102</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0, I, II</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>45/87</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III, IV</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>42/87</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Features of colorectal cancers by age at diagnosis in African Americans only

2A. Primary analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>50 and Younger</th>
<th>Older than 50</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>48% 32/66</td>
<td>57% 191/333</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal location</td>
<td>44% 28/64</td>
<td>51% 165/324</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histologic grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>25% 14/55</td>
<td>22% 60/274</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>64% 35/55</td>
<td>65% 177/274</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>11% 6/55</td>
<td>13% 37/274</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSI</td>
<td>8% 5/67</td>
<td>9% 32/340</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Histologic grade was described as low (well differentiated), moderate (moderately differentiated), and high grade (poorly differentiated or undifferentiated). Patients with synchronous cancers were excluded from the tumor location comparison. Lymphocytic infiltrate was considered positive when mild, moderate, or marked infiltrate were described by the pathologist. Cancer staging was determined according to criteria set by the American Joint Committee on Cancer staging system. Mucinous phenotype was considered positive when more than 50% of the tumor displayed mucin production.
Table 3. Comparison of clinical and molecular characteristics of colorectal cancer cases between African Americans and Non-Hispanic Whites

3A. Primary analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>African Americans</th>
<th>Non-Hispanic Whites</th>
<th>p- value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median age at diagnosis</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>56% 224/401</td>
<td>58% 131/225</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal location</td>
<td>49% 193/390</td>
<td>34% 68/202</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histologic grade Low</td>
<td>23% 75/330</td>
<td>20% 25/192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>64% 212/330</td>
<td>67% 128/192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>13% 43/330</td>
<td>13% 39/192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All CRCs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSI</td>
<td>9% 38/409</td>
<td>9% 20/226</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal CRCs only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSI</td>
<td>14% 26/191</td>
<td>18% 12/68</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal CRCs only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSI</td>
<td>3% 7/197</td>
<td>4% 5/134</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3B. Secondary analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>African Americans</th>
<th>Non-Hispanic Whites</th>
<th>p- value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Previous Colonoscopy</td>
<td>21% 29/135</td>
<td>19% 14/72</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucinous phenotype</td>
<td>10% 19/197</td>
<td>5% 4/74</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of lymphocytic infiltrate</td>
<td>29% 40/139</td>
<td>12% 7/56</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0, I, II</td>
<td>48% 123/254</td>
<td>63% 66/105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III, IV</td>
<td>52% 131/254</td>
<td>37% 39/105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All CRCs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF V600E</td>
<td>4% 16/409</td>
<td>7% 15/226</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRAS (codons 12,13)</td>
<td>23% 44/194</td>
<td>15% 13/86</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal CRCs only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF V600E</td>
<td>7% 13/192</td>
<td>13% 9/68</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRAS (codons 12,13)</td>
<td>24% 20/82</td>
<td>25% 5/20</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Features of microsatellite stable (MSS) colorectal cancers by tumor location in African Americans only

### 4A. Primary analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Proximal Location</th>
<th>Distal Location</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median age at diagnosis</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals diagnosed at age 50 or younger</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals diagnosed at age 55 or younger</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histologic grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4B. Secondary analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Proximal Location</th>
<th>Distal Location</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obese (BMI&gt;30)</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant exercise</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packs/year &gt;0</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol &gt;0 g/day</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous colonoscopy</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First degree relative with colorectal cancer</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin/NSAIDs</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statins</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cox-2 inhibitors</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of lymphocytic infiltrate</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucinous phenotype</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer Stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0, I, II</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III, IV</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAFT V600E</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRAS (codons 12, 13)</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The person smoked. Alcohol consumption was recorded as mean of grams of alcohol consumed per day based on the content of each beverage. Significant exercise constituted at least 150 minutes a week of...
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moderate intensity exercise or 75 minutes a week of vigorous intensity exercise as determined by the 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans.
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