ANTIGEN SELECTION SHAPES THE T-CELL REPERTOIRE IN CHRONIC LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA
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STATEMENT OF TRANSLATIONAL RELEVANCE

Extracellular cues vital for chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) cell survival and proliferation are provided by accessory cells that together with cytokines and chemokines form the tumor microenvironment. Despite accumulating evidence of an intricate crosstalk between T cells and CLL B cells, little is known regarding the role of antigen(s) in shaping the CLL T-cell repertoire.

Here, we investigated the T-cell receptor β chain gene repertoire in a large CLL cohort, with a strong focus on patients expressing stereotyped B-cell receptor immunoglobulins, therefore cases most evidently selected by antigen, including prototypic subsets of both clinically aggressive and indolent disease. We found repertoire skewing and oligoclonality in the majority of patients, strongly indicative of antigen selection in shaping the CLL T-cell repertoire. We maintain that a better understanding of CLL cells interaction with their surroundings will prove clinically relevant in light of the increasing use of novel therapies that target the microenvironment.
ABSTRACT

Purpose: The role of antigen(s) in shaping the T-cell repertoire in chronic lymphocytic leukemia, though relevant for understanding malignant cell interactions with cognate T cells, is largely unexplored.

Experimental Design: Here we profiled the T-cell receptor β chain gene repertoire in 58 chronic lymphocytic leukemia patients, focusing on cases assigned to well-characterized subsets with stereotyped clonotypic B-cell receptor immunoglobulins, therefore those cases most evidently selected by antigen (subsets #1, #2 and #4).

Results: Remarkable repertoire skewing and oligoclonality were observed, and differences between subsets were noted regarding both T-cell receptor β chain gene usage and the extent of clonality, with subset #2 being the least oligoclonal. Longitudinal analysis of subset #4 cases revealed that although the repertoire may fluctuate over time, certain clonotypes persist, thus alluding to persistent antigenic stimulation. Shared (“stereotyped”) clonotypes were found between different patients, reflecting selection by common antigenic elements. Cross-comparison of our dataset with public databases showed that some T-cell clonotypes may have expanded secondary to common viral infections; however, the large majority of clonotypes proved to be disease-specific.

Conclusions: Overall, the T-cell receptor β chain repertoire in chronic lymphocytic leukemia is likely shaped by antigen selection and the implicated antigenic elements may concern epitopes that also select the malignant B cell progenitors or, more intriguingly, chronic lymphocytic leukemia-derived epitopes.
INTRODUCTION

A pivotal role for microenvironmental interactions in the natural history of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) is strongly supported by: (i) the remarkably skewed repertoire of the antigen-specific immunoglobulins (IG) expressed by the B-cell receptors (BcRs) of the CLL clones (1, 2); (ii) the division of CLL cases into those with unmutated or minimally mutated IGs (“unmutated” U-CLL) who generally experience an aggressive disease course and those with a significant load of somatic hypermutation within their IG genes (“mutated”, M-CLL) who display a considerably more indolent disease (3-5); (iii) the existence of subsets of patients with quasi-identical, “stereotyped” IGs within their BcRs, pointing to clonal selection by a restricted set of antigens (6-10), and; (iv) the clinical efficacy of drugs interfering with signaling through the BcR (11-13). However, it is not yet clear at which stage of clonal evolution the putative antigen(s) may act, or whether this stimulation is persistent. Furthermore, their possible role in the selection and activation of cognate T lymphocytes remains obscure, yet highly relevant given accumulating evidence about the intricate crosstalk of T cells with CLL B cells (14-20).

A number of T cell defects have previously been characterized in CLL patients, including elevated absolute counts (21), inversion of the CD4+/CD8+ ratio (22), altered cytokine production and chemokine receptor expression profile (23), down-regulation of co-stimulatory molecules (24), and oligoclonal T-cell receptor β chain (TRB) gene repertoire (25-28); however, the mechanisms underlying such defects are not fully elucidated. Gene expression profiling studies showed that the CLL B cells are able to induce gene expression changes in healthy CD4+ and CD8+ T cells following direct contact, potentially accounting for the changes observed in T cells from CLL patients (14). Furthermore, animal model studies have demonstrated that autologous CD4+ T cells may actually provide trophic signals to the CLL clone, which are critical for its survival, expansion and CD38 expression (16).
Taking all the above into consideration, here we sought to obtain immunogenetic insight into the role of antigen selection in shaping the repertoire of T cells in CLL. To this end, we performed a large-scale molecular study of the TRB gene repertoire in CLL patients, focusing on those cases where the malignant clone expresses stereotyped BcR IGs and thus is most evidently selected by antigen(s). Overall, our findings suggest that the T cell driving antigens could include epitopes implicated in the selection of the malignant B cells and, perhaps more intriguingly, CLL cell- (tumor-) derived epitopes.
METHODS

Patient group

We analyzed 73 peripheral blood samples from 58 untreated CLL patients (median age: 68, range 45-85 years), and 2 control samples from age-matched (ages: 70- and 80-years old) healthy individuals. Fifty-two of the 58 CLL cases were selected based on their expression of stereotyped BcR IGs leading to their assignment to well-annotated CLL subsets (10). Detailed information regarding the immunogenetic characteristics of these subsets is provided in the Supplemental Data. More specifically, our CLL series was comprised of cases belonging to subset #4 (n=20), subset #1 (n=16) and subset #2 (n=16), as well as cases carrying BcR IGs not assigned to subsets (n=6). Five subset #4 cases were analyzed over time (19 samples in total, sampling period ranged from 18-42 months; median 28 months - the patients remained untreated throughout the sampling period). In one subset #1 case, in addition to peripheral blood, a lymph node specimen from the same time-point was also analyzed. No case had evidence of infection at sampling. The local Ethics Review Committees of the participating institutions approved the study.

PCR amplification of TRBV-TRBD-TRBJ gene rearrangements

Total cellular RNA was primarily isolated from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (or, in one case, fresh lymph node tissue), and reverse transcribed to complementary DNA (cDNA) using the SuperScript II RT kit (Invitrogen Life technologies, USA). RT-PCR and heteroduplex analysis of TRBV-TRBD-TRBJ gene rearrangements was performed according to the BIOMED-2 collaborative effort (29). RT-PCR products were gel-purified with the QIAGEN DNA purification columns (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), ligated into the pCR2.1 vector (Invitrogen Life technologies, USA) and transformed into E.Coli/TOP10F’ competent bacteria (Invitrogen Life technologies, USA). Colonies were chosen randomly and sequenced using the -20
universal primer or M13 primers.

**Sequence analysis, definitions and interpretation**

Sequence data were analyzed using the IMGT/V-QUEST tool from the international ImMunoGeneTics information system® (http://www.imgt.org). Only productive TRBV-TRBD-TRBJ gene rearrangements were included in the analysis.

Clonotypes were defined as TRBV-TRBD-TRBJ gene rearrangements utilizing a particular TRBV gene and carrying a distinct TRB complementarity determining region 3 (CDR3) amino acid sequence. Clonotypes were considered expanded (forming clusters) when they contained ≥2 sequences, with the most expanded clonotype within a sample referred to as the immunodominant clonotype. The relative frequency of each clonotype/sample was calculated as the number of rearrangements corresponding to the clonotype divided by the total number of subcloned productive rearrangements for that particular sample. Clonality analysis was performed for samples with >10 sequenced productive rearrangements. For repertoire analysis, clonotypes rather than single rearrangements were considered in order to avoid potential biases due to expansion following antigenic stimulation.

All CLL TRB sequences were aligned and compared to the TRB sequences of the healthy control samples, as well as a panel of 5717 non-redundant, well-annotated and productive TRBV-TRBD-TRBJ rearrangement sequences from various entities (normal, autoreactive and malignant T-cell clones), available to our group (n=1715) or retrieved from the IMGT/LIGM-DB sequence database (http://www.imgt.org/IMGTindex/LGM.html) (n=4002).

**HLA typing**

Typing of the HLA-A, -B, -C loci for low resolution and HLA-DRB1 locus for allelic level high-resolution determination was performed on the two healthy controls and 8 CLL samples (more particularly the 4 pairs of CLL cases that were found to share common clonotypes, as
described in the Results section) by reverse PCR-SSOP (sequence specific oligonucleotide probe) using a commercially available kit (LABtype® RSSO, One Lambda, Canoga Park, CA).

Statistical analysis and visualization tools

Descriptive statistics for discrete parameters included counts and frequency distributions. For quantitative variables, statistical measures included means, medians, and min-max values. The significance of bivariate/multivariate relationships between variables was assessed using the unpaired t-test and for all comparisons, a significance level of p=0.05 was set. All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical Package GraphPad Prism version 5.03 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, USA).

Circos graphs were produced using freeware, licensed under GPL and available at http://circos.ca (30).
RESULTS

The T-cell gene repertoire of CLL is remarkably skewed

Overall, 1378 productive CLL TRBV-TRBD-TRBJ gene rearrangements were included in the analysis, corresponding to 985 distinct clonotypes. Thirty-nine functional TRBV genes were identified. The most frequent gene was TRBV19, contributing to 108 clonotypes (11.0%), followed by TRBV27 (8.1%), TRBV12-3 (7.6%), TRBV7-9 (7.0%), TRBV6-5 (6.4%) and TRBV20-1 (5.1%). Thus, collectively, 6 TRBV genes accounted for almost half of all clonotypic rearrangements, highlighting a remarkable bias within the CLL TRBV gene repertoire. Importantly, analysis of the healthy control samples revealed a different pattern of TRBV gene usage, with clear over-representation of the TRBV10-3 and TRBV24-1 genes (p=0.004 and p=0.01, respectively), and under-representation of the TRBV27 and TRBV12-3 genes (p values did not reach statistical significance, likely due to the small size of the respective sequence dataset) (Figure 1A). Detailed immunogenetic information regarding gene frequencies and TRB CDR3 length and composition are provided in the Supplemental Data.

When comparing the TRBV gene usage among the four patient groups within our cohort (i.e. subset #1, #2 and #4, as well as non-subset cases), several differences were noted. More specifically: (i) clonotypic rearrangements belonging to cases with heterogeneous BcR IGs were significantly enriched for TRBV27 and TRBV6-5 genes, whereas TRBV7-8, TRBV20-1 and TRBV7-9 were under-represented; and (ii) clonotypic rearrangements belonging to subset #2 cases were significantly enriched for TRBV20-1, TRBV7-9 and TRBV7-8 gene usage (Figure 1B).

In total, 73 samples from 58 CLL patients were analyzed. The great majority of patients exhibited oligoclonality. More specifically, when samples with ≤10 sequenced productive rearrangements were excluded, 52 of the remaining 57 samples (91%), corresponding to 40/45 cases (89%), were found to carry clusters of identical rearrangements (≥2) (expanded...
clonotypes). For the remaining 5 cases, the failure to detect expanded clonotypes may be attributed to their significantly (p=0.02) lower median number of subcloned productive TRBV-TRBD-TRBJ rearrangement sequences.

For the 52 CLL samples with expanded clonotypes, the number of expanded clonotypes/sample ranged from 1-11 (median 3), while the relative frequency of each clonotype/sample, ranged from 2.7-80% (median 10%). The relative frequency of the immunodominant clonotype ranged from 4.9-70.4% (median 16.2%) and the cumulative frequency of all expanded clonotypes/sample ranged from 10.5-88.9% (median 44.8%). The respective values concerning all analyzed CLL samples (n=57) are shown in Table 1. Of relevance, in the CLL case where peripheral blood and lymph node from the same time-point were cross-examined, only the lymph node sample exhibited oligoclonality (cumulative frequency of expanded clonotypes 42.9%). This finding may also be relevant for the 5 CLL cases lacking expanded clonotypes in the peripheral blood: put differently, it cannot be a priori excluded that such cases carry expanded T-cell clones albeit with a distinct tissue localization.

We then assessed clonality separately for each subgroup of patients i.e. subset #1, subset #2, subset #4 and cases with non-subset BcR IG rearrangements. Unexpectedly, subset #2 was substantially less oligoclonal in comparison to subset #4, as well as patients with heterogeneous BcR IG rearrangements (median cumulative frequency of expanded clonotypes 23.8% versus 48.3%, p=0.03 and 51.1%, p=0.01, respectively) (Table 1; Figures 2-3).

Importantly, the healthy control samples did not exhibit oligoclonality. Collectively, of the 35 clonotypes that were identified, 34 concerned ‘singletons’ i.e. appeared only once whereas only a single clonotype was detected twice (median cumulative frequency 5.0%) (Figure 2).

*The T cell repertoire fluctuates, however the same subclone may persist over time*
To assess clonal dynamics over time, we tested longitudinal samples from 5 subset #4 patients (2-6 samples/patient, median 3); the sampling period ranged from 18-42 months (median 28 months). With the exception of one patient who displayed a relatively stable TRBV gene repertoire, all other patients exhibited variable TRBV gene frequencies at the different time-points analyzed, suggestive of clonal drift (Figure 4A); however overall, in 4/5 cases (80%), at least one clonotype was found to persist over at least two different time-points (Figure 4B). Interestingly, the immunodominant clone differed at each time-point.

Of relevance, the TRBV gene repertoire was also found to differ substantially when both the peripheral blood sample and the lymph node sample from the same case and time-point were examined, with the two samples presenting distinct TRBV gene frequencies.

**Shared clonotypes between different patients**

Cluster analysis of the TRB CDR3 amino acid sequences of all cases identified 4 distinct TRB CDR3 amino acid sequences utilized by pairs of patients. In 2/4 such pairs of patients, the TRBV gene was the same, thus translating to a shared (“stereotyped” or “public”) clonotype; interestingly, these 2 pairs involved patients assigned to subset #4. The remaining 2 pairs carrying identical TRB CDR3 amino acid sequences exhibited discordant TRBV gene usage; one of these pairs involved a patient assigned to subset #1 and a subset #4 patient, while the other involved a subset #2 patient and a non-subset patient (Table 2).

Given that antigen recognition by T-cell receptors occurs in the context of the major histocompatibility complex proteins, we then investigated the respective gene loci (HLA typing) in these 8 cases (the 4 pairs of patients carrying identical TRB CDR3s) to search for possible matches and found that within each pair of patients at least one gene match was found among the 8 loci tested (Supplemental Data). For 2/4 CLL pairs, this "implicated", shared HLA gene was also found to be expressed by the healthy controls (Supplemental Data), however none of the aforementioned "public" clonotypes were detected in the latter.
**Hits with public database sequences**

We next compared all productive CLL TRBV-TRBD-TRBJ gene rearrangement sequences from our study (n=1378) against the TRBV-TRBD-TRBJ gene rearrangement sequences obtained from the two healthy control samples (n=36), and also a panel of 5717 non-redundant, productive TRBV-TRBD-TRBJ gene rearrangement sequences from various entities. Only a single TRB CDR3 sequence from our CLL dataset was found to have an identical match within the public database; notably, this particular TRB CDR3 sequence participated in one of the aforementioned ‘pairs’ with identical TRB CDR3. More specifically, the match concerned a shared TRB CDR3 sequence between two CLL patients (P1812 and Swe-1025) of our cohort (P1812 used the TRBV10-3 gene while Swe-1025 utilized the TRBV19 gene) and a single entry in the IMGT/LIGM-DB sequence database (Accession number AM041155, utilizing the TRBV19 gene). The latter corresponded to a CD8+ T-cell clone specific for a highly immunogenic Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) epitope (EPLPQGQLTAY). This clone is known to be HLA-B*35:01-restricted (31), and indeed, our two CLL patients carrying this clonotype were both found to express HLA-B*35.

When following a less stringent threshold (>85%) for amino acid identity, several additional ‘hits’ were identified concerning similar TRB CDR3s, as listed in the **Supplemental Data**.
DISCUSSION

We investigated the T-cell receptor gene repertoire in the largest CLL cohort studied so far for this purpose. We focused on CLL patients expressing stereotyped BcR IG and assigned to the most populated CLL-specific subsets, namely #1 (the largest within U-CLL, clinically aggressive), #2 (the largest overall, mix of U-CLL and M-CLL, clinically aggressive) and #4 (the largest within M-CLL, particularly indolent) (9, 10, 32). We also investigated CLL patients carrying non-subset BcR IG rearrangements, so as not to disregard the possibility of identifying T-cell immunogenetic features that are ubiquitous in CLL, irrespective of the particular IG receptor that is expressed. Our cohort was intentionally biased towards subset #4, where ample evidence suggests ongoing interactions with the selecting antigen (33-36).
For this reason, we also performed longitudinal immunoprofiling of five subset #4 patients, aiming to explore the possibility of clonal drift. Clonotypes were considered expanded when they contained ≥2 sequences, and while this definition may seem arbitrary, the identification of a specific rearrangement more than once using a low-throughput method, such as subcloning, justifies considering this clone as expanded.
The findings reported herein provide solid evidence for TRB gene repertoire skewing and oligoclonality in the great majority of CLL patients analyzed. This is in line with previous reports of oligoclonality within the T-cell repertoire in CLL, however, ours is the first molecular documentation of this phenomenon since previous studies were based solely on flow cytometric analysis and spectratyping (25-28). Importantly, within our study, T-cell oligoclonality was found to be universal in CLL, and not confined to cases carrying stereotyped BcR IGs. The differences in TRBV gene usage between CLL and age-matched healthy samples argue that the reported TRB gene frequency skewing is CLL-biased, and not an age-related repertoire restriction phenomenon. Although the small number of analyzed healthy samples precludes definitive conclusions, the relative lack of clonality within these
healthy controls (at least with this low-throughput approach) efficiently highlights the greater extent of oligoclonality that characterizes CLL samples as compared to age-matched healthy controls, thus providing reassuring evidence that the reported TRB repertoire skewing is indeed CLL-biased.

That notwithstanding, TRB gene repertoire analysis performed separately for each subgroup of patients i.e. subset #1, subset #2, subset #4 and non-subset cases, revealed that each subgroup exhibited varying TRBV gene restrictions, alluding to different antigenic elements driving T-cell selection. This is in line with previous studies detailing distinct antigenic stimulation that drives the selection and expansion of the malignant B-cell clone in CLL subsets carrying different stereotyped BcR IGs (6-10, 33, 34, 37-39). Counter-arguing, if certain antigenic elements were responsible for the TRBV gene repertoire skewing within each subgroup, one would expect the skewing to remain stable over time. However, with a single exception, all cases profiled over successive time-points exhibited fluctuating frequencies of TRBV genes, although certain clonotypes did persist.

Subgroup analysis also revealed that clonality is less evident in certain subsets, especially subset #2. The underlying reason for this remains elusive, however it should be noted that subset #2 cases follow an aggressive clinical course, even when harboring mutated BcR IGs, and were recently found to display a particularly high frequency of SF3B1 mutations that may somehow render the cells less dependent on T-cell interactions through, as yet, unidentified mechanisms (37, 40-42). Indeed, whether T-cell oligoclonality is associated with disease course and outcome will require the study of larger cohorts of patients.

A notable finding in the present study was the identification of shared (“public”) clonotypes among different patients. According to estimates of normal T-cell repertoire diversity, the chance that this may happen by serendipity is negligible (43, 44). The possibility that this resulted from cross-contamination was also ruled out by the fact that shared clonotypes were identified in subcloning experiments performed at different times. Therefore, we have
to assume that “public” clonotypes present in different CLL patients stem from selection by the same or closely similar antigenic elements. Could these be the same antigens that are selecting the malignant B cell clone?

If this were the case, one would expect that common clonotypes would only be identified among patients belonging to the same subset (and sharing the respective HLA alleles). Indeed, identical clonotypes were found only among subset #4 patients with at least one common HLA allele. However, if a more relaxed definition of “clonotype” was adopted, e.g. involving the same amino acid TRB CDR3 sequence yet with different TRBV genes, then common clonotypes were also found among patients in different subgroups. Thus, the possibility exists that the common antigenic drive concerns tumor-associated antigens, i.e. proteins specifically expressed by the CLL cells. Alternatively, it could be related to shared, persistent subclinical infections.

In order to further interrogate the nature of antigenic elements that interfere with T-cell selection in CLL, we performed extensive cross-entity comparisons. Notably, within a panel of 5717 productive TRBV-TRBD-TRBJ rearrangement sequences, only one identical match was found, which corresponded to the TRB CDR3 sequence shared by two CLL patients from our cohort and a CD8+ T-cell clone from the literature, highly specific for an immunogenic epitope from the BZLF1 antigen of EBV (31). Interestingly, this clone is part of a “public” T-cell receptor repertoire, i.e. a highly constrained, HLA-restricted T-cell receptor repertoire that is usually elicited in response to bulged viral peptides and is common among HLA-B*35:01 individuals (31, 45). Given that both CLL patients also expressed HLA-B*35, it is reasonable to say that, at least on this occasion, the identified shared clonotype stemmed from EBV antigenic stimulation. This is not altogether unexpected, given that the immune compromise of CLL is a predisposing factor for reactivation of chronic herpes virus infections (46); furthermore, it is relevant to mention our previous finding which demonstrated that certain CLL patients, especially those utilizing the IGHV4-34 gene, have persistent subclinical
infection by EBV, indicating that viral antigens/superantigens might facilitate clonal expansion (47).

In conclusion, the present study provides clear molecular evidence for TRBV gene repertoire skewing among T cells in CLL patients belonging to major stereotyped subsets as well as non-subset patients, thereby strongly supporting antigen selection in disease pathogenesis. The finding of identical or highly similar clonotypes among different cases raises the possibility that shared antigenic epitopes may be relevant for clonal selection of T cells. Whether the antigens that drive T-cell repertoire restriction are identical/related to those implicated in the selection of CLL progenitors or the malignant cells themselves remains to be clarified.
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Table 1. Clonality analysis for samples with >10 subcloned productive TRBV-TRBD-TRBJ sequences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Sequences/sample [median (range)]</th>
<th>Expanded clonotypes [median (range)]</th>
<th>Relative frequency of the dominant clonotype (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subset #1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23 (14-41)</td>
<td>4 (0-11)</td>
<td>13.3 (0-21.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subset #2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20 (12-36)</td>
<td>2 (0-3)</td>
<td>13.6 (0-50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subset #4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21 (11-36)</td>
<td>3 (0-8)</td>
<td>18.2 (0-70.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-subset</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23 (14-30)</td>
<td>5 (3-7)</td>
<td>16.7 (13-30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>22 (11-41)</td>
<td>3 (0-11)</td>
<td>14.8 (0-70.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Pairs of patients that harbor clonotypes with identical amino acid TRB CDR3 sequences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient ID</th>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>TRBV gene</th>
<th>TRBD gene</th>
<th>TRBJ gene</th>
<th>Shared CDR3 AA sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1626</td>
<td>#4</td>
<td>TRBV15</td>
<td>TRBD1</td>
<td>TRBJ2-2</td>
<td>ATRVTTGGLVGELF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1939</td>
<td>#4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1422</td>
<td>#4</td>
<td>TRBV 30</td>
<td>TRBD1</td>
<td>TRBJ2-2</td>
<td>AWSASDGFTGELFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2920</td>
<td>#4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swe-1065</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>TRBV7-2</td>
<td>TRBD2</td>
<td>TRBJ1-5</td>
<td>ASSLGTNQPQH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3870</td>
<td>#4</td>
<td>TRBV7-9</td>
<td>TRBD1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1812</td>
<td>non-subset</td>
<td>TRBV10-3</td>
<td>TRBD1</td>
<td>TRBJ1-5</td>
<td>ASSTGDSNQPQH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swe-1025</td>
<td>#2</td>
<td>TRBV19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TRBV: T-cell receptor beta variable gene; TRBD: T-cell receptor beta diversity gene; TRBJ: T-cell receptor beta joining gene; CDR3: complementarity determining region 3; AA: amino acid.
FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1. TRBV gene repertoire.** (A) TRBV gene repertoire analysis at the CLL cohort level and comparison of TRBV gene frequencies within the expanded clonotypes versus the polyclonal background (clonotypes that were identified only once, "single clonotypes"). The fourth column corresponds to the two age-matched healthy samples. The first 10 TRBV genes on the x-axis are the most frequent within the CLL cohort. The final TRBV gene (TRBV24-1) was added to facilitate comparison of the CLL TRBV gene repertoire to that of the healthy controls. The p values relate to the TRBV gene frequency within the CLL cohort versus the healthy controls. (B) Comparison of the TRBV gene repertoire among the four subgroups within the CLL cohort, subset #1, subset #2, subset #4 and non-subset cases.

**Figure 2. The % cumulative frequency of all expanded clonotypes per sample analyzed separately for each subgroup of CLL patients, i.e. subset #1, subset #2, subset #4, non-subset cases, and the age-matched healthy controls.** Each dot corresponds to a sample with >10 subcloned productive sequences and horizontal lines indicate the median value for each subgroup of patients. The T-cell gene repertoire of subset #2 patients was significantly less oligoclonal in comparison to subset #4 patients, as well as patients with heterogeneous BcR IG rearrangements. The healthy controls were essentially polyclonal. Differences of median values at level of statistical significance p<0.05 and p<0.01 are marked with [*] and [***], respectively.

**Figure 3. T-cell clonality analysis for each subgroup of patients (subset #1, subset #2, subset #4 and cases with heterogeneous BcR IG rearrangements).** Each lane corresponds to a sample with > 10 subcloned productive sequences and each tile of a lane corresponds to the relative size of different expanded clonotypes within a sample. The inner tile (shown in
white in all instances) depicts the polyclonal background, i.e. the cumulative frequency of all single clonotypes, within a sample. Samples belonging to subset #2 cases appear to be less oligoclonal both in terms of the relative size of the polyclonal background and in terms of the number of expanded clonotypes per sample.

**Figure 4. TRBV gene repertoire and clonal dynamics over time.** (A) Representation of how the TRBV gene frequencies fluctuate over time in the 5 subset #4 CLL patients for whom successive samples were analyzed. Only the 5 most frequent TRBV genes and time-points with ≥ 10 sequenced rearrangements are depicted. Solid colors are used for TRBV genes with frequencies that fluctuate ≥ 10% over time, whereas pattern fill is used for TRBV genes with relatively stable frequencies over time (i.e. fluctuate < 10%). (B) Clonal drift. Each column corresponds to a distinct time-point and each tile to a specific clonotype. The basal tiles (depicted in white in all instances) represent the polyclonal background at each time-point. Despite the fluctuating nature of the TCR β repertoire, certain clonotypes, indicated by arrows, persist over time.
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